UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

BRIEFING ON HUMAN CAPITAL AND EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY (PUBLIC)

+++++

TUESDAY

JUNE 23, 2015

+++++

ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

+++++

The Commission convened in the Commissioners' Hearing Room, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, at 9:00 a.m., Stephen Burns, Chairman, presiding.

NRC COMMISSIONERS:

CHAIRMAN STEPHEN G. BURNS

COMMISSIONER KRISTINE L. SVINICKI

COMMISSION WILLIAM C. OSTNEDORFF

COMMISSIONER JEFF BARAN

NRC STAFF:

MARGARET M. DOANE, OGC

ANNETTE L. VIETTI-COOK, SECY

MICHAEL WEBER, DEDO

MIRIAM COHEN, OCHCO

KRISTIN DAVIS, OCHCO

JASON LISING, OCHCO

DOUG THARP, OCHCO

MELODY FOPMA, SBCR

PAM BAKER, REGION I

ALSO PRESENT:

MARIA SCHWARTZ, NTEU

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

PROCEEDINGS

9:00 a.m.

CHAIRMAN BURNS: Good morning, everyone. I want to welcome our panel that is here, and they will I am sure introduce themselves as they go, and also welcome members of the NRC staff as well as members of the public here today.

The Commission meets today to receive a briefing on the agency's Human Capital Activities and an update on our Equal Employment Opportunity and Diversity Management Program, part of our semi-annual briefings related to that topic.

The programs we're going to talk about this morning are very important to the agency because, after all, the people of the agency are our most important asset in terms of achieving our mission and strategic objectives.

Obviously, NRC is in the midst of change. I think anybody who has been around, and certainly since I got back last November, I am well aware in terms of the -- some of the challenges ahead, particularly as we undertake implementation of the initiatives that the Commission has approved in Project Aim 2020 that are designed to improve our operational effectiveness and right-size the agency.

So we look forward this morning to hearing about the agency's strategies for strengthening the organization and positioning it for change and shaping our future workforce, including our training programs.

And we'll hear presentations also from the Office of

Small Business and Civil Rights, and also have a -- be addressed by the representative from the NTEU, our union, at the end of the presentations.

But first, I'll ask if my fellow colleagues have anything they'd like to say? Okay, Mike, please --

MR. WEBER: Good morning, Chairman and Commissioners. It's a pleasure for the staff to brief you this morning on our Human Capital Programs and on our Equal Employment Opportunity Programs.

Both programs continue to receive accolades across the Federal government. For example, the 2014 Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey, NRC had among the highest engaged employees, and engagement of the employees is very critical to the success of the agency in accomplishing its mission.

I am also pleased to report that based on the 2015 Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey, NRC had one of the highest response rates, so again, it's a testament to the high level of engagement of the NRC workforce.

OMB recently conducted a review across the Federal government, a benchmarking review in five corporate areas, and among them, NRC again was rated in the top category for the quality and the level of customer service in human capital.

And our Diversity and Inclusion Program has been used as a model across the Federal government agencies, so the work that we've done here on diversity and inclusion has not only benefitted our agency, but also other agencies, and thus the American public.

We do have our share of challenges, as you've alluded to, Mr. Chairman: workload reductions and tighter fiscal environments mean that the agency needs to get smaller and that we need to improve the efficiency of our operations while maintaining or improving the effectiveness of our accomplishment of the mission of the agency.

We appreciate the Commission's approval of the Project Aim recommendations, and we're moving into implementation now. Project Aim 2020 will be essential for positioning the agency to continue to succeed and improve the effectiveness, efficiency, the agility, and the responsiveness of the agency in meeting the changes that are necessary to improve the agency.

And, as you pointed out Chairman, the employees of the NRC are that key factor that delivers on the mission and also enables the change of the organization.

So with that, I am happy to turn it over to Miriam Cohen, our Chief Human Capital Officer.

MS. COHEN: Good morning, Chairman, Commissioners -- I still don't learn.

Good morning, Chairman, Commissioners, and fellow NRC employees. It's an honor and privilege to be with you today.

I actually went through some records and saw that this is my fifth year presenting at these meetings, and in fact, since that time, some of the major themes that have come across in those meetings are things that would be timely today: employee engagement, investment in learning and development, maintaining a positive organizational culture, and resilience amidst change. These issues

_ ′

will be addressed as part of our various topics this morning.

I want to begin briefly with an update of where we are today as an organization. Next slide?

Our agency is continuing its gradual decline in FTE, as we expect our onboard staff to be around 3650 as we begin the new fiscal year in '16. Next slide.

We continue to lose approximately 180 employees per year, which equates to about a 5 percent attrition rate.

As the agency workload declines in expected areas and our attrition continues its historical patterns, I do not expect any problems in meeting the 3600 FTE target for fiscal year '16. We have excellent processes in place to monitor staffing and have recently provided updated hiring and staffing planning guidance to the offices.

As we move towards 2020, we need to embrace the changes that are coming and equip our leaders, managers, and staff to deal with the agency's new reality. While staffing will not be as robust as in years past, we will continue to ensure that the agency has the critical skills it needs to carry out the important work of the agency.

The agency will always need highly skilled staff and supervisors as the workforce continues to age, and in light of that, we need to focus our efforts on developing a diverse pipeline of future leaders.

The work this agency does is very important, and that is one of the reasons why employee engagement, as Mike mentioned, remains very high. We want to build on the strengths of our agency, our employees, by continuing to invest in them through our technical

training programs, development opportunities, and our leadership programs. These programs have been a hallmark of the agency and are going to need to continue into the future.

Thank you once again for being able to brief you this morning on our human capital programs. I'd like to turn the presentation over to Jason Lising.

MR. LISING: Thank you, Miriam, and good morning, Chairman and Commissioners.

I am pleased to have the opportunity to discuss OCHCO's plans for supporting NRC's readiness for change in anticipation of the increasingly complex and uncertain environment in which we operate. Next slide.

NRC has a longstanding history of positively responding to change. Given the pace of change, the capacity to adapt is as important as ever. Looking ahead at what the future landscape of the agency may be, a glimpse back provides some important lessons.

Consider how effectively the staff responded to 9/11 and Fukushima. The NRC demonstrated an ability to respond immediately to these grave events. The Headquarters Operations Center response teams and the NRC at large acted professionally and without hesitation to adapt as events unfolded and changing conditions warranted different necessities.

We are fortunate to have a very talented staff with the right skills ready and willing to step up during such significant changes.

On a different level of change, staff experienced

regular ongoing changes, such as space consolidation moves, fluctuating budgets and Federal employee perceptions, advances in technology that bring continually upgraded systems, turnover in leadership, and dynamic stakeholders that focus and refocus as the nuclear industry changes.

Through uncertainties, disruptions, and even inconveniences, the NRC has remained a high-capacity -- retains a high capacity to adapt because of a strong commitment to our mission, our values, and our people.

Our mission is one thing that has not changed. It is what has grounded us in the past and will continue to guide us into the future.

OCHCO remains faithful to providing steadfast support across the agency to implement our mission. Whether the change -- whatever the change may be, the formula for success remains the same: take care of our people so that they in turn can carry out the mission.

OCHCO is working to prepare the workforce for change by focusing on building both individual and organizational readiness, thereby boosting the agency's capacity for change. Next slide, please.

OCHCO is fully invested in efforts to build individual readiness. This year, training and development emphasized leadership at all levels in order to build self-management and self-leadership skills.

A tangible application of this campaign resulted in the

newly developed Aspiring Leadership Certification Program that has garnered high participation throughout the agency. This endeavor aligns with the OEDO's recent seminar on situational leadership featuring Captain Richard Phillips and OCHCO's increasingly popular executive leadership seminars series, which highlighted adaptive leadership and strategic thinking.

OCHCO is preparing the workforce using a variety of tools and methods that focus on getting staff ready for shifting priorities and workloads. For example, we have rolled out new classroom training, and at the PDC -- at the PDC to help staff develop skills in communication and interpersonal relationships, critical thinking, and conflict management.

These courses, combined with the NRC's Comprehensive Employee Assistance Program, give our people a number of opportunities and outlets in preparing for changes and for seeking assistance.

Behavior Matters Phase 2 was initiated last fall. This campaign seeks to foster skills in self-awareness, self-regulation, and giving and receiving feedback, skills all necessary to continue to be effective during times of uncertainty and change.

The team-building open discussion forum of these seminars bring work units together for open dialogue on how behaviors reflect the NRC values and how living these values enriches our work environment.

We continue to work to improve employee engagement by supporting improvements in the workplace in response

to the Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey and other data-gathering tools to increase the individuals' capacities to adapt. Research has shown a direct business case correlation between a highly engaged workforce and a highly productive workforce, which in our regulatory world translates to improved safety and security.

Knowledge management remains a top priority and an integral part of the agency's strategic plan. Key activities include the annual November campaign, an improved internet homepage, Ask A Subject Matter Expert learning sessions such as the one with Jim Wiggins before his retirement, and continued governance by the agency's KM Steering Committee.

Every individual at the NRC can maximize their potential. The Powered By You campaign introduced in 2014 highlights self-motivation as the primary driver to propel one's professional goals.

OCHCO recognizes that the changing environment may make it more difficult for staff to feel empowered or to face the reality of reduced opportunities, but we are working together with employees to find fulfilling, innovative, and career-enhancing avenues through popular programs such as mentorship and the career counseling program.

There can be a bright future for everyone here at the NRC, no matter how uncertain the future may be. Next slide please.

OCHCO is fully invested in building organizational readiness by working with the OEDO to effectively manage change, especially on communicating and engaging the workforce. We

acknowledge the importance of ensuring a clear understanding among employees and explaining the why, or as some may phrase it, the burning platform behind proposed changes.

We also recognize that in order to build trust within the organization, it is imperative that we provide honest and transparent communications when actions are taken, either in response to or in preparation for change.

This open communication provides a platform for transformative and successful change. Hence, it is important that we in OCHCO alongside the OEDO and you the Commission continue to be mindful when communicating these changes by building a foundation of trust through transparency regarding change initiatives.

The request for organizational development interventions has tripled over the past year. These engagements, requested by work units at headquarters and throughout the regions, vary in size and complexity.

They both -- they include both proactive and reactive responses to change and have resulted in augmented performance with more engaged and satisfied employees.

One example of a successful intervention involved two groups who adamantly expressed differing views related to each other's priorities. Our contracted experts in the field of behavioral psychology assessed the situation and developed a strategy in which both groups could express their opinions. Using research-based techniques, they learned to see each other's perspectives and came to a resolution.

The Organizational Development Team, in

coordination with the Executive Leadership Development Team, actively emphasizes modeling the NRC values and promotes the development of a mission-based NRC culture. Strong leadership plays such a vital role in change management.

The Leadership Development Team fortifies leadership at all levels by defining required competencies, simplifying access to developmental courses that support these competencies, and then evaluating and updating these tools as required.

In closing, we continue to strengthen our strategic partnership across the agency and are confident that these actions will increase readiness for change at the individual and organizational level and will help the workforce adapt to change in order to successfully meet the NRC mission.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide a high-level overview of our activities that support organizational readiness for change, and at this point, I will turn the presentation over to Kris Davis, Chief of the Outreach and Recruitment Branch.

MS. DAVIS: Thank you Jason, and good morning, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners.

As I was reflecting upon the conversation for this briefing today, I realized that every year we talk about our ability to manage change, and this year is no different, as change has just become a normal part of our everyday working lives.

As far back as I can remember, we've been under pressure to reduce costs, seek efficiencies, and as an agency, we've always met these challenges head-on and been very successful.

So as I speak to shaping the future workforce, I would like to begin by -- by reflecting on the strong foundation of human capital strategies that have made us successful thus far. These strategies and activities have enabled us to respond to our workforce needs and to ensure that we have the right skill mix to support our mission. Next slide, please.

The NRC has a history of recruiting highly skilled professionals, ranging from entry level to senior executives, and will continue to use targeted recruitment and outreach to ensure we have the right talent in place. We will continue to leverage key human capital programs such as the Nuclear Safety Professional Development Program to ensure a pipeline of talent that can be trained and groomed to fulfill our regulatory needs in the years to come.

Although our future hiring will be more targeted, we will still maintain a strong campus outreach program to expose students to NRC's important mission and to the opportunities which are available here.

At the same time, we have broadened the recruitment of students who receive support under the Integrated University and Minority Serving Institution Programs in order to maximize our return on investment for these programs.

For this recruitment year, the majority of our events will be focused on recruiting a workforce to increase diversity of our feeder pools and to attract those that are eligible for special government programs.

We continue to focus on employment of our nation's

veterans by attending targeted recruitment events and advertising on digital media. For 2014, the Office of Personnel Management tracked agency performance by comparing us to like-sized agencies. Comparisons were made on the number of veterans hired, number of disabled veterans hired, our veteran retention rate, and the number of veterans onboard.

I am proud to say that we ranked very high overall, especially with our veteran retention rate. However, we still have some work to do in our disabled veteran hiring.

We continue to have at our disposal recruitment and workplace flexibilities that allow us to stay competitive in the marketplace. We use recruitment, retention, and relocation bonuses when necessary. We also promote work-life balance, have maintained the health unit and fitness center, and have encouraged telework for our employees.

For the most part, these types of benefits have remained unchanged, but it's important to point out that these flexibilities contribute to making NRC a great place to work, especially when you compare us to many public and private organizations.

In addition to hiring, we continue to utilize developmental programs such as the Graduate Fellowship and Senior Executive Service Candidate Development Program to maintain our cadre of leaders and to meet specific critical skill needs.

The agency is currently supporting four graduate fellows. Disciplines being sponsored include materials engineering, non-destructive examination, probabilistic risk assessment, and

nuclear engineering accident progression.

The agency recently graduated 25 participants of the Senior Executive Service Candidate Development Program, one of whom will share her personal insights regarding the program later on in this briefing.

In addition, 26 individuals were recently selected to participate in the next cohort, which will be -- which began this month and will graduate in 2017.

To further encourage on-the-job development, the agency continues to support the use of rotations and details, offers a formal mentoring program, encourages and promotes the use of individual development plans, and hosts a variety of technical and other training at the Technical Training Center in Chattanooga and at the Professional Development Center here at headquarters.

In addition, we offer over 4,000 online courses via iLearn. Next slide.

So, as we leave the present and prepare for the future, we are seeking to implement the Commission-approved Project Aim 2020 recommendations under the people strategy by supplementing and tying together what is already being done across the agency to achieve the optimum workforce of the future.

Keeping in mind that this is something we already know how to do on a more targeted scale, we are now aligning these initiatives in a more comprehensive method that is simple and structured. The systematic process will help us identify and address gaps between the workforce of today and the desire workforce of

tomorrow, tomorrow meaning the year 2020 and beyond.

I want to point out that this phase of workforce planning is a process rather than a tool or an IT system. The benefits of this more structured approach will keep us focused on our long-term objectives and strategies and provide an action plan to execute those strategies. It will help us avoid short-term decisions that may result in long-term problems, help us prepare for unplanned situations, and ensure that core jobs and key skills are secured.

OCHCO has already taken a number of steps to lead the agency in implementing actions to align our workforce with the recommendations under Project Aim. We issued agency hiring guidance to help offices plan for and achieve the 2016 Commission-approved FTE ceiling of 3600 and to ensure we are forward-focused on 2016 and beyond.

We have issued 2016 staffing plan guidance as well to make certain office staffing plans reflect the right mix of employees' skills, grades, and numbers to accomplish the agency's mission.

We are working with offices to identify all current and former supervisors that have been or are projected to be displaced to facilitate placement of those individuals in vacant supervisory positions, and we are pursuing the possibility of a limited buy-out early-out authority for employees in certain job categories.

Throughout this effort, OCHCO was partnering with offices to ensure their staffing plans are integrated with our longer-term workforce planning efforts.

These short-term actions help us identify what current

resources we have and how they will evolve over time. We will then focus on the future by identifying expected program changes, drivers of those changes, and an understanding of how -- of the impact that those changes will have on our organizational structure and our resources.

Based on this information, we will determine the kinds, numbers, and locations of resources required in the future.

Once we understand where we are going, we will identify areas where there are competency surpluses as well as gaps. These will then become the focus areas as we identify and implement strategies to close the gaps and alleviate the surpluses, all to align our resources with mission needs.

Doug Tharp's presentation on learning transformation will delve into some of these strategies in more detail.

One key point to remember is that strategic workforce planning is not a one-time action. As we execute our workforce planning activities, we will continue to monitor and evaluate them to assess progress towards meeting our objectives and to make necessary course corrections.

We are ever-mindful of the possible pitfalls and are looking at lessons learned from previous workforce alignment activities. We understand the embracing change is oftentimes difficult, so we will strive to communicate in an open and transparent fashion and to take actions commensurate with our agency values.

I believe that the important point to take away is that we're once again taking a proactive approach to addressing our human capital challenges. We expect that the actions we take now will result

in minimal adverse impact on our workforce in the future. 1 I would now like to turn the briefing over to Doug Tharp, 2 whose presentation will cover transforming learning to enhance 3 employee agility. Thank you. 4 MR. THARP: Thanks, Kris. 5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, for the 6 opportunity. I am excited about introducing learning transformation to 7 you this morning. 8 9 10 11 12 13 performance. 14 15 16 17 (Video begins.) 18 19 20 21

In training and development, our actions are -- the things that we do are to ensure that employees have the necessary The purpose of learning transformation is to ensure that we're doing that in the most efficient and effective way to actually affect employee So we put together a short video that we'd like to show FIRST NARRATOR: The fundamental landscape of professional training and education is changing. Online or distance education is revolutionizing the way students learn by providing more efficient and effective learning opportunities. It also overcomes the Here at the NRC, our historical classroom model has become practically unsustainable due to shrinking budgets for training It also fails to capitalize on the opportunities made available through the use of current technology and the advances in the

knowledge and skills to successfully complete the mission. now that kind of introduces learning transformation. limitations and failures of the traditional approach to education. 22 23 24 and travel. 25 26

understanding of how adults learn.

SECOND NARRATOR: Changing the traditional way we train and educate our employees is one of the most important challenges facing our agency today. In order to meet this challenge, we've created the NRC Learning Transformation Initiative.

Our mission is to create a learning environment that offers innovative, real-time collaborative learning opportunities and enables employees to acquire and apply the competencies that sustain a high-performing workforce.

FIRST NARRATOR: The learning transformation team's recommendation is to combine online, on-demand learning resources and events with revised in-person onsite training.

This blended learning approach gives each employee online access to all of the educational and informational content they need anytime and anywhere.

SECOND NARRATOR: The major benefit of blended collaborative learning is the ability to offer more learning opportunities throughout the year, so this can shorten the time to competency for students, and it also allows them to complete their qualifications faster.

FIRST NARRATOR: It will also save time and money by reducing travel time for students and improving staff availability due to less time away from work.

SECOND NARRATOR: Well, blending learning is one of our key components in how we're going to transform learning at the NRC. It's going to redefine how we do our traditional in-person training. It allows students to learn at their own pace, when they can,

instead of spending valuable class time in learning the basics.

experience for these students.

professional training and education is changing. Here at the NRC, we are dedicated to innovate and explore the latest instructional techniques, trends, and technology available because we are not only evolving our training content and structure, we are pioneering a

complete education and training solution that will help define the very

nature of professional training both now and for the future.

evolutionary training: pioneering solutions for the future.

they've learned and be able to tie it directly back to job performance,

resulting in a more meaningful, engaging, and yet effective learning

Instructors will then have the time to focus on what

FIRST NARRATOR: The fundamental landscape of

The NRC Learning Transformation Initiative,

(Video ends.)

MR. THARP: All right. Can you go to the next slide?

So first, let me start out with telling you what learning transformation is not about. It's not about putting everything online, and it's not about just converting the existing training courses that we have into some other more efficient method. It's actually about finding the right way, the best way to do things.

We've had a lot of success with our existing programs, and there are some efficiencies that could be gained in our existing programs.

Last year, we conducted a training needs assessment of 16 different positions of inspectors throughout the agency, and one of

the most memorable data points that we got out of that survey was that inspectors feel that it takes an additional two to five years after qualification before they actually feel comfortable and confident in the job to work on their own.

So what transformation is really about is about changing our culture from a culture of training where we focus on individual events and we learn some -- to gain some knowledge to more of a culture of learning where it's a continuous journey of learning and development activities that lead to actual performance on the job.

One of the first major benefits of transformation relates back to what Kris was talking about, and -- with the changing workloads and how to deal with surpluses and gaps in skills, first by building competency models and identifying the essential skills and knowledge and the demonstration criteria for each of those competencies will allow employees to move from one position to another if they're in one of those positions that may be surplus to identify a position where there is a gap and then compare competencies, compare those knowledge and skills that they have with the ones for the new job, and then just focus on the gaps that they have, and then demonstrate those competencies.

It moves them very quickly into that new role and it gives their managers confidence that they can actually perform in that new role.

So it gives them a chance then to demonstrate that competency.

The other benefit transformation offers is a reduced time to competency. The existing programs, as I mentioned, focus a

lot on knowledge, so we learn a lot about things, but then it takes two to five years to get comfortable applying that knowledge.

By redesigning the learning programs with more of a focus on doing, once you're qualified, when you're out in the field doing something for the first time, it won't be the first time you've actually done it, you'll have done it previously with a coach or a mentor. You've got practice, so you'll have confidence that you're able to perform on the job.

The other benefit is reduced cost. An example of that we actually have going on right now with our Fundamental Health Physics Course. It used to be conducted -- it was two weeks in Oak Ridge, and we had students from NRC and from agreement states that would travel and spend two weeks in Oak Ridge. Now we have moved a lot of that knowledge to an online format. Over an eight-week period, students on their own learn and get the knowledge they need, with once a week being guided by an instructor in a live virtual session.

They still take two exams like they did in the live course. They take them online. And now they come to Chattanooga for four days instead of ten, so we've been able to make that reduction in the travel costs.

The last benefit I'd like to talk about is an increased experience through learning.

Last week, I spent the week out with some Boy Scouts at a high adventure camp, and for the first time, I went rappelling. Now a lot of people have done that, but I have this terrible fear of heights, especially edges at high places, so prior to the event, I could probably

have passed an oral board, told you everything you needed to know about the equipment and about the process and about the right position to rappel. There is no way I would go over that edge.

But with a really good coach walking me through, getting me comfortable with the position and how the equipment works and feels, I was able to actually -- to do it. I was still scared, but I did it, so now if I had to do it again, I am confident that I could do it.

So we can relate that to maybe an inspector who has to give a -- a public meeting or -- or conduct a meeting, or do some public speaking, who has a terrible fear of doing that. If we can coach them through the first time to give them that comfort level, they'll be able to perform better in the future.

Go to the next slide. So the proposed methods that -that we're laying out for transformation include the competency models,
do detailed analysis identifying the right knowledge and skills and the
correct evaluation criteria that allows employees to demonstrate their
competency and allows them to move more quickly between roles.

Blended learning, like we mentioned in the video, moves a lot of the knowledge aspects to an online environment, and then when you come to class, what you learn is how to apply that knowledge, and then through guided practice in the field, they actually get that experience. This becomes that learning journey. It also more closely relates to the learning experiences that a lot of our younger employees have had in the past.

Performance support, our qualification programs now are approximately two years. We gain a lot of knowledge to lead to

that qualification, and then when we go to the field and do things for the first time, we have to relearn much of it.

Brain science shows that after the first hour when we learn something, we remember about 58 percent of it. A week later, we're down to 21 percent of what we learned. So a week from now, you will remember just a little bit of what we talked about today.

(Laughter.)

MR. THARP: So one example of performance support, I go back to last week again, one of the activities I knew we'd be doing was a pioneering event. It requires tying knots and doing lashings. For some reason, I can't remember how to tie knots, so I downloaded an app on my phone so when we had to get to that, I could quickly look at a 45-second video of how to tie that knot and allowed me to do that without having to remember.

One of the things we're working on currently is related to safety culture. Right now, there's a -- it's about a two-hour independent study activity that's done early in the Inspector Qualification Program. It covers everything from the basics of safety culture all the way through how to analyze and evaluate inspection findings for cross-cutting issues.

Now, the inspector won't write their first inspection finding for about two years. At that point, they'll have to relearn all the details about how to evaluate those findings.

So what we're doing with the project we're working on is the initial training will cover only the very essential knowledge and information about safety culture, why safety culture is important and

5

4

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

what it is, and then we'll provide a tool in the actual workflow that teaches how, at the time they need it, how to actually evaluate the findings.

That shortens the initial training and puts the job aid where they need it when they're actually doing the job.

And the final thing I'd like to talk about is micro-learning, and it's kind of a new term that's very popular in the learning industry currently, so I'm going to show you an example of one that we did recently.

You think about micro-learning, it's really how most people learn outside of work today related to Youtube videos on how to do different things.

So the example I'm going to talk about is there is a site in Region I that recently came out of an outage, had some issues when they were testing their safety relief valves, they failed to open at low pressures, so the inspectors at the site provided this black-and-white picture on the left to regional management along three-paragraph explanation about those Three-Stage Target Rock Safety Relief Valves actually worked.

Mike Johnson asked if we had a model that demonstrated how they work. We didn't at the time, so it took us about two days to create what you see on the right.

So now, any future event, if someone needs to see how one of these valves works, we have that asset available to them. It can also be used in initial training, for refresher training, or any time an issue comes up with the Three-Stage Target Rock Safety Relief Valve.

So what we're proposing is that we identify these types of things that we can create and make available. It will also then reduce some of the requirement for initial training and will prevent having to write a three-paragraph explanation of how the thing works ever again, because you can see it.

All right. Let's go to the last slide.

So in summary, with learning transformation, how does it impact agility? Well first, by modeling the knowledge and skills and performance criteria for each critical position, and then building blended learning programs focused on performance and experience will reduce the time to competency and allow for quicker transitions between roles. It will reduce travel and the costs associated with that travel. And it will also keep people on the job actually doing work rather than going off to training events to gain the knowledge, they'll actually gain that knowledge and experience on the job in the workflow.

So thank you again for the opportunity to introduce learning transformation, and I'm going to turn it over to Pam Baker from Region I, and she'll share her experience and her insight as a recent graduate of the SES Candidate Development Program.

MS. BAKER: Thank you, Doug.

Good morning, Chairman and Commissioners. I am pleased to be here today to share with you my perspective on the future as a participant in the recently completed 2015 class of the NRC's Senior Executive Service Candidate Development Program.

Unlike many of my colleagues at the table, I am not an

_

1
 2
 3

HR professional. Rather, I am here today to provide a different view of the NRC's Human Capital Program, that being the role of leadership, and more specifically, what the next cadre of NRC leaders need for the future.

One message we heard over and over as our class developed and honed our leadership competencies over the past two years was you are the future leaders of the NRC. Senior executives, when briefing us at information sessions and the like, told us that the future of the NRC rests in our hands.

At first, I found this an intimidating prospect. Finding strength in numbers, though, we transcended these initial doubts, and we discovered some personal courage to try these new roles, first in the safety of the Development Program, and now as we face real life challenges as we are placed in our new positions throughout the NRC.

All of us will ultimately be the very ones effecting the human capital initiatives that have been discussed today, whether it's workforce shaping, blended learning, or the change in management strategies that Jason outlined.

Preparing for today's brief, I did solicit from my class their thoughts on what the future holds. How have we been prepared, and how are we equipped for that role? And more importantly, what obstacles are going to be ahead of us that we need to overcome?

I've sequenced my discussion today accordingly, and first, I'll examine what that future is that we see. What are those implications? And last, I will share three areas that we'll need to focus on to be effective leaders.

While some will say you can't predict the future, what we can do is project what today's trends may be taking us, and the one in the forefront -- I am sorry, next slide, I guess it's up there, sorry -- is budget constraints. They're going to continue to tighten, and we approach this with doing more with less, but that's only going to take us so far because that implies that we're going to be doing the same things the same way, just with less resources.

Really, we need to add an effectiveness and an efficiency in that process, which means that we're going to be doing what must be done, and we're going to have to streamline our processes in order to get quality results in a quicker fashion, and then -- and doing that with less people, equipment, and dollars.

Looking at change, though, we need to recognize what needs to stay constant. For us, it's our mission for public safety and security. Our regulatory universe continues to evolve with the mix of what we regulate. The breadth of our rulemaking, licensing, inspecting, and research keeps expanding.

Additionally, how we manage staff will become more complex as organizations are merged and collapsed and span of control increases to achieve optimum supervisory ratios.

Another area that is our future is the level of external scrutiny. It will continue to grow.

Project Aim recommendations are not just an internal initiative in the NRC. There is strong interest from Congress, our licensees, and the public, and with so many looking on, our consistency and speed of response will impact how our results and outcomes are

perceived.

The future landscape is daunting. Change is the imperative, as you've heard throughout the presentations today, as the status quo can no longer be maintained. What got us here is not sustainable without significant change in how we do what needs to be done.

As future leaders, it is our charge to take the NRC through the necessary change, questioning the why, what, and how we operate. This means letting go of some past practices that are no longer affordable. It's examining our priorities with a critical eye. It's trying new ways of doing things, however, with one caveat: we'll need to discern the appropriateness of what we change.

Our mission is founded on stability, consistency, and reliability, so as we manage change, it needs to be internally focused while continuing to project externally a credible front.

So what is needed in the leader of the future? Reflecting on my CDP learnings, three focus areas resounded with me. Future leaders will need to collaborate, connect, and be catalysts, meaning we can't do this alone, we need everyone's talent, and implementation must be achieved.

First, collaboration. Obviously, this is not a new term in the NRC, but it has a lot of different connotations. Per my CDP mates, the relationships we build in the program has been viewed as the greatest benefit of the last two years, and we actually had a soiree last night exchanging some of our war stories in our most recent assignments.

But although this has been expressed by classes before us, I think the demographic makeup of our class served the concept of interdependency, which is what one NRC means to me.

The mix of functional disciplines exposed us to every office and region in the NRC. As we attended training together and worked as our group projects, we coalesced over a broad range of issues. We got to know each other's perspectives, respected our differences, and we appreciated our similarities.

Rotational assignments extended our reach even further. As an example, my background, I grew up in the area of corporate support and budget and HR, but I completed a rotation in the Response and Preparedness Division of NSIR and experienced firsthand the operational political challenges as the agency examined emergency preparedness for decommissioning plants.

Our group projects revealed the synergy that emerges from when a talented group of people transition into a high-performing team. Thus, the foundational network has given us capacity to empathize and understand that the change we implement in our specific areas of concern may affect and impact others differently. It has given us a clearer view of the bigger picture, that being one NRC, not a composite of separate offices and regions.

For my second focus area, I deliberately chose the word "connect" as opposed to "engagement." For me, connect is more active voice. It's seeing eye-to-eye and achieving a mutual understanding. When a connection is created, inclusion results.

The new SES succession planning model stresses

3

4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

additional leadership competencies beyond the traditional ECQs, or executive quality.

One of these is emotional intelligence, or EQ. EQ. fuels the personal awareness to realize we don't have all the answers or the best solutions. It's that employee who is working in the broken process who does, and as leaders, we need to tap that knowledge.

As part of my executive development plan, I read the book Quiet as recommended during this briefing last year. My development plan also included my sponsorship of a leadership seminar. I brought in Laura Liswood to discuss her book, The Loudest Duck.

Both of these resources point to the necessity of creating an environment where the unheard are heard and encouraged to contribute and give their input.

The concept of leadership at all levels referred to by Jason stressed that regardless of your position in the organization, you can take action to improve how we work. When as leaders we connect with our staff, creativity and innovation are fueled.

The final focus area is being a catalyst. We need to facilitate implementation. Again, referring to the most recently launched SES succession planning model, the competency of decisiveness is included, and I feel is applicable here in meaning taking action.

Elements of this focus area include delegation and empowerment, and change-management theory emphasizes ownership, which stems from accountability.

None of these are new terms for us, but I feel the difference for the leader of the future is the trust factor. As new ideas emerge and are tried, there needs to be some acceptable risk and tolerance for an occasional failure. For some of you in the room, this may sound like sacrilege, and let me be clear, I am not suggesting by any means anarchy.

What I offer, though, is that we have employed a rigor in our regulatory practices that transcends into almost everything we do or how we approach our work processes, and our challenge is recognizing that we need to take a more stratified approach and communicate that to the employees where there are high risks levels, and then there are lower risk levels, and where can we effect change appropriately?

Final element in this area is our speed to recover, adjust, and course correct. We are not going to get it right all the time the first time, but with the connections that we make with employees, we'll facilitate that timely feedback so that we can quickly adjust and course correct and ensure desired outcomes.

In conclusion, the future will be challenging, but I believe if we work together, tap the talent of our staff, and encourage action at the right levels, we'll lead the NRC into that future. Thank you.

Next is Melody Fopma, who will discuss Equal Employment Opportunity and Diversity Programs.

MS. FOPMA: Thank you, Pam.

Good morning Chairman and Commissioners, and

thank you for the opportunity to present to you today the status of Equal Employment Opportunity and Diversity Inclusion at the NRC. Next slide, please.

I would like to first start off by talking about Equal Employment Opportunity and will address three areas: EEO complaint statistics and trends, followed by an update on our Alternative Dispute Resolution Program, and lastly, I'll give an update on EEO training. Next slide, please.

The NRC continues to have a very low number of EEO complaints filed. So far this fiscal year, less than one half of one percent of the NRC workforce has filed complaints, which is very low in comparison to other federal agencies.

The number of complaints filed so far this year is comparable to the last two -- past two fiscal years. Next slide.

The most frequent bases of alleged discrimination raised thus far this year are age and sex, sex meaning gender. About half of NRC's formal complaint activity involved these bases. This is relatively consistent also with prior years. Next slide, please.

The most frequent issues raised in EEO complaints this year are performance appraisals; harassment, both non-sexual and sexual; and non-selection for promotion. Assignment of duties is also a commonly raised issue.

These issues are consistent with those raised in past years, and other Federal agencies have reported the same trend.

Earlier this year, the U.S. District Court judge in Maryland issued a finding of age discrimination against the NRC

regarding a non-selection for promotion case. The agency had previously prevailed in the same case after a hearing before the administrative judge at the EEOC, and the employee appealed to Federal court. The original complaint was filed in 2010.

While this is only the third finding of discrimination against the agency in its history, we are taking this finding seriously and are in the process of developing lessons learned.

The NRC continues to have success with its Alternative Dispute Resolution, or ADR program, as both managers and employees have been very willing to participate to resolve issues. There is significant savings to the agency when the ADR program is utilized successfully. Settlements help the agency save financial resources and time invested to process cases, avoid EEOC hearings and court filings. ADR also promotes the repairing of working relationships and opens communications between the parties.

So far this year, 55 percent of our cases that went to ADR were settled, and one was withdrawn.

Now, I'll move on to update you on EEO training.

SBCR program managers Joel Kravetz and Tony Barnes have continued conducting EEO and diversity training of our managers and supervisors.

The mandatory training covers responsibilities managers and supervisors have relating to EEO, affirmative employment, and managing diversity.

This fiscal year, approximately 150 of our managers and supervisors have received training, and we anticipate training

about 125 more before the end of the fiscal year. They're also training our managers in the regions and plan to go to the TTC. In fact, I think they were in Region I last week.

SBCR trains our EEO counselors every year, and this September, we will host our second join EEO counselor and advisory committee conference. We expect over 100 participants.

Our Civil Rights Program manager, civil rights specialists, and our cadre of 26 collateral duty EEO counselors do a tremendous job in helping to address and facilitate resolution of issues. This keeps the number of formal complaints filed very low. Next slide.

Next, I'd like to give an update on diversity and inclusion efforts at the NRC. As Mike mentioned, OPM and EEOC have recognized the NRC as a model agency for supporting diversity and inclusion in the workplace. The NRC has ongoing diversity and inclusion initiatives which help support and keep employees engaged. For example, we are very proud of our Veterans Employee Resource Group, or VERG, that was created this year.

As our first agency employee resource group, the VERG was formed to bring veterans together to help address their concerns and support veteran recruitment and outreach. The group has been up and running for only a few months but has already developed its charter and are busy planning events.

For ongoing initiatives, the agency has eight EEO advisory committees to assist management in accomplishing its diversity objectives. These committees provide advice and recommendations to SBCR and senior leaders regarding policies,

procedures, and practices related to career development of NRC employees and selection of applicants for employment. They are helping the agency to increase the pool of applicants for supervisory and SES positions by providing training and seminars on subjects such as how to prepare application packages and interviewing techniques.

The NRC also supports two affinity groups, the NRC chapter of Blacks in Government, or BIG, and GLOBE, who oftentimes co-sponsor events with our EEO advisory committees, and this increase in level of effort often results in strong attendance and success of our events.

As a model agency and continuous learning organization, we acknowledge that we have more to do in the area of diversity and inclusion. We recognize that the most recent SES CDP class selections were not as diverse as we would have liked compared to prior classes.

Senior leaders, together with OCHCO and SBCR, will continue efforts to address ways to ensure that the agency has a sufficient pool of well-qualified, talented, and diverse candidates to select from.

The NRC continues to be at the forefront of federal agencies for its best practices. Our very own Darren Ash is representing the agency on the Federal government's Diversity and Inclusion Government Council, and at the first meeting, during opening remarks, EEO Chair Jenny Yang singled out the NRC as the -- as a best place regarding diversity and inclusion.

Our newest best practice is the NRC's recently created

Executive Sponsor Program, which matches volunteer members of the agency's senior executive service with our EEO advisory committees to provide advice and mentoring to our future leaders.

SBCR Director Vonna Ordaz has been invited to serve as a panel member to discuss NRC's best practices of diversity and inclusion at EEOC's annual conference, which is celebrating its 50th year this year as a Commission.

So in closing, I would like to thank all of the NRC employees who serve as EEO counselors, EEO advisory committee members, our Employee Resource Group, our Diversity Management and Inclusion Council, our regional diversity management advisory councils, and our university champions. We have counted, there's about 300 in all who work on a volunteer basis to assist the agency in obtaining its diversity and inclusion goals.

They are our ambassadors, and they truly do make a difference here at the agency, so thank you, and I will now turn it back over to Mike.

MR. WEBER: Thanks, Melody, and this completes the staff's briefing. We're happy to answer questions or listen to comments at this point. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURNS: Okay, thank you, thank you very much to all, and we'll begin this morning with Commissioner Svinicki.

COMMISSIONER SVINICKI: Good morning everyone. To all of the presenters, I thank you for your very insightful presentations that you've given this morning. I'm very pleased that the

room is full, that's always very gratifying for this meeting in particular. I will begin with a couple of comments; I have some questions and some topics I'd like to hear a little bit more about as well.

In my role as a Commissioner I am engaging particularly with students and young professionals, it's inevitable that afterwards, I will be approached by one or two brave individuals who will express some interest, perhaps newly formed or perhaps an interest they've had for some time in working at the NRC. While it would be a wonderful stroke to my ego to think that is because of me, it is because of all of you, not just you sitting at the table or in this room, but as Melody mentioned, we have many individuals formally or informally who are ambassadors for who we are and what we do and what it means to affiliate our careers with the U.S. NRC and then build a meaningful and gratifying work experience, however long it is that we might stay with the NRC.

So I want to acknowledge all of the capacities within which and through which NRC staff are really modeling what it is, why they choose to work here and come here every day, and you know it was a little easier in the years when the agency's hiring was more robust to respond to those young professionals; now, although of course I indicate that the need for strategic and targeted hiring will always be true of any agency or department of the government, that they should not get discouraged if it appears that there are not as many opportunities as there may have been in years past. So I appreciate that although, as has been mentioned in years past, in this meeting we've talked about our need to adapt to change; all of you have put that

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

aspect front and center in your presentations this year, and I agree that that's very appropriate.

I do have one particular suggestion on the way we're transforming learning and training, and this just occurred to me. Doug, as I saw your micro-learning example, you put up this slide which of course when you put it up, it's an animated version on the other side. I would ask, I'm very encouraged by this, but I have a suggestion that instead of developing the dynamic modeling, I have had an opportunity to visit Oak Ridge National Laboratory, where under their CASL program--and I've forgotten exactly what that stands for, but it's C-A-S-L and I think the A and the S are Advanced Simulation--they are developing--the U.S. Department of Energy is funding the development of extremely detailed dynamic modeling of current generation nuclear power plants.

And I also read in some trade press last week that Department of Energy witnesses before a congressional oversight committee were asked to provide proof that this advanced simulation that's been conducted is of benefit to the current nuclear energy and nuclear power fleet, and I think if we tapped into that, and number one it would be efficient because someone else would have already developed the dynamic modeling and simulation capability, but also if we could tap into that and use that to arrive at training and regulatory outcomes, I think it certainly would be an effective use of taxpayer and rate payer dollars. So I just bring that to your attention to the extent we're not already doing that.

I do appreciate, and as I heard about transforming our

learning opportunities, our training, I'm excited about it because it not only has the potential to be more efficient, it has the opportunity I think to be more effective, and I want to credit our former Chairman, Allison Macfarlane, with her academic background, I think she pushed the agency to think more about the current state of how do adults learn effectively, and she challenged us to look more closely at that. And so although she's returned to academia, I'm sure if she were here today, she'd be very gratified to hear that we are applying that; I think that that's been very productive for us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

So with that as a lead-in, I had a couple of questions, and I don't know to whom to direct these; perhaps whoever is more appropriate at the table or in the well will step up. I appreciate the update on the FY16 staffing plan; of course as a part of the staff's recommendations, the Commission approved a more extensive re-baselining of work activity against current staff and future, perhaps identifying any gaps. Could someone speak at a very high level to the difference between the kind of staffing plans and that more routine activity, and what is envisioned as a part of a re-baselining. I know for me, and perhaps maybe for other members of the Commission, we worried that a re-baselining sounded so extensive that it might end up becoming this tremendous undertaking, and so I know in our approval, we asked for it to be integrated and blended with other planning that we've done. So, I don't know Mike if you want to start just at a high level, what are the points of departure between staffing planning that we do routinely and re-baselining? Where does it overlap and where is one different from the other?

MR. WEBER: On re-baselining, we got the clear direction from the Commission, we're not going to make it a monumental initiative, but it's an important initiative. So one of the first things that I discussed with Fred Brown when he arrived from Region II to take on his new position in leading that activity is we need to get on with developing the detailed plans for how are we going to do this, and we need to be responsive to the direction that the Commission has provided us. We're not waiting for re-baselining to move forward with the staffing because staffing is something that we do every day. It's important that has we move forward, we do it in the right way, mindful of at some point down the road, we're going to have the benefit of the insights from the re-baselining initiative that will then feed into more as of a refinement to where we're going with our strategic workforce staffing.

So we fully expect with the workload before the agency, as we look out into the future, that the bulk of the staff capabilities competencies that we have on board will remain necessary, and so we're really talking about kind of fine-tuning what are the emerging disciplines, competencies that we need to add, what are those competencies that we don't need as many of anymore because time has moved on. So with that I'll turn it to Miriam to talk about more of the--oh, to Kristin.

MS. DAVIS: So we are using a workforce planning model that is a best practice from the Office of Personnel Management.

One of the phases in that workforce planning model is really defining your current and your future workforce needs. We separated that out

into two different activities mostly because when we look at our current workforce, we're looking at it from a position and a people perspective; what do we have on board now. The reason we separated out future is because the perspective that we want to take with the future is from a mission work perspective. So we want to look at the work that we're going to have in the future and the resources required for that work before we ever match positions and people to that. So once we get done with those two phases, we'll be able to do a gap analysis, and that's where we're going to come up with the competency or position people surpluses and also the gaps.

COMMISSIONER SVINICKI: Thank you for that response, and I should have acknowledged up front as Mike made reference to, I know that the staff has under development a broader response; the Commission asked for allowing us to look at some of the implementation of the recommendations that we've approved, and I know--so I was jumping the gun a little bit there, but I knew of course you weren't waiting for us, so thank you Kris for that answer. On the Federal Viewpoint Survey, I now and we acknowledge every year that in general, the NRC's indicators are positive when we look across the Government; however, that does not stop us from looking at our individual responses and indicators and where we might see some vectors going in the direction that is not positive, and then we put in place action plans against a set of targeted measures that we think address that.

One of the areas that we have seen a delta is in the group of metrics that get binned together kind of under the terms of

leadership, and for NRC in our results for 2014, the leaders lead scores have traditionally been the lowest within the employee engagement index, which is again a set of measures that is looking at our engagement. And so for three years I think, those have been decreasing. So could someone address—and Pam, actually given the topics you covered from your presentation and the areas that you identified, as we look at—you call it connection and non-engagement, but the need for leaders to lead and address that particular viewpoint survey aspect, is there anything you would say there was discussed amongst your candidate development colleagues in terms of that area and looking at perhaps turning some of those vectors which have been declining in terms of employee response on the leaders leading category?

leaders leading and how well do employees view management and

MS. BAKER: Okay. I don't recall us having that kind of direct conversation amongst our group, but one of the things, like I said, we had a casual meeting last night, and we were talking about the proposal for the Senior Executive Service succession planning model. And in that, we spent two years charging after the ECQs and getting proficient in those. For me, I think the additional focus on these developmental competencies that the senior executive team that put this new model together examined address those issues as to the leaders leading concept. And when I look at the fed's results, it is more or less that EQ, Emotional Quotient, that needs to be part of the mix, and it's somewhat challenging sometimes to exactly put your finger on it as to what that looks like.

So going forward, I think if we roll this new model out and really start having those conversations with folks on their development once they have completed sort of the rigors of the program, and realize that it's a continual learning, you know, you don't arrive just because you've been certified; you have to continue growing. And I feel that that's going to help in that regard.

COMMISSIONER SVINICKI: Okay, thank you. Go ahead Miriam.

MS. COHEN: Good morning. I think it's a really good question and I think all of us in this room should be concerned about, you know, the continuing downward trend, and I think people vote with their pocketbooks, and I think we've been lucky as an agency where we've weathered a lot of external pressures fairly well. But if you look really at what's happened over the last three or four years, we're very--we're pretty much not a lot different than a lot of agencies downtown that have experienced the reduced resources, the declining budgets, and I think employees want people that will be honest and up front with them about what's going on. And we've tried through our executive leadership seminars to equip our leaders and managers with those skills that they need to navigate through the difficult times.

And it's not easy stuff, right? I mean, Pam alluded to emotional intelligence and these things that--and I'll always go back to in a highly technical agency, I mean we move people up because their technical prowess, and while we do a lot to help develop leaders, it's sometimes a struggle. And so I think one of the things we're going to continue to do, and we've looked at other agencies, NASA and some

other places that have really re-invented their first level supervisory programs to actually focus more on the softer side. I know NTEU is here, we've heard a number of our agency partnership meetings about the need to focus on the first level supervisors and equip them. They have the hardest job in the agency, and so I think that we have to give them the tools that they need to be successful, and some of the things that Jason alluded to--and he might have some additional information--I think is only going to make them stronger, and therefore the agency as well.

COMMISSIONER SVINICKI: Jason, do you want to add to--

MR. LISING: Thank you. Yes, I would like to add that in a recent OPM OMB White House meeting, we went downtown to try to benchmark ourselves against other agencies and found that that particular question was low across the board with all other agencies as well. So taking a better look at it, we had the ability now this past year to look deeper into the agency at more granular levels, and we find that some sub-organizations within the agency that are higher performing than others, and this past year we've pulled out some of those higher-performing leaders and asked them to do a presentation to share their best practices, which was a recorded event, and they provided such great insights that we hope that other people throughout the agency will be able to use.

COMMISSIONER SVINICKI: Great, I think that's very constructive. Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURNS: Thank you. Commissioner

Ostendorff?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

COMMISSIONER OSTENDORFF: Thank you, Chairman. Thank you all for your presentations today. The Chairman in his opening remarks highlighted the importance of this meeting; Commissioner Svinicki also commented on the great turnout we always see here, and it's always very positive. So Miriam, I give you and your team and Mike and your team in the EDO's office a lot of credit for leading these programs into and putting them at the forefront before the Commission. I think Miriam, I'll comment to you and your leadership role in the Human Capital office that I'm always impressed with the programs, but also impressed that you and your team are never satisfied, and that I would ever accuse OCHCHO of being complacent, which is a real compliment.

Mike, you in your opening comments, and Melody made reference to diversity and inclusion; I just wanted to make a very brief remark in this area. Last Thursday, I had the opportunity to attend the Pride celebration led by the Advisory Committee on Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender, and I had a chance to hear Commissioner Baran make some very thoughtful comments. Heard very thoughtful comments from Larry Burkhart and others, and I know a lot of you were there, but the sense of humanity and candor and openness that were present for those who attended that hour and 10 minute session was remarkable. I know I was personally moved by the personal stories, and I bring this up by way of stating that I don't think that diversity inclusion is something that is just being given a lip service; I think it's really being actualized in this agency in a very

constructive way. So I thank all involved across all advisory committees and the leadership and even the folks that are not leaders but that participate to make this a better agency, because I think it's really important.

Like Commissioner Svinicki, I have some comments I'm going to make, and then I had one question to get back to--I'll watch the clock here, but I want to save it towards the end. Last Friday, I had the chance to speak to 14 college students as part of the Washington Intern for Science and Engineering College graduates. And so the--I'm getting into an individual development program piece here, IDP, because it's something that I'm very interested in. And I told this group, you know, if I were to give you one piece of advice, you know, this is a bunch of people that had electrical engineering majors, chemical engineering, information technology, mechanical engineering, and maybe one aerospace engineer in there. That's probably a good summary.

But I gave them, you know, from years of experience, I told them if I were really looking to try and develop their own skills in policymaking, in being effective in management and leadership, that I'd really seek out opportunities to enhance their writing skills, and more importantly, to provide briefings to other people. I think the face-to-face commentary that people provide and the ability and confidence that comes with giving a presentation is just so important.

Commissioner Baran and I had a session Friday afternoon on research and test reactors, and I think our staff did a great job of presenting to commissioners their viewpoints on license renewal

and other issues and RTR. But I encourage, in the context of IDP, or if not an IDP, other avenues for supervisors to please give your people a chance, give them an opportunity, because those skill sets do not come without practice. And as I think Pam so capably mentioned, you know, the tolerance for failure. It's okay for somebody to give a briefing and to make a mistake, but giving that briefing up front is just so important to developing our workforce.

Jason, I wrote down a comment you made that caught my attention, which I completely agree with. I think it was words to the effect "There can be a bright future for everyone here at the NRC, even in the face of an uncertain future." And I go back to Pam's comments on Project Aim and changes. So I would just give my personal views; I think this is a great opportunity. The Project Aim implementation is a great opportunity for this agency to become even better, to look at how can we do things in a different way that would result in greater efficiencies. So I think it's a good news story, and not many agencies get a chance to really participate in this kind of an event that I consider part of transformation. So I appreciate your highlighting that, and Pam your comment, leveraging off your comments on Project Aim. So thank you for raising those issues.

Kris, I'd like to comment--make two comments on your presentation. I want to thank you for your ongoing efforts in looking at hiring veterans. I had the opportunity to work alongside Kris as I represent the NRC in an inter-agency group on the hiring of vets in the Federal government, and I think Kris has achieved a really good balance with OCHCHO in providing these opportunities, but not to the

detriment of non-veteran hiring, because I think there's a balance there; it's so important. And I just want to applaud you and your team--I know you work with Jennifer and Miriam in this area--for working on that very important area for us.

You also mentioned, as did Pam, rotations and details. I want to tell you a positive story I saw just last week on rotations. I'm a big believer in rotations, Department of Defense, Department of Energy do not do this on any wide scale. We do--you've heard me say this before and I think the other Commissioners have said this before, but I think it's a real strength in our human capital approach is to provide these detail opportunities. So last Tuesday, I was at Pilgrim, and they've had some challenges recently which I won't go into, but their Acting Senior Resident, Eric Miller, came down from Nine Mile Point during the maternity leave of the Senior Resident at Pilgrim. So Eric at Nine Mile has seen transformation from Constellation Energy to Exelon up in New York, and has brought that experience to see how Entergy is operating Pilgrim.

And I'll just tell you without going into details, he had some very insightful observations to make, because he's seen different perspectives, different corporate arrangements, different levels of fleet, ownership of issues, different standards at the corporate level as far as our licensees, and I think that his presence there, having been assigned by Region I for a five-month period to Pilgrim, really had a lot of value. You all in the audience have many more stories than I do, but I just wanted to echo the point you made and that Pam made in the value of rotations in the context of your recent rotation to NSIR. So I think that's

a real strength we have.

Doug, I shared your rappelling experience when I was assistant Scoutmaster at Goshen Scout Reservation in the summer of 2000, and I scared to death. And I still have a scar on my left thumb from where I grabbed that rope and got a huge rope burn. So that was a great example you used, and I agree with Commissioner Svinicki's comments on the looking at the Oak Ridge piece; I think that a real strong program. Pam, in your comments, I'm glad you enjoyed the book Quiet; since I last that comment at a Commission meeting, I probably read 10 to 12 books; there's still no more impactful book for me than Quiet in the last six years now, last time I said five years. So I'm glad that you enjoyed reading that.

The tolerance for failure piece, I'll go back to and tell you a sea story here, because it's directly relevant to what Pam has indicated as far as leadership and management ability to let somebody make a mistake in a scenario where it's not going to be a disaster or cause an irreversible problem. So when I was captain of a submarine, it was always scary to me to--and Glenn, I'm looking at Glenn Tracy back here because he's had this experience when he was driving submarines in his tour years ago. To how much extent does the commanding officer of a submarine let the Lieutenant JG who's 24 years old, drive a \$1 billion submarine into a port in close proximity to other ships, maybe a 100,000 ton aircraft carrier, and basically land that ship alongside the pier with assistance of a tug boat without the captain basically telling him everything to say and every order to give?

So having personally lived through that, and having

evolved significantly over the three years I had command, where I had to make sure I was giving more latitude to somebody to make a mistake, as long as there's time and under defense and depth perspective to catch it, I think you comment is very well taken, and I think something everybody ought to listen to. That's the end of my comments; Miriam, I have one question for you. In the context of other agencies in the Federal government dealing with strategic workforce plans, and trying to restructure how they look at these issues, who does it best? Is there a model out there that we ought to be looking at?

MS. COHEN: Well I think Chris mentioned, you know, as part of our work, you know, we're doing some due diligence to find out best practices. I think the advantage that we have is that we're small. When I sit in some of the OCHCO council meetings with large agencies, Veteran's Affairs, DHS and others, I mean I'm thankful that I work here because it's a little bit more--I mean a lot more manageable. And so I think that Kris made a really good point that it's a process, it's not a system, it's not an IT tool. And so I think that we've already shown instances of being able to move staff around to where the work is, and so I think by being proactive, I think that we can become one of the models actually.

COMMISSIONER OSTENDORFF: Okay. Very good. Thank you all, thank you Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BURNS: Thank you. Commissioner Baran.

COMMISSIONER BARAN: Thanks, and thanks to everyone for your presentations; I thought they were very good.

Miriam, I--maybe it would make sense to start with you. You and your team I think are going to do a fair bit of the heavy lifting around Project Aim, and so I have a few questions there. One is just kind of to start with is a little bit of a factual question, which is when I look at the hiring trends from the last few years, it looks like we've kind of had more hires from 2012 to '13 and '14, but over that period, the number of staff we've actually had on board has been pretty flat. Can you walk us through that dynamic? Did we have larger than expected turnover in that time, or why are we flat even though the hiring had gone up for those couple of years?

MS. COHEN: Yes, I think there was a couple of things. I think in 2011, we had an early out buyout, and we were having some salary and benefits challenges, and so part of that was to help accelerate a little bit of attrition at that point. We also had in the past couple of years some accelerated departures in SES space as well, and so that's one of the reasons why we started looking at secession planning for executives. So--and if you really look historically at the hiring over the past five years, it's really been much more of a targeted recruiting; it wasn't sort of like the free for all that we had sort of prior to 2010, so we've been looking strategically at where the vacancies are and trying to hire accordingly.

I also think that one of the challenges that we're going to have looking ahead is that we've heard for a number of years, and Commissioner Svinicki will remember when Jim McDermott was here and the retirement tsunami we kept thinking was going to happen, and it kind of sort of didn't. And what's happening is that if you look at our

workforce now, we have about 20 percent of our employees re eligible now, but it moves up to 60 percent in four or five years. And we've always been able to sort of buck the tsunami because people stay in this agency longer than in a lot of other places, and there was this unscientific formula that Mr. McDermott had which was your years of service plus your age, if it was greater than 92, you probably were going to leave.

And so don't take that to the bank, but I think we kind of look at that model because what's happening is as people live longer, right, people need more money to extend into retirement, so it's really hard to plan for when people are going to leave. And so I think we have to be mindful of what the work is, what we're going to need to replenish, because I know that in this environment, we--Kris did a really good job of explaining how we want to keep the pipeline going. We have to sort of be mindful of the other end of the spectrum, when people are going to leave, ensure that we have the knowledge management in place, but also ensure that we get that diverse pipeline coming in because when those 60 percent leave at whatever pace that's going to be, we need to have the people to do the critical work of the agency. And we've been fortunate that we've been able to buck that trend; I just don't know for how long.

COMMISSIONER BARAN: In terms of the hiring trend then, the graph here goes through FY2014; what would 2015 look like here? Is this --will we see a drop in hiring?

MS. COHEN: Well, I think we've hired about 100 people; historically, we've hired a couple, you know, close to 100, 200 a

year, you know, our attrition is around five percent. Again, is it going to go higher? I mean there's certain things as Kris alluded to in her presentation that we think it's important to look at workforce shaping as the agency gets smaller. So we'll be looking at that in concert with the re-baselining and where the work in the future is going to be.

to follow up on the point you just were making about targeted hiring and kind of our focus on limited targeted hiring balanced with the need to keep Agency recruitment efforts going and having a stream of new talent coming in. Can you just talk a little bit more about how we balance those things? I noticed there's a--one of the documents here that was a background reading had kind of last year versus this year in terms of recruitment, and there's still significant recruitment this year, but at much less than in prior years. So a little bit about, you know, how do you get the sweet spot on that where we're bringing in enough people to fill the gaps we have, but we're not over-hiring at a time when we don't have that luxury.

MS. DAVIS: I can try to answer that question. So what you have in the background binder is our Calendar Year '15 Recruitment Events Schedule, and that basically shows the events that we go to, most of them which we pay to go to, and with dwindling budgets, we have narrowed the focus as well. So last year we had about 60; this year we have about 28 total. So we did some pretty in-depth analysis to get down to that 28. We wanted to have diversity in our feeder pool, since most of them are university-targeted. Some of them are professionally targeted as well; we wanted to make sure

there was diversities there as well. We looked at historically where we find applicants, where we make our hires from, from what programs.

This in no way is everything we do in the world of recruitment and outreach; I know that the regions do quite a bit locally for themselves as well; we also do a large bit of K through 12 outreach. Our university champions, we have a nice cadre of them; however, we're unable to go to all of their universities now that we have a declining budget. So we do encourage them to still outreach to their universities, to go there, do information sessions, presentations, let the students know about the important mission that we have and the kind of work we do. At the same time, we find that we have to manage expectations of applicants. As an example, for the NSPDP program this year, we had over 1,000 applicants; we made about 20 selections. Within those selections, as Commissioner Ostendorff mentioned, we do balance veteran hire with non-veteran hires, as well as the grant recipient students. We did hire seven grant recipients this year out of those 20.

So it is difficult when you're talking on campus, as Commissioner Svinicki mentioned, to speak to these students. You know, 1,000 applicants, 20 positions, what are the chances? We try to say positive; obviously there is no shortage of great talent out there for us.

COMMISSIONER BARAN: Okay, thank you. In a couple of recent events, I've gotten--I was down in Region II, and then separately at the Fuel Cycle Information Exchange, I got almost identical questions in both settings; only one particular question, and

that was related to our focus on enhancing the agency's agility, which I think is very important. But the concern behind the questions was essentially as an agency, by increasing our agility, are we going to sacrifice something that we have now, which is individuals with deep expertise in certain areas. And I'd just like your thoughts about that. I mean, from my point of view when I answer that question, I say well I think that's really something that Strategic Workforce Plan has to get right, but you could probably answer the question better than I could. How would you have answered that question? How do we avoid sacrificing that kind of deep expertise, but also have the ability to deploy expertise where we need it, when we need it?

MS. COHEN: Well I think the highly technical experts that we have, we're going to keep them in those fields that we need to, assuming that the work is there, right? I mean, so I think when people think of the NRC, maybe agility is--maybe it's agility light, right, because as a regulator, how much do you want to say you're flexible, malleable, adaptable, right? I mean, people want predictable processes in the business that we're in, but I think when it comes to human capital, what we have to do is move people to where the work is, and I think the NRC is no different from any other bureaucracy, which is that over time, people get comfortable doing certain things because they've done them for years, and that goes--that's across the government.

And I think sometimes we all get attached to the work that we do, and then when we find out that the budgets are reducing, it's hard to let go. And so I don't think we'll ever sacrifice people's technical prowess in the areas that we need them to, I think what we

2

3 4

5 6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

have to do is align people to where the work is, and I think that's where we probably haven't been as successful.

COMMISSIONER BARAN: Okay, thanks. And Melody, I want to turn to you for just a minute. Thanks for your presentation, and I wanted to ask about EEO complaint trends. So given the hard look that we're going to be doing at resources and staffing in the coming months and years, how is your office preparing for a possible uptick in EEO complaints? Is that something they're concerned about, focused on, and what if anything are you doing there?

MS. FOPMA: Thank you for that question. We are prepared for a possible uptick in complaint activity. As everyone has talked about, we are going to be in a time right now of a lot of change, there could be a lot of reorganizations, people moving around, possibly less hiring opportunities, promotional opportunities, and that historically has resulting in this agency in an uptick in complaints. And back in 2011, we saw that trend, and we actually developed an action plan in SBCR to deal with that uptick in complaint activity, and we instituted EEO training; I think that's when we started a lot of our EEO training for managers and supervisors. We had specific targeted training for certain issues that were cropping up. So we will certainly dust that off and utilize the plan that we already had in place.

And we're always keeping a look out for trends and addressing those as they come up. So we also have a--as I mentioned before, we have 26 collateral EEO counselors out in the agency, and they are our eyes and ears of what's going on, and we'll utilize them. They handle our informal complaints, so we think that we are ready for that if that should happen.

MR. WEBER: Thank you. Commissioner, it's important to be prepared for that, but there doesn't have to be an uptick, right. Even in tough times, our behaviors drive a lot of that trend, and so how we behave and how we respond will be critical to determining whether in fact there is an uptick.

COMMISSIONER BARAN: Thanks for that, Mike. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURNS: Well thank you again for all your presentations. Miriam mentioned Jim McDermott's formula, and I did quickly the math and I realize I met the formula when I left in 2012, but somehow--just on the mark, 92. But then I sort of cracked the model or something I think. [Laugher.] Actually let me--I will reflect perhaps on the earlier career a little bit, not to tell so much war stories or whatever. Oh actually, one of the things I want to note today is that this week, we welcome Research back to the White Flint campus; that's a significant thing in terms of our reconsolidation and again, particularly and probably Brian will remember as well as some others is that when I started at the agency in 1978, we were in something like a dozen buildings stretching from here to Rockville to H Street, from Silver Spring to about half a dozen or more buildings in Bethesda, one of which is now a brew pub.[Laughter.]

But with that little bit of history--but the importance of that is I do think is from the standpoint we've talked a lot, and I think very importantly about the interactions of us as people, but also the environment that we have in terms of our work environment, the

physical environment as well as the support we get through IT and other things is very important in terms of I think the morale of the workforce and our capability to carry out our mission. The one other reflection I would have is from my past time and from my early years, and then to the point where when I left in 2012 as General Counsel, and what from your presentations particularly impresses me that has continued is this greater interaction and flexibility in terms of having people go across offices and across disciplines.

That was something I think when I started working, it would be unheard of that one of the lawyers in then the Office of the Executive Legal Director, the office that had the bulk of the legal staff at that time, it would have been unthinkable for someone I think to go into NRR to work or to supervise project managers. And I know one Brooke Poole is now the Director of OCAA, Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication. I know Jim Dyer, when he was Director of NRR, was very impressed and said, you know, give me more of that in terms of the quality of people. But what I say about that is again, this ability to I think cross feed I think is extraordinarily important, and I think something that both Commissioner Ostendorff and Svinicki noted.

So again, I think that's--I want to underscore that for my own experience, but also from what you're speaking about today. It's very important in terms of building our workforce, and also it contributes, I think contributes to our effectiveness. There are a couple of questions I might have. Miriam, I think in one of the comments you made in response to Commissioner Baran, you talked about one of the things in terms of our adapting, in terms of size of the

workforce and looking at disciplines as potential barriers to moving people. What do you see as the primary--and some of these are bureaucratic barriers I would say--but what do you see as the primary difficulties or challenges in that area?

MS. COHEN: Well, the offices sometimes don't want to give up resources, right, so one is a recognition A) that the work may be going away, and then there's always discussions about people, right? I mean, these are people that have jobs, that come to work, that enjoy what they're doing, and you're kind of turning their life upside down. And so I think what we have to figure out is, again, having open and honest communication with employees that okay, at one point the work was here; that work is no longer here; the agency needs you to move to this particular new area. We've demonstrated that over time, and we've done it a lot this year between NRR and NRO to help address some of the work load challenges in NRR, and Glenn Tracy and Bill Dean I think have exerted tremendous leadership in sort of starting that process.

But I think we have to remember at the end of the day that these are people that have jobs, that enjoy coming to work, that have committed their life to this work, and then we're sort of changing what they know and what they're used to, and that's hard. And we even saw that, I mean TABS is a taboo word around here, I mean I prefer to call it centralization. But that was hard, I mean when you were hired into an office and you were supposed to do X, Y and Z, and then we kind of said well you know, your job's kind of moving. I mean, it's how you do it, how you orchestrate those conversations, how you

take care of people.

But I think at the end of the day, one of the things that Mike alluded to very early in the meeting was employee engagement in this agency always remains high. People are committed to the mission, they want to come and do a good job, and we just have to really capitalize that and make sure we do it with the right--with behavior matters in mind, and I think that will really help us.

CHAIRMAN BURNS: Okay, thanks. Doug, I want to talk a few minutes on with respect to training, and one of the things I'm trying to get your sense of, from your presentation, this mixture of both what I call the sort of didactic training, you know, presentations and all that combined with more experiential type training. Do we see that as potentially accelerating the time that people are qualified and are able to do the job more so than what has been perhaps a traditional model, I say again, probably the model when I came in in terms of inspectors and engineers?

MR. THARP: And the short answer is yes. Yes, we think that will shorten the time of qualification. Only the critical essential knowledge will be done up front. It's done online, you can go at your own pace. Right now, one of the biggest delays in any qualification program is waiting to get into the formal training courses. You may sign up for a course that you need for your qualification; it might be offered once or twice a year; if you just missed it, then you might wait six or nine months to get into it. It delays qualification by a few months. So by having that knowledge portion done online at your own pace, you get those all knocked out as fast as you're ready, and

then the application, when you go to the course, it's shorter. So you're not traveling as long, you're not outside of your work flow; you're actually, you know, fewer days away from the work.

And then the--what we have to do I think is recognize that you're not going to be fully qualified the first time you do things, but if you have a mentor there to help you through it, you can still perform. You've met some minimum requirement; you can perform and you can still do it well, and after that, then you move to the next level. So rather than maybe we don't need to wait until somebody is fully qualified as we call it today to actually do part of the job; they can get out there and perform the things they can do sooner, and that will allow us to have people actually doing useful work at a much faster pace.

CHAIRMAN BURNS: Okay, thanks. That's great. Go ahead, Mike.

MR. WEBER: If I could add, I think the last point that Doug made is the key one, and that is setting our standards or the qualifications based on competencies. You don't need to know everything about, for example, materials before you go out and do your first radiography inspection, right. So you can pace yourself by going more of a gradual accretion of competencies and align the training program around that gradual accretion. The important thing is, especially with the new millenials coming in and then the generation beyond the millenials is accelerating that time to competency, because odds are they're not going to be staying around as long as some of us, and so we really need to capitalize on getting them invested, getting them engaged, so that they get that positive feedback, they get their

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

sense of contribution, and they help to contribute to fulfilling the mission.

CHAIRMAN BURNS: That actually leads to --your last comment leads to a question I had maybe for KRISTIN in terms of attraction--I mean, I think we had a little bit of discussion at the last Commission Human Capital meeting that this ability to attract basically this cadre coming up in their early 20s, new graduates and all of that are there particular challenges that you see, Kris, in terms of doing that? You see some of the literature, you know, who wants to work for the government, quite honestly. Well 1,000 people do; we used to have that there.

It's interesting. MS. DAVIS: We do some benchmarking and we work with some companies that look at especially the recent college graduates or the students that are still in college, and they ask them the questions about what makes a good employer, what are you looking for. And I think that we have the right mix for the students for this next generation. They want a true mission match with their skills, they want to do something that they feel has meaning, which we obviously have meaning here, and they want really workplace flexibilities, and I think that we offer a nice toolkit of those as well. What I don't hear a lot of is that they're looking for rapid promotion or a lot of money. I'm sure that some of them are, so I think that government service is still something that is sought after from these graduating people.

MS. COHEN: Mr. Chairman, one data point just for folks is that we started about a year or so ago meet and greets with new

employees, we meet with them every quarter and all of office directors in the EDO attend those. And it's really heartening to see not just millenials, we hire a lot of mid-career people, many from industry, and they are thrilled to be here. They are thrilled not to be on shift work, we have employees that are traveling an hour and a half to two hours for quality of life, they appreciate the opportunity to get significant, high-quality work, that they're not just thrown in a corner somewhere. And so it's not just millenials that are still attracted to come to the NRC, we hire people at all levels, and I would say also the mid-career, which has been also a focus of our recently, they're also finding that the NRC is still a really good place to work.

CHAIRMAN BURNS: Thanks. Actually, let me invite Maria Schwartz, Chapter Executive Vice President and Chief Steward of the National Treasury Employees Union. Good morning, Maria.

MS. SCHWARTZ: Good morning Chairman Burns, Commissioners, executive managers and fellow bargaining unit employees. I am pleased to have this opportunity to speak with you on behalf of the National Treasury Employees Union. NTEU as you know is the exclusive representative of our bargaining unit employees. I'm joined here today by Robert Heard, our Chief Steward, and Chapter Secretary and Chapter Officials and Stewards Ellen Martin, John Goshen and Peter Hearn. This morning, we've heard a lot of information about how to accomplish the agency's mission while right-sizing it using fewer resources, FTE and dollars. We've heard about how important it is to know what our goals are so as an agency, we know how to more effectively target those goals.

3 4

6

5

8

7

10 11

13 14

12

15 16

17

19

18

2021

22

2324

25

26

We have heard about how important it is for our employees to understand their roles and responsibilities and have appropriate training in order to be empowered to effectively engage in the work they are tasked to accomplish. The Project Aim report states several times that the agency's most valuable asset is its people. The presentations this morning support that assertion. However, many employees would tell you, and will tell you even more clearly in the FEVS result for 2015, that they are feeling less, rather than more valued. Part of this has to do with civility in the workplace.

The New York Times Sunday included an important opinion piece entitled "No Time to Be Nice at Work" by Christine Porath, an Associate Professor at Georgetown's School of Business. The article describes the devastating impact that a mean boss can have on employees. The author makes clear that not only experiencing this behavior, but also witnessing this behavior, can elevate levels of hormones throughout the day, potentially leading to a host of health problems, and over the long term, result in long term health effects as well as significant losses of productivity. Several of the issues that I have worked on over the last year support the conclusion the author draws in this article. Employees that I have worked with specifically pointed to behaviors of their branch chiefs as real impediments to their sense of engagement with their work, and with the organization as a whole. Two employees that I am aware of were hospitalized, both because of the level of stress they were experiencing on a regular basis as they tried to complete their work. Several employees expressed how anxious they felt every day just coming to

work. A couple of these employees also felt they had health-related issues that were related to that anxiety. One employee who was targeted as a poor performer went on a rotation and within months was apprised as an excellent performer. His whole demeanor changed.

Whether actual health problems, poor performance or horrible morale issues result, the mean boss in these cases was clearly the biggest driver. Three of those employees, all in different branches who recently started or will soon start working for new branch chiefs, commented that they were once again happy to come into work because they feel they now have a new opportunity to contribute more effectively and will be treated fairly rather than worrying about every aspect of their working environment. As one employee exclaimed with a huge smile on her face, "Life is once again good."

NTEU's comments this morning are not new; we have brought this message to the forum several times. But even if NTEU said nothing, our employees have expressed the impact that they feel incivility has had on their working environment, and the declining FEVS scores which show a downward trend over the last four years, with the jury still out on this year's result. In 2014 for example, only 42 percent of those responding to the FEVS believe that difference in performance are recognized in a meaningful way. Only 30 percent of those responding are satisfied with their opportunity to get a better job in their organization. Only 25 percent believe that creativity and innovation are rewarded. A dismally low 24 percent believe that promotions in their work unit are based on merit; an even lower percentage of those responding, 23 percent, believe that in their organizations, senior

leaders generate high levels of motivation and commitment in the workplace.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In an era where the agency will be driven hard by external factors, including Congress, to reduce FTE and our budget, the enormous cost--it is important, more important than ever to recognize the important, enormous cost of incivility. A December 2014 OMB OPM White House memorandum entitled "Strengthening Employee Engagement and Organizational Performance" provides key strategies for strengthening an organizational culture of engagement. One of the strategies the memo points it to is the inclusion as specific parts of annual performance and appraisals, for SES members and senior managers cascading down to mid-level managers and supervisors a focus on how they are fostering employee engagement and creating inclusive work environments.

Looking at the FEVS trends and last year's scores specifically, how is employee engagement and creativity and creating an inclusive work environment actually being measured in these performance plans? While the agency cannot make rude and thoughtless managers or employees behave better or even more professionally, how is the agency making the consequences of inappropriate behaviors significant? I've almost completely lost track, as many of us have, on what is happening with Behavior Matters, but what we do know and have always known is that is does. Behavior does matter.

The New York Times article references Charles Horton Cooley's 1902 notion of the looking-glass self; that we use other's

24 25

expressions, behaviors and reactions to define ourselves. How we believe others see us shapes who we are. We ride a wave of pride or get swallowed in a sea of embarrassment based on brief interactions that signal respect or disrespect. Project Aim 2020 stresses that the agency's most valuable asset is its people. NTEU could not agree more, yet in conversations with the looking-glass self-employees who have dealt with mean bosses, I am often disheartened.

The New York Times article concludes with an observation and a question. In every interaction, you have a choice. Do you want to lift people up, or hold them down? As the agency's most valuable asset, we must strive to lift our employees up; they deserve nothing less. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURNS: Thank you, Maria. Before we close, any final comments or questions? Well again, I want to thank the panel here and Maria Schwartz on behalf of the NTEU for your comments and discussion here this morning. I think you provided good overview of where we are in our programs in terms of human capital as well as in SBCR area and the policies we have in place, and our efforts to further develop our highly competent work force. I want to also acknowledge, as Melody did, the work of our committees, the--I had to write the number down, our eight EEO advisory committees, the newest one the Veterans Committee, and also the affinity groups, BIG and GLOBE, for their work, because I think it's all of the work together that we do that make this a great place to work, and improve the agency for the betterment of not only us as employees who work here, but for the American people. So with that, we are adjourned. Thank you.

[Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 10:51 a.m.]