FY 2017 PROPOSED FEE RULE WORK PAPERS

FY 2017

Proposed Fee Rule

Work Papers

The supporting information to the FY 2017 Proposed Fee Rule is contained in the following work papers. The items identified in the Table of Contents are located behind a corresponding Tab. At the beginning of each Tab is a cross reference, if appropriate, to the location of the subject matter and Tables found within the Final Fee Rule Document. For example, a reference to **"Section II."** is the supporting information for: **Section II.** FY 2017 Fee Collection **A.** Amendments to 10 CFR Part 170 **1.** Hourly Rate.

The complete outline of the FY 2017 Proposed Fee Rule showing the Section and Table titles is located immediately following the Table of Contents.

Table of Contents

FY 2017 Proposed Fee Rule Outline

Budget and Fee Recovery

Part 170 Fees

Determination of Hourly Rate Licensing Fees Export and Import Fees Reciprocity Fees--Agreement State Licensees General License Registration Fees

Part 171 Annual Fees

Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge Included in Annual Fees Fuel Facilities Uranium Recovery Facilities Operating Power Reactors Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning Test and Research Reactor Rare Earth Facilities Materials Users Transportation

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Budget Authority (FY 2017)

FY 2017 Budget Summary by Program FY 2017 Budget by Product Line Office of Inspector General Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations Office of New Reactors **Regional Offices** Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response Office of General Counsel Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Office of International Programs Office of Enforcement Office of Investigations Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer Office of Administration

OBRA-90, as amended

Court Decision, 1993

FY 2017 Proposed Fee Rule Outline

- I. Obtaining information and Submitting Comments
- II. Background; Statutory Authority
- III. Discussion

Fee Collection - Overview

Table I—Budget and Fee Recovery Amounts

Fee Collection - Hourly Rate

Table II—Hourly Rate Calculation

Fee Collection - Flat Application Fee Changes

Fee Collection - Fee-Relief and Low-Level Waste (LLW) Surcharge

Table III—Fee-Relief Activities

Table IV—Allocation of Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge, FY 2017

Fee Collection - Revised Annual Fees

Table V—Rebaselined Annual Fees

a. Fuel Facilities

Table VI—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Fuel Facilities

Table VII—Effort Factors for Fuel Facilities, FY 2017

Table VIII—Annual Fees for Fuel Facilities

b. Uranium Recovery Facilities

Table IX—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Uranium Recovery Facilities

Table X—Costs Recovered Through Annual Fees; Uranium Recovery Fee Class

Table XI—Benefit Factors for Uranium Recovery Licenses

Table XII—Annual Fees for Uranium Recovery Licensees (other than DOE)

c. Operating Power Reactors

Table XIII—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Operating Power Reactors

d. Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning

Table XIV—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for the Spent Fuel

Storage/Reactor in Decommissioning Fee Class

e. Research and Test Reactors (Non-power Reactors)

Table XV—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Research and Test Reactors

- f. Rare Earth
- g. Materials Users

Table XVI—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Materials Users

h. Transportation

Table XVII—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Transportation

Table XVIII—Distribution of Generic Transportation Resources, FY 2017

Fee Policy Changes

Administrative Changes

- IV. Regulatory Flexibility Certification
- V. Regulatory Analysis
- VI. Backfitting and Issue Finality
- VII. Plain Writing
- VIII. National Environmental Policy Act
- IX. Paperwork Reduction Act
- X. Voluntary Consensus Standards
- XI. Availability of Guidance
- XII. Public Meeting
- XIII. Availability of Documents

Budget and Fee Recovery

Section III

Table I

The NRC's total budget authority for FY 2017 is \$952.1 million. The non-fee items include \$1.4 million for WIR activities, \$18.0 million for generic homeland security activities, \$1.0 million for Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board and \$5.0 million for Advanced Reactor Regulatory Infrastructure activities. Based on the 90 percent fee-recovery requirement, the NRC will have to recover approximately \$834.0 million in FY 2017 through Part 170 licensing and inspection fees and Part 171 annual fees. The amount required by law to be recovered through fees for FY 2017 would be \$48.9 million less than the amount estimated for recovery in FY 2016, a decrease of 5.5 percent.

The FY 2017 fee recovery amount is decreased by \$0.6 million to account for billing adjustments (i.e., for FY 2017 invoices that the NRC estimates will not be paid during the fiscal year, less payments received in FY 2017 for prior year invoices). This leaves approximately \$833.4 million to be billed as fees in FY 2017 through Part 170 licensing and inspection fees and Part 171 annual fees.

The NRC estimates that \$324.6 million would be recovered from Part 170 fees in FY 2017. This represents a decrease of \$8.1 million or approximately 2.4 percent as compared to the estimated Part 170 collections of \$332.7 million for FY 2016. The remaining \$508.8 million would be recovered through the Part 171 annual fees in FY 2017, which is a decrease of 7.6 percent compared to estimated Part 171 collections of \$550.7 million for FY 2016.

See Tab "Budget Authority (FY 2017)" for supplemental information on the distribution of budgeted FTE and contract dollars.

Budget and Fee Recovery FY 2017 (\$ in Millions) (Individual dollar amounts may not add to totals due to rounding)

	FY 2017
NRC Budget Authority	\$952.1
Nuclear Waste Fund, Waste Incidental to Reprocessing, General Fund, generic homeland security activities	-\$25.4
Balance	\$926.7
Fee Recovery Rate for FY 2013	<u> </u>
Total Amount to be Recovered For FY 2013	\$834.0
Carryover from Prior Year	\$0.0
Amount to be Recovered Through Fees and Other Receipts	\$834.0
Estimated amount to be recovered through Part 170 fees and other receipts	-\$324.6
Estimated amount to be recovered through Part 171 annual fees	\$509.4
Part 171 billing adjustments	-\$0.6
Adjusted Part 171 annual fee collections required	\$508.8

Part 170 Fees

Section III.A

Part 170 Fees

Determination of Hourly Rate

Section III.A.1

Table II

Proposed Hourly Rate is \$267

The NRC's hourly rate is derived by dividing the sum of recoverable budgeted resources for (1) mission direct program salaries and benefits; (2) mission indirect salaries and benefits and contract activity; and (3) agency costs which includes corporate support and Inspector General (IG), by mission direct full-time equivalent (FTE) converted to hours. The only budgeted resources excluded from the hourly rate are those for mission direct contract activities.

The NRC has reviewed and analyzed data from its time and labor system from FY 2014 through FY 2016 to determine if the annual direct hours worked per direct FTE estimate requires updating for the FY 2017 fee rule. Based on this review of the most recent data available, the NRC determined that 1,500 hours is the best estimate of direct hours worked annually per direct FTE. This estimate excludes all non-direct activities, such as training, general administration, and leave.

Definitions of Hourly Rate Components

Mission Direct:

These resources are allocated to perform core work activities committed to fulfill the agency mission of ensuring protection of public health and safety, promoting the common defense and security, and protecting the environment (agency mission). The majority of the resources assigned under the direct business lines (Operating Reactors, New Reactors, Fuel Facilities, Nuclear Materials Users, Decommissioning and Low-Level Waste, and Spent fuel Storage and Transportation) are core work activities considered mission direct.

Mission Indirect:

These resources are allocated to support the core Mission Direct activities. They include, for example, Supervisory Support, Nonsupervisory support, Mission Travel and Training. The products for Supervisory Support, Nonsupervisory support, Mission Travel and Training resources assigned under direct business lines within the budget structure, are considered Mission Indirect due to their supporting role of the core mission activities.

Agency Support:

These resources are located in executive, administrative, and other support offices such as the Office of the Commission, the Office of the Secretary, the Office of the Executive Director for Operations, the Offices of Congressional and Public Affairs, the Office of the Inspector General, the Office of Administration, the Office of the Chief Financial Officer, the Office of the Chief Information Officer, the Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer and the Office of Small Business and Civil Rights. These budgeted costs administer the corporate or shared efforts that more broadly support the activities of the agency. These activities also include information technology services, human capital services, financial management and administrative support.

Offsetting Receipts:

The fees collected by the NRC for the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Indemnity (financial protection required of licensees for public liability claims-Price Anderson Act 10 CFR Part 140) are subtracted from the budgeted resources amount when calculating the 10 CFR Part 170 hourly rates per the guidance in OMB Circular A-25 "User Charges."

The productive hours assumption reflects the average number of hours that a technical employee spends on mission-direct work in a given year. This excludes hours charged to annual leave, sick leave, holidays, etc., and hours spent in training and accomplishing general administration tasks.

To ensure realism for purposes of fee calculations, the productive hours assumption is calculated using actual time and labor data in the NRC's Human Resource Management System (HRMS) for the most recent completed fiscal year. Time spent performing supervisory and other indirect support activities is filtered out of the HRMS data used in the calculation.

The productive hours assumption is calculated by deriving the ratio of mission-direct hours to total hours charged and multiplying that by the total hours per FTE in a work year. The formula for the calculation is shown below.

X

Total hours in mission business lines

Total hours in mission business lines + other hours Total work hours in a year (2,087)

= Productive Hours Assumption

Elements of the formula are defined as follows:

- **Mission Business Lines**. The Operating Reactors, New Reactors, Nuclear Materials Users, Fuel Facilities, Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation, and Decommissioning and Low-level Waste Business Lines.
- Hours in Mission Business Lines. Hours charged to cost accountability codes for mission-direct work.
- **Other Hours**. Includes hours charged to annual leave, sick leave, holidays, etc., and hours charged to cost accountability codes for training and general administrative tasks.
- Hours in a Work Year. 2,087 hours is used to be consistent with OPM guidance on computing hourly rates of pay and the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-272, April 7, 1986).

The increase in the productivity assumption for FY 2017 reflects a decline in hours charged to cost accountability codes for training and general administrative tasks.

.

DETERMINATION OF HOURLY RATE CALCULATION OF FTE RATES BY PROGRAM

This is for the purpose of converting FTE to \$.		(1)	(2)	(2)/(1)
PROGRAM		FTE	S&B(\$,K):	Rate (\$)
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY		2.042	347,123	169.992
NOOLEANNEADION DAI EIN	General Fund	-,• .=	3,652	260,857
NUCLEAR MATERIAL SAFETY (Excl. NWF &	General Fund)	545	92,646	169,992
•	NWF & General Fund	22	5,835	265,227
CORPORATE SUPPORT		717	111,849	155,996
	NWF & General Fund	-	-	-
INSPECTOR GENERAL		58	9,802	169,000
	TOTAL	3,398	570,907	

MISSION DIRECT RESOURCES

(in actual \$)	nonlabor	labor
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	\$98,009,000	\$265,527,236
NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY	\$26,734,000	\$74,031,441
CORPORATE SUPPORT: FELLOWSHIPS/SCHOLARSHIPS	\$562,000	\$935,976
TOTAL	\$125,305,000	\$340,494,652

PROGRAM SUPPORT (or MISSION INDIRECT) RESOURCES

(in actual \$)	nonlabor	labor
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY (BUDGET PROGRAM)	\$30,078,000	\$81,596,077
NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY (BUDGET PROGRAM)	\$6,354,000	\$18,614,105
TOTAL	\$36,432,000	\$100,210,183

(in actual \$)

TOTAL

AGENCY SUPPORT (or CORPORATE SUPPORT & IG) RESOURCES

nonlabor	labor
\$203,521,000	\$120,715,165

TOTALS	Total (\$)
Direct Labor	\$340,494,652
Direct Nonlabor (excl. from hourly rates)	\$125,305,000
Indirect Program Support Labor	\$100,210,183
Indirect Program Support Nonlabor	\$36,432,000
Agency Support: Corporate & IG Labor	\$120,715,165
Agency Support: Corporate & IG NonLabor	\$203,521,000
TOTAL	\$926,678,000

Page 2 of 2

DETERMINATION OF HOURLY RATE CONTINUED

Total included in hourly rates:	ç	% total	value
Direct Labor		42.49%	\$340,494,652
Indirect Program Support		17.05%	\$136,642,183
Agency Support: Corporate Support w/ Inspector General		40.46%	\$324,236,165
Total		100.00%	\$801,373,000
less offsetting receipts*			\$43,250
Total in hourly rates**			\$801,329,750
Mission Direct FTE			2,004
FTE rate** ('Total in hourly rates' divided by 'Direct FTE')			\$399,987
Mission direct productive hours worked annually FTE converted to hours ('Mission Direct FTE ' multiplied by 'Mission			1,500
direct productive hours worked annually')			3,005,250
Hourly rate** ('Total in hourly rates' divided by 'FTE converted to hours')			\$266.6
*Calculation of offsetting receipts	Tota	al	
FOIA	%	١	alue
	\$23,900	100%	\$23,900
INDEMNITY	\$10,350	100%	\$19 350
	φ19,000	100 /0	φ13,000
TOTAL		_	\$43,250

**Since offsetting receipts can not be used to offset total fee collections, offsetting receipts are not subtracted from numerator for FTE rate. Per fee policy documents, we can subtract these receipts when calculating hourly rates.

	FY17 Contract (\$,K)	FTE	FY16 Contract (\$,K)	Difference Contract (\$,K) FTE		
CORPORATE SUPPORT						
BUSINESS LINE: CORPORATE SUPPORT						
Acquisitions						
Mission IT	4,574	3.0	3,670	3.0	904	0.0
Administrative Assistants	156	50.0 1.0	465	49.0	(309)	1.0
Strategic Sourcing	ŏ	4.0	ŏ	4.0	ŏ	0.0
Supervisory Staff	0	6.0	0	0.0	0	6.0
I ravel Administrative Services	15	0.0	0	0.0	15	0.0
Mission IT	1 705	20	1 717	20	(12)	0.0
Supervisory Staff	0	17.0	0	0.0	0	17.0
Support Services	10,429	39.0	10,276	37.0	153	2.0
Administrative Assistants	295	4.0	0	0.0	295	4.0
IT infrastructure	50	0.0	0	0.0	50	0.0
Corporate Rulemaning Facility Management	10 567	17.0	11 36/	21.0	(797)	(1.0)
Non-Supervisory Staff	60	6.0	0	0.0	60	6.0
Physical & Personnel Security	17,430	20.0	15,073	18.0	2,357	2.0
Travel	48	0.0	0	0.0	48	0.0
Rent & Utilities	46,921	1,0	45,123	1.0	1,798	0.0
Financial Management	10.462	19.0	7 000	96	0 479	25
Nilsson III Supervisory Staff	10,403	14.0	7,990	9.0	2,473	14.0
Budgeting	90	29.0	88	29.5	2	(0.5)
Administrative Assistants	176	4.0	0	0.0	176	4.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	0	3.0	0	0.0	0	3.0
Travel	85	0.0	0	0.0	85	0.0
Financial Services	2,530	23.0	2,011	21.0	519	2.0
Performance Management	90	6.0	400	6.0	(310)	0.0
Human Resource Management		0.0	-100	010	(010)	0.0
Mission /T	1,008	4.0	1,079	4.0	(71)	0.0
Supervisory Staff	0	6.0	0	0.0	0	6.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	157	3.0	0	0.0	157	3.0
Administrative Assistants	147	2.0	0	0.0	147	2.0
Employee/i abor Belations	15	7.0	15	6.0	0	1.0
Policy Development & SWP	25	6.0	20	6.0	5	0.0
Recruitment & Staffing	6,178	23.0	6,473	21.0	(295)	2.0
Work Lite Services	2,287	6.0	2,592	8.0	(305)	(2.0)
Information Management	0.000	16.0	4 000	40.5	4 710	(0.5)
Mission raining	9,630	16.0	4,920	10.5	4,710 (006)	(0.5)
Lonent Maragement	1.807	21.0	2,163	28.0	(356)	(7.0)
Information Security	1,238	10,0	0	3.5	1,238	6.5
Information Technology						
IT Infrastructure	51,402	78.0	53,467	90.5	(2,065)	(12.5)
IT applications infrastructure	2,624	5.0	2,374	4.0	250	1.0
Li Security	7,385	26.0	6,955	14.0	430	2.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	ŏ	5.0	ŏ	0.0	ő	5.0
Travel	98	0.0	0	0.0) 98	0.0
Administrative Assistants	408	1.0	0	0.0	408	1.0
IT Strategic Management	983	20.0	955	23.0	28	(3.0)
Outreach	467		467		(10)	0.0
Small Business & Civil Hights Expensions: Staff	. 457	8.0	467 Ú	0.0	(10)	20
Administrative Assistants	61	1.0	õ	0.0	61	1.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
Travel	30	0.0	0	0.0	30	0.0
Policy Support					<i>(</i> 4))	
Mission IT	620	0.0	704	0.0	(84)	0.0
International Cooperation	939	21.0	100	220	23	(1.0)
Commission Commission Annellate Adjunct	178	70	3	7.0	175	0.0
EDO Onerations	10	8.0	63	10.0	(53)	(2.0)
Policy Outreach	947	35.0	936	36.0	`1 1	(1.0)
Secretariat	0	18.0	0	18.0	0	0.0
Official Representation	25	0.0	25	0.0	0	0.0
Business Process Improvements	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Supervisory Staff	U	28.0	U	0.0	55	28.0
Administrative Assistants	50	10.0	0	0.0	35	10.0
	874	0.0	Ő	0.0	874	0.0
Training		0.0	·			
Mission IT	160	2.0	238	2.0	(78)	0.0
Training and Development	2,046	7.0	1,633	7.0	413	0.0
Organizational Development	200	2.0	200	2.0	0	0.0
Supervisory Staff	0	4.0	0	0.0	0	4.0
Administrative Assistants	0 207	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
II becurity Non-Supervision/Stoff	207	2.0	0	0,0	207	20
non-oupervisory otali . Traval	281	0.0	ů.	0.0	281	0.0
Business Process Improvements	100	1.0	0	0.0	100	1.0
Travel						
Travel	0	0:0	1,636	0.0	(1,636)	0.0

Agency Management and Support: Budgeted Resources for Hourly Rate Calculation

	FY17			FY16			Difference			
	Co	ntract (\$,K)		FTE	Co	ntract (\$,K)		FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
Support Staff										
Supervisory Staff		Q		0.0		0		101.0	0	(101.0)
Support Services		0		0.0		996		3.0	(996)	(3.0)
Budgeting		0		0.0		157		10.0	(157)	(10.0)
HR Activities		0		0.0		0		7.0	0	(7.0)
Content Management		0		0.0		0		1.0	0	(1.0)
Administrative Assistants		0		0.0		0		28.5	0	(28.5)
Non-Supervisory Staff		0		0.0		0		5.0	0	(5.0)
Total Agency Corporate Support Resources		202,163		711		192,539		726	9,624	(15.0)
Total value of Corporate Support Resources(FY17 \$192,539 contract funding + 726 FTE multiplied by S&B rate)	\$	202,163	\$	110,913	\$	192,539	\$	110,260	9,624	653.0
Office of Inspector General]	1,358		58.0		1,260	·	58.0	98	0.0
Total value of the Office of Inspector General Resources(\$1,260 contract funding + 58 FTE multiplied by S&B rate)	\$	1,358	\$	9,802	\$	1,260	\$	9,918	98	(116.0)
Total Agency Support Resources	\$	203,521	\$	120,715	\$	193,799	\$	120,178	9,722	537.0

.

	FY17 Contract (\$,K) FTE		FY17 FY16 Itract (\$,K) FTE Contract (\$,K) FTE		Difference Contract (\$,K)	nce FTE	
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	٦						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS							
International Activities	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	٥	
Licensing							
IT Infrastructure	1,802	0.0	1,759	0.0	43	0	
Policy Outreach	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0	
Business Process Improvements	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	-1	
Training Training and Development	10	1.0	10	0.0	0	1	
Travel				• •		-	
Mission Travel Support Staff	2,615	0.0	3,006	0.0	-391	0	
Supervisory Staff	0	60.0	0	67.5	0	-8	
Support Services Budgeting	0	0.0	416	2.0	-416	-2 -5	
HR Activities	0	0.0	0	2.0	0	-2	
Admin Assistants	366	2.0 25.0	0	2.0 25.5	366	-1	
Non-Supervisory Staff	0	9.0	0	1.0	0	8	
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	٦						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS]						
Licensing RIC	718	2.0	744	2.0	-26	0	
EDO Operations	0	3.0	0	3.0	0	0	
Policy Outreach Business Improvements	0	3.0	0	3.0	0	-1	
Oversight					600		
Mission II IT Infrastructure	6,134	0.0	1,110 5,534	0.0	600	0	
Research	100		100	• •	<u>^</u>		
Mission II Training	400	0.0	400	0.0	U	Ů	
Training and Development	104	0.0	664	0.0	-560	0	
Business Process improvements Travel	U	1.0	0	0.0	0	1	
Mission Travel	13,595	0.0	13,037	0.0	558	0	
Support Staff	0	207.0	0	210.5	0	-4	
Support Services	0	0.0	1,155	11.5 28.0	-1155	-12	
Procurement Operations	0	0.0	0	4.0	õ	-4	
Content Management	1,051	4.0	881 105	2.5	170	2	
Admin Assistants	990	93.0	0	93.0	990	Ö	
Non-Supervisory Staff HB Activities	478	61.0 0.0	0 255	7.0 12.0	478 -255	54 -12	
	0	0.0	200	1210	0	0	
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	30,078	480.0	29,076	491.5	1002	-12	
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY	٦						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES	1						
Export/Import	0	1.0	0	2.0	0	-1	
Oversight IT Infrastructure	501	0.0	456	0.0	45	0	
Travel							
Mission Travel	1,058	0.0	1,308	0.0	-250	0	
Supervisory Staff	0	17.0	0	17.0	0	0	
Support Services Budget	0	0.0	110	1.0	-110	-1	
Content Mgmt	82	0.0	68	0.0	14	0	
Admin Assistants Non-Supervisory Staff	26B 0	4.0 2.0	0	5.0 0.0	268	-1	
	-						
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY RUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS							
International Activities	-	_			_		
Export/Import	0	1.5	0	1.0	0	1	
EDO Operations	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0	
Oversight IT Infrastructure	905	0.0	711	1.0	194	-1	
Travel							
mission i ravel Training	1,465	0.0	1,742	0.0	-211	, ⁰	
Business Process Improvements	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1	
Support staff Supervisory Staff	0	27.0	0	29.0	0	-2	
Support Services	0	0.0	48	3.0	-48	-3	
Bouger Content Mgmt	41	0.0	` 152	3.0	-111	-3 -1	
Admin Assistants	. 0	9.0	0	12.5	0	-4	
Information Security	137	0.0	0	4.0	137	· 0	
Information Services	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0 10	
	-	10.0	Ŭ	5.0	÷		
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE	1						

BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE Licensing

Mission Program Indirect Budgeted Resources for Hourly Rate Calculation

	FY17	FY17		FY16		nce
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE [.]
	457		407		50	0
	457	0.0	407	1.0	50	0
Policy Outreach	0	1.0	U	1.0	0	0
	v	. 0.0	U	0.0	0	Ū
	477	0.0	525	0.0	-48	0
	417	0.0	525	0.0	-40	Ŭ
Support Staff	0	11.0	0	12.5	n	-2
Supervisory Stati	ő	0.0	ő	2.0	ő	-2
Budat	ő	0.0	0	1.0	õ	-1
Content Mamt	12	0.0	ů 0	0.0	12	0
Admin Assistants	0	2.0	0	3.0	0	-1
HB Activities	0	0.0	Ō	1.0	0	-1
Non-Supervisory Staff	. 0	2.0	0	0.0	0	2
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
IT Infrastructure	443	0.0	414	0.0	29	0
Quarsiaht	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	ō
Travel	-	•••	-			
Mission Travel	494	0.0	547	0.0	-53	0
Support Staff						
Supervisory Staff	0	11.0	0	10.5	0	1
Support Services	0	0.0	110	1.0	-110	-1
Content Mamt	14	0.0	0	0.0	14	0
Budget	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	-1
Admin Assistants	0	2.0	0	2.0	0	0
Non-Supervisory Staff	0	3.0	0	0.0	0	3
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	6,354	109.5	6,598	121	-244	-11
Total Mission Program Indirect Resources	36,432	589.5	35,674	612.0	758	-23
Total value of Mission Program Indirect Resources(FY 17 \$36,432 contract funding + 589.5 FTE multiplied by S&B rate)	\$ 36,432 \$	100,210	\$ 35,674 \$	104,893	758	-4683

•

Part 170 Fees

Specific Services

Section III.A.2

Flat application fees are calculated by multiplying the average professional staff hours needed to process the licensing actions by the proposed professional hourly rate (\$267 for FY 2017). The agency estimates the average professional staff hours every other year as part of its biennial review of fees which was performed in FY 2017.

Full cost fees are determined based on the professional staff time and appropriate contractual support of services. The full cost fees for professional staff time will be determined at the professional hourly rate in effect the time the service was provided.

The NRC estimates the amount of 10 CFR part 170 fees for each fee class based on established fee methodology guidelines (42 FR 22149; May 2, 1977), which specified that the NRC has the authority to recover the full cost of providing services to identifiable beneficiaries. The NRC uses these established guidelines to apply the most current financial data and workload projections by offices and divisions to calculate the 10 CFR part 170 fee estimates. Current financial data includes: 1) four quarters of the most recent billing data (hourly rate invoice data); 2) actual contractual work charged (prior period data) to develop contract work estimates; and 3) the number of FTE hours charged, multiplied by the NRC professional hourly rate

DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES and Average Inspection Costs ** FY 2017

FY2017	Hourly	Rate
\$267		

\$267			
Materials Part 170 Fee Category	FY 2017 Estimated Professional Process Time	FY 2017 Fee/Cost (Professional Time x FY 2017 Hourly Rate)	FY 2017 Fee/Cost (Rounded)
	(Hours)*		
1. Special Nuclear Material	(110410)		
1C. Industrial Gauges			
Inspection Costs**	7.7	\$2,053	\$2,100
New License	4.6	\$1,227	\$1,200
1D All Other SNM Material, less critical mass			
Inspection Costs**	23.5	\$6.266	\$6.300
New License	9.3	\$2,480	\$2,500
2. Source Material 2B. Shielding	10	\$2.666	\$2 700
New License	44	\$1 173	\$1 170
	4.4	\$1,173	φ1,170
2C. Exempt Distribution/SM			
Inspection Costs**	14.4	\$3,840	\$3,800
New License	8.1	\$2,160	\$2,200
2D. General License Distribution			
Inspection Costs**	15.6	\$4,160	\$4,200
New License	9.9	\$2,640	\$2,600
2E. Manufacturing Distribution	15.0	04 100	¢4.000
New License	9.5	\$4,160 \$2,533	\$4,200 \$2,500
2F. All Other Source Material	07 7	¢7.006	¢7.400
New License	9.5	\$2,533	\$2,500
3. Byproduct Material 3A. Mfg-Broad Scope			
New License	67.7 46.8	\$18,052 \$12,479	\$18,100 \$12,500
3B. Mfa-Other			
Inspection Costs**	33.2	\$8,853	\$8,900
New License	12.9	\$3,440	\$3,400
3C. Mfg/Distribution Radiopharmaceuticals			
Inspection Costs**	27.3	\$7,279	\$7,300
New License	18.7	\$4,986	\$5,000
3D. Distribution Radiopharmaceuticals/No Process	0	¢0	¢0
New License	0	φυ \$0	\$0 \$0
3E. Irradiators/Self-Shielded	00.0	¢10.000	¢10 200
Inspection Costs**	38.0	\$10,292 \$3.000	\$10,300 ¢3.400
New License	11.5	\$3,U00	\$3,100

,

DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES and Average Inspection Costs ** FY 2017

Materials Part 170 Fee	FY 2017 Estimated	FY 2017 Fee/Cost	FY 2017
Category	Professional Process Time	(Professional Time x FY 2017 Hourly Rate)	(Rounded
3E Irradiators < 10.000 Ci			
	15.7	\$4 186	\$4,200
New License	23.4	\$6,239	\$6,200
3G. Irradiators ⇒ 10,000 Ci			
Inspection Costs**	20.9	\$5,573	\$5,600
New License	223.2	\$59,515	\$59,500
3H. Exempt Distribution/Device Review			
Inspection Costs**	14.7	, \$3,920	\$3,900
New License	23.9	\$6,373	\$6,400
3I. Exempt Distribution/No Device Review	·		
Inspection Costs**	14.4	\$3,840	\$3,800
New License	35.8	\$9,546	\$9,500
3J. General License Distribution/Device Review			** • • • •
Inspection Costs**	10.5	\$2,800	\$2,800
New License	7.2	\$1,920	\$1,900
3K. General License Distribution/No Device Review			* 2 222
Inspection Costs**	10.4	\$2,773	\$2,800
New License	4.1	\$1,093	\$1,100
3L. R&D-Broad(includes 3L(a) & 3L(b)	26.0	¢0.650	¢0 700
Inspection Costs***	30.2	49,002 ¢5,052	45,700 45,200
New License	. 19.7	\$5,253	\$5,300
3M. R&D-Other			
Inspection Costs**	22.5	\$5,999	\$6,000
New License	25.6	\$6,826	\$6,800
3N. Service License		* • • • • • •	
Inspection Costs**	39.1	\$10,426	\$10,40
New License	26.2	\$6,986	\$7,000
30. Radiography	07.5	\$7.000	¢7 000
Inspection Costs**	27.5	\$7,333 \$2,040	\$7,300 ¢2,000
New License	11.4	\$3,040	\$3,000
3P. All Other Byproduct Material	00 5	¢7.000	ሱን ተባ
Inspection Costs"	20.5	91,000 \$2,200	\$7,100 \$2,200
New License	12.4	⊅ ວ, ວ ∪0	 \$3,300
3R1. Radium-226 (less than or equal to 10x limits in			
Inspection Costs**	24.2	\$6 453	\$6.50
Now Liconse	92	\$2,453	\$2,50
New License	3.2	ψ=,+00	ψε,0

DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES and Average Inspection Costs ** FY 2017

Materials Part 170 Fee Category	FY 2017 Estimated Professional	FY 2017 Fee/Cost (Professional Time x FY 2017 Hourly Bate)	FY 2017 Fee/Cost (Bounded)
	Process Time		(110011000)
3R2. Radium-226 (more than 10x limits in 31.12)			
Inspection Costs**	16.2	\$4 320	\$4,300
New License	9	\$2,400	\$2,400
3S. Accelerator Produced Radionuclides			
Inspection Costs**	29.5	\$7,866	\$7,900
New License	51.1	\$13,625	\$13,600
4. Waste Disposal/Processing			
4B. Waste Packaging			
Inspection Costs**	24.5	\$6,533	\$6,500
New License	24.9	\$6,639	\$6,600
4C. Waste-Prepackaged			
Inspection Costs**	14.2	\$3,786	\$3,800
New License	18	\$4,800	\$4,800
5. Well Logging	·		
5A. Well Logging	04.0	¢0,070	000 0 0
New License	16.5	\$9,279	\$9,300 \$4,400
6. Nuclear Laundries			
6A. Nuclear Laundry			•
Inspection Costs**	21.7	\$5,786	\$5,800
New License	79.7	\$21,251	\$21,300
7. Human Use			
7A. Teletherapy		AT 700	A 7 700
Inspection Costs**	28.9	\$7,706	\$7,700
New License	40	\$10,000	\$10,700
7B. Medical-Broad	48.0	020 214	¢12.000
New License	31.2	\$8,319	\$8,300
7C. Medical-Other			
Inspection Costs**	24.3	\$6.479	\$6.500
New License	19.9	\$5,306	\$5,300
8. Civil Defense			
8A. Civil Defense			
Inspection Costs** New License	24.2 9.2	\$6,453 \$2,453	\$6,500 \$2,500
9 Device product or sealed source evaluation			
9A. Device evaluation-commercial distribution Application - each device	19.5	\$5,200	\$5.200
Application device	.0.0	+-,	,•

DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES and Average Inspection Costs ** FY 2017

FY2017 Hourly Rate \$267			
Materials Part 170 Fee Category	FY 2017 Estimated Professional	FY 2017 Fee/Cost (Professional Time x FY 2017 Hourly Rate)	FY 2017 Fee/Cost (Rounded)
	Process Time		
9B. Device evaluation - custom Application - each device	32.4	\$8,639	\$8,600
9C. Sealed source evaluation - commercial distribution Application - each source	19	\$5,066	\$5,100
9D. Sealed source evaluation - custom Application - each source	3.8	\$1,013	\$1,010
10. Transportation 10B. Evaluation - Part 71 GA program Application - approval	15.1	\$4,026	\$4,000
Rounding: <\$1000 rounded to nearest \$10.			

=or>\$1000 and <\$100,000 rounded to nearest \$100,

=or>\$100,000 rounded to nearest \$1,000

* hours based on FY 2017 Biennial Review

Part 170 Fees

Export and Import Fees

Section III.A.2

Flat application fees are calculated by multiplying the average professional staff hours needed to process the licensing actions by the proposed professional hourly rate (\$267 for FY 2017). The agency estimates the average professional staff hours every other year as part of its biennial review of fees. The agency estimates the average professional staff hours every other year as part of its biennial review of fees which was performed in FY 2017.

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Import-Export Fee Class

	FY17		FY16		Differen	се
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
Licensing Import/Export	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
Total Direct Resources	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY	1					
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities					_	
Licensing Import/Export	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
Licensing Import/Export	0	2.5	0	3.5	0	(1.0)
Total Direct Resources	0	2.5	. 0	3.5	0	(1.0)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PRODUCT LINF/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	· 0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
				-		
PRODUCT I INF/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Orand Tatal Musican Malaviala O Marka Cafeta		- 05		25		(1 0)
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Sarety				3.5		(1.0)
		+				
TOTAL	0	3.5	0	3.5	0	0.0
					+	
+ mission direct contract \$)	\$1,400		\$1,340		\$60	
	¢.,.00	-	+.,			
	[1	-

ν.

•

12/14/2016

DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS P and Average Inspec FY 2017	ART 170 APPLICATIO	N FEES	
FY2017 Hourly Rate \$267			
Materials Part 170 Fee	FY 2017 Estimated	FY 2017 Fee/Cost (Professional Time x	FY 2017 Fee/Cost
	Process Time	FY 2017 Hourly Rate)	(Rounded)
	ID IMPORT PART 170	FEES	
FY 2017 Hourly Rate = \$267	,		
Export and Import Part 170 Fees	FY 2017 Estimated	FY 2017 Fee/Cost	FY 2017 Fee/Cost
Category	Professional Process Time	FY 2017 Hourly Rate)	(Rounded)
	(Hours)*	<u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>	
10 CFR 170.21, Category K Subcategory			
1	70	18,665	18,700
2	35	9,333	9,300
3	17	4,533	4,500
5	10	4,533 2,666	- 2,700
10 CFR 170.31, Category 15 Subcategory			
Ā	70	18,665	18,700
В	35	9,333	9,300
C	17	4,533	4,500
D	17	4,533	4,500
	10	2,000	2,700
	30	7 999	8 000
с Н	15	4.000	4.000
1	1	267	270
J	55	14,665	14,700
K	30	7,999	8,000
L	12	3,200	3,200
M	0	0	0
N	0	0	0
	. 0	0	0
	0	0	0
R	5	1,333	1,300
			·
NOTES:			
The application fees and amendment fees are the same for each subcategory because, p discussion with IP representatives, the processing time is the same for a new license of amondment to the license.	er r an		
amenument to the license.			
=or>\$1000 rounded to nearest \$1 000 =or>\$1000 and <\$100,000 rounded to nearest \$100, =or>\$100,000 rounded to nearest \$1 000			
* data based on EV 2017 Biennial Review			

Part 170 Fees

Reciprocity Fees - Agreement State Licensees

Section III.A.2

The application fee for Agreement State licensees who conduct activities under the reciprocity provisions of 10 CFR 150.20 is determined using FYs 2012 through 2015 data and the FY 2017 hourly rate. The FYs 2012-2015 reciprocity fee data was provided as part of the FY 2017 biennial review of fees.

DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES and Average Inspection Costs ** FY 2017

FY2017 Hourly Rate \$267

DETERMINATION OF RECIPROCITY PART 170 FEES FY 2017

NOTES:

The reciprocity application and revision fees are determined using FYs 2012-2015 data*, and the FY 2017 hourly rate.

The reciprocity application fee includes average costs for inspections, average costs for processing initial filings of NRC Form 241, and average costs for processing changes to the initial filings of NRC Form 241.

ion no. Total Amount ly rate)
I
\$102,600
. ,
\$128,250
•
-
\$158,625

Part 170 Fees

General License Registration Fees

Section III.A.2

This fee under byproduct material is for registration of a device(s) generally licensed under part 31 of this chapter.

DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES and Average Inspection Costs ** FY 2017

FY2017 Hourly Rate \$267

DETERMINATION OF GENERAL LICENSE REGISTRATION FEE , FY 2017 (FEE CATEGORY 3Q)

<u>Total</u> <u>GL Resources</u>	<u>% Supporting</u> Registrable GLs	Total Supporting Registrable GLs
		0.20
		\$0 \$232,000
\$399,987		\$399,987
		\$311,997
		\$11,544
		\$300,453
		\$547.27
		\$500
	<u>Total</u> <u>GL Resources</u> \$399,987	Total % Supporting GL Resources Registrable GLs \$399,987

Data based on the NRC budget documents and the 10/16 email from Hipo Gonzalez(NMSS GL program).

Part 171 Annual Fees

Section III.B

Part 171 Annual Fees

Application of Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge

Section III.B.1

Table III Table IV

The NRC applies the 10 percent of its budget that is excluded from fee recovery under OBRA-90, as amended (fee relief), to offset the total budget allocated for activities which do not directly benefit current NRC licensees. The budget resources for these fee-relief activities are totaled, and then reduced by the amount of the NRC's fee relief. Any difference between the fee relief and the budgeted amount of these activities results in a fee relief adjustment (increase or decrease) to all licensees' annual fees, based on their percent of the budget (i.e., over 80 percent is allocated to power reactors each year).

The FY 2017 budgeted resources for NRC's fee-relief activities are \$86.6 million. The NRC's 10 percent fee relief amount in FY 2017 is \$92.7 million, leaving \$6.1 million fee-relief credit that will decrease all licensees' annual fees based on their percentage share of the budget. The FY 2017 budget for fee-relief activities is lower than FY 2016, primarily due to the decrease in the fee relief allowance.

Separately, the NRC has continued to allocate the low-level waste (LLW) surcharge based on the volume of LLW disposal of three classes of licensees, operating reactors, fuel facilities, and materials users.

Fee-Relief Activity-Rebaseline

FY 2017 FEE-RELIEF ACTIVITIES AND LLW GENERIC SURCHARGE

FTE rate: \$399,987

	DIRECT RE	SOURCES	Less Part 170	FEE AMOUNT	
	\$,M	FTE	materials decommissioning revenue, \$ M	(\$,M)	
TOTAL NRC			-		
NONPROFIT EDUCATIONAL EXEMPTION	1.12	22		9.84	
INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	6.55	18		13.87	
SMALL ENTITY SUBSIDY				7.44	
AGREEMENT STATE OVERSIGHT	1.86	28		13.02	
REGULATORY SUPPORT TO AGREEMENT STATES	2.80	39		18.36	
ISL RULE/GENERAL LICENSEES/MOLY99/FELLOWSHIPS & SCHOLARSHIP	2.66	15		8.54	
DECOMMISSIONING/RECLAMATION GENERIC	1.87	41	3.69	14.38	
MILITARY RADIUM 226	0.07	3		1.15	
LLW GENERIC SURCHARGE	0.30	8		3.30	
TOTAL	17.23	172.3		89.90	

To meet the 90% fee recovery requirement for FY 2017, the Fee-Relief Activities are reduced by 10% of NRC's FY 2017 net budget authority (appropriation less Non-Recoverable Fee Items1, as shown below)

	(\$,M)	
-	86.60	
Budget Authority minus NWF, Gen Fund, & generic HLS	926.68	
Percent reduction in fee recovery amount for FY 2017	10.0%	
Reduction in annual fee recovery amount for FY 2017	92.67	
Delta, Fee-Relief Activity (less generic LLW) and reduction in fee recovery amt	-6.06	
Generic LLW Surcharge amount	3.30	
Net adjustment to fee assessments	-2.77	

	DISTRIBUTION OF ADJUSTMENT TO FEE ASSESSMENTS				
	LLW GENERIC SURCHARGE		FEE-RELIEF	TOTAL ADJUSTMENT	
	PERCENT	\$,M	PERCENT	\$,M	\$,M
POWER REACTORS	24%	0.8	85.79%	-5.2029	-4.4013
SPENT FUEL STORAGE/REACTOR DECOMMISSIONING	0	0	3.80%	-0.2307	-0.2307
TEST AND RESEARCH REACTORS	0	0	0.28%	-0.0168	-0.0168
FUEL FACILITIES	62%	2.0	4.34%	-0.2635	1.7686
MATERIALS	14%	0.465	3.45%	-0.2093	0.2558
TRANSPORTATION	0	0	0.56%	-0.0340	-0.0340
RARE EARTH FACILITIES	0	0	0.00%	0.0000	0.0000
URANIUM RECOVERY	0	0	1.78%	-0.1077	-0.1077
TOTAL	100	3.30	100.00%	-6.06	-2.77
NOTES:					

¹Non-Recoverable Fee Items: NWF, WIR and generic homeland security

.

²Generic LLW activities are not considered a fairness and equity issue because licensees will benefit from these activities

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Nonprofit Education Exemption Fee-Relief Category

FEE-RELIEF ALLOCATION DETERMINED BY OCFO, IN								
				EY16		+	Differenc	~
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	_	Contract (\$,K)	FTE		Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY			-					
						$\left \right $		
						-+		
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCIS:								
Allegations & Investigations		0.0	-	0	0.0			0.0
Construction Inspection	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	_	0	0.0	\square	0	0.0
Part 50		0.0		U0	0.0		0	0.0
Vendor Inspection		0.0			0.0	$ \rightarrow $		0.0
Training		0.0			0.0			0.0
Mission Training	0	0.0	-	0	0.0	\vdash	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS: Licensing								
Research & Test Reactors	717	14.0		104	17.1		613	(3.1)
Oversight					0.0	Ŀ	0	0.0
Allegations & Investigations		0.0			0.0		0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness		0.0		2	0.0	\vdash	(2)	(0.2)
Environment		0.0	-	0	0.0	-	0	0.0
Inspection	0	0.0	-	0	0.0		0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0		1	0.0		(1)	0.0
Research & Test Reactor Insp.	0	2.7		0	3.5		0	(0.8)
Security	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Rulemaking								
Rulemaking	303	0.0		280	0.7	-	24	(0.7)
Fukushima NTTE	_	0.0						0.0
Mission Training	23	0.0	-	36	0.0		(14)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	-	0	0.0		0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	1,044	16.7		423	21.5		621	(4.8)
			_					
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	1,044	16.7		423	21.5	<u> </u>	621	(4.8)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS: Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS: Licensing								
Licensing Actions		2.5		2	2.4		(1)	0.1
		0.0			0.0	-		0.0
Oversight		0.0			0.0	┝╍┧		
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.5	-	0	0.1		0	0.4
Enforcement	3	0.4		2	0.3		1	0.1
Event Evaluation	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Inspection	3	0.8		6	0.8		(3)	0.0
	<u> </u>	0.0		32	0.0		(32)	0.0
Becorreb		0.0		U	0.0			0.0
Materials Research		0.0		2	0.0	\vdash	(2)	0.0
Rulemaking								
Rulemaking	0	0.6		0	0.0		0	0.6
Training			-					
Mission Training	10	0.0		8	0.0		2	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	18	4.8		54	3.6		(36)	1.2
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY			_					

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Nonprofit Education Exemption Fee-Relief Category

FEE-RELIEF ALLOCATION DETERMINED BY OCFO, IN CONSULTATION WITH PROGRAM OFFICES						
	FY17		FY16		Differen	ce
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						•
Total Direct Besources		0.0		- 00		- 0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
			-			
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Environmental Reviews	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Storage Licensing	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Transportation Certification	18	0.3	0	0.0	18	0.3
Oversight						
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking (PL)	2	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Travel						
Mission Travel	0	0.0	-	0.0		0.0
Training		0.0			_	
Mission Training	0	0.0				- 00
Total Direct Resources	20	0.0	0	0.0		0.0
	20	0.5		0.0	20	0.5
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	38	5.1	54	3.6	(16)	1.5
TOTAL Nonprofit Education Exemption	1,082	21.8	477	25.1	605	(3.3)
I total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FIE x full					(000-)	
	\$9,802		\$10,067		(\$265)	
The nonprofit educational Fee-Relief category includes resources origina	ally allocated to the	he test and	I research reactor,	materials (users, and transpo	ortation
6%, and 3%, respectively).				es in each	ee class (approx.	. 90%,
	ļ					
	ļ					
[1				1	

.

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to International Activities Fee-Relief Category

PY17 FY16 CPUID F16 CPUID F1					ļ		
Contract (SA) FTE Contract (SA) Contract (SA) FTE Contract (SA) Contract						Difforon	
Dotate (NO) Construction Construction </td <td>·</td> <td>Contract (\$ K)</td> <td>FTE</td> <td>Contract (\$ K)</td> <td></td> <td>Contract (\$ K)</td> <td>FTF</td>	·	Contract (\$ K)	FTE	Contract (\$ K)		Contract (\$ K)	FTF
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY Image: Constraint of the sector of the sec							
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS Image	PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	1					
Business Line: New Head Tons Image of the second seco			———				
PRODUCT LNE! PRODUCTS: Image of the second sec	BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS	r					
International Activities Image Ima	PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:						
International Cooperation 0 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 Massion Training 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Massion Training 0 0.00	International Activities						
Training Image	International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mession Training 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 </td <td>Training</td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td>	Training					_	
NSPOP Training 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0.0<	Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
100 Linect Resources 0 0.0	NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	l otal Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PHOLINARI ROLLEAN INACION SAFETY		L					
Business Link: UPER INITY - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Product International Activities Image: Conventions & Treaties Image: Conventions & Treaties <th< td=""><td>BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
International Artivities 0 1.0 0.3 0 1.0 International Cooperation 0 0.6 0 1.0 0.0 0.0 Futushima NTTF 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Rission Training 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Mession Training 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Direct Resources 8 1.6 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 PRODUCT INDEPRODUCTS: 8 1.6 0 0.0	PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Observational Cooperation 0 <td></td> <td>.0</td> <td>10</td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td> <td>~</td> <td>10</td>		.0	10		0.0	~	10
Training 0<	International Cooperation		1.0	0	1.0		(0.4)
International NTTF 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Mission Training 0 0.0 <td></td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1.0</td> <td></td> <td>(0.4)</td>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	0	1.0		(0.4)
Mission Training 0	Fukushima NTTE	0		0	0.0		0.0
INSPCP Training O <tho< th=""> O O</tho<>	Mission Training	8	0.0		0.0	- 8	0.0
Total Direct Resources O O Co Co <thco< th=""> Co Co Co<td>NSPDP Training</td><td>0</td><td>0.0</td><td></td><td>0.0</td><td></td><td>0.0</td></thco<>	NSPDP Training	0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Nuclear Reactor Safety 0 10 0 10 0 00	Total Direct Besources	8	1.6	0	1.0		0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety 8 1.6 0 1.0 8 0.6 PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES		0	1.0	0	1.0		0.0
Control Control <t< td=""><td>Grand Total Nuclear Beactor Safety</td><td>8</td><td>16</td><td>0</td><td>1.0</td><td>- 8</td><td>0.6</td></t<>	Grand Total Nuclear Beactor Safety	8	16	0	1.0	- 8	0.6
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY Image: constraints							
Indextant and characterized and activities Image: Constraint of the constraint o	PROGRAM NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>							
International Activities Image: Conventions & Treaties Image: Conventions & Treaties <thimage: &="" conventions="" th="" treaties<=""> <thimage: co<="" td=""><td></td><td>·</td><td></td><td></td><td>+</td><td></td><td></td></thimage:></thimage:>		·			+		
International Activities 0 4.0 0 3.5 0 0.5 Licensing Import/Export 0 0.0 <	International Activities						
Originations of relations O 4.0 O 5.0 0.0 0.0 Licensing import/Export 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0<	Conventions & Trasties	0	- 10	0	2.5		0.5
International Cooperation 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.	Licensing Import/Export	0	4.0		3.5		0.5
International Cooperation 0 1.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 <			1.0	0	1.5		(0.5)
International Mission Training 0 <th< td=""><td>Training</td><td>0</td><td>1.0</td><td></td><td>1.0</td><td></td><td>(0.5)</td></th<>	Training	0	1.0		1.0		(0.5)
INSPOR Initial International Activities International Activities <thi< td=""><td>Mission Training</td><td>0</td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td>- 00</td></thi<>	Mission Training	0		0			- 00
Total Direct Resources 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0<		0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Total Prior Total Short Necesion O <tho< th=""> O <tho< td=""><td>Total Direct Besources</td><td>0</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td>0.0</td></tho<></tho<>	Total Direct Besources	0	5.0		5.0		0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY Image: Constraint of the second secon			0.0		0.0		0.0
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS Image: Constraint of the second sec	PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY	<u></u>					
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS: International Activities International Assistance 0 0.7 0 1.5 0 (0.8) International Assistance 6,444 7.0 5,683 7.5 761 (0.5) Training 0 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0.00 NISSIOn Training 0 0.0 0.00<	BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
International Activities Interna	PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS						
International Technical Cooperation 0 0.7 0 1.5 0 (0.8) International Assistance 6,444 7.0 5,683 7.5 761 (0.5) Training 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 <td< td=""><td>International Activities</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	International Activities						
International Assistance 6,444 7.0 5,683 7.5 761 (0.5) Training 0 0.0	International Technical Cooperation	0	0.7	0	1.5		(0,8)
Training No. Open of the second seco	International Assistance	6.444	70	5 683	7.5	761	(0.5)
Mission Training 0 0.0	Training						(0.0)
NSPDP Training 0 00 0 00	Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources 6,444 7.7 5,683 9.0 761 (1.3) PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:	NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	- 0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY Image: Constraint of the second s	Total Direct Resources	6,444	7.7	5.683	9.0	761	(1.3)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY Image: Constraint of the second		,					
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE Image: Constraint of the state	PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY	L					
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS: International Activities International Activities International Cooperation 0 1.0 0 0.0	BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
International Activities International Cooperation Int	PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Technical Cooperation 0 1.0 0 1.0 0.0 0.0 Conventions & Treaties 00 1.0 0 1.0 0 1.0 0 1.0 0 1.0 0 1.0 0 1.0 1.0 0 1.0 0 1.0 0 1.0 0 1.0<	International Activities						
Conventions & Treaties 0 1.0 0 <td>International Technical Cooperation</td> <td>0</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1.0</td> <td></td> <td>0.0</td>	International Technical Cooperation	0	1.0	0	1.0		0.0
Mission Training Image: Constraint of the second seco	Conventions & Treaties	0	1.0	0	1.0	- 0	1.0
Training 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0	Mission Training	† · · · · ·					
Total Direct Resources 0 2.0 0 2.0 0 0.0	Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Total Direct Resources	0	2.0	0	2.0	0	0.0

.

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to International Activities Fee-Relief Category

	FY17		FY16		Difference		
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY							
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION							
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:							
International Activities							
International Technical Cooperation	100	1.0	0	1.0	100	0.0	
Conventions & Treaties	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0	
Mission Travel					0	0.0	
Training							
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total Direct Resources	100	2.0	0	1.0	100	1.0	
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	6,544	16.7	5,683	17.0	861	(0.3)	
TOTAL INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	6,552	18.3	5,683	18.0	869	0.3	
			1				
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE +							
mission direct contract \$)	\$13,872		\$12,560		\$1,312		

NRC does not charge licensees fees for costs associated with NRC's providing international **assistance** to foreign regulatory counterparts for improving safety and security of civilian uses of radioactive materials or costs associated with **conventions and treaties** which support and implement legally binding obligations incurred by the U.S. Government involving nuclear nonproliferation, safety, physical protection, waste and spent fuel management, emergency preparedness and response, and counter-terrorism which benefit cannot be identified by fee class. However, if international **cooperation** activities benefit a group of licensees, the associated resources should be allocated to the corresponding fee category *and* not to the International Fee-Relief Category. Some of the international regulatory information exchanges and policy and priority formulation activities can also provide direct input to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulation and oversight of its licensees and can provide other benefits to NRC licensees. For example, power reactor licensees can benefit from international efforts to exchange information on regulatory experience and expertise on construction, startup, and the operation of nuclear power plants.
Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Agreement State Oversight Fee-Relief Category

	EV17		EV16		Difference	
······	Contract (\$.K)	FTE	Contract (\$.K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
			-			
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:						
Mission Training	6	0.0	9	0.0	(3)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	- 0	0.0		0.0
Total Direct Resources	6	0.0	9	0.0	(3)	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY					~	
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
Training						
Mission Training	23	0.2	25	0.2	(2)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	23	. 0.2	25	0.2	(2)	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	29	0.2	34	0.2	(5)	0.0
		└─── <u></u>				
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY		┝───┼	+	└───-┼		
			-			
Total Direct Resources	n	0.0	n	0.0	- <u>-</u>	0.0
	•					
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Allogations & Investigations	0			0.0		0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.0
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Research						
Waste Hesearch	0	0.7	0	0.0	U	0.7
Agreement States	125	27.0	125	26.5		0.5
Mission IT	123	0.0	187	0.0	0	0.0
Travel						
Agreement State Travel	1,139	0.0	1,264	0.0	(125)	0.0
Training						
Mission Training		0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	1 /51	0.0	1 576	26.5	(125)	1.2
	1,401	21.1	1,570	20.5	(123)	1.2
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Uecommissioning Licensing Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.0
Mission Training	<u>0</u>	0.0		0.5	_ <u> </u>	(0.5)
	381	0.0	482	0.0	(101)	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking Support	0	0.0	127	0.0		
Total Direct Resources	381	0.0	609	0.5	(228)	(0.5)
				·		
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR WATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETT						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						-
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	1,832	27.7	2,185	27.0	(353)	0.7
]		
	-					
TOTAL AGREEMENT STATE OVERSIGHT	1 861	27.9	2 210	27.2	(358)	07
	1,501		2,210			0.7
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full						
cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$13,021		\$12,611		\$410	
		└──				
_ <u> </u>		┝──┼				
L	<u> </u>					

· .

-

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Agreement State Regulatory Support Fee-Relief Category

ς.

FEE-RELIEF ALLOCATION DETERMINED BY OCFO, IN						
			EY16		Differen	се
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
Total Direct Resources				0.0		0.0
		0.0		0.0		0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	. 0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Heactor Safety		0.0		0.0		0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
I otal Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROCEAM, NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
RUSINESS LINE NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETT	+					
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Event Response	-					
Response Operations	0	1.7	0	1.2	0	0.5
Response Programs	0	1.7	0	1.7	0	0.0
International Activities	1					
International Copperation	0	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.7
	105	12.4	105	12.0		0.5
Licensing Actions	242	0.2	105	0.0	242	0.2
Mission IT	282	0.9	432	0.6	(150)	0.3
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	1.3	0	1.3	0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	1,206	4./	26	3.9	1,180	0.8
		0.0	1 037	0.4	(1.037)	(0.4) (0.9)
Security		0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking	-					
Rulemaking	0	2.7	9	0.0	(9)	2.7
Rulemaking Support	0	2.2	0	2.2	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Hesearch				17	(000)	
State Tribal and Federal Programs		0.3			(369)	(1.4)
Agreement States		0.0		0.0		0.0
Liaison	- <u> </u>	0.9	0	0.9	0	0.0
Travel						
Agreement State Travel	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training			_			
Mission Training	1,200	1.7	1,029	1.6	171	0.1
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	3,038	38.4	3,026	35.3	12	3.1
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY					- - <u>`-</u>	
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE	+	·				
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Decommissioning Licensing Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	0	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.7
Mission Training						
Pulemaking		0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bulemaking Support						0.0
Total Direct Resources		0.7		0.0		0.7
	+ <u>-</u>		<u> </u>			

l

.

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Agreement State Regulatory Support Fee-Relief Category

FEE-RELIEF ALLOCATION DETERMINED BY OCFO, IN						
CONSULTATION WITH PROGRAM OFFICES						
	FY17		FY16		Differer	ice
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	. 0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	3,038	39.1	3,026	35.3	12	3.8
		-				
TOTAL AGREEMENT STATE REGULATORY SUPPORT	3,038	39.1	3,026	35.3	12	3.8
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full						
cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$18,678		\$16,513		\$2,165	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>			
The Agreement State regulatory support Fee-Relief category includes res	ources originally	allocated to t	the materials users	, that are pr	orated to the sure	charge
based on the number licensees in Agreement States in each fee class (a	oprox. 87%).					

	-ee-Reliel Cale	yory				
			T			
	FY17	ETE	FY16		Difference	ere
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY		- · -				
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS		-				
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:				•	/	
Licensing	1.404		402	0.5	1 001	10
Oversight	1,404		400	0.0	0	0.0
Research & Test Reactor Inspection	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bulemaking	168	0.0		0.0	168	- 0.0
Total Direct Resources	1,572	6.4	403	1.5	1,169	4.9
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	1,572	6.4	403	1.5	1,169	4.9
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES			<u>+</u>			-
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS			·			
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing	290	0.01			200	0.8
Oversight	209	0.0		0.0	209	0.0
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	345	0.8	(345)	(0.8)
Security Pulometring	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Bulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Besources	289	0.0		0.0	(57)	0.0
					(01)	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						-
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
			+			
Decommissioning Licensing Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking	240	1.5	186	20	54	(0.5)
Mission Training	240	1.0	100	2,0		(0.0)
Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	240	1.5	186	2.0	54	(0.5)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY	· · ·					·
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:		-				_
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	529	2.3	531	2.8	(3)	(0.5)
			+	\vdash		
Outreach						
Integrated University Program	0	0.0	15 000	0.0	(300)	0.0
Outreach & Compliance Coord. Pgm.	562	6.0	673	6.0	(111)	0.0
Grand Total Corporate Support	562	6.0	15,973	6.0	(15,411)	0.0
TOTAL ISL/MOLY99/GENERAL LICENSEES/FELLOWSHIPS &	2,663	14.7	16.907	10.3	(14,245)	4.4
SCHOLARSHIPS	_,				,,=,	
Total value of hudgated recourses for for slass/similar direct CTT of a			+		<u> </u>	
cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$8.542		\$20 843		(\$12.301)	———————————————————————————————————————
······································		_	+		(+.2,001)	
			1 1	1		

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to In-situ Leach Facilities Rulemaking, Unregistered General Licensees, MOLY 99 and Fellowships Scholarships Fee-Relief Category

1

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Department of Defense Remediation program MOU activities

			EY16		Difference	}
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						_
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
Total Direct Resources		0.0		0.0		
		0.0				
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES	,,					
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
	0	0.0		0.0		0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY	L	-		<u> </u> -		
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						-
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0.0	0	0.0
		0.0				
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Decomm. Licensing Actions	70	2.7	693	2.7		0.0
Rulemaking		0.0		0.0		0.0
Bulemaking Support	0	0.0		0.0		
Total Direct Resources	70	2,7	693	2.7	(623)	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION	_ 					
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:		0.0				
	<u>_</u>	0.0		0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	70	2.7	693	2.7	(623)	0.0
	1					
TOTAL GENERIC LOW LEVEL WASTE	70	2.7	693	2.7	(623)	0.0
			,,	\vdash		
Tately also of hydrated recourses for for electricities direct LTT while		-	{			
Lotat value of budgeted resources for tee class(mission direct FTE x full loset of ETE + mission direct contract \$)	¢1 1E0	·		<u> </u>	(\$575)	
	φι,130		φ1,720		(\$75)	

,

 ϵ

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Generic Decommissioning and Reclaimation Fee-Relief Category

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				······	-1		
l	l						
	FY17			FY16		Differen	ce
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE		Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY							
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						_	
Total Direct Besources	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
	`		<u> </u>				
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY			-				
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS							
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:							
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0		00	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY							
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES							
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:		-					
			$\left - \right $				
	, <u> </u>	0.0		0	0.0		0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY							
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS							
PRODUCT I INE/PRODUCTS:							
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY							
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE							
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:							
International Activities							
International Cooperation	0	2.0		100	2.7	100	2.7
Licensing							
Decomm. Enviromental Reviews	500	3.0		750	2.5	(250)	0.5
Decomm. Licensing Actions	993	30.5		1,596	30.0	(603)	0.5
Mission IT	247	0.0		162	0.5	85	(0.5)
Uranium Recovery Enviromental Reviews	0	0.0		250	0.0	(250)	0.0
Uranium Hecovery Lic. Actions	133	3.7		518	3.4	(385)	0.3
		0.0			0.0		0.0
		0.0			0.0	0	0.0
		0.0			0.0		
Inspections	0	0.3		0	11	0	(0.8)
Research		0.0				_	(0.0)
Waste Besearch	0	0.0		0	2.0	0	(2.0)
Rulemaking		010					. (=:0)
Rulemaking	0	1.0		0	0.5	0	0.5
Total Direct Resources	1,873	40.5	- 1	3.376	42.7	(1.503)	(2.2)
				·			
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY							
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION							
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:							
Training						_	
Mission Training	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
					ι		
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	1,873	40.5		3,376	42.7	(1,503)	(2.2)
		_]		
			$\lfloor - \rfloor$				
IOTAL GENERIC DECOMMISSIONING & RECLAIMATION	1,873	40.5		3,376	42.7	(1,503)	(2.2)
Tradicional de la companya de la construcción de la companya de la construcción de							
I total value of budgeted resources for tee class(mission direct FTE x full	614.000						
	\$14,386		-	\$15,229		(\$843)	
		-					

All decommissioning resources for licensees other than Part 50 power reactors and Part 72 licensees--i.e., site specific + generic resources--are allocated to the 'generic decommissioning' Fee-Relief category. OCFO then subtracts from this total the estimated Part 170 decommissioning revenue from these licensees. By definition, what's left is 'generic.'

/

.

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Generic Low Level Waste Surcharge Category

	EV17			EV16			Difforence	
	Contract (\$.K)	FTF		Contract (\$.K)	FTE		Contract (\$.K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	·							
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS			\vdash					
Business Line, New REACTORS	[\vdash					
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:								
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
DROCDAM NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	_		-					
						-		
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						-		
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY								
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES	·		\vdash					
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:		0.0	\vdash	0	0.0			
	. 0	0.0			0.0			0.0
PROGRAM NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY			+			\vdash		
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS HISTORY			\vdash	1				
PRODUCT I INE/PRODUCTS:	[·				_	-		
Rulemaking		_						
Rulemaking	. 0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Training	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Mission Training	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		. 0	0.0		0	, 0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY								
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE								
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:								
Licensing					0.0			
		0.0	\vdash	0	0.0		U	
U W Begulation & Oversight	111	5.0			55		0	(0.5)
Enforcement	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Event Evaluation	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Mission Training								
Training	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Rulemaking							1	
Rulemaking	188	1.5			2.0		73	(0.5)
Rulemaking Support	0	1.0		0	0.5		0	0.5
Total Direct Resources	299	7.5		226	8.0		73	(0.5)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY								-
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION			-+					
Total Direct Resources			┝╍┯┝		0.0	\vdash		
		0.0	-+	···	0.0			0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	200	7.5	\vdash	226	8.0		73	(0.5)
								(0.0)
			\vdash		• • •			
			\vdash					
TOTAL GENERIC LOW LEVEL WASTE	299	7.5	-+	226	8.0		73	(0.5)
			\vdash					()
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full								
cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$3,299			\$3,283			\$16	
			\square					
		1	1		1	1 1		1

ς

Part 171 Annual Fees

Fuel Facilities

Section III.B.2.a Table VI Table VII Table VIII

The FY 2017 budgeted cost to be recovered in the annual fees assessment to the fuel facility class of licenses [which includes licensees in fee categories 1.A.(1)(a), 1.A.(1)(b), 1.A.(2)(a), 1.A.(2)(b), 1.A.(2)(c), 1.E., and 2.A.(1), under §171.16] is approximately \$26.8 million. This value is based on the full cost of budgeted resources associated with all activities that support this fee class, which is reduced by estimated part 170 collections and adjusted for allocated generic transportation resources, and fee relief.

FY 2017 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				_
			FUELE	
	тот	AL	ALLOC	CATIONS
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	128.087.0	2.042.0	0.0	·
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	33,088.0	545.0	1,860.0	8
CORPORATE	202,725.0	717.0	0.0	
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,358.0	58.0	 	-
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	365,258.0	3,362.0	1,860.0	8
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)		•		
(1) FY 2017 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (sh	own below)	<u></u>		34.5
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS	· ·			11.1
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)		23.5		
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)			1.6	
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allo	cated)(equals 3+4	4)		25.1
(6) FY 2017 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allo		36.1		
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, in	nport/export alloc, si	nall entity)		4.34%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Su	rcharge			1.8
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee	•			
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments				-0.03
(11) Adjustment for DOE Transportation PY billing adjustme	ent			0.0
(12) TOTAL FY 2017 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)				26.82
(13) Number of Licensees				different fo
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)				different categories licenses: s
	,			other workshee
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				
rounded annual fee, actual \$				
ETE DATE (suspense based on hudent data satur	1 (1), 200 097			

-

·

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for Fuel Facilities Fee Class

	EV47		EV16		Difference			
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE		
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY								
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS								
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:								
Oversight		- 0.0		0.0				
Construction Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.0		
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Enforcement	· 0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Part 50	0	0.0		0.0	- 0	0.0		
Vendor Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Training				_				
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
		0.0		0.0		0.0		
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY		_						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS					-			
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:								
Allenations & Investigations			n	0.0		0.0		
Emergency Preparedness	- 0	0.0		0.0	0	0.0		
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Inspection	0	0.0		0.0	0	0.0		
Mission II Besearch & Test Beactor Insp	0	0.0		0.0	0	0.0		
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Training								
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
		0.0		0.0		0.0		
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY								
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES			· ·			· · · ·		
Event Response								
Response Program	30	2.0	30	2.5	0	(0.5)		
International Activities								
International Cooperation	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0		
Emergency Preparedness		1.0		1.0		0.0		
Environmental Reviews	300	1.0	200	1.5	100	(0.5)		
Fukushima NTTF	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)		
Licensing Actions	665	27.0	555	31.5	110	(4.5)		
Licensing Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Oversight	<u>-</u> 0	2.0	<u>U</u>	2.0		0.0		
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.5	0	(0.5)		
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Enforcement	10	2.0	10	2.0	0	0.0		
Inspection	0	30.0			(100)	(6.0)		
		1.0			n	0.0		
Security	337	7.0	337	9.5		(2.5)		
Research								
Longterm Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Materials Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Rulemaking (PL)		7.0	182	10.0	(159)	(3.0)		
Rulemaking support	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)		
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Training								
	401	0.0	305	0.0	96	(1.0)		
Total Direct Resources	1.766	81.0	1.719	100.5	47	(19.5)		
	,	`						
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY								
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS				┝───┤		<u> </u>		
International Activities					+			
Multilateral/Bilateral	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Oversight								
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for Fuel Facilities Fee Class

	FY17	-	FY16		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY				1		
Enforcement						
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	3	0.0	0	0.0	3	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0		0.0	0	0.0
Security	0		0		0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
State Tribal and Federal Programs						
Liaison	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0.0
Training				1	_	
Mission Training	75	0.2	62	0.2	13	0.0
Total Direct Resources	78	0.7	62	0.7	16	0.0
PROGRAM NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY					_	
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PBODUCT UNE/PBODUCTS:		-				
Liranium Becovery Env. Beviews		0.0	n	0.0		0.0
Uranium Recovery Line Actions	0	0.0		0.0		0.0
Mission Training			<u> </u>			
	16			0.0		- 00
Total Direct Recourses	10	0.0		0.0	(4)	0.0
	10	0.0		0.0		0.0
						<u> </u>
PHOGHAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						· · · · · ·
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STURAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Environmental Reviews	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Storage Licensing	. 0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Transportation Certification	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	<u>\</u>		
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	1,860	81.7	1,801	101.2	59	(19.5)
					_	
TOTAL FUEL FACILITY	1,860	81.7	1,801	101.2	59	(19.5)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE				1		
+ mission direct contract \$)	34,539		40,466		(\$5,927)	
						/
		_			_	r

USING 10/16 MATRIX

Υ.

FUEL FACILITY ANNUAL FEES FY 2017

-

Part 171 Amount Less Billing Adjustment		\$25,082,515 -26.066
Less Recission Adjustment		
	TOTAL	\$25,056,449

			SAFETY SAFEC	GUARDS		TOTAL		FEE-RELIEF	TOTAL ANNUAL FEE	_			
Allocation o	f Part 171 Amount to Safety/Safeguar	rds	\$13,399,171 \$11,6	657,279		\$25,056,449		\$1,768,753	\$26,825,203				
			EFFOR	T FACTORS									
		NUMBER OF LICENSES	Safety	Safeguards		Total							
FEE CATEG	ORY			%	%		%	•					
1A(1)(b)	SNM (LEU)	2 3	88 44 70 35	1.0% 96 5.0% 30	55.2%	184	49.2%						
1A(2)(a)	LIMITED OPS	0	0 0	0% 0	0.0%	100	20.7%						
	(Paducan) OTHERS	-	0 0.	.078 0	0.0%	0	0.0%						
1A(2)(b)	(Gas centrifuge enrichment demonstration)	1	3 1.	.5% 15	8.6%	18	4.8%						
1A(2)(c)	(hot cell facility)	1	6 3.	.0% 3	1.7%	9	2.4%						
1E	ENRICHMENT	1	21 10	.5% 23	13.2%	44	11.8%						
2A(1)	UF6 (Honeywell)	1	12 6.	.0% 7	4.0%	19	5.1%						
	TOTAL	9 % of total	200 100 53.5%	0.0% / 174 46.5%	100%	374	100%						
ALLOCATIO									(5)				
			44)	(2)					TOTAL ANNUAL	FY 2017			
Fee Categor	v		(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)	FEE PER	Annual Fee	FY 2016		GRAND
1A(1)(a)	SSNM (HELI)	2	65 005 COE	60 404 000		A / A A A			LICENSE	Rounded	Annual Fee	% inc./dec.	TOTALS
1A(1)(b)	SNM (LEU)	2	\$5,895,635	\$6,431,602		\$12,327,237		\$870,189	\$6,598,713	\$6,599,000	\$7,867,000	-16.1%	13,197,426
	LIMITED OPS	3	4,689,710	2,009,876		6,699,585		\$472,929	\$2,390,838	\$2,391,000	\$2,736,000	-12.6%	7,172,514
1A(2)(a)	(Paducah) OTHERS	0	0	0		0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100.0%	0
1A(2)(b)	(Gas centrifuge enrichment demonstration)	t	200,988	1,004,938		1,205,925		\$85,127	\$1,291,053	\$1,291,000	\$1,539,000	-16.1%	1,291,053
1A(2)(c)	OTHERS (hot cell facility)	1	401,975	200,988		602,963		\$42,564	\$645.526	\$646.000	\$770.000	-16 1%	645 526
1E	ENRICHMENT	1	1,406,913	1,540,905		2.947.818		\$208 089	\$3 155 906	\$3 156 000	\$3,762,000	-16.1%	3 155 006
2A(1)	UF6 (Honeyweil)	1	803,950	468,971		1,272,921		\$89,856	\$1.362.778	\$1,363,000	\$1,731,000	-21.3%	1,362,778
	(interference)								1,000,110	\$1,000,000	\$1,101,000	21.0/6	1,002,778
		9	\$13,399,171	\$11,657,279		\$25,056,449		\$1,768,753					26,825,203

TOTAL ANNUAL

Cols 1 and 2=budgeted amounts x percent of total effort factor

Col 3 = Col 1 + Col 2

Col 4 = Total fee-relief x percent of total effort factor

Col 5 = Col 3 + Col 4 / number of licensees

,

NRC FUEL CYCLE FACILITIES FY 2017 ANNUAL FEES - EFFORT FACTOR MATRIX Sept 2016

				PROCESSES									1														
CATEGORY	LICENSEE	DOCKET	FEE CATEGORY	SO UF6/M	NETAL	ENRIC	HMENT	LIC	QUID IF6	HEU	DOWN	CONV	ERSION	PEI	LLET	BUI	OD/ NDLE	SC	RAP/	нот	CELL	SEN	SITIVE	SUBT	OTALS	TOTAL	
N. V. C. S. S.				S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG		
Fuel Fabrication	B&W NOG (SNM-42)	70-00027	1A(1)(a)	10	10	0	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	10	5	5	5	10	5	1	1	1	10	47	46	93	
(HEU)	NFS (SNM-124)	70-00143	1A(1)(a)	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	0	0	1	10	41	50	91	
	LES (SNM-2010)	70-03103	1E	10	1	5	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	10	21	23	44	
	USEC ACP (SNM-2011)*	70-07004	1E	10	1	5	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	10	-	-	-	Not in op
Enrichment	AREVA Eagle Rock (SNM-201	70-07015	1E	10	1	5	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	10	-	-	-	Not in op
Lincinien	Global Laser Enrichment (SNM-2019)*	70-07016	1E	10	1	5	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	10	-	-	-	Not in op
	Global Nuclear (SNM-1097)	70-01113	1A(1)(b)	5	1	1	5	1	1	0	0	5	1	5	1	1	1	5	1	0	0	1	5	24	16	40	
Fuel Fabrication (LEU)	AREVA NP Richland (SNM-1227)	70-01257	1A(1)(b)	5	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	1	5	1	1	1	5	1	0	0	1	1	23	7	30	
and the state	Westinghouse (SNM-1107)	70-01151	1A(1)(b)	5	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	1	5	1	1	1	5	1	0	0	1	1	23	7	30	
	Honeywell (SUB-526)	40-03392	2A(1)	5	1	0	0	5	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	12	7	19	
UF6 Conversion	International Isotopes (SUB-1011)	40-09086	2A(1)	5	1	0	0	5	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	-	-		Not in op
Enrichment Demonstration	USEC Lead Cascade (SNM-7003)	70-07003	1A(2)(b)	1	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	10	3	15	18	
Hot Cell	GE Vallecitos (SNM-960)	70-00754	1A(2)(c)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	1	1	0	1	6	3	9	
S = Safety SG = Safeguards	HIGH = MODERATE=		10 5																				TOTALS	200	174	374	

Changes from Prior Year:

LOW = NONE =

10 5 1 0

1 USEC ACP is licensed, but not proceeding with construction.

2 AREVA Eagle Rock is licensed, but not proceeding with construction. 3 Global Nuclear has license responsibility for GLE enrichment test loop and classified information related to it. That is basis for the *5* in the sensitive information column.

4 Global Laser Enrichment is licensed, but not proceeding with construction. 5 International Isotopes is licensed, but not proceeding with construction.

** I hereby agree that the operating licenses noted above are in agreement with the operating and billable licensees in the Web-Based Licensing (WBL) system.

FY15 Notes:

/RA/ Craig Erlanger 9/2/2016 Division Director, FCSE

13

No Changes New Addition 0

Part 171 Annual Fees

Uranium Recovery Facilities

Section III.B.2.b

Table IX Table X Table XI Table XII

The total FY 2017 budgeted cost to be recovered through annual fees assessed to the uranium recovery class [which includes licensees in fee categories 2.A.(2)(a), 2.A.(2)(b), 2.A.(2)(c), 2.A.(2)(d), 2.A.(2)(e), 2.A.(3), 2.A.(4), 2.A.(5) and 18.B., under § 171.16], is approximately \$1,029,000 (rounded).

Of the required annual fee collections, \$627,000 is assessed to DOE's Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act (UMTRCA) under fee category 18.B. The remaining \$402,000 (rounded) would be recovered through annual fees assessed to the other licensees in this fee class (i.e., conventional mills, in-situ recovery facilities, 11e.(2) mill tailings disposal facilities (incidental to existing tailings sites), and a uranium water treatment facility.)

FY 2017 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES						
				DECOVEDY		
	тоти	TOTAL				
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT			
	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE		
	-					
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	128,087.0	2,042.0	0.0	0.0		
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	33,088.0	545.0	2,688.0	30.2		
CORPORATE	202,725.0	717.0	0.0	0.0		
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,358.0	58.0				
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	365,258.0	3,362.0	2,688.0	30.2		
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)						
(1) FY 2017 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (sh	nown below)			14.77		
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS				13.62		
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)	· ·			1.15		
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)						
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allo		1.15				
(6) FY 2017 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocation) (equals 2+5)						
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, in	mport/export alloc, sm	all entity)		1.78%		
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Su	ırcharge			-0.11		
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee	9					
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments				-0.01		
(11) Adjustment for DOE Transportation PY billing adjustme	ent			0.00		
(12) TOTAL FY 2017 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)	1			1.03		
(13) Number of Licensees				different for		
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)				different categories of		
				other		
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				worksheets		
rounded annual fee, actual \$						
FTE RATE (average based on budget data, actua	al \$): 399,987					

.

URANIUM RECOVERY ANNUAL FEES

FY 2017

TOTAL TOTAL ANNUAL FEE AMOUNT (excl. fee-relief adjustment): TOTAL FEE-RELIEF ADJUSTMENT: \$1,136,939 -107,640 \$1,029,299 TOTAL:

GROUP 1 . Calculation of DOE Annual Fee

Fee Category	_	contract \$	FTE	FTE Rate	Less: Part 170 Receipts	Total Fee
18.B.	DOE UMTRCA Budgeted Costs:	\$0	1.90	\$399,987	-\$178,010	\$581,964
	10% x (Total Annual Fee Amount (excl. Fee- Relief) less UMTRCA)					\$55,497
	10% of Fee-Relief Activities					-\$10,764
				DOE's Ann	Total:	\$626,698 \$627,000

GROUP 2 Calculation of Annual Fee Amount for Remaining UR Licensees

			FY 2017 Total Fee	
Remaining Annual	Fee Amount (excl. Fee- Remaining Fee Relief	\$499,477 -\$96,876		
		Total:	\$402,601	
CALCULATION OF ANNUAL FEE AMOUNTS BY CATEGORY:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)

(6) (7) (8)

.

\$42,379 \$268,401 \$60,743 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$24,015 \$7,063 \$402,601

\$626,698

Total

										FY 2017			
	Fee	Number of	Category	Total Benefit		Total base	Anni	ual Fee Per Lice	nse	Annual Fee			GRAND
Type of Site	Category	Licenses	Benefit	Value	Percent	annual fee	Base	Fee Relief	Total	Rounded	FY16 Fee	% Inc./dec.	TOTAL
Conventional & Hean Leach Mills	2 A (2)(a)		150	150	110/	¢50 577	¢50 577	\$10.100	¢40.070	640 400	\$29,000	0.00%	640.5
Sonventional a neap Leach wills	2.A.(2)(d)	1	150	150	1176	402,077	\$52,577	-\$10,180	\$42,579	\$42,400	\$38,900	9.00%	942,0
Basic In-situ Recovery Facilities	2.A.(2)(b)	5	190	950	67%	\$332,985	\$66,597	-\$12,917	\$53,680	\$53,700	\$49,300	8.92%	\$268,4
Expanded In-situ Recovery Facilities	2.A.(2)(c)	1	215	215	15%	\$75,360	\$75,360	-\$14,616	\$60,743	\$60,700	\$55,800	8.78%	\$60,7
In-situ Recovery Resin Facilities	2.A.(2)(d)	0	-	-	0%	\$0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Resin Toll Milling Facilities	2.A.(2)(e)	0	-	-	0%	\$0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Facilities for Disposal of 11e(2) Materials	2.A.(3)	0	-	-	0%	\$0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disposal Incident to Operation at Licensed Facilities	2.A.(4)	1	85	85	6%	\$29,793	\$29,793	-\$5,779	\$24,015	\$24,000	\$22,000	9.09%	\$24,0
Uranium Water Treatment Facility	2.A.(5)	1	25	25	2%	\$8,763	\$8,763	-\$1,700	\$7,063	\$7,100	\$6,500	9.23%	\$7,0
	TOTAL	0	665	1 425	100%	\$400 477						-	\$402.6
	TOTAL	9	005	1,420	100%	5499,477						DOE	\$402,0
												000	⊅0∠0,0

1

(5)

Col. 3= Col. 1 x Col. 2

Col. 5= Col. 4 x Group 2 Total Base Fee

Col. 6= Col. 5 /Col. 1

Col. 7= Col. 4 x Group 2 Fee-Relief Adjustment Amount/Col. 1

Col. 8= Col. 6 + Col. 7

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for Uranium Recovery Fee Class

,

	EV17		EV16	-	Difference		
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE		Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
	<u> </u>						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS							
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:					_		
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS							
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:							
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
			·				
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	(0	0.0	-	0	0.0	0	0.0
DROCDAM, NUCLEAD MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY	L						
BUSINESS LINE: EUEL FACILITIES					-		
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:					-		
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		. 0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY							
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS							
PHODUCT LINE/PHODUCTS:							
Bulemaking		- 00		0	0.0	0	0.0
State Tribal and Federal Programs		0.0			0.0	Ŭ	0.0
Agreement States	0	0.0		ō	0.0	0	0.0
Liaison	0	1.0		0	1.0	0	0.0
Training							
Mission Training	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	-	0	0.0		0.0
		<u></u>		<u> </u>	- 1.0		0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY							
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE							
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:							
Licensing							(1.0)
Uranium Bosourny Envire Reviewe	0 533	0.8		40	1.8	(40)	(1.0)
Uranium Becovery Lic. Actions	2,533	14.8		2,132	9.3	401	(1.5)
Oversight			-				5.2
Inspection	0	5.8		0	4.6	0	1.2
Mission Training							
Training	28	0.0	_	35	0.0	(7)	0.0
Total Direct Resources	2,688	29.2	_	2,267	25.3	421	3.9
PROCEDAM NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY		-					
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION							
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:							
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	2,688			2,267	26.3	421	3.9
·				_			
	2 688	30.2	\vdash	2 267	26.3	401	30
	2,000			2,201			0.3
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of							
FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$14,768			\$12,315		\$2,453	
L	_						

•

.

.

,

	URANIU	M RECOVER	ΥN	ATRIX	OF REGULAT	ORY BE	NEFIT BY C	ATEGORY	OF LICENS	E			
	includes	facilities in or	oera	tional s	tatus (even if	in stand	oy), excludes	possessic	on only license	es			
T				<u>J DETERN</u>	MINE ANNUAL F	EES FOR	FY17 FEE RU		<u>гт</u>	1	r		
						PE OF OP	ERATING ACT		LL				
				Op	perations	Waste	Operations	Groundw	ater Protection				
				w	eight =	W	eight =	we	ight =				
					10		5		10				
<u>Type of Site</u>	Fee Category	<u>No. of</u> Licensees		Benefit	<u>Total Score</u> (=benefit score * <u>weight)</u>	<u>Benefit</u>	<u>Total Score</u> (<u>=benefit score</u> <u>* weight)</u>	<u>Benefit</u>	<u>Total Score</u> (=benefit score <u>* weight)</u>	<u>Total Score, all</u> activities	<u>Total Score, all</u> Licensees per category	Percent total Annual Fee, per Licensee	
Conventional and									├───┼	<u> </u>			
Heap Leach Mills	2(A)2a	1		5	50	10	50	5	50	150	150	11%	0.1053
Basic In Situ Recovery Facilities	2(A)2b	5		9	90	2	10	9	90	190	950	13%	0.66 <u>6</u> 7
Expanded in Situ Recovery Facilities	2(A)2c	1		10	100	9	15	10	100	215	215	15%	0 1509
In-situ Recovery Resin Facilities	2(A)2d	0		8	80	2	10	9	90	180	0	13%	0.0000
Resin Toll Milling Facilities	2(A)2e	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0.	0	0%	0.0000
Facilities for Disposal of 11e(2) Materials	2(A)3	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0.0000
Disposal Incident to Operation at Licensed Facilities	2(A)4	1		2	20	5	25	4	40	85	85	6%	0.0596
Uranium Water Treatment Facility	2(A)5	1		1	10	3	15	0	0	25	25	2%	0.0175
Grand Total											1425		1.0000
Level of Regulatory Benefit- Scale of 0 to 10 (examples)				Protection	actors under "Op " reflect the regu eric uranium reco	erations", ulatory ber overy prog	refit to each lice ram activities.	ons", and " ensee in the	eroundwater				
None	0								F	+	}		
Some	5		\vdash						<u>_</u>		<u> </u>		
Significant	10					r			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ļ		
									<u>├</u> ──── │				

.

) ·

.

Part 171 Annual Fees

Operating Power Reactors

Section III.B.2.c

Table XIII

The budgeted costs to be recovered through annual fees to power reactors are divided equally among the 99 power reactors licensed to operate. This results in a FY 2017 annual fee of \$4,318,000 per reactor. Additionally, each power reactor licensed to operate would be assessed the FY 2017 spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning annual fee of \$194,000. This results in a total FY 2017 annual fee of \$4,512,000 for each power reactor licensed to operate.

The NRC amended its licensing, inspection and annual fee regulations to establish a variable annual fee structure for light-water small modular reactors (SMR) on May 24, 2016. Under the variable annual fee structure, an SMR's annual fee would be calculated as a function of its licensed thermal power rating. This fee methodology complies with OBRA-90, as amended. Currently, there are no operating SMRs; therefore, the NRC will not propose an annual fee in FY 2017 for this type of licensee.

FY 2017 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				
			POWE	RREACTORS
	тот	AL	ALL	OCATIONS
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
	φ,η	FIE	φ,r.	F1C
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	128,087.0	2,042.0	95,040.0	1,531.0
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	33,088.0	545.0	2,451.0	8.2
	202,725.0	717.0	0.0	0.0
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	365,258.0	3,362.0	97,491.0	1,539.2
Figures below in \$. M (unless otherwise indicated)				
(1) FY 2017 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (sh	own below)			713.2
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS				281.1
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)				432.0
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)				0.3
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allo	cated)(equals 3+4	·)		432.4
(6) FY 2017 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allo	ocation) (equals 2	+5)		713.5
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, in	nport/export alloc, sn	nall entity)		85.79%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Sur	rcharge			-4.4
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee	•			-0.04
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments				-0.51
(11) Adjustment for DOE Transportation PY billing adjustme	ent			0.0
(12) TOTAL FY 2017 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)				427.5
(13) Number of Licensees			-	99
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)				4.32
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				4,317,764
rounded annual fee, actual \$				4,318,000
				/
FTE RATE (average based on budget data, actua	l \$): 399,987			

-

C

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Power Reactors Fee Class

			- EV10	L	Differen	
	Contract (\$.K)	FTE	Contract (\$.K)	I FTE	Contract (\$.K)	
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
International Cooperation		5.0	60	7.0	0	(2.0)
Licensing						
Advanced Reactors	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Combined Licenses	495	19.0	4,291	54.0	(3,796)	(35.0)
Design Certification	6,991	73.0	9,291	41.5	(2,300)	31.5
Early Site Permit		19.0	445	8.0	2,599	11.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fukushima NTTF	0	0.0	0	1.5	- 0	(1.5)
Licensing Actions		32.0	1,055	31.5	(370)	0.5
Licensing Support	3,066	52.0	2,891	60.5	175	(8.5)
Mission IT		5.0	2,175	6.0	(399)	(1.0)
New Reactor Facilities	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	2.0	0	0.0	0	2.0
Operator Licensing	0	11.0	0	15.0	0	(4.0)
Pre-Application Reviews	0	1.0	480	5.5	(480)	(4.5)
Part 50	0	0.0	0	0.5	0	(0.5)
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	11.0	0	8.5	0	2.5
Construction Inspection	270	55.0	470	70.5	(200)	(15.5)
Emergency Preparedness	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	6	3.0	6	3.5	0	(0.5)
Mission IT	0	0.0	10	0.0	(10)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
Part 50	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	600	4.0	640	5.0	(40)	(1.0)
Vendor Inspection	200	26.0	200	25.5	0	0.5
Research						
Adv. Reactors Research	400	1.0	620	2.5	(220)	(1.5)
Long term Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
New Beactors Besearch	3 175	11.0	4 040	10.0	(865)	10
Bulemaking				10.0	(000)	
Rulemaking (PL)	0	5.0	120	6.5	(120)	(1.5)
Security		0.0		0.0	0	00
Bulemaking Support		1.0		1.0		0.0
Training					°	
Mission Training	649	12.0	920	13.0	(271)	(1.0)
Mission IT		0.0	020	0.0	30	0.0
	0	0.0	0	4.0	0	(4.0)
Total Direct Besources	21.447	350.0	27 714	382.0	(6 267)	(32.0)
		00010		COLIO	(0,2017	(02.0/
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY		-				
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRÓDUCT LINE/PRODUCTŚ:						
Event Response					-	
Mission IT	6,286	5.0	5,380	5.0	906	0.0
Uther Hesponse Activities	986	0.0	0	0.0	986	0.0
Response Operations	300	20.0		19.0	100	1.0
International Activities		15.0		10.5	(500)	(3.5)
International Cooperation		15.4		18.0	n	(2.6)
Licensing			<u> </u>		+	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Emergency Preparedness	<u>`</u> 0	10.0		12.0	(295)	(2.0)
Generic Issues Program	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fukushima NTTF/Japan Lessons Learned	1,650	75.0	4,181	96.0	(2,531)	(21.0)
License Renewal	1,095	44.0	913	54.5	182	(10.5)
Licensing Actions	6,127	146.0	12,007	156.5	(5,880)	(10.5)
	4,307	43.0		48.0	3,746	(5.0)
		0.0		1.5		(1.5)
Operator Licensing	255	35.0	455	38.5	(200)	(3.5)
Research & Test Reactors	0	0		0	0	0.0
Security	750	13	1,030	12.5	(280)	0.5
Oversight		1				
Allegations & Investigations	25	51.0	25	47.5	0	3.5
Emergency Preparedness		21.0	0	21.0	0	0.0
Envorcement		18.8	116	18.7	1	0.1
Fukushima NTTF		43.0		44.0	0	20
	2,996	337.0	3.531	365.5	(535)	(28.5)
Mission IT	6,062	8.0	6,887	7.5	(825)	0.5
NSPDP Training	0	13.0	0	0.0	0	13.0
Research & Test Reactor Insp.	0	0.0	0	1.5	0	(1.5)

.

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Power Reactors Fee Class

	FY17		FY16		Differen	ce
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
Popuritu	2 650		2 240	60.5	310	(2.5)
Vendor Inspection		2.0	0	2.0	0	0.0
Research						
Consequence Analysis & Hith Effects		0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fire Safety Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fukushima NTTF	0	0.0	992	4.5	(992)	(4.5)
Generic Issues & Oper. Exp.		4.0	225	4.0	0	0.0
Longterm Research	0	0.0	ů ů	0.0	0	0.0
Materials Performance Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	1,477	2.0	1,125	2.5	352	(0.5)
Operational Events Analysis	0	0.0	Ő	0.0	0	0.0
Reactor Research	32,742	116.0	39,018	122.0	(6,276)	(6.0)
Reactor Safety Codes & Analysis	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Seismic & Structural Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Fukushima NTTF/Japan Lessons Learned	150	5.0	508	14.5	(358)	(9.5)
Emergency Preparedness	325	0.0	249	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking Support	250	14.0	250	16.0	0	(2.0)
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fukushima NTTF/Japan Lessons Learned		0.0	0	0.0		
Mission IT	122	0.0	100	0.0	22	0.0
Mission Training	3,388	24.8	2,676	24.8	712	0.0
Total Direct Resources	73 593	1181.0	84 872	1.275.7	(11,279)	(17.0)
	10,000		01,072		(**)=: 07	(0 /11 /
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	95,040	1531.0	112,586	1,657.7	(17,546)	(126.7)
DECODAM NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTS CASETY	· · · · · ·					
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES	-					
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Research			-	0.5		(0.5)
Total Direct Besources		0.0	0	0.5	0	(0.5)
						. ,
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						-
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
International Activities						
Multilateral/Bilateral	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	3	0.0		0.0	·	0.0
Rulemaking	+	0.0		0.0		0.0
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
State, Tribal and Federal Programs					0	(0.5)
Training			·	1.5		0.0
Mission Training	205	0.2	190	0.2	15	0.0
Total Direct Resources	208	1.2	193	1.7	15	. (0.5)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY	-					
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE			_			
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing		1.0		0.0	0	10
Uranium Recovery Env. Reviews		0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission Training				0.5	/2)	(0.5)
Total Direct Resources	8	1.0	10	0.5	(2)	0.5
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY					┼───┤	
PRODUCT LINE PRODUCTS:					<u> </u>	
International Activities						
International Cooperation	0	0.0	75	0.0	(75)	0.0
Encensing		0		n		0.0
Environmental Reviews	0	0		0	0	0.0
Licensing Support	0	0	100	1	(100)	(1.0)
Mission IT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Storage Licensing				1		0.0
Transportation Certification	0	0		0	0	0.0

×,

 \sim

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Power Reactors Fee Class

	FY17		FY16		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
					-	
Research						
Waste Research	1,435	3.0	1,435	0.0	0	3.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking (PL)	800	2.0	349	1.3	451	0.7
Travel					· · ·	
Mission Travel	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	2,235	6.0	1,959	3.3	276	2.7
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	2,451	8.2	2,162	6.0	289	2.2
	97.491	1 539 2	114 748	1 663 7	(17.257)	(124 5
	57,451	1,009.2	114,740	1,003.7	(17,237)	(124.5
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	713,151		750,396		(\$37,245)	
The budgetary resources allocated to Power Reactors Fee Class from Nuclear Materials collection, modeling future strategies for disposal of spent fuel and high level waste and tribal program activities, dosimeter costs and materials training widely attended by all ag	s & Waste Safety P monitoring develop ency staff including	rogram inclu ments in the inspectors b	de (but are not limite evolving national w enefitting numerous	ed to) activitie aste manage facets of the	es pertaining to ana ment strategy. In a agency's mission.	lysis, data ddition to

12/14/2016

OPERATING POWER REACTOR ANNUAL FEE FY 2017

NUMBER OF POWER REACTORS LICENSED TO OPERATE: (by Nuclear Steam System Supplier & Design Type)

Westinghouse	[′] 48
General Electric	34
Combustion Engineering	11
Babcock & Wilcox	6
TOTAL REACTORS	99

DETERMINATION OF ANNUAL FEE:

TOTAL BUDGETED COSTS FOR OPERATING POWER REACTORS (INCLUDES NON-FEE ACTIVITIES)	\$7	713,150,257	
ANNUAL FEE PER REACTOR (rounded) (BUDGETED COSTS DIVIDED BY 99 OPERATING POWER REACTORS)	\$	4,318,000	
PLUS SPENT FUEL STORAGE/ REACTOR DECOMMISSIONING ANNUAL FEE		\$194,000	
TOTAL ANNUAL FEE PER LICENSE		\$4,512,000	

(

Part 171 Annual Fees

Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning

Section III.B.2.d

Table XIV

For FY 2017, budgeted costs of approximately \$23.7 million for spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning are to be recovered through annual fees assessed to part 50 power reactors, and to part 72 licensees who do not hold a part 50 license. Those reactor licensees that have ceased operations and have no fuel onsite are not subject to these annual fees. The required annual fee recovery amount is divided equally among 122 licensees, resulting in a FY 2017 annual fee of \$194,000 per licensee.

FY 2017 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				
			SPENT	FUEL STORAGE/
	тот	-	REAC	LOCATIONS
	CONTRACT			LUCATIONS
	\$.K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	128,087.0	2,042.0	2.0	0.1
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	33,088.0	545.0	3,618.0	67.8
CORPORATE	202,725.0	717.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,358.0	58.0		
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	365,258.0	3,362.0	3,620.0	67.9
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)		I		
(1) FY 2017 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (sh	own below)			30.8
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS				7.7
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)				23.1
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)		0.9		
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allo		24.0		
(6) FY 2017 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allo	ocation) (equals 2	2+5)		31.6
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, in	nport/export alloc, sr	nall entity)		3.80%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Su	rcharge			-0.232
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee				0.00
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments				-0.02
(11) Adjustment for DOE Transportation PY billing adjustme	ent			0.0
(12) TOTAL FY 2017 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)				23.70
(13) Number of Licensees		122		
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)		0.194		
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				194,242
rounded annual fee, actual \$				194,000
FTE RATE (average based on budget data, actua	I \$): 399,987			

.

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning Fee Class

	FY17		-	FY16			Differen	ce
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE		Contract (\$,K)	FTE		Contract (\$,K)	FTE
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS			-					
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:								
Oversight					_			
Enforcement	0	0.0		0	0.0	_	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		U	0.0		0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	1							
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS	·		\vdash		-			
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:								
Oversight								
	0	0.0	-	0	0.0		0	0.0
Enforcement	1	0.1		1	0.0		0	0.0
Event Evaluation	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Inspection	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Mission IT	1	0.0	-	1	0.0		0	0.0
Security	0	0.0		0	0.0		- 0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	2	0.0		2	0.0		0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	2	0.1	_	2	0.1		0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY			-			_		·
PBODUCT LINE/PBODUCTS	1					-		
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0	-	0	0.0
			-					
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY								
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS								
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:								
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	. 0.0
Enforcement	2	0.3		2	0.2		0	0.1
Inspection	3	0.0		3	0.0		0	0.0
Rulemaking								
Hulemaking State Tribal and Federal Bro	0	0.0		, U	0.0		0	0.0
Liaison	0	0.0	-	30	0.5	-	(30)	(0.5)
Training							()	<u> </u>
Mission Training	42	0.0		37	0.0		5	0.0
Total Direct Resources	47	0.3		72	0.7		(25)	(0.4)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY								
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE								
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:				-				
International								
International Cooperation	0	1.0		0	0.3		0	0.0
Licensing Decommissioning Licensing Actions	0	0.0		0	. 0.0		0	0.0
Oversight	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Inspection	0	9.9	-	0	10.8	-	0	(0.9)
Mission Training								
Training	258	0.0		327	0.0		(69)	0.0
	258	10.9		327	11.1		(69)	(0.2)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY								
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION								
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						-		
International Activities								
International Cooperation	90	0.5		0	0.5		90	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0		0	0	\vdash		0.0
Environmental Reviews	863	4		200	2.5	-	663	1.5
Fukushima NTTF	0	0		0	0		0	0.0
Licensing Actions	15	1		15	1		0	0.0
Licensing Support	100	8		0	6		100	2.0
Security	202	3	-	202	0.0		0	0.0
Storage Licensing	600	20		600	17		0	3.0
Transportation Certification	0	0		0	0		0	0.0
Oversight								
Inspection		2	-	0	2		0	(2.5)
Research	U	0.5				-	0	(2.0)

.

,

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning Fee Class

	FY17		FY16		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
Waste Research	1,303	3.0	153	4.0	1,150	(1.0)
Rulemaking				-	_	
Rulemaking (PL)	0	_4.0	150	2.5	(150)	1.5
Rulemaking Support	67	2.0	485	11.8	(418)	(9.8)
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	13	0.0	11	0.0	2	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Travel						
Mission Travel	0	0	0	Ö	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	3,313	56.6	1,876	61.9	1,437	(5.3)
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	3,617.9	67.8	2,274.9	73.7	1,343	(5.9)
TOTAL SPENT FUEL STORAGE & REACTOR DECOMM.	3,620	67.9	2,277	73.8	1,343	(5.9)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE						,
+ mission direct contract \$)	\$30,779		\$30,474		\$305	
	1	I	1	1		

SPENT FUEL STORAGE/REACTOR DECOMMISSIONING ANNUAL FEE FY 2017

LICENSES SUBJECT TO THE ANNUAL FEE:

Operating Power Reactor Licensees: 99

Power Reactors in Decommissioning or Possession Only Status with Fuel Onsite

Reactor	Docket No.
Big Rock Point	50-155
Indian Point, Unit 1	50-003
Dresden, Unit 1	50-010
Haddam Neck	50-213
Humboldt	50-133
La Crosse	50-409
Maine Yankee	50-309
Millstone 1	50-245
Rancho Seco	50-312
San Onofre, Unit 1	50-206
Yankee Rowe	50-029
Zion 1	50-295
Zion 2	50-304
Crystal River 3	50-302
Kewaunee	50-305
San Onofre, Unit 2	50-361
San Onofre, Unit 3	50-362
Vermont Yankee	50-271
Ft.Calhoun	50-285

Total No. of Reactors in decommissioning or possession only status with fuel onsite: 19

Part 72 Licensees without a Part 50 License

Ft. St. Vrain	72-009
GE Morris	72-001
Foster Wheeler Environmental Corp.	72-025
Trojan	72-017

Total Part 72 licenses: 4

The annual fee is determined by dividing the total budgeted costs of approximately \$23.7 million (including the fee-relief activities) by the total number of licensees (122). This results in an annual fee (rounded) of \$194,000 per license.

Part 171 Annual Fees

Research and Test Reactors

Section III.B.2.e

Table XV

Approximately \$334,000 in budgeted costs is to be recovered through annual fees assessed to the research and test reactor class of licenses for FY 2017. This required annual fee recovery amount is divided equally among the four research and test reactors subject to annual fees, and results in a FY 2017 annual fee of \$83,500 for each licensee.

			TEST AND REA	ORESEARCH CTORS
		L		CATIONS
	CONTRACT			
	Φ,Ν		φ, Γ	
FAR BEACTOR SAFETY	128 087 0	2 042 0	308.1	4.9
LEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	33.088.0	545.0	0.3	0.0
PORATE	202.725.0	717.0	0.0	0.0
ECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,358.0	58.0		
TOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	365,258.0	3,362.0	308.4	4.9
gures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)				
FY 2017 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate ((shown below)			2.268
LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS				1.950
PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)		_		0.318
GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocate		0.034		
NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation a		0.353		
FY 2017 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation a		2.303		
% OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities	, import/export alloc, sma	all entity)		0.28%
Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW \$	Surcharge			-0.0168
Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licens	see			-0.0042
Part 171 billing adjustments				-0.002
Adjustment for DOE Transportation PY billing adjust	tment			0.0
TOTAL FY 2017 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)				0.334
(13) Number of Licensees				4
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)				0.0835
ounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				83,540
nded annual fee, actual \$	·			83,500
FTE RATE (average based on budget data, ac	tual \$): 399,987			

· · · ·

· · ·

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for Test and Research Reactors Fee Class

	FY17		FY16		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE_	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:						
Oversight Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Construction Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Part 50	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	0	0.0		0.0	0	0.0
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	0	0.0		0.0		0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
Licensing						
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Generic Issues Program	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
License Renewal	0 0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission I I	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Research & Test Reactors	272	4.6	1,480	5.4	(1,208)	(0.8)
Security	` <u> </u>	0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Allegations & Investigations		0.0	0	0.0		0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0		0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	(0)	0.0
	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	, 0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Research & Test Reactor Insp.	0	0.3	0	0.5	0	(0.2)
Rulemaking	34	0.0	26	01	7	(0.1)
Training		0.0		0.1		(0.1)
Mission Training	3	0.0	0	0.0	3	0.0
Total Direct Besources	/ 308	0.0	1 507	0.0	(1 199)	(1 1)
	000		1,001	0.0	(1,100)	(/
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	308	4.9	1,507	6.0	(1,199)	(1.1)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:	0			0.0		
	0	0.0	0	0.0		0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY		_				
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
Oversight						
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	(0)	0.0
Training						0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION		-		[
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	- 0	0.0		0.0		0.0
TOTAL TEST & RESEARCH REACTORS	308.4	4.9	1.507	6.0	(1.199)	(1.1)
			.,			
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	¢0 060		¢3 700		/\$1 521)	
	φ2,200				(01,001)	

TEST AND RESEARCH REACTOR ANNUAL FEE

FY 2017 FEE RULE

DETERMINATION OF THE FY 2017 ANNUAL FEE:

TEST AND RESEARCH REACTORS SUBJECT TO ANNUAL FEES (See note)

1. Dow Chemical - TRIGA MARK I	License No. R-108	Docket No. 50-264
2. AEROTEST	R-98	50-228
3. GE, NTR	R-33	50-73
4. NIST	TR-5	50-184

DETERMINATION OF ANNUAL FEE

BUDGETED COSTS	\$334,062
ANNUAL FEE PER LICENSE (rounded) (Budgeted costs divided by number of test and research reactor licensees subject to annual fee)	\$83,500

NOTE: Does not include License R-38 (TRIGA MARK I), Docket No. 50-89, issued to General Atomics. License R-38 was amended in 1997 to authorize possession only.

Part 171 Annual Fees

Rare Earth Facilities

Section III.B.2.f

During FY 2016 NRC did receive an application under the Rare Earth fee class 2.A. (2)(f). However, no FY 2017 budgetary resources were allocated to this fee class, and did not require an annual fee to be established.

NRC revised the fee category for this fee class from 2.A.(2)(c) to 2.A.(2)(f) in FY 2009.

FY 2017 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES						
				BAR	FFARTH	
		TOT	AL	ALLO	CATIONS	
	CON	TRACT		CONTRACT		
		5,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	
		28 087 0	2 042 0		0.0	
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HI W/Gen Fund)		33 088 0	545.0	0.0	0.0	
		00,000.0	717.0	0.0	0.0	
		1 358 0	58.0	0.0	0.0	
		1,000.0				
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE		365,258.0	3,362.0	0.0	0.0	
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)					i	
(1) FY 2017 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (sl	hown belo	w)			0.00000	
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS					0.000	
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)					0.00	
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated))					
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allo	ocated)(equ	uals 3+4	4)		0.00	
(6) FY 2017 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation all	ocation) (e	equals 2	2+5)		0.00	
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, in	mport/export	t alloc, si	nall entity)		0.00%	
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Su	urcharge				0.000	
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per license	e					
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments					0.000	
(11) Adjustment for DOE Transportation PY billing adjustm	ent				0.0000	
(12) TOTAL FY 2017 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)					0.0000	
(13) Number of Licensees					different for	
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)			different categories of			
					licenses; see other worksheets	
FTE RATE (average based on budget data, actua	al \$): 399	9,987		· · · ·		

,

	FY17	FY17		FY16		Differen	ice
	Contract (\$.K)	FTE	Contract (\$.K)	FTE		Contract (\$.K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY					— –		
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS							
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:							_
Total Direct Besources	0	0.0	0	0.0		0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY					<u> </u>		
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS							
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:							
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0		0	0.0
					L		
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0		0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY							
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES							
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:							
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0		0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY							
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS							-
Tatal Direct Discust Discusses					<u> </u>		0.0
	0	0.0		-0.0	┢		0.0
DOGDAM- NUCLEAD MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY		<u> </u>		· · ·	┢		
BUSINESS LINE DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE							
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS	<u> </u>				\vdash		
Decommissioning Licensing Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	-	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Envir. Reviews	0	0.0	0	1.2		0	(1.2)
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0		0	0.0
Oversight						_	
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0		0	0.0
Mission Training							
Training	0	0.0	0	0.0		0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	1.2		0	(1.2)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY					_		
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION			_				
Tatel Direct Decourses							0.0
		0.0	0	0.0			0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety		0.0	0	12		0	(1.2)
	0	0.0					(1.2)
<u> </u>							
TOTAL RARE EARTH	0	0.0	0	1.2		0	(1.2)
Total value of hudgeted resources for fee class/mission direct ETE x full cost of							
FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$0		\$458.5			(\$450)	
			φ+30.0			(\$409)	
	1						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

,

.
Part 171 Annual Fees

Materials Users

Section III.B.2.g

Table XVI

The following fee categories under §171.16 are included in this fee class: 1.C., 1.D., 1.F., 2.B., 2.F., 3.A. through 3.S., 4.A. through 4.C., 5.A., 5.B., 6.A., 7.A. through 7.C., 8.A., 9.A. through 9.D., 16, and 17. The annual fee for these categories of materials users licenses is developed as follows:

Annual fee = Constant x [Application Fee + (Average Inspection Cost divided by Inspection Priority)] + Inspection Multiplier x (Average Inspection Cost divided by Inspection Priority) + Unique Category Costs.

To equitably and fairly allocate the \$35.5 million in FY 2017 budgeted costs to be recovered in annual fees assessed to the approximately 2,700 diverse materials users licensees, the NRC will continue to base the annual fees for each fee category within this class on the part 170 application fees and estimated inspection costs for each fee category. Because the application fees and inspection costs are indicative of the complexity of the license, this approach continues to provide a proxy for allocating the generic and other regulatory costs to the diverse categories of licenses based on NRC's cost to regulate each category. This fee calculation also continues to consider the inspection frequency (priority), which is indicative of the safety risk and resulting regulatory costs associated with the categories of licenses.

FY 2017 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				
			МАТ	ERIALS
	ΤΟΤΑ	L	ALLO	CATIONS
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
<u> </u>	\$,K		\$,K	FIE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	128,087.0	2,042.0	4.0	0
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	33,088.0	545.0	914.9	83.
CORPORATE	202,725.0	717.0	0.0	0.
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,358.0	58.0		-
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	365,258.0	3,362.0	918.9	83
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)				
(1) FY 2017 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate	(shown below)			34.5
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS				0.9
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)				33.6
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocate	ed)			1.7
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation a	allocated)(equals 3+4)			35.3
(6) FY 2017 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation	allocation) (equals 2-	-5)		36.1
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activitie	s, import/export alloc, sm	all entity)		3.45%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW	Surcharge			0.3
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licen	ISEE;		<u>.</u>	
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments				-0.02
(11) Adjustment for DOE Transportation PY billing adjus	stment			0.0
(12) TOTAL FY 2017 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)				35.51
(13) Number of Licensees				different for
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)				different categories of
				other workshee
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				
rounded annual fee, actual \$				
FTE RATE (average based on budget data, a	ctual \$): 399,987			

,

,

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for Materials Fee Class

	FY17	ETE 1		FY16	ETE		Differenc	e ETE
	Contract (\$,K)	FIE	$\left\{ + \right\}$	Contract (\$,K)	FIE		Contract (\$,K)	
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY			t t		·			
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS		-						
								_
Total Direct Besources	0	0.0		0	0.0	\vdash		0.0
,								010
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	<u> </u>					<u> </u>		_
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS								
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:					•		_	
Training						\vdash		
Mission Training	4	0.0		5	0.0	\vdash	(1)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0		0	0.0	\vdash		0.0
lotal Direct Resources	4	0.0		5	0.0	r——	(1)	0.0
			+	E	- 00	⊢╊		
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	4	0.0	┥─╞		0.0	┝──╞╸	<u> </u>	0.0
						┝─┼╸		_
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY								_
			+			-+		~ ~ ~ ~
Training						+		-
Mission Training	27	0.0	\vdash	19	0.0	-+		0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0		0	0.0	1	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	27	0.0		19	0.0	+	8	0.0
			H			-+-	_	
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY				-				
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS		<u> </u>				+		
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:			- +			-+-		
Event Response						\top		
Response Operations	0	0.3		0	0.3		0	0.0
Response Programs	0	0.3		0	0.3		0	0.0
International Activities							0	0.0
International Cooperation	0	3.6		0	5.5		0	(1.9)
Licensing			1			\vdash		
Licensing Actions	43	27.1		64	28.2	\vdash	(21)	(1.1)
Licensing Support	45	0.0	\square		- 0.4	<u> </u>		
	45	0.1	\square		0.1	+	(21)	0.0
Security		2.0	$\left \right $	0	0.0	+		(1.0)
Oversight		- 0.0	\vdash		1.0	r +		(1.0)
Allegations & Investigations	0	112		0	10.1			1.1
Enforcement	41	11.3		43	11.4		(1)	(0.1)
Event Evaluation	193	3.3		4	3.1		189	0.2
Inspection	1	21.2		4	21.8		(3)	(0.6)
Mission IT	0	0.0		131	0.1		(131)	(0.1)
Security	0	0.0		0	0.0		(0)	0.0
Research								
Materials Research	0	0.0		59	0.3		(59)	(0.3)
Rulemaking								
Rulemaking	0	1.7			0.5		(1)	1.2
Rulemaking Support	0	0.8			0.3	-		0.5
State Tribal and Federal Programs		0.0			0.0	+		- 0.0
	- 0	0.0		0	0.0			0.0
Travel		0.1	\vdash		0.1	+		0.0
Training	······································	0.0			0.0	-+-		0.0
Mission Training		0.7		154	0.3			0.4
NSPDP Training	0	0.0		0	2.0	-+-	0	(2.0)
Total Direct Resources	661	83.7		527	85.4		134	(1.7)
								<u></u>
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY								
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE								
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:								
Licensing								
Decommissioning Licensing Actions	0	0.0		0	0.0	\perp	0	0.0
Uranium Hecovery Lic. Actions	0	0.0		0	0.0	-+-	0	0.0
Training			\vdash			+		
	24	0.0	\vdash	30	0.0	+	(6)	0.0
	24	0.0	\vdash		0.0	+	<u>(م)</u>	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY			\vdash					
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION			\vdash			+		
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						+		
Licensing						_		
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Environmental Reviews	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0
Licensing Support	0	0.0		0	0.0		0	0.0

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for Materials Fee Class

	FY17			FY16		Difference	e
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE		Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
Mission IT	0	0.0		0	. 0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
Storage Licensing	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
Transportation Certification	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0		0	0.0	0	0.0
	710		-		05.4	 	74 71
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	/12	83.7		5/6	85.4	136	(1./)
TOTAL MATERIAL USERS	716	83.7		581	85.4	 135	(1.7)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE							
+ mission direct contract \$)	\$34,195			\$33,209		\$986	
	1						

١

						 																	12/14/2016	
REBASELINE	1	н н	1			1 1	FY 20	17 Matei	rials Users	s Annual F	ees		ı,	ι ι		ı				1	1.1	1	1 1	5
			NUMBER OF	LICENSES	Ì																			
		 	FY 2017		(1)	 (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)					EY 2017
													(3)	(10)	0.9	(12)		((1-7					Annual Fee
				Loss		 Part 1	70 Fees(S)	Insp.	Calc. of General	Calc. of insp.	Par	t 171 Base	Fee Per Lic	cense (S) Total	Adjustment	oer License	Total Exact Annual	Total Co	ollections		Number o	Real	Small Entity	(Rounded)
1		Billed at	Billod at	Agreement		 											1			7				
		FY 2016	FY 2017	Transfer	Total For								ļ	Base Fee	LLW		Fee per							
License Fee Ca	egory	Fee	Fee	Adjustment	FY 2017	 Appl.	Insp.	Prior,	Multiple	Multiple	General	Unique i l	Inspection	per license	Surcharge	Fee-Relief	liconso	Base Fee	TOTAL (Sm Ent	tity S	m Entity	Subsidy	
			-								Annual fee	le	rispection			multiplier x		(0,11)	(0,11)				· · · · / · · -	
									(No. of		multiplier*(App) fee + insp	. n	nultiplier*(# isp fee/insp			(appl fee+insp fee/insp								
									licenses x	(No. of licenses x	fee/insp prionty) See	See p below for b	priority) See		(Total Materials () W	priority)See below for	(Total Base		Total Base				Diff between appual fee and	
						1			insp	insp	below for calculation of	Calculati c	alculation	(General+u	Surcharge/ no.	calculation of	Fee+ LLW		Fce + LLW	1			small entity fee x	}
					1				priority)	priority)	annual tee multiplier	Unique n	nultiplier	ection)	licenses)	multi.)	Feo-Relief)		Foe-Relief)				entities 4100	
						 																	850	
SPECIALITOCE																				_				
	1C. Industrial Gauges	0	5	0	5.0	1,200	2,100	5	8100	2100	2391		691	3,083	_	-19	3,064	15	15	0		0		3,100
	1D. Other SNM less critical quantity 1F. Other SNM greater than critical quantity	0	43	0	43.0	 2,500	6,300	5	161680	54180	5550 4478	-	2074	7,625	1214	-44	8,795	328	378	6	- - -	1	36,150	6,500
						2,000			0000				0.0	0,000			0,000							9000
SOURCE MATE	RIAL:		_			 					_													
	2B. Shielding	0	10	0	10.0	 1,170	2,700	5	17100	5400	2524		889	3.413		-20	3.393	34	- 34	1		0		3.400
	2C. Exempt Distribution/SM	0	18	0	18.0	2,200	3,800	5	53280	13680	4369		1251	5,621		-34	5,586	101	101	4		1	10,750	5,600
	2D. Distribution to General License/SM 2E. Manufacturing Distribution	0		0	1.0	 2,600	4,200	5	3440	840	5076		1383	6,461	_	-40	6,421	6	6	0	++	0	3900	6,400
	2F. Other Source Materials	0	39	0	39.0	2,500	7,400	5	155220	57720	5875		2437	8,312	1214	-46	9,480	324	370	4		2	38,900	9,500
BYPRODUCTM		├├ ──				 																		
		· · · · · ·	_							· · · —											-+-+-		+	
·	3A. Manufacturing - Broad	0	3	0	3.0	12,500	18,100	5	48360	10860	23795		5960	29,755	1214	-188	30,782	89	92	0		0		30,800
	3C. Radiopharmaceuticals - Manuf/Process	0	32	0	32.0	 5,000	7,300	5	239020	54020	7646 9536		2930	11,939	1214	-60	13,079	442	484	12		8	120,250	13,100
	3D. Radiopharmaceuticals - No Manuf /Process	0	0	0	0.0	 0	0	3	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0		0
	3E. Irradiators - Self-Shield 3F. Irradiators - < 10,000 Ci	0	59	0	59.0	 6,200	4,200	5	28160	121540	7617		3391 1383	11,008		-60	10,948	649	646 47	0		0	┼╴┊┼┼	10,900
	3G. Irradiators -> 10.000 Ci	0	7	0	7.0	 59.500	5,600	2	436100	19600	91963		4610	96,573		-726	95,847	676	671	0		1	94,950 1	95,800
	3H. Exempt Distribution - No Device Review 3I. Exempt Distribution - No Device Review	0	33	0	33.0	 6,400	3,900	5	236940	25740	10599		1284	11,883		-84 -120	11,799	392	389			6	268,700	11,800
	3J. Gen. License - Device Review	0	6	0	6.0	1,900	2,800	5	14760	3360	3631		922	4,553		+29	4,525	27	27	1		0	400	4,500
	3K. Gen. License - No Device Review	3	3	0	6.0	 1,100	2,800	5	9960	3360	2450		922	3,372	- 1014	-19	3,353	20	20	0		2	5,100	3,400
	3L(a). R&D - Broad(6-20 sites)	0	1	0	1.0	 5,300	9,700	1.75	10843	5543	16005		9125	25,131	1214	-126	26,219	25	26	0	- +	0		26,200
	3L(b). R&D - Broad(21 or more sites)	0	1	0	1.0	 5,300	9,700	1.25	13060	7760	19278		12776	32,054	1214	-152	33,116	32	33	0		0		33,100
	3N. Service Liconse	0	61	0	85.0 61.0	 7,000	6,000	3	638457	211467	15450		1976	21,158	1214	-93	22,250	1172	1267	13	- 	9	481,750	22.300
	30. Radiography	0	74	0	74.0	3,000	7,300	1	762200	540200	15204		12018	27,222		-120	27,102	2014	2006	26		7	781,750	27,100
	3P. Ali Other Byproduct Materials 3B1. Radium-226 (less than or equal to 10x limits in 31.12)	0	. 992	0	992.0	 3,300	7,100	5	4682240	1408640	6967	-+	2338	9,305	<u> </u>	-65	9,250	9231	9176 D	227		103	2,050,750	9,300
	3R2. Radium-226 (more than 10x limits in 31.12)	0	1	0	1.0	2,400	4,300	3	3833	1433	5659		2360	8,018		-45	7,974	8	8	0		0	· · ·	8,000
	35. Accelerator Produced Radionuclides	0	18	0	18.0	 13,600	7,900	2	315900	71100	25906		6503	32,409		-204	32,205	583	580	2	\rightarrow $+$	1	87,550	32,200
WASTE DISPOS	AL AND PROCESSING:	<u> </u>				 					— —						+	·			-+-+			
	A West Discout	·· · · · · · · ·	_													-								
	4B. Waste Receipt/Packaging	0	- 0	0	0.0	 6,600	6,500	2	137900	45500	0		0 5351	19,891	1214	-115	20,990	278	294	4	+ -+	0	67,600	21,000
	4C. Waste Receipt - Prepackaged	0	1	0	1.0	4,800	3,800	2	6700	1900	9890		3128	13,018	1214	-78	14,155	13	14	1		0	10,100	14,200
WELL LOGGING	······································	<u> - </u> -				 	···	$\left \right $			<u> </u>										_ i.		╞╴╶┊┼	
												-					<u> </u>			-				
	5A. Well Logging 5B. End Boyring Tracers Studies	0	25	0	25.0	 4,400	9,300	3	187500	77500	11071		5104	16,175		-87	16,087	404	402	4		2	78,500	16,100
					0.0			3							1214		1,214							
NUCLEAR LAU	IDRY:															<u> </u>								
	6A. Nuclear Laundry	0	0	0	0.0			3	0	0	0		0	0	1214	0	1,214	0	0	0		0	+	<u> </u>
					-	 																		ļ
HUMAN USE O	BTPRODUCT, SOURCE, OR SNM:					 						-					+	·				-	+	
	7A. Teletherapy	0	12	0	12.0	10,700	7,700	3	159200	30800	19583	278	4226	24,087		-155	23,933	289	287	1		0	19,800	23,900
	7B. Medical - Broad 7C. Medical Other	0	809	0	21.0	 6,300	13,000	2	310800	136500	21847	278	10701	32,826	1214	-172	33,859	689 12028	711	0	-+	50	2 484 400	33,900
						0,000	0,000				TIOLE			14,007				12020	11007					
CIVIL DEFENSE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					 											I.—				\rightarrow			
	8A. Civit Defense	0	9	0	9.0	 2,500	6,500	5	34200	11700	5609		2140	7,750		-44	7,705	70	69	1	-++	0	3,600	7,700
			-																					
DEVICE, PROD	JCT, OR SEALED SOURCE SAFETY EVALUATION:	<u>├ </u>	-			 															++		┼──┼┼	
	9A. Device/Product Safety Evaluation - Broad	0	73	0	73.0	 5,200		5	379600	0	7676		0	7.676		-61	7,615	560	556	18		13	150,750	7,600
	9C. Seafed Sources Safety Evaluation - Broad	- <u> </u>	26	0	26.0	 5,100		5	132600	0	7528		0	7,528		+59	7,469	196	194	9		9	90,450	7,500
	9D. Sealed Sources Safety Evaluation - Other	0	10	0	10.0	 1,010		5	10100	0	1491		0	1,491		-12	1,479	15	15	0		0		1,500
OTHER LICENS	ES:	<u>├┼┈ ──</u> ┟				 		- 1									+				+			
						 -																. 1	T	
	17. Master Material License	°	3	0	3.0	 111,100	106,400	2	492900	159600	242529	12531	87586	342,646	1214	-1914	341946	1028	1026	<u>•</u>	-	0	+	342,000
	TOTAL	3.0	2653.0	0.0	2656.0				17955495	5150995				948640				35257	35513	543		235	7,432,550 Mat	
<u> </u>					<u>↓</u>	 +		\vdash			+				_		+			<u>_</u>		0	7,100 Uraniu	m recovery
			_			1											±	Total Small E	Intity Subsidy	544		235	7,439,650	
	ETE DATE.	6900 000							_					F			Tatal	1			770		+	
I	116 MRIE.	\$399,987		<u> </u>	I	 1	F	1						+		I	Lorai	<u> </u>	1		118		+	

					· · · · ·				_	FY 201	7 Material	s Users	Annual Fees														
REBASELINE		ι ι	l.	L L	1	1	11	l.			1	1		· 1	1	1	. 1	1	1	í.	1	1	1	1 1	11	1	1
												_															
									-++-		· - _				_	_											
Calculation of U	NIQUE (generic activities related to specific fee ca	ntegories):	+	UNIQUE ACT	WITES IDENTIFI	ED FOR FY 201	7								-												
10(8) 000	Total cost (FTExFTE rate +	enernation)	4.6 St 919 935	ទាសារ ភូមិសារ	ORCONTRACT COS	(13)	L												1						++		
	Percent of NRC materials licenses to the total ma	aterials licenses	14%		_										_		_										
	Amount allocated to NRC materials licensees (% x total cost)	\$272,070		1																			+	11		1
No. of affected N	RC licenses (for FY 2017, Cats. 7A, 7B, & 7C, + those	medical under	977.0						i	1										1							
master many city		Unique per license:	\$278	3								-			_				-								
				<u> </u>		-											_				_						
	Total Part 171 (annual fee) amount, excluding fee-	relief costs):	\$35,257,054						-			T															
			FTE	FTE Rat	e	-		PS\$		Total																	
	Inspection Amount (budgeted costs for materials	inspections):	21.2	× \$399,98	7 =	\$8,479,714	+	\$600 4		\$8,480,314																	
<u> </u>		·					↓ - ↓ -		_											ļ					-+		
		i i									_									<u> </u>					++		
	LLW Surcharge Amount (see FEE-RELIFE ACTIVI	TIES Sheet for furthe	r details);						-			+				-											
	Total LLW surcharge to be recovered:	\$3,298,899	_						_											_						_	
	Percentage to be recovered from materials licensees: Amount to be recovered from materials licensees:	14.1%			·		╞╌┼┼╸											<u> </u>	+								
	No. of affected licenses:	383.0						l							_			+						+ +			
	LLW Surcharge per license:	\$1,214															_										
							Γ.μ.		_																		
	Uther Fee-Heller Amount [see FEE-HELIEF ACTIV]	Se oog 165	er dotails):	- I		+	┝┈┼┼		<u>`</u>										-						-+-1		
<u> </u>	Percentage to be recovered from materials licensees:	3.5%																									
	Amount to be recovered from materials licensees:	-\$210,717				1																					
<u> </u>	L	sk i	\$K	л г \$К		SK									_						· · · ·						
TOTAL GENE	RAL = TOTAL Part 171 amount less INSPECTION																									· · ·	
L	less GNIGOE:	35,257	- 8,480	· 272		26,505	+																				
ANNUAL FEE	MULTIPLIER = TOTAL GENERAL /Total of Calc of		-				+++						-						·								
	Gen. Multiple col.:	26.505	17,955		-	. 1.48	1 11											1							1		1
															-		-			1		[]					
INSPECTION	ULTIPLIER-INSPECTION AMOUNT/Total Calc of						+ ++-								-				+	-							
	Insp. Multiple col.;	\$8,480,314	5,151		-	1.65																					_
	L						T-14-								_							<u> </u>					
FEE-BELIEFN	III TIPI IFR-Fee-Relief amount to be adjusted for	1		1																							
materials	licensees/total of Calc of Gen. Multiple col.):	-\$210,717	17,955		-	-0.0117							1		1												
				\square															-	1				-			
COL (5) - COL (1)*1001 (2)+001 (3)/001 (4))																			——							-
				=								_				_					-						
00L (0) = 00L(<u> </u>	+	+			= +									_			<u> </u>	+		<u> </u>					
COL (7) = GENE	RAL MULTIPLIER [COL(2) + COL (3)/COL (4)]				·				_		_											<u> </u>					
COL (8) = (UNIC	UE COSTS) / (NO. OF APPLICABLE LICENSES)														_			1		<u> </u>							
COL (9) = INSP	ECTION MULTIPLIER*(COL3/COL4)						ΓΠ			· · ·								_									
COL (10) = COL	(7) + COL(8)+COL(9)					-									_					T_					_[
COL (11) = LLW	SURCHARGE =% Allocated * LLW Costs/# affected I	licenses																									
COL (12)=FEE-I	RELIEF MULTIPLIER*(COL(2)+(COL(3)/COL(4))						F								_						-						
COL (13) - COL	(10) + COL(11)+COL(12)		<u> </u>	+							-				_				_	+							
001 (10) - 000		<u> </u>		 		1	╪╪╪		==						_	=			1	=					====		
COL (14) = [COI			<u> </u>	+											_			+		<u>+</u>	<u> </u>			+	++		
COL (15) = [CO	(1) * COL (13)]/1000			<u></u>			┝╼┼┼				_											<u> </u>	<u> </u>		++-		
1 -		1	1	1 1	1	4	1 11								1	1	1	1	1	1.	1				1.1		

.

10/14/0040

2

2 of 2

~

ANNUAL FEE CALCULATION F	Part 170) Fees(\$)		Calc. of	Calc.		Pa	urt 171 Base Fee	Per License (\$)		Total Exact	Annual Fee (Rounded)
			Insp.	General	of Insp.			Total	Adjus	stment per Lice	nse	Annual	
License Fee Category	Appl.	Insp.	Prior.	Multiple	Multiple	General	Inspection	Base Fee per license	LLW Surcharge	Fee-Relief	Total	Fee per license	
				(No. of licenses x (Appl fee + insp fee/insp priority)	(No. of licenses x insp fee/insp priority)	Annual fee multiplier*(Ap pl fee + insp fee/insp priority) annual fee multiplier of 1.48	Inspection multiplier*(ins p fee/insp priority)insp. multiplier of 1.65	(General+ Inspection)	(Total Materials LLW Surcharge/ no. of affected licenses)	(Fee-Relief multiplier x (appl fee+insp fee/insp priority)See below for calculation of fee-relief multi.)		(Total Base Fee+ LLW Surcharge + Fee-Relief)	
NUCLEAR LAUNDRY:													
6A. Nuclear Laundry	21,300	5,800	3	о	0	34,385	3190	37,575	1214	-273	38516	38,516	38,500

'n

· · · ·

Part 171 Annual Fees

Transportation

Section III.B.2.h

Table XVII Table XVIII

Consistent with the policy established in the NRC's FY 2006 final fee rule, the NRC will recover generic transportation costs unrelated to DOE as part of existing annual fees for license fee classes. NRC will continue to assess a separate annual fee under §171.16, fee category 18.A., for DOE transportation activities.

The resources associated with generic transportation activities are distributed to the license fee classes based on the number of Certificates of Compliance (CoCs) benefiting (used by) that fee class, as a proxy for the generic transportation resources expended for each fee class. The amount of the generic resources allocated is calculated by multiplying the percentage of total CoCs used by each fee class (and DOE) by the total generic transportation resources to be recovered.

FY 2017 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				
			TDANG	
		 ^AI	ALL	
			CONTRACT	OCATIONS
	\$K	FTF	S K	FTF
	128.087.0	2.042.0	2.0	0.1
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HI W/Gen Fund)	33 088 0	545.0	1,182,2	19.8
	202 725 0	717.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL (no DNSER)	1 358 0	58.0		0.0
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	365,258.0	3,362.0	1,184.2	19.9
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)				
(1) FY 2017 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (sh	nown below)			9.1
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS				3.2
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)				5.9
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)				-4.5
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allo	ocated)(equals 3+4	4)		1.5
(6) FY 2017 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation all	2+5)		4.7	
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, ir	nport/export alloc, sr	nall entity)		0.56%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Su	ircharge			-0.03
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee	9			
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments				0.00
(11) Adjustment for DOE Transportation PY billing adjustm	ent			0.000
(12) TOTAL FY 2017 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)				1.42
(13) Number of Licensees				1
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)				1.423280
	· ·· ··			(DOE's fee)
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				1,423,280
rounded annual fee, actual \$				1,423,000
FTE RATE (average based on budget data, actua	al \$): 399,987			

.

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for Transportation Fee Class

			EY16		Differen	ce.
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE / PRODUCTS:						
Oversight			-			
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	Q	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						_
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS			_			
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.1
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission II	1	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0
Hesearch & Test Heactor Insp.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security Truck Direct D	- 0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
I otal Direct Hesources	2	0.1	0	0.0	2	0.1
		0.1	-	0.0		
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	2	0.1	0	0.0		0.1
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
Training						
Mission Training		0.0		0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Besources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		0.0		0.0		0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	1	0.0	1	0.1	0	(0.1)
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
State Tribal and Federal Programs			_			
Agreement States	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Liaison	0	0.5	40	0.5	(40)	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	33	0.2	30	0.2	3	0.0
	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
I otal Direct Hesources	34	0.7	71	0.8	(37)	(0.1)
				.	-	
PHOGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY				<u> </u>		
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
				└── ↓		
		- 00				
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
I GIAI DIEGLI NESULICES	1 01	0.0	1 0	0.0	1 0	0.0

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for Transportation Fee Class

	FY17		FY16		Difference	e –
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FIE	Contract (\$,K)	FIE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY			-			
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International						
International Cooperation	90	0.5	105	0.5	105	0.5
Licensing						
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Environmental Reviews	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fukushima NTTF	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Support	0	4.0	0	4.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	225	0.4	131	0.4	94	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Storage Licensing	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Transportation Certification	682	10.7	700	12.0	(18)	(1.3)
Oversight						
Inspection	0	1.5	0	3.0	0	(1.5)
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking (PL)	71	2.0	39	5.9	32	(3.9)
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	80	0.0	70	0.0	10	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Travel						
Mission Travel	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	1,148	19.1	1.045	25.8	103	(6.7)
	_ ,		,			
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	1.182	19.8	1.116	26.6	66	(6.8)
			+			
TOTAL TRANSPORTATION	1 184	199	1 116	26.6	68	(6.7)
	.,104			20.0	00	(0.7)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class/mission direct ETE x full cost of ETE						
+ mission direct contract \$)	\$9 144		\$11 279		(\$2 135)	
	φυ, ι τττ		ψ, η,213		(\$2,100)	

TRANSPORTATION ANNUAL FEES

FY 2017

The total transportation budgeted costs of \$5,943,932 to be recovered from annual fees (not including fee-relief adjustments) is to be obtained from two sources:

1. Department of Energy (DOE)--has own annual fee (fee category 18A)

1

2. Other licensees (included in their annual fees)

Distribute these costs to DOE and the fee classes based on the percentage of CoCs benefitting (used) per fee class:

Fee Class	# CoCs	% CoCs	Transportation Resources to be included in annual fees	Resources in Millions
DOE	22.00	24.6%	\$1,460,815	\$1.46
Operating Reactors	5.00	5.6%	\$332,003	\$0.33
Spent fuel/reactor decom	13.00	14.5%	\$863,209	\$0.86
T&R reactors	0.52	0.6%	\$34,271	\$0.03
Fuel Facilities	24.00	26.8%	\$1,593,616	\$1.59
Materials Users	25.00	27.9%	\$1,660,017	\$1.66
Total	89.52	100.0%	\$5,943,932	\$5.94

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Section V.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), as amended 5 U.S.C. § 601 *et seq.*, requires that agencies consider the impact of their rulemakings on small entities and, consistent with applicable statutes, consider alternatives to minimize these impacts on the businesses, organizations, and government jurisdictions to which they apply.

Additionally, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) requires all Federal agencies to prepare a written compliance guide for each rule for which the agency is required to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis. Therefore, in compliance with the law, the NRC has made publicly available via ADAMS the "FY 2017 Small Entity Compliance Guide".

Licensees may use this guide to determine whether they qualify as a small entity under NRC regulations and are eligible to pay reduced FY 2017 annual fees assessed under 10 CFR part 171. The NRC has established two tiers of annual fees for those materials licensees who qualify as small entities under the NRC's size standards.

Note: Using the FY 2009 calculation method Implemented to Determine Upper Tier Small Entity Fee Each Biennial Year To Be 39 % Of The Prior Two-year Weighted Average Of Small Materials Users Fees.

								s .							
	1D	2B	2C	2E	2F	ЗА	3B	ЗC	35	3G	3Н	31	31	зк	зм
2013 small entities	- 6	0	9	5	5	0	. 7	18	1	0	9	15	0	1	15
2014 small entities	6	0	4	0	0	0	10	18	0	1	9	12	1	0	13
2014 Total # of Licensees	44	28	30	47	47	4	40	40	65	6	37	82	9	4	97
	13.64%	0.00%	13.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	45.00%	0.00%	16.67%	24.32%	14.63%	11.11%	0.00%	13.40%
2013 Fee	\$6,800	\$3,000	\$11,500	\$7,200	\$8,000	\$50,900	\$12,700	\$18,800	\$8,700	\$118,800	\$9,900	\$19,200	\$4,800	\$3,800	\$9,300
2014 Fee	\$7,400	\$3,300	\$12,500	\$7,800	\$8,600	\$55,100	\$13,800	\$20,200	\$9,500	\$127,900	\$10,700	\$20,800	\$5,100	\$4,100	\$10,000

Implementing this method in FY 2015 would have resulted in a 43 percent increase from the previous year which would have a disproportionate impact upon small NRC licensees. Therefore, the NRC revised the increase to 21 percent for the upper-tier fee. The 21 percent increase was applied based on historical trends in the small entity fee and has been used in previous biennial reviews.

 Prior Year
 21% ceiling
 Increase
 Rounded Fee

 Top
 \$ 2,800
 21%
 \$588
 \$3,400

 Lower
 \$ 600
 21%
 126
 \$700

ЗМ	30	ЗР	35	4B	40	54	74	70	94	90	Total	Weighted Average	2-year Weighted Average	39% of 2-year weighted average	Rounded	Prior Year
15	36	255	0	0	1	7	1	226	20	7	659]	
18	· 33	264	1	3	1	8	1	224	20	5	652					
71	81	1120	18	13	1	31	11	874	66	23	2889]	
25.35%	40.74%	23.57%	5.56%	23.08%	100.00%	25.81%	9.09%	25.63%	30.30%	21.74%	22.57%					
\$16,700	\$27,200	\$6,400	\$30,500	\$19,600	\$15,600	\$12,600	\$21,600	\$9,000	\$8,000	\$7,900		\$9,732				
\$18,000	\$29,800	\$6,800	\$33,000	\$21,100	\$16,700	\$13,600	\$23,800	\$9,900	\$8,600	\$8,400		\$10,713	\$10,223	\$3,987	\$4,000	2800
														\$854	\$900	600

٨

.

43% 50%

Budget Authority (FY 2017)

Budget Authority (FY 2017)

FY 2017 Budget Summary by Program

This report is provided as supplemental information. It provides a summary of the FY 2017 budgeted FTE and contract dollars allocated to each fee class and fee-relief/surcharge activities at the Program level. The Programs include: 1) Nuclear Reactor Safety, 2) Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety, 3) Corporate Support, and 4) Inspector General.

The table below delineates where the *major* portion of a Business Line's direct budgetary resources are allocated when calculating 10 CFR Part 171 fees for a license fee class. The indirect portion of a Business Line (e.g. Training, Travel, Mission Support and Supervisors), as well as Corporate Support budgetary resources, are distributed among all license fee classes.

CROSSWALK OF BUSINESS LINES' ALLOCATION TO FEE CLASSES*

Business Line	License Fee Class
Operating Reactors	Power Reactors, Test and Research
	Reactors, Import/Export
New Reactors	Power Reactors
Fuel Facilities	Fuel Facilities
Nuclear Materials Users	Materials Users, Import/Export
Spent Fuel Storage and	Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor
Transportation	Decommissioning, Transportation
Decommissioning and Low-level	Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor
Waste	Decommissioning, Uranium Recovery

*Delineates where the major portion of a Business Line's direct budgetary resources are allocated for a license fee class. Does not include fee-relief allocation. NRC does not have licensees under the Rare Earth fee class.

More information about 10 CFR Part 170 and 10 CFR Part 171 can be found at NRC's public website: http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/regulatory/licensing/fees.html.

			SPENT FUE	STORAGE	TE	ST AND										
			SPENT FUE	STORAGE	TE	ST AND	_							ŀ		
TOTAL	ALL	R REACTORS	REACTOR	DECOMM. ATIONS	REA	EARCH CTORS CATIONS	FUEL FAC		MATE	RIALS	TRANSPOR	TATION	URANIUM REI	COVERY	RARE E	ARTH
CONTRACT	CONTRA	CT	CONTRACT		CONTRAC	т	CONTRACT	C	ONTRAC	r i	CONTRACT		CONTRACT	(ONTRACT	
\$,K F1	TE \$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$.K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
							*************								<u> </u>	
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY 128,087.0 2,04	042.0 95,040	.0 1,531.0	2.0	0.1	308.1	4.9	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund) 33,088.0 54	545.0 2,451	.0 8.2	3,618.0	67.8	0.3	0.0	1,860.0	81.7	914.9	83.9	1,182.2	19.8	2,688.0	30.2	0.0	0.0
CORPORATE 202,725.0 7	717.0	.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB) 1,358.0	58.0															
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE 1 365,258.0 3,34	362.0 97,491	.0 1,539.2	3,620.0	67.9	308.4	4.9	1,860.0	81.7	918.9	83.9	1,184.2	19.9	2,688.0	30.2	0.0	0.0

· .

FY 2017 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES							1			Γ													
								-															
				1									-							_	•		
	IMPORT/EXP	ORT	INCLUC FEE-RI ACTIV	ed in Elief Ities	INCLUDI HOURLY & F	ED IN TE RATE	NONPRO	FIT ED.	INTERNATION ACTIVITIES	AL		AGRE ST OVER	EMENT ATE	AGRE ST REG S	EMENT ATE JPPORT	GEN LICE	JLE/ NSEES/ ISHIPS	GENER DECOMM RECLAIMA	IC ISS/	Milli RAI 2	TARY	GENERIC LI	
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT	1	CONTRACT		CONTRAC	at i	CONTRACT	1		CONTRAC	r i	CONTRA	CT	CONTRAC	T	CONTRACT	-r	CONTRA	CT	CONTHACT	(
	S.K	FTE	S,K	FTE	S,K	FTE	S,K	FTE	S,K	FTE	1	\$.K	FTE	\$.K	FTE	S,K	FTE	S.K	FTE	\$.K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
									********									• • •		** ******			
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	0.0	1.0	2,652.9	24.9	30,078.0	480.0	1,043.	9 16.7	8.0	1.0	6	29.0	0.2		0.0 0.	1,57	2.0 6.	4 0.	0.0	0.	0.0	0.0	0.0
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	0.0	2.5	14,019.6	141.4	6,354.0	109.5	17.	4 5.t	6,544.0	16.	7	1,832.0	27.7	2,7	95.7 38	52	8.5 2.	3 1,873.	0 40.5	70.	0 2.7	299.0	7.5
CORPORATE	0.0	0.0	562.0	6.0	202,163.0	711.0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0 0.	56	2.0 6.	D 0.	0.0	0.	0.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)					1,358.0	58.0																	
																							i
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE BESOURCE	0.0	3.5	17.234.5	172.3	239,953.0	1.358.5	1.121.3	3 21.8	6 552 0	18.3	3	1.861.0	27.9	2.7	95.7 38	2.66	2.5 14.	7 1.873.	0 40.5	70.	0 2.7	299.0	7.5

•

-

.

,

Budget Authority (FY 2017)

FY 2017 Budget by Product Line

These reports are provided as supplemental information. They provide a summary of the FY 2017 budgeted FTE and contract dollars by Product Line and allocated by: 1) the Nuclear Reactor Safety Program and the Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety Program, 2) Corporate Support, 3) Inspector General, by each office with mission direct budgeted resources.

The offices include:

Office of Inspector General Office of Research Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations Office of New Reactors Regional Offices Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response Office of General Counsel Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Office of International Programs Office of International Programs Office of Investigations Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer Office of Administration

FY 2017 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

.

7

			Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes			
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Hourly Rate FTE
Inspector General	Inspector General (IG)	Inspector General (PL)	1,358	58	1,358	58
Grand Total		<u> </u>	1,358	58	1,358	58

			FY 2017 1	BUDGET RE	SOUNCES FOR OFFI	SE OF RESEARCI	1					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
DFFICE	RES	÷										
		J										_
			Budget Resources Allocated		•							
		T	to Fee Classes		·····							+
						1						
				.				1				
			1	ι ι		{ }		1	l I			1
	1											1
						1 1						
						I I						
						1 1						
					Power Reactors	Power	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor	Fee Relief Contract	Fee Relief	Hourly Rate	Ho
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Contract (\$,K)	Reactors FTE	Decomm. Contract (\$,K)	Decomm. FTE	(\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	Rate
		Information				-						
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Mgmt.	48	0					0	0	4	8
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Research	0	0					0 .	0		
	Nuclear Materials Users	Research	C	1					0	1		
		Travel (PL)	. 20	0					0	0	2	0
									-	_		
		Rulemaking (PL)) C	0					0	0		
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Research	1303	3			1,303	3	0	0		-
		Travel (PL)		0					0	0		<u>u</u>
Nuclear Denster Colety	Decommissioning and LLW	Research		10	0.575	10			0	0		-
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Research	35/5	<u> </u>	3,5/5	12			<u> </u>			
		PL-W Support				1 1			0	0		~
		Stall				t(4
		Bulemaking (PL)		0					0	0		
	· · · · ·	International	(_		1
	Operating Reactors	Activities	·	3		3			0	0		
		Research	34444	124	34,444	124	-		0	0		1
		Training	• •	0					0	0		
		PL-M Support										
		Staff	134	38					0	0	13	4
· · ·		Travel (PL)	888	0					0	0	88	8
									1			
		IRulemaking (PL)	250	12	250	12			0	0		1
Grand Total			40662	i 194	38.269	1 151	1.303	1 3	1 0	1 1	ı 109	101

,

**

			FY 2017 BUDGET RESOURCES F	OR OFFI	CE OF NUCLEAR RE	ACTOR REGULATI	ONS					
OFFICE	NRR											
	¢		Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes									
		-										
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Test & Research Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Test & Research Reactors FTE	Fee Relief Contract (\$,K)	Fee Relief FTE	Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Hourly Rate FTE
		Information										
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Mgmt.	48	1			<u> </u>		0.0	0	48	1
		Outreach	0	0					0.0	0	0	0
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Licensing	0	0					0.0	0		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	International										
	Nuclear Materials Users	Activities		. 1					0.0	1		
		Rulemaking										
	Count Final Others and Town of the	(PL)	0						0.0	0		
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Licensing				1			0.0	0		
Nuclear Pointer Safety	New Pegaters	Licensing	0	7		7			0.0	0		
Nuclear Reactor Salety	New Heactors	Oversight	0	2	<u> </u>	- /			0.0	0		
		PL-M Support Staff	0	1		2			0.0	0	0	1
		Travel (PL)	40	0					0.0	0	40	0
		Rulemaking (PL)	0	1	-	1			0.0	0		
		International	.	_								
	Operating Reactors	Activities		7	10.050	5.4		- 10	0.0	1.6		
		Licensing	15170	304	12,059	281	2/1.8	4.6	2,121,2	16.4	/18	2
		Oversight	8969	421	8,969	417		0.3	0.0	3.7		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DI M Support	0	0				·	0.0	U		
		Staff							0.0	0	900	60
	· · · ·	Travel (PL)	2120						0.0	0	2129	52
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bulemaking		ľ								*
		(PL)	655	25	150	25	33.7		471.3	0		
Grand Total			27899	864	21,178	740.4	305.5	4.9	2,592.5	22.7	3823	96

,

		F	2017 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OF	FICE OF	NEW REACTORS	-			·	
OFFICE	NRO				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes		-					
			. *	Total	Power Reactors Contract	Power Reactors	Fee Relief Contract	Fee Relief	Hourly Rate Contract	Hourly Rate
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	FTE	(\$,K)	FTE	(\$,K)	FTE	(\$,K)	FTE
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Information Mgmt.	48	0			0	0	48	
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Nuclear Materials Users	International Activities	0	1			0	1	ļ	
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Activities	. 60	3	60	3	0	0		
		Licensing	15042	172	15,042	172	0	0		
		Oversight	200	87	200	87	0	0		
		Training	0	0			0	0		
		PL-M Support								
		Staff	366	66			0	0	366	66
		Travel (PL)	1425	0			0	0	1425	C
	New Reactors Total		17093	331	15,302	265	0	0	1791	66
	Operating Reactors	Licensing	1400	20	1,400	20	0	0	<u> </u>	
		Oversight	0	2		2	0	0		
		PL-M Support			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
		Staff	0	1			0	0	0	1
		Pulomoking	120				U	0	120	
			0	1	,	1	0	0		
Grand Total		1(1 - 2)	18661	356	16,702	288	0	1	1959	67
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				• <u> </u>			

-

-

-

			-								
			FY 2017 BUDGET	RESOURCES FOR REGION	AL OFFICE	<u> </u>				,	
		· · · · · ·	I							i	
1				Budget Resources							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Allocated to Fee Classes							
ļļ										ļ	
Brogram	Brogram	Pusinasa Linna	Deside and the second	Tabal Contract (C.K)	Tabal CTF	Power Reactors	Dames Daratara ETT	Fuel Feellas FTF	Matariala ETE	Hourly Hate Contract	Hauslu Data ETE
REG1	Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Product Lines	4758	Total FTE	Contract (\$,K)	Power Heactors FIE	Fuel Facility Fite	Waterials FIE	4758	nourly nate FIE
	Corporate Support Total			4758	- 6				· · ·	4758	6
-	Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Nuclear Materials Users	Oversight	0	0						
			PL-M Support Staff	0	11					0	11
		Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	PL-M Support Statt	0	3					0	
		Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation Tota	l laver (FL)	25	3					25	3
		apoint ad clouge and manipertation role	Travel (PL)	29	0					29	0
		Decommissioning and LLW Total		29	Ő					29	ō
	Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Travel (PL)	4	0	<u>`-</u>	<u> </u>			4	0
			Training	215	0	215				4	
			Travel (PL)	2256	0					2256	0
		Operating Reactors Total		2933	46	263	4			2670	42
DEG(Tabal	Nuclear Reactor Safety Total			2937	46	263	4			2674	42
BEG3	Corporate Support	Corporate Support		8146	66	263	- 4 -			/883 4701	- 62
			Training	4/01	0						,
			PL-M Support Staff	0	11					0	11
		Nuclear Metalista 112 Tatal	Travel (PL)	332	0					332	0
		Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Travel (PL)	332	12		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	332	
		apont r der otorage and transportation	Travel (PL)	34						34	0
		Decommissioning and LLW Total		34	2					34	2
	Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety Total	New Deseters	Teenel (D/)	390	14				1	390	13
	Nuclear Reactor Safety	New reactors	Fvent Response	11		40	<u> </u>			11	
<u> </u>		operating neactors	Training	180		180					ō
			PL-M Support Staff	336	41			·		336	41
DEC	Nuclear Reactor Safety Total			2347	44	220	3			2127	41
REG4	Corporate Support	Corporate Support		4728	6					4728	6
	Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Travel (PL)	4/28	- 0					10	0
					ľ						
		Fuel Facilities Total		10	0				1	10	0
		Nuclear Materials Users	Licensing	0	1			-	1		
		Nuclear Market Cold International Contraction	Travel (PL)	309	0					309	0
		Nuclear Materials Users Total	Travel (P)	309					<u> </u>	309	<u>⊢− </u>
		Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation Tota	al aver (r L)	32					<u> </u>	32	0
		Decommissioning and LLW	PL-M Support Staff	0	1					0	1
	Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety Total			422	10				1	422	9
		New Reactors Total	Event Bernard	46		<u> </u>				46	0
		Operating Headlors	Oversight			000					
			Training	0					<u> </u>		Ō
			Travel (PL)	2222	0					2222	0
PEGA Tetel	Nuclear Reactor Safety Total			2976	41	586	3			2390	38
neu4 Iotal	Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Oversight	8126	57	586	- 3	l	<u> </u>	/540	53
	Tracical materials and maste salety		PL-M Support Staff		7	· · ·	<u> </u>	· · ·		0	7
L		Fuel Eacilities Total	I ravel (PL)	528				1	↓	528	7
		Nuclear Materials Users	Travel (PL)	1526					<u> </u>	15	
		Nuclear Materials Users Total		15	i č)				15	
	Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety Total			559	8	070		1		559	7
	Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Oversight		1 1	270	<u> 1</u>				
		<u> </u>	PL-M Support Staff		19				<u> · </u>	·· n	13
			Travel (PL)	686			<u> </u>	· · ·	<u> </u>	686	
			Oversight	Ċ	2		2				
			Training	0					<u> </u>		C
			Travel (PL)	669	47			ļ	<u> </u>	2051	4/
	Nuclear Reactor Safety Total		naver(FL)	3776	63	370	3	İ	<u> </u>	3406	6
Grand Total	-	·	1	33150	261	1.439	13	1	2	31711	245

-

					FY 2017 B	JDGET RES	OURCES FOR OFFICE	OF NUCLEAR MATE	RIAL SAFETY	AND SAFE	UARDS							-			1
									_						<u> </u>						
AFFIAR				1					_												
OFFICE	NMSS	·i		1	i								·								
			Durlant	-				· · · ·	-							<u> </u>	-	-		<u> </u>	
			Resources Allocated to Fee Classes																		
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. Contract (S,K)	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. FTE	Fuel Facility Contract (\$,K)	Fuel Facility FTE	Test & Research Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Materials Contract (\$,K)	Materials FTE	Transportation Contract (\$,K)	Transportatio	Uranium Recovery Contract (\$,K)	Uranium Recovery FTE	Fee Relief Contract (\$,K)	Fee Relief FTE	Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Houriy Rate
Company's Company	Compared Burnard	Information																			
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Mgmt.		위	1	-			-							· -		<u> </u>	+ •	80	4
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Activities			5					1						<u> </u>		0	5	L	
· · ·		Licensing	960	0 24	4				960	24						·		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
		Oversignt		9 30	J				· · · · ·	30	-								<u> </u>		
		PL-M Support	950		n															250	
		Travel (PL)	42						-				+					<u> </u>		42/	
·		Bulemaking		1									1				1			1	<u>'</u>
		(PL)	2	3 4	4				23	4	1							0	0		1
	Nuclear Materials Users	-p7.	423	4 13	3 3	1	3		3	0.5	0.3	300.2	55	1	0.5		1	3,582	62	34	3 13
		International																		-	1
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Activities	18	0 :	3		90	0.5						90 ·	0.5			0	2		
		Licensing	275	0 4	5		1,825	29.6						907.1	15.1			18	0.3		
		Oversight		0 10	0	.		8,5							1.5			0	0		
		Research	143	5 ;	3 1.435	3												0	0		
		PL-M Support	1 .			1						1		[1				1	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Staff	1.	4 1	2													0	0	14	12
J	Sport Fuel Stars and Techonastallan Te	[Travel (PL)	38	8 1	1 0.025		1.002	40.0	_					1069.2	. 10.1					388	<u> </u>
	spent rue storage and Transportation To	International	5(0,	<u>(8</u>	1 2,235	<u> </u>	1,802	42.0	-(·	f		1008.2	19.1	<u> </u>	1	20	1 20	404	4
	Decommissioning and LLW	Activities	10	- I	9	1				1			1	1			1	100	3	1	
		Licensing	454	3 5	4	I		<u> </u>		+		<u> </u>	1	1	1	2.600.0	19.4	1.943	34.6		·
		Oversight	11	1 2	1	<u> </u>		9.9	1		-						5.8	111	5.3		
		PL-M Support							-						1						
		Staff	1:	2 1	1	1												0	0	12	2 11
		Travel (PL)	30-	4 (0	0	304	4 0
		Ruternaking													1		1				
		(PL)	42	8 4	4													428	4		
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety To	otal		1719	6 38	2 2,238	6	1,985	52.5	986	58.5	0.3	300.2	55	1068.2	19.6	2,600.0	26.2	6,183	116.2	183	<u>48</u>
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Heactors	Dutensing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	1	1		<u> </u>	-						·		-	U U			+
		PLI		. I	1	1 1		1		1			1					1 0	1 0		1
	New Reactors Total	1º 9	<u> </u>	0	2	2	1	<u> </u>	-				-		<u> </u>		+	ă	ŏ	1	+
	Operating Reactors	Licensing		ŏ	2			<u>-</u>		1	<u> </u>		1	1-	1		1	- č	2	+	+
		Oversight		ō i	8	8	1	_	- I									0	0		1 .
Nuclear Reactor Safety Total		1		0 1	5	13												0	2		1
Grand Total			1729	2 39	7 2,238	19	1,985	52.5	986	58.5	0.3	300.2	55	1068.2	19.6	2,600.0	26.2	6,183	118.2	1931	48

• •

x

			FY 2017 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFF	ICE OF	NUCLEAR SECURI	TY AND INCIDENT	RESPONSE						
								<u> </u>				1	
OFFICE	NSIR	<u> </u>						<u> </u>				-	
		·						<u> </u>					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes										
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. FTE	Fuel Facility Contract (\$,K)	Fuel Facility FTE	Måterials FTE	Fee Relief FTE	Hourly Rate Contract (S,K)	Hourly Rate FTE
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Information Momt	362	1	0						0	362	10
		Information Technology	30		1						0	30	1
Nuclear Materials and waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Event Hesponse	30		2 .			30	2		0	<u> </u>	
		Activities	0		1				1		0		
		Licensing	0		3		-		3		0	1	
		PL-M Support	337		7	-		337	- 7	-	0		
		Staff	o		3						0	0	3
		Travel (PL)	86		<u> </u>						0	86	
·		Rulemaking (PL)	0	ļ	2			<u> </u>	2	ļ	0		
	Nuclear Materials Users	Event Response	0		4					0.6	3.4		
		International											
		Activities	0		1						1		
		PL-M Support			·	-					<u> </u>		
		Staff	0		o						0	0	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Travel (PL)	20		0						0	20	
		Rulemaking (PL)) 。		1					0.1	0.9		
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Licensing	0		3		3		[<u> </u>		0		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Oversight	0		2		2				0		
		PL-M Support Staff			1		- <u>-</u>				o	0	
		Rulemaking (PL)	0		1		1				0		
		Generic HLS (PL)	0		0						0		
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Licensing	595		8 595	8			_		0		
		Oversight	600		5 600	5		<u> </u>			0		
		Staff	0		2						0	0	
		Travel (PL)	51		0	-				-	0	51	(
		Rulemaking (PL)	0		o						0		
	Operating Reactors	Event Response	6798	4	0 6,798	40					0		
		International											
		Licensing	0		6 750	25					0	-	
		Oversight	3659	7	2 3,659	72		<u> · ·</u>			6	<u> </u>	
		Training	0		0					_	0		
		PL-M Support		_							_		
		Travel (PL)	0		0	╋╴───┤		·			0	873	28
				t				1		<u> </u>	†		
Grand Total		Rulemaking (PL)	325	22	6 325	6	6	367	15	07	63	1499	

•

2

,

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FY 2017 BUD	GET RESC	URCES FOR OFFIC	E OF GENERAL	COUNSEL	a	· · · · · ·	· · · · ·				
		r							<u> </u>			··· ·	++	— —
OFFICE	ogc											1	-	
				1										
	······································		Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes			-								
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$.K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (S,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. FTE	Fuel Facility FTE	Materials FTE	Uranium Recovery FTE	Fee Relief Contract (S.K)	Fee Relief	Hourly Rate	Hourly Rate FTE
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Information Mgmt.	62	(0	0	62	(
		Information Technology	C								0	0	0	(
		Policy Support	666	18	3						0	0	666	18
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Licensing		2	2			2			0	0	0	(
		Travel (PL)		i (0	0	6	
		Rulemaking (PL)						1			0	0	<u> </u>	
	Nuclear Materials Users	International Activities									0	0	0	
		Licensing	(4	¥			_	3.8		0	0.2	0	(
		State, I ribal and											1 1	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Federal Pgms	(0	1	ļ	
		Travel (PL)	(U	0	0	
		Pulomaking (PL)	14						0.1		0		14	
	Spent Evel Storage and Transportation	Licensing							0.1		0	- 0.9		
	opent i del otorage and mansportation	Bulemaking (PL)					3				0		Y	···· '
	Decommissioning and LLW	Licensing (FL)			7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	0	5		
	bedenning and EEM	PI -M Support Staff			1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				ů ř	ő	- 0	
		Travel (PL)	11		<u>; </u>						0	ő	11	(
		Bulemaking (PL)	, ,		il						ň	Ť		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Licensing		13		12			1		0	ò	0	
		Oversight			il in the second se	1					ŏ	0		
		PL-M Support Staff		8	3	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					ŏ	ŏ	0	1
		Travel (PL)	46	. (0	0	46	
		Rulemaking (PL)	((1			1		0	0	1 1	
	Operating Reactors	Licensing	(19		16			1		0	0	· · · · · ·	
		Oversight	(2	2					0	0	1	
		Training	53	6							0	0	53	
		PL-M Support Staff	61	1.	()						0	0	61	1
		Travel (PL)	20		2						0	0	20	
		Rulemaking (PL)		1 1	1	4			1		0	0		
Grand Total			939	10	11	36	4	3	3.9	1 1	0	8.1	1 939	4

		FY 2017 E	BUDGET RESOURCES FO	R ADVISC	RY COMMITTEE ON RE	ACTOR SAFEGUAR	RDS				
OFFICE	ACRS										
			Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes								
1 1				{				{			-
											1
	•										
1					,	<i>r</i>					
· ·											
				Total	Power Reactors	Power Reactors	Fuel Facility	Fee Relief	Fee Relief	Hourly Rate	
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	Hourly Rate FTE
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Licensing	0	1			1	0	· 0		
	Decommissioning and LLW	Licensing	0	1				0	11		
		Travel (PL)	6	0				0	0		0
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Licensing	60	7	60	7		0	0		· · · · ·
		PL-M Support Statt	0	2				0	0	0	2
	One and the Designation	Licensia	150		101			0		150	0
	Operating Reactors	DL M Support Cloff	134	16	134	16		0	0		<u>_</u>
		Travol (PL)	275	3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0	0	976	3
Creard Total		(Indver(FL)	3/3		101		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3/3	<u> </u>

. .

.

,

· · · ·		FY 2017 BUDG	ET RESOURCE	S FOR C	FFICE OF INTE	RNATIONAL	PROGRAMS						
OFFICE	OIP											<u> </u>	
			Budget										
			Resources							•			
			Allocated to				×.						
	,		Fee Classes										
		1											
		1											
	,											1	
		1					-						
	· · · · ·		Tetal		Davias	Damag				Fee Dellef	[Uninte Data	
			Combract	Tetal	Power	Power	Spent Fuel	Matariala	Imment/Exment	Contract	Eas Dallaf	Rouny Hate	Manualus Data
Broavom	Business Lines	Deaduat Linco	Contract	IOCAL	Reactors	Reactors	Stor/Reactor	inaterials	ETE	Contract	Fee Relief	Contract	
Flogram	business Lines	Product Lines	(\$,\$)	, FIE	Contract (S,K)	FIE	Decomm. FIE	FIE	FIE	(ə,r.)		(\$,1)	FIE
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Policy Support	370	3						0	0	370	3
		International								-			
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Activities	0	1				1]	0	0	1	1
		International				1							
	Nuclear Materials Users	Activities	6444	11				3.5	2.5	6,444	4.5		0.5
		PL-M Support											1
		Staff	0	4						0	0	0	4
		International									1		
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Activities	0	0						0	0		
		International											
	Decommissioning and LLW	Activities	0	2			1			0	<u> </u>		
		PL-M Support								0			
		Internetional	0	0						<u> </u>	·····	U	0
Nuclear Peactor Safety	New Posstera	Activities		2		2				0	0		1
Nuclear neactor Safety	New neactors	International		0		6				0	- ·		
	Operating Reactors	Activities		7		6			1	0	0		'
	operaning reasone	PL-M Support											
		Staff	0	6		i i		1		0	0	0	6
		Travel (PL)	288	0						0	0	288	0
Grand Total			7102	37	с. ^а з	8	1	3.5	3.5	6,444	5.5	658	15.5

					FY 20	D17 BUDGET	RESOURCES FOR OFFICI	E OF ENFORCEME	NT									
																	N 1	1
OFFICE	OE	1																1
					·													
¢	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N.	Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes					J				-		÷				
Program	Burgingen Linge	Product Lines	Total Contract /\$ K)	Total	Power Reactors	Power Reactors	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor	Fuel Facility Contract	Fuel Facility	Materials Contract	Materials	Transportation	Transportation	Fee Relief Contract	Fee Relief	Hourly Rate Contract	Houriy Rate
riogiani	Dasmess Lines	Floquet Ellies	Total Costa act (0,14)	112	Contract (\$,it)		Deconstr. Contract (3, K)	Deconate TTE	(0,14)		(0,14)		Ochina or (o,ity	.,	(Qity		(\$10)	
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Human Resource Mgmt.	0	.											0.0	0	0	0
		Information												_				1
		Technology	138	0											0.0	0	138	0
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Oversight	10	2					10.0	2					0.0	0		
		PL-M Support Staff	0	1											0.0	o	0	1
		Travel (PL)	4	0											0.0	0	4	0
	Nuclear Materials Users	Oversight	47	11			2.0	0.3			41	10.4	11		2.7	0.3		1
		PL-M Support Staff	0	1											0.0	0	o	1
		Travel (PL)	35	(0.0	0	35	. 0
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Oversight	6		6.0	4									0.0	0	1	
		PL-M Support Staff	c		0										0.0	0	0	, o
		Travel (PL)	7												0.0	0	7	0
	Operating Reactors	Oversight	198	22	193.0	21.8	2.0	0.1					2	0.1	0.9	0		
		PL-M Support Staff													0.0	0	0	5
		Travel (PL)	41		9				-1-		-				0.0	0	41	0
Grand Total			486	40	199.0	25.8	4.0	. 0.4	10.0	2	41	10.4	3	0.1	3.6	0.3	225	7

,

· ·

		FY 2017 BUD	GET RESOURCES FOR	OFFICE	OF INVESTIGATIO)NS				
OFFICE										
		<u> </u>								
		<u>.</u>	Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes							
·								÷		
Program -	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Totai FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Materials FTE	Fee Relief FTE	Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Hourly Rate FTE
Comparete Current	On any second Course and	Information	075						075	
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Technology	275			·			2/5	0
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Nuclear Materials Users	Oversignt	0	6			5.6	0.4		
		Staff	0	1				0	0	1
		Travel (PL)	131	Ö				0	131	
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Oversight	0	1		1		0		
		Travel (PL)	41	Ó				0	41	0
	Operating Reactors	Oversight	93	23	93	23		0		
		Training	31	0				0	31	0
		PL-M Support								
		Staff	0	12				0	0	12
		Trougl (DL)	401	0				0	401	
		TTaver (FL)	401	0				0	401	0

FY 2017 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD																		
<u> </u>			·	1	7	1				1		1		1	1		r	
				+									-					
OFFICE	TASLEP TO THE TASLEP									1					-			_
		T							<u> </u>					1	-			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1					1									
			Budget Resources Allocated to Fee															
·		T	0185555	-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
				Totai	Power Reactors	Power Reactors	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. Contract	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor	Fuel Facility Contract	Fuel Facility	Materials Contract	Material	Uranium Recovery	Uranium Recovery	Fee Relief Contract	Fee Relief	Hourly Rate Contract	Hourly Rate
Program	Business Lines	Product Line	s Total Contract (\$,K)	FIE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	(\$,K)	Decomm. FTE	(S,K)	FTE	(\$,K)	S FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	{\$,K}	FTE	(\$,K)	FTE
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Administrative	890												0.0	0	890	2
Nuclear Materials and waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	1.			·	-			5						0.0	0	0	0
	Nuclear Materials Users	Licensing	28		<u> </u>					-	26.3	1.9			1./	0.1		
	Nuclear Materials Users Total	+	55		<u></u>						26.3	1.9			1.7	0.1	27	0
	Spent rule Storage and Transportation	Licensing		<u> </u>		l	15								0.0	0	<u> </u>	
	Spent ruei storage and Transportation Total	Linnelan		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		15	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			-		0.0	0	9	C
	Decommissioning and LLW	Licensing	60	<u> </u>									60	3	0.0			<u>_</u>
Nuclear Meterials and Wests Safety Total	Decommissioning and LLW Total		82	-	<u>-</u>		45	<u> </u>		+	00.0		60	3	0.0	0	22	L
Nuclear materials and waste Safety lotal		DI M Cuperent	160	4			15	<u> </u>	5	<u> </u>	26.3	1.9	60	<u> </u>	1.7	0.1		
		Travel (DL)		4	<u></u>	·	·	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	├ ───				0.0			- 2
	New Bresters Total	LI IAVOI (PL)	48		000					1		l			0.0	<u> </u>	48	0
	New Heactors Total	I tanalaa	418		360	1 10	↓ ·	· ·			<u> </u>	L			0.0		58	2
	Operating Reactors	Licensing	85	10		10	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			0.0	0		
		Transl (D1)		4	2	l				<u> </u>			·	├ ───	0.0	0	- 0	3
<u> </u>	A state B sate 7 state	Travel (PL)	- 21			- 10								-	0.0	0	21	
Nuclear Departur Cafato Tatal	Operating Heactors Total	+	126		<u>8 85</u>	10		·		·	———				0.0		41	3

•

.

											760								·
	,		Г .		FT 2017 BUDG		UNCES FOR U			CAPITAL OFFIC		1	1					I	
		1					·												
OFFICE	OCHCO																		
		1		L															
		1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Burdenet	2 A	1.0	2 A 1	P 28 18 1	11 N 11	1 - A 1 - 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			- 22 tot - 2	1 A A	1 - A			1. 1	3.5
1	a a transfer de la companya de la co	· · ·	Resources		1. A.	· · · · ·	· · · · ·			. · ·		1 1 A 1	1	e i		· ·		· *	*
			Allocated to	b		·			i di karangi	e .		, °	1						
			Fee Classes	* 199	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.1.1.1	a presidente de la companya de la co			1		6.5. *					1.		
				2 N 70		1	1	1. S. 1.		-	1.1			9 - A.				· · · · ·	
		1.0	4 G		1 . See . 1	1	Spent Fuel	2 C 1	4		1	1]	, ."			× 1	
· ·	1			46.0	1. A. A.		Stor/Heactor			Test &			1	· · .			ĺ	Lining Date	
• · · · · ·			Total Contract	Total	Power	Power	Decomm.	Filet Feelling	Fuel	Research	Materials	Matoriolo	Transportation	Transportation	Dranium	Fee Heller	Eco Poliot	Contract	Nouriu Pata
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	(S.K)	FTE	Contract (\$ K)	FTE	(SK)	Contract (S.K)	FTE	Contract (S.K)	(S.K)	FTE	Contract (S.K)	FTE	Contract (S.K)	(S.K)	FTE	(S.K)	FTE
, rogium	Euco Enico	Human						- ooning (v).y				- ···-				(4).4			
		Resource		1				1											
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Mgmt.	4307	52	2											0.0	o	4307	52
		Information																	
		Mgmt.	5	1						_						0.0	0	5	1
		Outreach	0)		<u> </u>									0.0	0		
	Proved and table	Training	2879	19	4		<u> </u>									0.0	<u> </u>	28/9	19
Nuclear Materials and waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Internetional	428		²		<u> </u>	401		<u> </u>	27.0				-	0.0	U U		
	Nuclear Materials Licers	Activities														0.0	1 0		
	Hudean materials 03013	Training	1858		205	0.2	42	75	0.2		293.0	0.7	33	0,2		1.210.0	1.7	- o	- 1
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Training	93				13						80			0.0	0	-	
	Decommissioning and LLW	Training	715	i (8		258	16			24.0				28	381.0	0		
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Training	685	i <u>1</u> :	679	12										6.0	0	0	1
		PL-M Support			.														
l		Statt	co				↓			—	<u> </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.0			1
	Operating Reactors	Training	3175	26	3 1 15	24.8	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		2.5	40		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			53.5	0.2	0	<u> </u>
	eperating reserves	PL-M Support		1 20	0,110	24.0	<u> </u>		· · · ·						t			1	·
1		Staff	c		L I	1								1		0.0	0	0	4
		Travel (PL)	130								L					0.0	0	130	0
Grand Total	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*5-1-1	14335	120	4,007	37	313	492	0.2	2.5	34B.0	0.7	113	0.2	28	1,650.5	1.9	7381	80

~

i

•

		FY 2017	BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF A	DMINISTRA	TION			
OFFICE	ADM							
			Budget Resources Allocated to Fee	1			\$	
			Classes				· · · ·	
					Fee Relief		Hourly Rate	
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$.K)	Total FTE	Contract (\$,K)	Fee Relief FTE	Contract (\$,K)	Hourly Rate FTE
		Administrative						
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Services	69848	105	0 `	0	69848	105
		Human Resource						
l		Mgmt.	150	1	0	0	150	1
		Information Mgmt.		0	0	0	48	0
-		Information						
		Technology	1488	1	0	0	1488	1
		Acquisitions	4745	64	0	0	4745	64
Nuclear Reactor Safety	Operating Reactors	Oversight	110	0	0	0	110	0
Grand Total	· · ·		76389	171	0	0	76389	171

,

x
Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 (OBRA-90)

Referenced throughout the final rule

This document is provided as supplemental information. The proposed amendments to 10 CFR Parts 170 and 171 are necessary to implement the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 (OBRA-90), as amended. The OBRA-90, as amended, requires that the NRC recover approximately 90 percent of its budget authority in fiscal year 2016, less the amounts appropriated for Waste Incidental to Reprocessing, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board and amounts appropriated for generic homeland security activities.

Court Decision, 1993

Allied Signal, Inc. v. NRC and Combustion Engineering v. NRC

This document is provided as supplemental information. In 1990 Congress required the NRC to collect annual charges and user fees approximating 100 percent of the agency's budget, effective for fiscal year 1991. NRC's FY 1991 fee rule imposed annual charges against virtually all of the agency's licensees in an effort to be more fair and equitable. Previously, it had levied annual charges only on operating nuclear power reactors, which constitute the most significant group of NRC licensees.

On July 10, 1991 (56 FR 31472), the NRC published a final rule in the *Federal Register* that established the Part 170 professional hourly rate and the materials licensing and inspection fees, as well as the Part 171 annual fees, to be assessed to recover approximately 100 percent of the FY 1991 budget. In addition to establishing the FY 1991 fees, the final rule established the underlying basis and methodology for determining both the Part 170 hourly rate and fees and the Part 171 annual fees. The FY 1991 rule was challenged in Federal court by *Allied Signal, Inc. v. NRC* and *Combustion Engineering v. NRC*.

The court remanded two issues to the NRC for further consideration. Despite the remand, the court did not vacate the rule. One of the remanded issues related to the exemption from annual fees for nonprofit educational institutions. The second remand issue dealt with LLW disposal costs.

Court Decision, 1993

Allied Signal, Inc. v. NRC and Combustion Engineering v. NRC

This document is provided as supplemental information. In 1990 Congress required the NRC to collect annual charges and user fees approximating 100 percent of the agency's budget, effective for fiscal year 1991. NRC's FY 1991 fee rule imposed annual charges against virtually all of the agency's licensees in an effort to be more fair and equitable. Previously, it had levied annual charges only on operating nuclear power reactors, which constitute the most significant group of NRC licensees.

On July 10, 1991 (56 FR 31472), the NRC published a final rule in the *Federal Register* that established the Part 170 professional hourly rate and the materials licensing and inspection fees, as well as the Part 171 annual fees, to be assessed to recover approximately 100 percent of the FY 1991 budget. In addition to establishing the FY 1991 fees, the final rule established the underlying basis and methodology for determining both the Part 170 hourly rate and fees and the Part 171 annual fees. The FY 1991 rule was challenged in Federal court by *Allied Signal, Inc. v. NRC* and *Combustion Engineering v. NRC*.

The court remanded two issues to the NRC for further consideration. Despite the remand, the court did not vacate the rule. One of the remanded issues related to the exemption from annual fees for nonprofit educational institutions. The second remand issue dealt with LLW disposal costs.

2 of 13 DOCUMENTS

 Allied-Signal, Inc., Petitioner v. U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the United States of America, Respondents Combustion Engineering, Inc., Petitioner v. U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the United States of America, Respondents Combustion Engineering, Inc., Petitioner v. U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the United States of America, Respondents Allied-Signal, Inc., Petitioner v. U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Respondent

No. 91-1407, No. 91-1435, No. 92-1001, No. 92-1019

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

300 U.S. App. D.C. 198; 988 F.2d 146; 1993 U.S. App. LEXIS 4684

November 5, 1992, Argued March 16, 1993, Decided

PRIOR HISTORY: [**1] Pennions for Review of An Order of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

COUNSEL: John Hoff, with whom Leonard A. Miller was on the brief, for peritioner Allied Signal, Inc. in Nos. 91-1407 and 92-1019.

Harold F. Reis, with whom Michael F. Healy was on the brief, for petitioner Combustion Engineering, Inc. in Nos. 91-1435 and 92-1001.

L. Michael Rafky, with whom William C. Parler, General Counsel, John F. Cordes, Sr., Solicitor, and E. Leo Slaggie, Deputy Solicitor, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and Katherine Adams, Attorney, Department of Justice, were on the brief, for respondents.

JUDGES: Before: Silberman, Williams and D.H. Ginsburg, Circuit Judges. Opinion for the Court filed by Circuit Judge Williams.

OPINION BY: WILLIAMS

OPINION:

[*148] Williams, Circuit Judge.

Congress has directed the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to recover 100% of its costs from those who receive its regulatory "services" and to allocate the costs "fairly and equitably" among those recipients. Petitioners Allied Signal and Combustion Engineering challenge an NRC rule making that allocation; they also attack the NRC's denial of various requested exemptions from the fees. They allege that the Commission's [**2] actions did not satisfy Congress's "fair[] and equitable" standard and also were arbitrary and capricious. We agree in part and remand the case to the Commission:

Under authority granned in the Independent Offices Appropriation Act of 1952 ("IOAA"), 31 U.S.C. § 9701, the Commission has long charged fees to any person who received a "service or thing of value" from the Commission. (That term. includes, pechaps oxymoronically, "regulatory services" such as permit processing.) In 1986, Congress expanded the NRCs recovery authority in the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 ("COBRA"), Pub. L. No. 99-272, 100 Stat. 147, and authorized it to recover 33% of its total annual budger through fees. Because IOAA fees could not generate that sum, Congress allowed the NRC to assess fees not only for the service-specific costs covered by IOAA but also for the Commission's generic costs of operation (e.g., costs associated with rulemaking proceedings or safety research). Later acts raised the budget recovery level to 45% for the years 1988 through 1990. al In carrying out the 33% and 45% recovery mandates, the Commission imposed fees for [**3] generic costs only on licensees who operated nuclear

Page I

power reactors, reasoning that they absorbed the most regulatory resources. See Florida Power and Light Co. v. United States, 269 U.S. App. D.C. 377, 846 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1988).

> nl See Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1987, Pub. L. No. 100-203, 101 Stat. 1330-275; Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1989, Pub. L. No. 101-239, 103 Stat. 2132.

In the 1990 Onmibus Reconciliation Act ("1990 OBRA"), Pub. L. No. 101-508, 104 Star. 1388-299; Congress raised the recovery mandate for 1991-95 to 100% of the Commission's budget, see Pub. L. No. 101-508, § 6101 (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2214), and told the Commission to promulgate a rule apportioning the generic fees "fairly and equitably" among licensees. Id. at § 6101(c)(3) (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2214(c)(3)). The legislation further said that "to the maximum extent practicable, the charges [assessed by the rule] shall have a reasonable [**4] relationship to the cost of providing regulatory services and may be based on the allocation of the Commission's resources among licensees or classes of licensees." Id. After notice and comment, the Commission issued a rule purporting to carry out these directions. In doing so, it imposed fees on virtually all licensees. See Revision of Fee Schedules; 100% Fee Recovery (the "Final Rule"), 56 Fed. Reg. 31,472 (July 10, 1991) (codified at 10 CFR §§ 52, 71, 170, and 171).

[*149] I

Allied, a uranium hexafiouride (UF) converter, first complains about the Commission's failure to consider the inability of UF converters to "pass through" OBRA fees to customers-i.e., to recoup them in whole or in part by raising prices. Allied asserts that the Commission's treatment of the issue was inconsistent with OBRA and also with the NRC's treatment of other licensees' passthrough capability.

Allied's claim rests on simple facts. It explains that domestic UF converters compete with foreign UF converters who are not subject to NRC licensing and thus are not required to pay NRC fees. Competition, it says, is stiff, success in bidding on UF conversion contracts often turns on [*5] differentials as small as one cent per pound. Fees imposed under the Final Rule, however, add up to almost five cents per pound of UF. Because adding the fee to their prices will drive customers to foreign converters, domestic UF converters cannot pass the costs forward. Allied draws a sharp contrast between UF converters and other NRC licensees such as electric utilities, which it says are readily able in pass the costs on to customers. The Commission disputes none of these assertions.

Allied's stanutory theory rests both on the 1990 OBRA and on the legislative history of 1986 COBRA-the latter being explicitly linked to the 1990 OBRA via its legislative history. Section 6201(c)(3) of the 1990 OBRA (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2214(c)(3)), provides that

> the Commission shall establish, by rule, a schedule of charges *fairly and equitably* allocating the aggregate amount of charges ... [necessary to recomp 100% of the Commission's badget].

(Emphasis added.) The Conference Report to the 1990 OBRA states that the Commission has "the discretion to assess annual charges against all of its licensees." H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 964, 101st Cong., [**6] 2d Sess. (1990), at 961. At the same time, however, the Report expressly reaffirms the statement of the [floor] managers [of 1986 COBRA] on the present anthority of the NRC to assess fees. Id. That statement in turn declared that it was the "intention of the conferences that, because certain Commission licensees, such as universities, hospitals, research and medical institutions, and uranium producers have limited ability to pass through the costs of these charges to the ultimate consumer, the Commission should take this factor into account in determining whether to modify [its] current fee schedule for such licensees." 132 Cong. Rec. H3797/3 (March 6, 1986) (emphases added),

300 U.S. App. D.C. 198; 988 F.2d 146, #149; 1993 U.S. App. LEXIS 4684, #7

non-power-reactor licensees if the Commission believes it can fairly, equitably, and practicably do so." H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 964, 101st Cong., 2d Sess. (1990), at 961. Even if we were to give the legislative history great weight, we could not conclude that Congress has "directly spoken" to whether the Commission must spare licensees that cannot pass the fees forward. See *Chevron v. Natural Resources Defense Council*, 467 U.S. 837, 842, 81 L. Ed. 2d 694, 104 S. Ct. 2778 (1984). The question therefore is whether the Commission's interpretation is reasonable. See *id*, at 845; Chemical Manufacturers Ass'n v. EPA, 287 U.S. App. D.C. 49, 919 F.2d 158, 162-63 (D.C. Cir. 1990).

The Commission offered two justifications for its decision to disregard the passthrough concerns of UF converters. First, it argued that it could not adjust fees based on competitive impact because the 100% recovery mandate of 1990 OBRA [*150] would require any abatement of fees for one class of licensees to be recouped from others. See Final Rule, 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,476; Letter of NRC Denying Allied Exemption [**8] Request at 3-4. However, while one could argue that it is unfair to charge any regulatee more than its pro rata share of generic costs (and not milair to excuse some regulatees from naving all of their pro rata share when less than 100 percent must be recovered), that potential explanation does not carry the day here. The Commission's willingness to make an exemption for nonprofit educational institutions belies the assertion that it will not charge any regulatee more than its pro rata share.

Nonetheless, the Commission also pointed to an entirely legitimate concern-the difficulty of assessing the ability of its 9000 licensees to pass through costs. See NRC Denial of Allied Exemption Request at 4. A firm's ability to pass through a burden to its customers depends on the price elasticities of supply and demand. "Inelastic suppliers and demanders pay taxes." Donald N. McCloskey, The Applied Theory of Price 324 (1982). (While the fees are technically not taxes, the same principle applies to costs generally.) Because these elasticities are typically hard to discover with much confidence, the Commission's refusal to read the statute as a rigid mandate to do so is not only understandable [**9] but reasonable.

It does not follow, however, that the Commission's application of the statute was in every respect reasonable. If capacity to pass the fees through can be determined with reasonable accuracy and at reasonable cost for specific classes of licensees, there appears no reason why the Commission should not do so. In fact, the Commission has made such a determination for another class of licensees, even though that class's claim seems no better founded than the claim of the domestic UF converters.

Specifically, in the Final Rule the Commission exempted nonprofit educational institutions from payment of centain 1990 OBRA fees. See 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,487/1-2, 31,491/1-2; 10 CFR § 171.11(a). This appears to be based at least in part on the rationale that such institutions "have a limited ability to pass the]] costs on to others." Final Rule, 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,477/1-2 (1991). n2 See also 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,487/2 (speaking of educational institutions' "limited ability to pass regulatory costs through to their clients").

> n2 This passage relates to the service-specific fees, but no independent justification for the exemption from generic costs appears, and the Commission here seems to assume that the explanation extends to the generic. See Commission Brief at 8, 19-20.

[**10]

The Commission nowhere explains how it was able to make this finding for non-profits but is not able to resolve the elasticity claim one way or the other for domestic UF converters. The Commission does not so much as hint at data relating to the markets in which educational institutions serve their "clients". n3 Neither does the Commission explain why a demand elasticity calculation was any easier or less costly to complete for educational institutions than for UF converters. Thus the Commission's denial of relief for UF converters, both at the rulemaking and the exemption stages, cannot be viewed as reasoned decision-making.

> n3 We note that for educational institutions with certain types of licenses, the exemption is unavailable with respect to activities such as "remunerated services ... [performed for] other persons" and "activities performed under a Government contract". See 10 CFR § 171.11(o)(2) & (4). This exclusion from the exemption, however, is limited to specific types of licenses, namely "byproduct, source or special

nuclear material licenses."

[**11]

An inadequately supported rule, however, need not necessarily be vacated. See, e.g., International Union, UMW v. FMSHA, 287 U.S. App. D.C. 166, 920 F.2d 960, 966-67 (D.C. Cir. 1990); Maryland People's Counsel v. FERC, 247 U.S. App. D.C. 333, 768 F.2d 450, 455 (D.C. Cir. 1985); ICORE, Inc. v. FCC, 985 F.2d 1075, Slip op. at 12 (D.C. Cir. 1993). The decision whether to vacate depends on "the seriousness of the order's deficiencies (and thus the extent of doubt whether the agency chose correctly) and the disruptive consequences of an interim [±151] change that may itself be changed." International Union, 920 F.2d at 967.

It is conceivable that the Commission may be able to explain how the principles supporting an exemption for educational institutions do not justify a similar exemption for domestic UF converters. For example, the Commission may develop a reasoned explanation based on an alternative justification that it offered for the non-profit educational institutions' exemption-that "educational research provides an important benefit to the nuclear industry and the public at large and should not be discouraged." 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,477 [**12] 12. While this reference is quite vague-the benefits of UF conversion can hardly be deprecated merely because the conveners operate in a conventional market-perhaps the Commission's focus is on education, with the idea that education yields exceptionally large externalized benefits that cannot be captured in mition or other market prices. We cannot tell at this point whether the exemption for educational institutions could be reasonably rooted in such a theory, but there is at least a serious possibility that the Commission will be able to substantiate its decision on remand.

At the same time, the consequences of vacating may be quite disruptive. Even assuming that we could merely vacate the rule insofar as it denies an exemption for UF converters, the Commission would need to refund all 1990 OBRA fees collected from those converters; in addition it evidently would be unable to recover those fees under a later-enacted rule. See Bowen v. Georgetown University Hospital, 488 U.S. 204, 208-09, 102 L. Ed. 2d 493, 109 S. Cr. 468 (1988) (rejecting retroactive application of rules even if operating only to core defects in previously enacted rule). Therefore, because of the possibility [**13] that the Commission may be able to justify the Rule, and the disruptive consequences of vacating, we remand to the Commission for it to develop a reasoned treatment of exemption claims based on passibrough limitations.

Combustion Engineering also raised a related passfhrough argument-that long-term fixed price contracts in its sector of the industry constrain its ability to pass through costs and therefore require some sort of gradual phase-in. See Comments of Combustion Engineering, May 13, 1991 at 2. On remand, the Commission must address this claim as well.

п

Allied also argues that the Commission's amortionment of fees within the class of domestic UF converters violated the 1990 OBRA. Allied argues (again without dispute by the Commission) that it has required much less regulatory attention than the only other member of the UF converter class, the Sequoyah Faels Corporation, because of the latter's environmental problems. See NRC Denial of Allied Exemption Request at 7. Thus, Allied says, allocation of the fees equally between the two UF converters violated the 1990 OBRA's directives that OBRA charges be apportioned "fairly and equitably" and that "to the maximum extent [**14] macticable, the charges shall have a reasonable relationship to the cost of providing regulatory services." Pah. L. No. 101-508, § 6101(c)(3) (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2214(c)(3)). Allied contends that the Commission instead ought to have divided the class's fees either in proportion to the amount of NRC attention required by each converta or in proportion to the service-specific (IOAA) fees paid by the two converters.

Allied's argument fails because it disregards the premise that 1990 OBRA fees are not service-specific they do not relate to identifiable services but rather constitute generic costs. See Final Rule, 56 Fed. Reg. a 31,472. Assuming that the Commission correctly classified the costs in question (and Allied does not connect the classification), there is a presumption that even regulatory effort precipitated by the circumstances of a single licensee of a given class will yield results, such as research findings or regulations, of roughly equal importance for all members of the same class.

[*152] This conclusion is not undermined by the Commission's willingness to apportion 1990 OBRA fees between groups [**15] of licensees on the basis of the attention required by each group. See Final Rule, 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,476; Letter of NRC Denying Allied Exemption Request at 2, 4-5. First, the spillover of benefits seems far greater within a group of licensees than between groups. See id. at 5. Second, the administrative costs of group-level apportionment are obviously much lower than licensee-level apportionment because the number of licensees greatly exceeds the number of groups.

Here, neither of the measuring devices proposed by Allied was workable or accurate enough to warrant our bolding the Commission's rejection of them arbitrary or caphicious. Any correlation between a licensee's IOAA (licensee-specific) costs and its benefits from generic costs seems purely coincidental. And to use as a yardstick each inember's tendency to precipitate regulatory effort would not only disregard spillover effects but would raise exceptional measurement problems. See NRC Denial of Allied Exemption Request at 4-8.

ш

Allied makes a narrower attack on the Commission's rejection of intra-group apportionment, namely that the Commission was arbitrary and capricious in failing [*=16] to apportion the generic costs associated with the disposal of low level radioactive waste ("ILW") on the basis of each licensee's actual waste. See Final Rule, 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,497; 10 CFR § 171.16(e). At the class level, the Commission allocated costs in accordance with each class's contribution to the total quantity of LLW. Because materials licensees (a group that includes UF converters) collectively generate 40% of the nation's LLW, the Commission allocated 40% of its LLW costs to that class. See id. When it turned to apportionment of those fees among the materials licensees, however, the Commission abandoned that approach and simply assessed each large fuel facility (of which Allied is one) an identical charge of \$ 143,500. For explanation, the NRC offered only the conclusory statement that "the Commission _ believes ... the surcharge should be the same for all large fuel facility licensees." See Final Rule, 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,481.

The Commission provides no rationale for apportioning costs among classes of LLW producers on the basis of LLW output but refusing to apply that same yardstick in appontioning generic costs [**17] within

classes, and no rationale is readily apparent. While it is conceivable that the real benefit of LLW disposal services is merely the availability of such services-in which case a flat fee would make sense-any such idea is inconsistent with the Commission's method of apportioning LLW fees among classes of licensees, which appears to assume that benefit is proportional to LLW quantity. If, on the other hand, any licensee's benefit from LLW disposal is directly proportional to its LLW disposal, apportioning even generic costs on the basis of output seems to make sense-not only as to classes but also as to individual licensees. Finally, assuming that the Commission calculated each class's quantity of LLW waste from data supplied by each licensee (as seems necessarily true), it is hard to see any administrative problem with apportioning the fees within the class on the basis of output; the data are available and the required computations would be rudimentary.

In applying the balancing of International Union and like cases, we here give little weight to the possibility that the Commission could pull a reasonable explanation out of the hat. Nonetheless, vacating the intra-class [**18] apportionment of LLW costs would give licensees a peculiar windfall; even ones that benefitted from the Commission's choice would presumably be entitled to a refund, and, under Georgerown University Hospital, the LLW costs could be recovered from no one. To be sine, the costs are not great, absolutely or as a proportion of the Commission's \$ 465 [*153] million budget for FY 1991-\$ 3.8 million. See 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,486, 31,497. But that alone is hardly a reason to create such a windfall. Accordingly, we refrain from vacating the role. If on remand the Commission concludes that the apportionment must be in accordance with usage, then those firms whose burden is lower under a new, non-arbitrary, rule should be eatilied to refunds of the difference.

If indeed the remand leads to replacement of the per-licensee allocation, and licensees enjoy only refunds for the difference between liability under the old rule and liability under the new (rather than total refunds), it might be argued that such a result allows the new rule to have "remoactive effect", in violation of Georgetown University Hospital. See 488 U.S. at 208. There $[\neq\Rightarrow19]$ is, plainly, some remoactive effect. The effect, however, is only to define that aspect of the old rule that must be cut away as legally, excessive. We do not read Georgetown as harring so limited a retroactive impact.

300 U.S. App. D.C. 198; 988 F.2d 146, *153; 1993 U.S. App. LEXIS 4684, **19

IV

Finally, Combustion Engineering challenges the Commission's decision to allocate OBRA fees equally to each low enriched manium ("LEU") manufacturing license instead of dividing the fees equally among the LEU manufacturing licensees. Combustion owns and operates two LEU facilities, each separately licensed, and Combustion asserts that in the aggregate the two are operationally equivalent to the single-plant, single-license, facilities of the other LEU manufacturers. At oral argument Combustion explained that it has two licenses for the facilities only because of historical chance; it bought a company with a separate license almost 20 years ago and until the Commission implemented the current OBRA fee schedule there has never been any reason to consolidate the licenses. As before, the Commission disputes none of these contentions.

Combustion attacks both the regulation imposing the "equal fee per license" rule and the Commission's denial of an exemption. [**20] Both claims rest ultimately on the 1990 OBRA's direction that fees must be apportioned "fairly and equivably" and that "to the maximum extent practicable, ... charges shall have a reasonable relationship to the cost of providing regulatory services." Pub. L. No. 101-508, § 6101(c)(3) (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2214(c)(3)). Although we find the first claim unconvincing, we agree that the Commission has not justified its refusal to give the requested exemption.

The argument that the "equal fee per license" rule is "unfair and inequitabl[e]" is persuasive only on the ground that the rule produced troubling results when applied to Combustion's circumstances-which Combustion itself asserts are unusual. We see no reason for requiring the Commission to attend to that rather rare situation in the rule itself, cf. NLRB v. Bell Aerospace Co., 416 U.S. 267, 40 L. Ed. 2d 134, 94 S. Cr. 1757 (1974), especially as the generic rule allowed (generically) for exemption. n4

> n4 Insofar as Combustion argues, in parallel with Allied, that § 6101(c)(3) of OBRA generally requires intra-group apportionment on the basis of factors such as the amount of attention a licensee requires, the competitive position of the licensee, and the safety risks posed by the licensee's

activities, we reject it for the reasons stated as to Allied.

[**21]

Combustion's exemption argument, however, has merit. The Commission's own criteria call for an exemption if the licensee can show that "the assessment of the annual fee would result in a significantly disproportionate allocation of costs to the licensee." 10 CFR § 171.11(d). The double assessment against Combustion's two licenses increased its OBRA fees by \$ 836,500. Against this, the Commission is able to point to almost nothing by way of greater costs. Speaking to the issue in unusually murky, discussive language, the NRC in substance could point to only two additional burdens-the need to mail an extra copy of cenain NRC. publications to the second facility and the need for two different NRC regional offices to monitor and respond to [*154] allegations about the two plants. See NRC Denial of Combustion Exemption Request at 5-6.

The double burden for Combustion, measured against de minimis additional burdens for the Commission, amply overcomes the hurdle established by $10 \ CFR \le 171.11(d)$. n5 Thus the excliption denial is arbitrary and capricious. We therefore direct the Commission to grant an exemption for Combustion on the additional fees collected as a result of the double-licensing [**22] of its operation. n6

n5 10 CFR § 171.11(d) also contains two other factors that the Commission shall consider when evaluating an exemption request. Although parts of § 171.11(d) are ambiguous regarding whether an applicant must fulfill all, or only one, of the factors, the fact that an applicant could not "fulfill" the criterion listed in 171.11(d)(3)-"any other relevant matter that the licensee believes shows that the annual fee was not based on a fair and equitable allocation of NRC costs"-reveals that the "factors" should not be read as conjunctive requirements. The factors instead seem to be best understood as independent considerations which can support an exemption.

no We are not required to address Allied's ice exemption request because of our previous disposition of Allied's other claims. The aspens of Allied's request dealing with passthrough

300 U.S. App. D.C. 198:988 F.2d 146. #154: 1993 U.S. App. LEXIS 4684, ==22

ability and LLW fees are almost certain to stand or fall along with the remanded claims; and the aspect claiming that OBRA requires licensee-specific calibration of fees fails.

reasoned and coherent treatment of (1) licensees' claims for special treatment on the basis of inability to pass the burden of the fees through to customers and (2) the method of apportioning generic LLW disposal costs among materials licensees. In addition, we direct the Commission to grant an exemption to Combustion for the generic fees autiburable to the double-licensing of its LEU operation.

So ordered

We remand the case to the Commission for a

r#231