Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Category 3 Source Security and Accountability

Meeting and Webinar: Meeting 1

Docket Number: (n/a)

Location: Boston, Massachusetts

Date: Thursday, February 23, 2017

Work Order No.: NRC-2891 Pages 1-70

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
2	+ + + +
3	CATEGORY 3 SOURCE SECURITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY
4	+ + + +
5	PUBLIC MEETING AND WEBINAR
6	+ + + +
7	THURSDAY
8	FEBRUARY 23, 2017
9	+ + + +
10	The Public Meeting met in the Kennedy
11	Ballroom, Omni Parker House, 60 School Street, Boston,
12	Massachusetts, at 3:00 p.m., George Smith,
13	Facilitator, presiding.
14	STAFF PRESENT
15	GEORGE SMITH, Facilitator, SMPB, MSTR, NMSS
16	DANIEL COLLINS, MSTR, NMSS
17	DUNCAN WHITE, ASPB, MSTR, NMSS
18	IRENE WU, SMPB, MSTR, NMSS
19	CARRIE CRAWFORD, SMPB, MSTR, NMSS
20	ALSO PRESENT
21	MIKE WELLING, Chair, Organization of Agreement States
22	JACK PRIEST, Director, Radiation Control Program,
23	Massachusetts Department of Public Health
24	MARVIN LEWIS, Professional Engineer (Retired)
25	

C O N T E N T S Opening Remarks......... Background. Comment Areas.. Future Public Meeting/Webinar Dates.... 69

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 3:00 p.m. 3 THE OPERATOR: Welcome and thank you for 4 standing by. At this time, all participants will be 5 on listen-only mode until the question-and-answer 6 session of today's call. 7 At that time, you will need to press *1, 8 mute your line and record your name clearly, 9 prompted, so that we'll be able to introduce you. 10 I'd now like to introduce Daniel Collins. 11 Sir, you may begin. 12 MR. COLLINS: All right. Thank you, 13 Robin. 14 And so, good afternoon, everybody, and 15 thank you for taking time out of your days to meet 16 with us and to participate either by webinar or by 17 phone, or for those of you who are here in person. I'm Dan Collins. I'm the director of the 18 Division of Material, State, Tribal and Rulemaking 19 20 Programs at NRC headquarters. 2.1 With me here today I have George Smith, 22 who is going to be the facilitator for this session; 2.3 Miss Irene Wu, who is our staff lead for the Category 24 3 accountability and security reassessment; Duncan

White, who is one of our experts on all things related

to health physics and Agreement State programs. 1 2 And then last, but not least, Carrie 3 Crawford, who's at the back here, who's going to be 4 helping us with the logistics for the webinar. 5 So, again, thank you for participating in 6 today's public meeting. Today, our discussion is 7 going to focus on the reevaluation of Category 3 8 source security and accountability. 9 Irene is going to go through more of the 10 background for you. But for the purposes of 11 introduction, an audit of the NRC and the Agreement 12 States' licensing processes that was conducted by the 13 U.S. Government Accountability Office last year, 14 identified some concerns related to security and 15 accountability for Category 3 sources. 16 The NRC Commission then directed the NRC 17 staff to perform a re-evaluation, including the pros 18 and cons of different methods for validating licenses 19 and pros and cons for including Category 3 in the 20 national source tracking system. 2.1 Commission's specific Part ofthe 22 direction to the staff is that we engage stakeholders 2.3 to fully assess the regulatory impact of any potential

So, I'd like to make it clear that right

changes to existing processes and regulations.

24

now we're actually not in the process of changing 1 2 regulations or requirements. 3 What we are doing is an evaluation that 4 will support the development of recommendations to the 5 Commission on; one, whether or not requirements 6 related to security and accountability for Category 3 7 sources should be changed, and; two, if changes are 8 recommended, what those changes or new requirements 9 should be. 10 So, the results of this evaluation are not 11 predetermined and the NRC has not made any decisions 12 regarding changing the existing regulations at this 13 point. On the contrary, we're just at the early 14 stages of performing the evaluation. 15 So, the staff's recommendations to the 16 Commission are due up in August of this year and 17 ultimately it will be the Commission that will decide 18 whether or not to pursue regulation changes establishing new requirements in this area. 19 20 So, recognize that we 2.1 stakeholder input is an important part of this effort 22 and must be considered in our evaluation to enable us 2.3 to develop a well thought out and comprehensive set of 24 recommendations.

So, today's public meeting is part of a

series of meetings and webinars that we are conducting 1 2 during the public comment period for this evaluation. 3 And that public comment period closes on March 10th. 4 To the extent that you can, it would be 5 very helpful if you could provide some specificity or numerical estimates regarding potential impacts that 6 7 changes to the existing requirements might have on 8 companies, your organizations your the 9 community at large. 10 We want to hear all of your input, but I 11 can't emphasize enough how valuable specificity or 12 numerical estimates would be in terms of us developing well-founded recommendations to the Commission. 13 14 So, again, thank you for taking the time 15 to participate in today's meeting. We are looking 16 forward to a great dialog. 17 And with that, I'll turn it 18 George, who will be our facilitator for today. 19 FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Thank you, Dan. 20 Again, my name is George Smith. I am the 2.1 facilitator for today's meeting. So, before we get 22 started, I'll just get some administrative directions 2.3 here for us here in the room. 24 In the case of emergency, we'll go out of 25 the main door here, make a left. You can cross the

street over. You'll see Citizen's Bank. Across from 1 2 Citizen's Bank, there's a One Beacon. That's the 3 really point for the hotel in a -- for any other 4 emergency and we'll get instructions from there. 5 Also, if you have to use the facilities, 6 you can make a right out the door, go up the stairs, 7 or you can use the elevator to go up to the mezzanine 8 and you will see the restrooms for your use. 9 Now, we also have members of the 10 NRC and Agreement State Category 3 Source Security and 11 Accountability Working Group here in attendance and 12 they may ask some clarifying questions. We have some 13 of those folks on the phone also to ensure we 14 accurately capture your comments. 15 To minimize any interruptions, we ask that 16 everyone here, if you could place your phone on 17 courtesy mode, you know, vibrate or silent at this 18 time. 19 And we understand that you may have to 20 take an important phone call or make an important 2.1 phone call. However, we ask that you step outside to 22 do that. 2.3 Additionally, please keep sidebar 24 conversations to a minimum. We have -- the mics up

front are hot. So, you know, folks on the line will

hear your sidebar conversations. Also, the mic up 1 2 front and the one I'm speaking in now will be on 3 throughout the meeting. 4 Basically, you know, we're going 5 again, we want to accurately capture your comments 6 during the meeting. And it's being transcribed today, 7 all the comments. So, for those on the phone, please make 8 9 sure you are logged into the webinar in order to 10 follow along with this slide presentation. 11 Ιf you have not registered for 12 webinar, the webinar registration information 13 available in the public meeting notice on the NRC 14 public meeting website. 15 A copy of the slides used in today's 16 meeting will be made available on the Category 3 17 webpage located on the NRC website. 18 So, this is the agenda. For those who 19 have logged into the webinar, you should be able to 20 see the agenda. 2.1 First, we will go over the public comment 22 process. Next, we will give a brief background on how 2.3 we got here and why we are asking for your input. 24 Then, we will go over the different 25 comment areas and open the floor for comments on each

of the questions in the Federal Register Notice. 1 2 At the end of the meeting, we will provide 3 information on the remaining Category 3 Source 4 Security and Accountability public meeting and webinar 5 dates, and then close the meeting. 6 Okay. This is a Category 3 meeting, which 7 means we will be soliciting feedback to ensure your 8 issues and concerns are presented, understood and 9 considered by the NRC. 10 This meeting is being transcribed 11 accurately capture your comments. Your comments 12 during this public meeting and those submitted to the 13 NRC will be considered by NRC in preparing the report 14 to the Commission as directed by the Staff Requirement 15 Memorandum for COMJMB-16-0001. So, the NRC does not plan to provide 16 17 specific responses to this particular feedback during 18 this meeting. We are basically soliciting comments at 19 this time. 20 Please do not provide nonpublic, official-2.1 use-only, Safeguards and/or classified information 22 related to specific facilities. 2.3 For those on the phone, the operator 24 will place you in the queue if you have comments to 25 provide at today's meeting. The operator will inform

you when you will be allowed to present your comments. 1 2 And so, you'll notice I'll go back and 3 forth between the operator and the webinar to provide 4 the comments. 5 So, for the -- if you have the opportunity 6 to provide comments today -- I'm sorry, if you do not 7 have the opportunity to provide comments today or if 8 you have additional comments, please submit your 9 comments the NRC by March 10th, 2017, via to 10 www.regulations.gov for Docket ID NRC-2016-0276, or 11 you can mail your comments to Cindy Bladey, Office of 12 Administration, Mail Stop OWFN-12-H08, U.S. Nuclear 13 Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555-0001. 14 Again, you should see that information on 15 the slides if you are logged into the webinar. Please 16 include the Docket ID NRC-2016-0276 in the subject 17 line of your submission. 18 At this time, I will turn it over to Irene 19 Wu, who will provide some background information. 20 All right. Thank you, George. 2.1 My name is Irene Wu and I am a project 22 manager at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the 2.3 co-chair of the NRC Agreement State Working Group that 24 is conducting this re-evaluation. 25 As you may know, the Commission issued a

Staff Requirements Memorandum for COMJMB-16-0001 dated October 18th, 2016, which directed the NRC staff to take specific actions to evaluate whether it is necessary to revise NRC regulations or processes governing Category 3 source protection and accountability. However, this is not the first time that we have reviewed strategies for the protection and accountability of Category 3 sources.

In 2007, the Government Accountability Office, or GAO, conducted an investigation on NRC's materials licensing program and was able to obtain a radioactive materials license using a fictitious company and place orders that would have resulted if actually obtained in receipt of an aggregated Category 3 quantity of radioactive material.

After the 2007 investigation, the NRC and Agreement States made a number of significant changes to strengthen the licensing and regulatory processes to prevent individuals who may have malevolent intent from obtaining a radioactive materials license.

In 2009, licensees began reporting Category 1 and 2 source information to the National Source Tracking System.

Staff had proposed to expand reporting to the NSTS to include Category 3 sources. However, the

2.1

2.3

Commission did not reach a decision on the proposed 1 2 rulemaking and the final rule was not approved. 3 In 2014, GAO initiated another audit of 4 the materials licensing program to determine whether 5 the licensing vulnerabilities identified in its 2007 6 investigation had been addressed by the NRC 7 Agreement States. 8 its audit, GAO As part of rented 9 storefront warehouse space to demonstrate a fictitious 10 company's legitimacy during prelicensing visits. 11 The GAO was successful in one of three 12 attempts and acquired a license for a Category 3 well 13 logging source, which they used to place one order for 14 a Category 3 source. 15 GAO then altered the license and used it 16 to place a second order for an additional Category 3 17 source. 18 In doing so, GAO effectively demonstrated 19 ability to obtain an aggregated Category 2 the 20 quantity of material, although at no point in the 2.1 investigation were radioactive materials actually 22 shipped to the fictitious company. 2.3 GAO published its final report for the 24 materials licensing audit and investigation in July of 25 2016.

And then in August of 2017, we plan to 1 2 submit a Notation Vote Paper to the Commission with 3 our recommendations. 4 It is also relevant to note that recently 5 we completed our comprehensive review of 10 CFR Part 6 37, which are the physical protection requirements for 7 Category 1 and 2 quantities of radioactive material. 8 That report, which is publicly available, 9 was sent to Congress in December 2016 and the results 10 of that assessment will inform our evaluation of 11 Category 3 source security and accountability, which 12 is currently underway. That was a quick, high-level overview of 13 14 how we got here and I've included some resources on the slide if you want to delve further into the 15 16 background. 17 Next slide, please. So, the specific 18 tasks outlined in SRM-COMJMB-16-0001 that will be 19 addressed in the Notation Vote Paper are as follows: 20 An evaluation of the pros and cons of 2.1 different methods of verifying the validity of a 22 license prior to transfer; an evaluation of the pros 23 and cons of including Category 3 sources in 24 National Source Tracking System; an assessment of any

addressing

for

options

additional

25

source

the

accountability recommendations made by the GAO; vulnerability assessment which identifies changes in the threat environment between 2009 and today that argue in favor or against expansion of the NSTS to Category 3 sources; include a regulatory impact analysis of the accrued benefits and costs of the change to include impacts to the NRC, Agreement States, non-Agreement States and regulated entities; a discussion of potential regulatory actions that would not require changes to our regulations include changes to guidance, training and any other program improvements; an assessment of the risks posed by the aggregation of Category 3 sources into Category quantities; collaboration with Agreement partners, non-Agreement States, regulated entities, public interest groups, industry groups and reactor community to fully assess the regulatory impact of any recommendations to be made in Notation Vote Paper; and any other factors to help inform the Commission's decision.

Next slide, please. For those unfamiliar with some of these systems, let me provide a brief explanation of the National Source Tracking System, Web-based Licensing System and the License Verification System.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

The National Source Tracking System, 1 2 NSTS, was deployed in 2008 and is a web-based system 3 that accounts for high-risk radioactive sources from 4 the time that they are manufactured, or imported, to 5 the time of their disposal or export, or until they 6 decay enough to be no longer of concern. 7 While NSTS provides a relatively up-to-8 date accounting system regarding risk-significant 9 source inventories, it is important to note that this 10 is not a realtime tracking mechanism for sources. 11 Reporting to the NSTS is all after the 12 fact and the requirements for what is required to be reported can be found in 10 CFR 20.2207. 13 14 These requirements include reporting 15 licensee information, transaction date, source 16 manufacturer, source model, source serial 17 radioactive material source in the and 18 activity. 19 And typical methods of reporting to the 20 include getting direct access and reporting 2.1 the National Source online, emailing or faxing 22 Tracking Transaction Report, or NRC Form 748, 2.3 providing an electronic batch file to be uploaded 24 directly into NSTS.

slide, please.

Next

25

Web-Based

The

Licensing System, or WBL, was deployed in 2012 and is 1 2 a licensing system that is accessible through the 3 internet. 4 At this time, access to WBL is limited 5 only to regulators. The objectives of WBL are to 6 up-to-date repository of all provide an risk-7 significant or Category 1 and 2 licenses nationwide, 8 and to provide an up-to-date repository of 9 licenses of NRC and three Agreement States who have 10 adopted the use of WBL. 11 Many states have expressed interest in 12 using WBL and we are currently working with those states towards full use of WBL. 13 14 WBL is available to state agencies free of 15 charge and their adoption of the system and built-in 16 process flows create more consistency and licensing 17 for the states that use it. 18 States not using WBL directly provide NRC 19 with their Category 1 and 2 licensees as they are 20 issued or amended to be uploaded to WBL by 2.1 contractor. 22 Having the most current Category 1 and 2 2.3 licenses and WBL is essential for the functionality of 24 the License Verification System. 25 Next slide, please. The License

Verification System, or LVS, was deployed in 2013 and 1 2 web-based system that enables authorized is 3 licensees to confirm that a license is valid and 4 accurate, and that a licensee is authorized to acquire 5 quantities and types of radioactive materials being 6 requested. 7 To process -- the process to verify a 8 license is as follows: 9 Step 1, an authorized customer requests a 10 Category 1 or a Category 2 quantity of radioactive 11 material from an authorized supplier and provides a 12 copy of its license or specific license information 13 needed to query the license record through LVS. 14 Step 2, the authorized supplier submits 15 the issuing agency, license number and either the 16 amendment number or the license issue date to LVS in 17 order to verify the additional copy of the customer's 18 license. 19 3, queries web-based Step LVS the 20 licensing system, or WBL, to obtain the possession 2.1 limit for Category 1 and 2 authorized materials and a 22 copy of the license image. 2.3 provides Step 4, WBL the license 24 information to LVS to compare the possession limits

and current NSTS inventory.

Step 5, LVS queries the NSTS and compares 1 2 the possession limit for Category 1 and 2 authorized 3 materials to the current NSTS inventory. 4 Step 6, if the customer is above its 5 possession limit in NSTS, LVS will display a message 6 for the supplier to contact the regulator. 7 Step 7, if no issues exist, LVS displays 8 the official license image obtained from WBL and the 9 authorized supplier notes the license verification is complete in LVS. 10 11 Step 8, the supplier completes the 12 purchase order and the material is transferred to the 13 customer. 14 Licensees opting not to have access to LVS 15 or those receiving a message by LVS to contact a 16 regulator, must use the manual process to complete the 17 verification of a license. 18 facilitate Тο this process, the transferring licensee may contact our help desk by 19 20 phone or email to provide the necessary information to 2.1 populate the manual license verification report, or 22 NRC Form 749. 2.3 Next slide, please. To get access 24 systems, applicants have to qo through 25 credentialing process. That process typically takes

about a month to complete and includes a verification 1 2 of employment, a determination that the person has a 3 need to know, and an identity proofing step to verify 4 that the person applying for a credential is who he or 5 she claims to be. 6 credentialing Once the process is 7 complete, the NRC issues an electronic credential, 8 which allows the systems to uniquely identify each 9 user. 10 The credentialing process is the same. So, if the user has access to one system, they do not 11 12 have to go through the full credentialing process 13 again for the second system. They simply have to 14 contact the help desk to get access. 15 The credential used for NSTS and LVS is a 16 in combination with onetime password, which, 17 personal identification number, or PIN, will give them 18 access to the system. 19 A onetime password, or OTP, is a password 20 that is valid only for one login session. 2.1 currently offer three options for OTPs; a card, a 22 token, or a smartphone app. An OTP is provided to a 23 user free of charge and no software installation is 24 necessary.

please.

slide,

Next

25

of

the

Some

enhancements that are under consideration for this reevaluation are: Verification of Category 3 licenses
through the LVS or the regulatory authority as is done
with Category 1 and 2 licenses; inclusion of Category
3 sources in the NSTS as is done with Category 1 and
2 sources; and expanding physical security
requirements to include Category 3 quantities of
radioactive material along with Category 1 and 2
quantifies of radioactive material.

And these potential enhancements form the basis of the questions in the Federal Register Notice that we issued on the subject, which was published on January 9th, 2017.

Next slide, please. The FRN lists 22 questions that are separated into sections based on the topics and applicability to relevant stakeholders.

These include general questions related to license verification; general questions related to the NSTS; specific questions for licensees related to license verification; specific questions for licensees related to the NSTS; specific questions for Agreement States related to license verification; specific questions for Agreement States related to the NSTS; and other questions.

The NRC wants to clarify while the Federal

2.1

2.3

Register Notice included questions directed towards 1 2 particular stakeholders, the NRC is actually looking 3 for comment and responses from all members of the 4 public on all questions. 5 The FRN grouped questions in a particular 6 fashion to facilitate input from some stakeholders 7 that may wish to provide some input to this activity, 8 but may have limited resources to do so, but all 9 members of the public are welcome to provide their 10 thoughts on any of the listed questions. 11 Next slide, please. So, before we move to 12 the comment portion of this meeting, I did want to 13 include a slide to show the different thresholds for 14 and 3 quantities of Category 1, 2 15 material. 16 you can see from the table, 17 Category 3 threshold is greater than 1/10th of the 18 Category 2 threshold, but less than the Category 2 19 threshold. 20 Also of note is that the list οf 2.1 radionuclides that are currently subject to 22 physical security requirements in 10 CFR Part 37 is 2.3 different than the list of radionuclides included in 24 NSTS.

The four radionuclides highlighted in the

table are the radionuclides that are included in the 1 2 NSTS, but are not subject to 10 CFR Part 37. 3 And the main reason for these four 4 radionuclides that were included in NSTS was because 5 the Department of Energy, DOE, likely possesses these 6 isotopes and they do report to the NSTS. 7 So, I will now turn the meeting back over 8 solicit from George to comments meeting 9 participants. 10 FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Thank you, 11 Irene. 12 Before we move on to the comment portion 13 of the meeting, are there any questions for Irene? 14 Anyone in the room? 15 And as a reminder, and I didn't say this 16 at the beginning, but if you have any questions, the 17 microphone up front is hot. So, you can use that 18 microphone for any comments so we can accurately 19 capture your comments. 20 Are there any questions on the phone line? 2.1 THE OPERATOR: At this time if there are 22 any comments or questions, that is * followed by the 2.3 number 1 from your phone, please. 24 FACILITATOR SMITH: Any questions from the 25 webinar; do you know?

THE And, 1 OPERATOR: Ι'm sorry, no 2 questions from the phone line. 3 Thank you, Robin. FACILITATOR SMITH: 4 As a reminder, we do not plan to provide 5 specific responses to the stakeholders' feedback 6 during this meeting. We are specifically seeking 7 comment for today's meeting. 8 We will use these comments to inform our 9 evaluation in the recommendations. We will prepare a 10 document summarizing all of the comments we received 11 today at the other meetings, and written comments that 12 will be part of the Notation Vote Paper to be 13 submitted to the Commission in August 2017. 14 Please do not provide nonpublic, official-15 use-only, Safeguards and/or classified information 16 related to a specific facility. And as a reminder, 17 this meeting is being transcribed. 18 Before providing comments today, please 19 state your name and the name of the organization, if 20 The first set of any, that you are representing. 2.1 questions are general questions related to license 22 verifications. 2.3 So, the first question. "Should the 24 current methods for verification of licenses prior to 25

transferring Category 3 quantities of radioactive

1	material listed in 10 CFR 30.41(d)(1)-(5), 10 CFR
2	40.51(d)(1)-(5) and 10 CFR 70.42(d)(1)-(5) be changed
3	such that only the methods prescribed in 10 CFR 37.71
4	are allowed?"
5	As you can see on the slides, we've
6	included a summary of the five methods of license
7	verification that are described in 10 CFR Part 30, 40
8	and 70.
9	Do we have any comments here in the room?
10	(No comments.)
11	FACILITATOR SMITH: Robin, anyone on the
12	line indicating that they have a comment?
13	THE OPERATOR: I'm sorry, no comments at
14	this time.
15	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. So, we'll give
16	it a couple seconds to see if anyone has comments.
17	THE OPERATOR: And then as a reminder on
18	the phone line at any time, it is * followed by the
19	number 1 if you have a comment.
20	FACILITATOR SMITH: As we go through the
21	questions if you determine that you have a comment on
22	previous questions that we've already covered, feel
23	free to bring those questions up. We welcome your
24	comments either on the phone line or the web.
25	MS. WU: Go ahead, Robin.

THE OPERATOR: I was just going to say I'm 1 2 showing no comments on the phone line. 3 FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you. 4 This is Irene Wu at the NRC. 5 To help folks answer this question and 6 provide comments on it, what this question is driving 7 whether the current methods of 8 verification, which are the ones on the slide, 9 those are -- if those are adequate, or if those should 10 be changed to the methods in 10 CFR 3771. And that 11 would be to do the license verification through the 12 license verification system or through the regulatory 13 authority. 14 (Pause.) 15 FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. We don't have 16 any comments. We'll go to the next question. 17 again, I'd like to remind you that if you have any 18 comments on previous questions, you're welcome to 19 bring those comments up either on the phone line or 20 the webinar. 2.1 Question 2. "Would there be an increase 22 in safety and/or security if the regulations were 2.3 changed to only allow license verification through the 24 NRC license verification system, LVS,

transferee's license issuing authority for transfers

1	of Category 3 quantities of radioactive material? If
2	so, how much of an increase would there be?"
3	Any comments here in the room?
4	(No comments.)
5	FACILITATOR SMITH: Robin, do we have any
6	comments on the line?
7	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no comments on
8	the line.
9	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay.
10	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar.
11	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. No comments
12	from the webinar.
13	Any clarifications, Irene or Duncan?
14	MR. WHITE: No. This is Duncan White.
15	Again, to reemphasize what we've already
16	talked about is, again, if you think there's going to
17	be a benefit for it, you know, indicate you know,
18	provide some rationale for it.
19	But also if you do not believe it will add
20	anything and you think there is a it's costly to do
21	so, again, you know, as we talked about earlier,
22	provide some quantitative information with regard to
23	that, that would be very helpful for our evaluation.
24	MR. COLLINS: So, again, this is Dan
25	Collins.

Ιf don't quantitative 1 you have 2 information, but even qualitative information, if you 3 can just help us understand whatever those impacts 4 are, that would be welcomed as well. 5 FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. As a reminder 6 also, please, in your comments, do not provide any 7 for-official-use-only, safequarded information 8 classified information, or any specific information on 9 a facility. 10 We'll move on to Question 3. But, again, 11 if you have any comments on Question 1 or 2, please 12 feel free to provide via the phone line or 13 webinar. 14 Question 3. "If the NRC changed the 15 regulations to limit license verification only through 16 LVS or the transferee's license issuing authority for 17 transfers of Category 3 quantities of radioactive 18 material, should licensees transferring Category 3 19 quantities to manufacturers and distributors be 20 excepted from the limitations?" 2.1 So, again, just the last part to make sure 22 I'm clear, "should licensees transferring Category 3 2.3 to manufacturers and distributors quantities 24 excepted from the limitations?" 25 Any comments here?

1	Okay. We're showing that we do have a
2	comment on the webinar. We'll get to the phone lines
3	in a minute.
4	MS. CRAWFORD: Comment from the webinar.
5	"Please elaborate on what safety you are asking about.
6	Would this be public safety, worker safety or other?"
7	MR. WHITE: This is Duncan White.
8	Again, we would appreciate input of any of
9	those items. Again, you know, public safety, worker
10	safety or any other safety that's deemed appropriate.
11	Security-related information, again,
12	anything that you would like to expand upon, that
13	would we would really appreciate that input.
14	FACILITATOR SMITH: Robin, any questions
15	any comments on the line?
16	THE OPERATOR: I am showing no comments on
17	the phone line.
18	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay.
19	MS. WU: This is Irene Wu at the NRC.
20	I'll add some clarification on Question 3.
21	So, the thought behind this question is
22	that the majority of transactions transfers occurs
23	typically between licensees and the manufacturers and
24	distributors and that the manufacturers and
25	distributors are known entities.

1	So, we're trying to find out if folks
2	think that those should those transfer should
3	those license verifications be excepted from the
4	limitation.
5	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Robin, any
6	indication of comments on the line?
7	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no questions or
8	comments on the phone lines. And, again, as a
9	reminder from the phone line, it is * followed by the
10	number 1.
11	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
12	Any comments here in the room?
13	(No comments.)
14	FACILITATOR SMITH: Any additional
15	comments on the web?
16	MS. CRAWFORD: No additional comments from
17	the web.
18	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Great. Thank
19	you. So, we're going to move on to Question No. 4.
20	"Is there anything else we should consider
21	when evaluating different methods of license
22	verification prior to transferring Category 3
23	quantities of radioactive material?"
24	Any comments? I mean, in the room if you
25	have a comment, just indicate to me you have a

1	comment.
2	Robin, any comments on the line?
3	THE OPERATOR: No comments on the phone
4	line, sir. Thank you.
5	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Great.
6	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments from the
7	webinar.
8	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Great. Thanks.
9	We'll give it a couple seconds to see if we have any
10	additional comments.
11	Again, if you have comments on any of the
12	previous questions or Question No. 4, please feel free
13	to provide your comments on the line or on the web.
14	(Pause.)
15	FACILITATOR SMITH: Any clarifying notes
16	that you guys can provide?
17	(No comments.)
18	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Hearing no
19	comments, we'll then move on to Question No. 1 under
20	the general questions related to the NSTS.
21	Question 1. "Should Category 3 sources be
22	included in the NSTS? Please provide a rationale for
23	your answer."
24	Robin, are there any comments on the line?
25	THE OPERATOR: No comments on the line.

1	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar.
2	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
3	Duncan, can you provide any insights on
4	previous type of comments that you've received?
5	MR. WHITE: I think most people we've
6	heard from previously at previous public meetings and
7	webinars, were not in favor of including Category 3 in
8	NSTS. They felt that the current way we conduct
9	business now in the NRC and Agreement States is
10	sufficient.
11	Again, but one thing we would like to hear
12	is a little more rationale on why not. Again, if it
13	a lot of the comments we see, the system works
14	well, there hasn't been a problem, why do we need to
15	change the system.
16	So, one thing we're looking for is to
17	expand upon that line of thought on that.
18	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
19	Robin, any comments on the line?
20	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no comments on
21	the line at this time.
22	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar.
23	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you
24	we do have a comment?
25	MS. CRAWFORD: Yes.

1 Question: Does the NRC have a time study 2 for Category 1 and 2 source entry into NSTS which can 3 be used for this question? 4 MS. WU: This is Irene Wu with the NRC. 5 So, current Category 1 and 2 licensees 6 have to report their transactions to the National 7 Source Tracking System by close of business 8 following day. 9 I'11 And add to that, SO we 10 transactions fluctuate throughout the year, but we 11 probably have probably anywhere from five to 10,000 12 transactions monthly to the National Source Tracking 13 System. 14 So, again, with that reporting 15 requirement, you know, folks who have online access, 16 that transaction is reflected immediately in 17 And then folks who report using 18 alternative methods either via fax or email, you know, 19 there is a little bit of time taken to get that 20 information and upload it into the system. 2.1 FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, Irene. 22 Again, as a reminder, my name is George 2.3 I'm the facilitator for the meeting. You may 24 hear the POCs for the meeting, Duncan White and Irene 25 Wu, speaking also for the meeting.

And we have the director for MSTR, Daniel 1 2 Collins, who is also speaking. Ms. Carrie Crawford is 3 monitoring the webinar and she's also speaking, 4 providing the comments that are being transcribed. 5 Thank you. 6 So, Robin, one more time. Any comments on 7 the phone line? Yes, we do. 8 THE OPERATOR: We have one 9 from a Mike Welling. 10 Sir, your line is open. 11 PUBLIC SPEAKER WELLING: Thank you. 12 Irene, Mike from University Hey, 13 Virginia. So, I ask the question about time study, 14 because I'm looking at using that information. 15 If anybody has given you anything from a 16 Category 1 or 2 user standpoint, if that can be used 17 for Category 3 people to say how much time and energy 18 they're going to have to put in for all their Category 19 3 sources. 20 Mike, this is Duncan White. MR. WHITE: 2.1 Are you referring to people's ability who 22 are new to the system, it takes a while for them to 2.3 get used to the system and maybe take longer for 24 earlier transactions, and then as they get used to the 25 system, they get better and more efficient at it?

1 Is that what you're getting at? 2 PUBLIC SPEAKER WELLING: Well, that and --3 that and the Category 3 people have well, 4 understanding of how much entry or what's required to 5 do NSTS. 6 So, if the Category 1 and 2 users along 7 with the NRC staff has any information to count as to 8 how long the entries take, then could pass it along to 9 the Category 3 users to try and understand and 10 document how much time and energy they're going to 11 have to put towards entries in NSTS for all their past 12 resources. 13 MS. WU: Hi, Mike. This is Irene Wu, NRC. 14 So, on the NRC Form 748, the National 15 Source Tracking Transaction Report, we do have -- we 16 did -- we do OMB clearance for that and we get -- we 17 have to renew that every three years. 18 And on that form, it does have the burden 19 estimate on it and it's -- don't quote me on it, but 20 I think it's between an eight to 10-minute burden 2.1 requirement -- or burden estimate for filling out that 22 form to do the transaction. 2.3 PUBLIC SPEAKER WELLING: It would be 24 beneficial if somehow you could put that out there 25 especially Category to those

1	manufacturer/distributors such as HDRs. They're going
2	to have to do that for every source exchange
3	quarterly.
4	So, it might be beneficial for them to
5	know that and they can get that time estimate back to
6	you.
7	MS. WU: Right. That's a good comment.
8	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mike.
9	Robin, anything any other comments on
LO	the line?
11	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no further
12	comments at this time. Again, as a reminder, it is *
13	followed by the number 1.
L 4	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
15	MS. CRAWFORD: No further comments on the
16	webinar.
17	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. We're going to
18	move on to Question 2. And as a reminder, any of the
19	questions any of the previous question you may
20	provide comments either on the phone line or the web.
21	And we'd also like to remind you not to
22	provide any for-official-use-only, safeguarded
23	information or classified information, or information
24	related to any specific facility when you're providing
25	vour comment.

1	So, Question No. 2 is, "If Category 3
2	sources are included in the NSTS, should the NRC
3	consider imposing the same reporting requirements
4	currently required for Category 1 and 2 sources,"
5	which is required under 10 CFR 20.2207(f).
6	So, as you can see on the slides, we've
7	included a summary of the NSTS reporting requirements
8	under Part 20, which was mentioned on the earlier NSTS
9	slides.
10	Any comments on the line?
11	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no comments on
12	the phone line.
13	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar.
14	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
15	Irene, do you mind commenting on the
16	reporting requirements?
17	MS. WU: Sure. So, current reporting for
18	Category 1 and 2 sources is by close of business the
19	following day. So, this question is really driving at
20	whether it should be the same, close of business the
21	following day.
22	Some of the feedback that we've received
23	in previous public meetings and webinars is that
24	perhaps it doesn't have to be done on such a frequent
25	basis, maybe on an inventory like an annual

1	inventory reconciliation for Category 3 as opposed to
2	transactions.
3	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, Irene.
4	Robin, any comments on the line?
5	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no comments on
6	the phone line.
7	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you very much.
8	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar.
9	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
10	We do have a comment here in the room.
11	PUBLIC SPEAKER PRIEST: Thank you. This
12	is Jack Priest, Massachusetts Department of Public
13	Health, radiation control program director.
14	So, my question is on this reporting. If
15	the Category 3 activity limits are only one percent of
16	the Category 1, does it make sense to have the same
17	reporting timeline for sources that are, I'll say,
18	less risk significant?
19	I do not agree with an annual, but I think
20	maybe a 30-day reporting time frame or something like
21	that might be workable and useful to tracking rather
22	than wait until the end of the year before you or
23	annually until you realize that you had a missing
24	source or unaccountable source.
25	MR. WHITE: This is Duncan White.

1	Also, that has been footed out in addition
2	to the annual and we've heard from a comment here
3	about doing it monthly.
4	One of the other suggestions is most
5	licensees either do quarterly or semiannual physical
6	inventories as required by NRC's license condition, I
7	know that most Agreement States have something very
8	similar.
9	That was also was discussed at previous
10	meetings to do it at that frequency.
11	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you,
12	Duncan.
13	Robin, any additional comments on the
14	line?
15	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no additional
16	questions on the phone line.
17	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, ma'am.
18	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar
19	
20	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great.
21	MS. CRAWFORD: at this time.
22	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
23	So, we're going to move on to the next set
24	of questions. And, again, if you have any comments on
25	any previous question, please feel free to provide

those comments on the line or via the web. 1 2 So, these are general questions related to 3 the NSTS. They are continued. So, for Question 3, 4 "Should the NRC consider alternatives to the current 5 NSTS reporting requirements for Category 1 and 2 6 sources to increase the immediacy of information 7 availability, such as requiring the source transfers 8 to be reported prior to, or on the same day as, the 9 source shipment date?" 10 Okay, Robin. Do you see any indication of 11 questions on the phone line? 12 THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no questions on 13 the phone line or any comments. 14 MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar. 15 FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you very 16 So, we'll give it a couple seconds to see if 17 there's any comments. 18 (Pause.) 19 FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. We'll then move 20 on to Ouestion No. 4. "Would there be an increase 2.1 in safety and/or security if the regulations were 22 changed to include Category 3 sources in the NSTS? 2.3 so, how much of an increase would there be?" 24 Irene Duncan, any clarifying or 25 information on this? Any previous comments on this

1	that you'd like to share?
2	MR. WHITE: Not at this time.
3	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Great. Thank
4	you.
5	Robin, any additional comments on the
6	telephone line?
7	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no additional
8	comments.
9	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar
10	at this time.
11	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
12	So, general information, this session is
13	slated to end at five o'clock and we're going to have
14	an additional session from six to eight o'clock. So,
15	we have plenty of time.
16	If you have any questions, please provide
17	your comments on the telephone or the webinar. And we
18	appreciate those comments we've gotten thus far.
19	Hearing no indication of comments, we're
20	going to move on to the next question, Number 5. "Is
21	there anything else we should consider as part of our
22	evaluation of including Category 3 sources in the
23	NSTS?"
24	Robin, are there any comments on the line?
25	THE OPERATOR: Showing no comments on the

1	phone line at this time?
2	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you.
3	Carrie, any comments on the web?
4	MS. CRAWFORD: There are no comments on
5	the webinar.
6	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you.
7	Okay. Hearing no comments, we're going to
8	move on to the next question. And as a reminder,
9	again, if you have any comments during the previous
10	questions, please feel free to allow your comments on
11	the web or on the phone line.
12	The title of this section is "Specific
13	Questions for Licensees Related to License
14	Verification." But what we'd like to do, we'd like to
15	emphasize the fact that all members of the public are
16	welcome to provide your input and we are actually
17	seeking those comments from any stakeholder either on
18	the line or on the web.
19	You do not have to be a licensee, but
20	please provide your comments. We look forward to
21	them. So, we'll go to Question No. 1.
22	"It currently takes approximately one
23	month to get credentialed to access LVS. If you
24	currently do not have online access to LVS, and NRC
25	establishes new requirements for license verification

quantities of involving Category 3 radioactive material, would you be inclined to sign up for online access, or would you use alternative methods for license verification such as emailing the NRC Form 749 'Manual License Verification Report' to the LVS help calling the license-issuing regulatory desk authority directly?"

Any clarifying remarks for this section? We've noted there are numerous ways for -- to access the --

MR. WHITE: This is Duncan White.

One of the things to possibly consider when answering this question as providing feedback for this question is how often you would transfer.

Recognize that people who have a -- would have a Category 3 source would have to -- would put the transfer -- check the transfer may only be doing it once a year, once every, you know, more often or he may be doing it quarterly or very frequently and that may dictate how -- what method he would use. And, again, appreciate any feedback along those lines.

Again, what we have heard from previous people is people who do not use license verification that often, would more likely use the paper method or call the help desk. People who do it more frequently

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

1	or doing larger numbers would probably do it
2	electronically.
3	So, again, appreciate any feedback along
4	those lines.
5	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
6	That was Duncan White from the NRC. Again, my name is
7	George Smith. I am the facilitator for today's
8	meeting.
9	Robin, are there any indication of
10	comments on the phone line?
11	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no questions on
12	the phone line.
13	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
14	MS. CRAWFORD: There are no questions on
15	the webinar.
16	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
17	MS. CRAWFORD: Or comments.
18	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you.
19	Not hearing any questions or comments,
20	we're going to move forward to the next question.
21	Again, we have time allotted to five o'clock today for
22	this session of the webinar. So, if you have any
23	comments, we have plenty of time.
24	If you have any comments on the previous
25	questions or any clarifying remarks, please provide

1	them either on the phone line or via the web. Thank
2	you very much.
3	So, Question 2. "Approximately how many
4	transfers involving Category 3 quantities of
5	radioactive material do you do monthly? What
6	percentage involves transfers directly to or from a
7	manufacturer?"
8	Robin, any comments on the telephone line?
9	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no comments on
LO	the phone line at this time.
11	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you.
12	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar.
13	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thanks.
L 4	Okay. We'll just give it a couple seconds
15	to allow those who may have some comments, to provide
16	those comments.
17	And as a reminder, if you have any
18	comments on the previous questions, you may provide
19	those comments at this time also.
20	(Pause.)
21	MR. WHITE: This is Duncan White to
22	clarify and maybe hopefully to prompt some feedback.
23	For Category 3 material there are a large number of
24	licensees that have this material. Either medical
25	licensees who have high-dose afterloaders or well

1 logging -- well logging licensees. 2 We know that the people with HDRs do 3 quarterly exchanges on those -- for each source that 4 they have, and well loggers obviously do it much less 5 often. That's the type of feedback we've received 6 7 So, again, that's the type of, you know, 8 information we would like to hear back. 9 We also recognize in addition, is that it 10 was asked in a previous question as who you -- the 11 license the exchanges are done between 12 manufacturer and a, you know, end user or licensee, or 13 is it between licensee and licensee. Again, that may 14 vary, too. 15 Again, for people with, like, HDRs, they 16 do those directly with the manufacturers. For well 17 loggers, we've heard that it is generally with the 18 manufacturers, but there's a lot more licensee-to-19 licensee exchanges. 20 And, again, any sort of feedback on that 2.1 and information on that we would appreciate it. 22 FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, Duncan. 23 Robin, any indication of questions on the 24 phone line? 25 THE OPERATOR: At this time, I'm showing

1	no questions on the phone line.
2	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
3	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar
4	
5	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
6	MS. CRAWFORD: at this time.
7	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you very much.
8	We're going to move on to Question No. 3.
9	"Should license verification be required when
10	transferring to an established manufacturer?"
11	Robin, any indication of comments on the
12	telephone line?
13	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no questions
14	from the phone line.
15	MS. CRAWFORD: No questions on the
16	webinar.
17	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Thanks.
18	Any clarifying comments?
19	MS. WU: Irene Wu, NRC.
20	On previous public meetings and webinars,
21	one of the feedback we got on this was that this
22	should be expanded to include other established
23	entities like reactors.
24	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, Irene.
25	As a reminder, if you have any comments

for any of the questions we've gone over today or this question, Question No. 3, you're welcome to make your 3 comments at this time via the web or on the phone And as a reminder, this meeting is being transcribed. (Pause.) FACILITATOR SMITH: Hearing no questions, then we're going to move on to Question No. 4. "Do you have online access to LVS? If so, have you experienced any issues with LVS? Do you have any recommendations on how to improve LVS?" Any clarifying comments? 13 MR. WHITE: This is Duncan White. feedback we have received Again, previous webinars and public meetings is, again, 16 there's a learning curve involved with using LVS, as well as any other systems we've talked about today. 18 So, again, we see previous questions about 19 credentialing. That seems to be a very interesting of people, the challenges behind getting credentialed and using the system and how frequently they use the system, something you mentioned earlier. 2.3 So, again, when considering responding to this question, you know, take -- some of those things you can please take into mind. But, again, if you

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

20

2.1

22

24

1	have used LVS in the past, we really appreciate any
2	input that you would have on this.
3	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you very
4	much, Duncan.
5	Robin, any comments on the line?
6	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no comments
7	from the phone line.
8	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
9	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar.
10	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you. Okay.
11	Hearing no comments, we're going to move on to
12	Question No. 1 on the next set of questions.
13	And as a reminder, you know, we have
14	this webinar is slated to go to five o'clock 5:00
15	p.m. Eastern Standard Time. So, if you have any
16	comments, we are we'll be here for you to provide
17	those comments.
18	Any previous questions that you want to
19	make comments on, you're more than welcome to make
20	those comments at this time via phone or via web.
21	Okay. Slide 21. You should see that on
22	the webinar and it has "Specific Questions for
23	Licensees Related to the NSTS."
24	And, again, we want to emphasize that
25	these set of questions are related to NSTS, but all

members -- let me back up. 1 These questions -- the 2 question was directed to our licensee, but we want to 3 emphasize the point that all members of the public are 4 welcome to provide their comments, their thoughts on 5 these questions. So, it's not specific just for licensees. 6 7 All members of the public can provide those -- their 8 thoughts on these questions. 9 So, Question No. 1. "It currently takes 10 approximately one month to get credentialed to access 11 the NSTS. If you currently do not have online access 12 to the NSTS and NRC establishes new requirements for 13 the tracking of Category 3 sources in the NSTS, would 14 you be inclined to sign up for the online access or 15 would you use alternative methods for NSTS reporting 16 such as emailing or faxing the NRC Form 748 'National 17 Source Tracking Transaction Report' to the NSTS help 18 desk?" 19 There's a lot there. Any clarifying 20 comments? 2.1 MS. WU: This is Irene Wu, NRC. 22 So, I just want to clarify. So, for NSTS 2.3 reporting, current reporting is -- again, Category 1 24 and 2 licensees are reporting not just transfers, but 25

they're reporting receipts, imports, exports, when the

1	source is manufactured, when the source is disposed of
2	and disassembled. So, all of those transactions are
3	reported to NSTS, which differs from the license
4	verification requirement, which is just done prior to
5	a transfer.
6	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, Irene.
7	Any indication of questions or comments on
8	the line, Robin?
9	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no questions or
10	comments from the phone line.
11	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you.
12	Carrie, any questions?
13	MS. CRAWFORD: No questions on the
14	webinar.
15	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
16	We'll give it a couple of seconds. If you have any
17	comments, please provide your comments on Question No.
18	1. And if you have any comments on previous
19	questions, you're also welcome to provide those
20	comments from the previous questions via the telephone
21	line or the web. We're monitoring the web today.
22	And I'd like to remind everyone that this
23	webinar is our public meeting and webinar is being
24	transcribed today so we can accurately capture your
25	comments.

1	Okay. Not hearing any comments, we're
2	going to move to Question No. 2. "Do you have online
3	access to the NSTS? If so, have you experienced any
4	issues with the NSTS? Do you have any recommendations
5	on how to improve the NSTS?"
6	Robin, any indication of comments on the
7	telephone line?
8	THE OPERATOR: There are no comments on
9	the phone line at this time.
10	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar
11	at this time.
12	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
13	MS. WU: This is Irene Wu, NRC.
14	Some of the feedback that we got at
15	previous public meetings and webinars were that prior
16	to the change to using onetime passwords, that users
17	did applicants did have a lot harder time getting
18	access to the National Source Tracking System because
19	we were using smart cards and you had to download
20	drivers to then be able to get access.
21	We've had a lot of positive feedback since
22	we moved to the onetime passwords and haven't heard as
23	many issues with accessing NSTS.
24	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you very much,
25	Irene.

1	Robin, any indication of questions on the
2	phone line?
3	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no questions or
4	comments from the phone line.
5	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
6	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar
7	at this time.
8	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, Carrie.
9	Okay. Not hearing any comments for
10	Question No. 2, we're going to move on to Slide No. 22
11	for questions for Agreement States related to license
12	verification.
13	So, again, we'd like to emphasize the fact
14	that these specific questions for Agreement States, we
15	welcome all members of the public to provide their
16	input on these questions.
17	So, please, if you have any questions
18	regardless of being an Agreement State representative
19	or not, we welcome all members of the public to
20	provide their comments on these specific questions.
21	Question No. 1. "Approximately how many
22	licenses do you authorize for Category 1, 2 and 3
23	quantities of radioactive material?"
24	So, if you are involved in that process,
25	you could provide some insights on that regardless if

you are an Agreement State regulator or not. 1 2 We do have a comment here in the room. 3 PUBLIC SPEAKER PRIEST: Jack Priest, 4 Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Public 5 Health, Radiation Control Program. I'm the director 6 of the Radiation Control Program. 7 "Approximately how many licenses do we 8 authorize for Category 1, 2 and 3 quantities 9 radioactive material?" 10 We have approximately 60 licenses for 1, 11 2 and 3 categories. And we have 43 current licensees 12 for Categories 1 and 2. 13 This is Duncan White. MR. WHITE: 14 By some general information that we have 15 from the national perspective on the number 16 licenses, right now we have approximately 17 Category 1 and 2 licenses in NSTS. 18 Information that we have collected so far 19 again, and the reason we're asking for more 20 specific information, we think there's approximately 2.1 3600 Category 3 material licensees nationally that 22 have for that quantity of material. But, again, we 2.3 like to refine that number. 24 And to put that in perspective if you --25 those 5,000 licensees that constitute Category 1, 2

3, that represents about 25 percent of 1 2 specific licenses nationally. There's approximately 3 20,000 licenses nationally. 4 FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you, 5 Duncan. 6 Robin, any indication of comments on the 7 phone line? 8 THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no comments on 9 the phone line at this time. 10 MS. CRAWFORD: No questions or comments on 11 the webinar. 12 FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Okay. Hearing 13 no comments, we're going to move on to Question No. 2. 14 And as a reminder, we're scheduled to be here until 15 five o'clock, but if you have any additional -- so, we 16 have plenty of time. 17 If you have any additional comments on any 18 of the previous questions, we invite you to provide 19 those comments on the phone or the web at this time. 20 Ouestion No. 2. "If license verification 2.1 through the LVS or the transferee's license issuing 22 authority is required for transfers involving Category 2.3 quantities of radioactive material, would 24 encourage the use of LVS among your licensees, or plan 25 for additional burden imposed by the manual license

1	verification process?"
2	Any clarifying remarks?
3	We do have a comment here in the room.
4	PUBLIC SPEAKER PRIEST: Jack Priest,
5	director of the Radiation Control Program,
6	Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Department of Public
7	Health.
8	The Massachusetts Radiation Control
9	Program would encourage licensees for the use of LVS
10	among our licensees. We would not recommend the plan
11	for additional burden imposed by the manual license
12	verification process.
13	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you very much,
14	Jack.
15	Robin, any additional comments on the
16	phone line?
17	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no additional
18	comments on the phone line at this time.
19	MS. CRAWFORD: No questions or comments on
20	the webinar.
21	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, Carrie.
22	Thank you, Robin. And, again, we'd like to emphasize
23	even though this portion was specific for Agreement
24	States, we welcome all comments from all members of
25	the public to provide your input. We would like

insight from all perspectives on these questions.

I'd also like to say with your comments, we'd like to remind you that if you do provide any comments, please make sure those comments are not — or does not include for-official-use-only information, safeguarded information, classified information, or specific information related to a facility.

Not hearing any comments, we're going to move on to Question No. 3. "If license verification through the LVS or the transferee's license issuing authority is required for transfers involving Category 3 quantities of radioactive material, would you consider adopting the Web-Based Licensing System (WBL) to ensure that the most up-to-date licenses are available for license verification using the LVS or voluntarily provide your Category 3 licenses (Similar to what some Agreement States do now for Category 1 and 2 licenses) to be included in WBL, or would you do neither and prefer licensees to use the manual license verification process?"

And, again, we encourage all comments from all perspectives. So, any member of the public can provide comments at this time on the phone line or via the web.

We do have a comment here in the room.

2.1

2.3

1	PUBLIC SPEAKER PRIEST: Jack Priest,
2	director of the Massachusetts Radiation Control
3	Program.
4	The Massachusetts Radiation Control
5	Program would ask our licensees to voluntarily provide
6	your Category 3 similar to what Massachusetts, which
7	is an Agreement State, does now for Category 1 and 2
8	licenses.
9	Massachusetts has not yet adopted web-
10	based licensing system.
11	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you. We really
12	appreciate your comments.
13	MR. WHITE: This is Duncan White. I have
14	some the feedback we've received on this question
15	is, some members of the public and some licensees
16	commented that they felt that this would be
17	challenging for an Agreement State to do this. It
18	would be an extra burden.
19	And they pointed out, at least in their
20	Agreement States that they were familiar with, that
21	the staff was already stretched to the limits
22	already and this would be an additional challenge and
23	burden to them.
24	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, Duncan.
25	Robin, any indication of comments on the

1	telephone line?
2	THE OPERATOR: At this time, if you have
3	a question, it is * followed by the number 1.
4	MS. CRAWFORD: No questions or comments on
5	the webinar at this time.
6	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you.
7	THE OPERATOR: And one moment. I believe
8	we do have a question coming through. So, one moment,
9	please.
10	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
11	THE OPERATOR: One moment.
12	Marvin Lewis, your line is open. You may
13	ask your question.
14	PUBLIC SPEAKER LEWIS: Thank you very
15	much. I appreciate this chance to have my say and
16	I'll be going.
17	Look, I appreciate the fact that this is
18	commerce and it's important that we have commerce.
19	However, here's what I'm thinking:
20	I'm thinking a lot of this Class 3 stuff
21	is going through our cities and going into areas that
22	are not very well protected, not safe, and where the
23	first responders are first responders, volunteers, and
24	we don't need radioactives going out into the general
25	public or near the general public.

1	And I don't hear people saying, well, how
2	can we minimize the impact of radiation on the general
3	public or people, the individual person.
4	I want to hear a little more of what the
5	NRC's charter says about protect the health and safety
6	of the public. I sure don't hear it. Thank you for
7	allowing me this comment.
8	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you so much for
9	your comment.
10	THE OPERATOR: And I'm showing no further
11	comments or questions.
12	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
13	Do we have any further clarification or
14	comment at the table? No?
15	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar
16	or questions.
17	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Great. Thank
18	you.
19	Seeing no additional comments, we're going
20	to move on to Question No. 4. And, again, we'd like
21	to emphasize the fact that we will entertain any
22	comments from previous questions also on the phone
23	line or the webinar at this time.
24	So, Question No. 4. "What would the
25	impact in time and resources be on your program to

1	handle the additional regulatory oversight needed for
2	Category 3 licensees if license verification through
3	the LVS or the transferee's license issuing authority
4	was required for transfers involving Category 3
5	quantities of radioactive material?"
6	So, again, anyone can we invite all
7	perspectives, any comments from on this question.
8	Although it says "Specific Questions for Agreement
9	States," we're looking for all perspectives. So, if
10	you have any questions, please indicate on the phone
11	line or you can provide that comment via the web. We
12	are monitoring the web. And as a reminder, this
13	meeting is being transcribed today.
14	Robin, are there any indications of
15	comments on the line?
16	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no comments on
17	the phone line at this time.
18	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
19	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments or questions on
20	the webinar.
21	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. We do have a
22	comment here in the room. Thank you.
23	PUBLIC SPEAKER PRIEST: Jack Priest,
24	director of the Massachusetts Radiation Control
25	Program.

1	We've done some time study estimates based
2	on our current number of licensees that we have for
3	Category 1 and 2. And we feel the additional Category
4	3 for training, tracking, regulatory oversight for
5	that part of the program, we estimated 1.5 FTE, full-
6	time equivalent, for that additional burden.
7	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you so
8	much for your comment. We'll give it a couple seconds
9	and then we'll see if we have any other comments.
10	(Pause.)
11	MR. WHITE: I do have a follow-up question
12	for Jack.
13	You estimate 1.5 FTE for doing Cat 3. If
14	we did implement this and it did require this, would
15	this take away from other activities in your program?
16	PUBLIC SPEAKER PRIEST: That 1-1/2 FTE
17	would be in addition to our current staffing levels.
18	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Robin, any
19	additional comments on the telephone line?
20	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no additional
21	comments from the phone line at this time.
22	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
23	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments on the webinar
24	or questions.
25	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you.

We'd like to remind you if you have any comments on any of the questions we've gone over thus far, we welcome those comments. And although some of the questions from the Federal Register Notice indicated they were for specifics, for example, for Agreement States, we welcome all comments from all members of the public. We appreciate all perspectives on these questions. Thank you so much.

Not hearing any comments, we're going to move on to Question No. 1 for the questions directed for Agreement States related to the NSTS.

So, again, I'd like to remind you that although this is from an FRN, this is specific questions for Agreement States, we welcome all comments from all members of the public. We're looking for all perspectives on these questions.

currently Ouestion No. 1. "The NRC administers the annual inventory reconciliation process on behalf of the Agreement States. This process involves providing hard copy inventories to every licensee that possesses nationally tracked sources at the end of the year, processing corrections inventories, and processing confirmations completion of the reconciliation into the NSTS. process involves a significant amount of staff time

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

1	and resources from November to February. If the
2	Agreement States were to adopt administration of the
3	annual inventory reconciliation process and if
4	Category 3 sources were included in the NSTS, would
5	the additional regulatory burden be on the Agreement
6	States to perform the annual inventory reconciliation
7	for Category 1, 2 and 3 sources?"
8	Any clarifying remarks here in the room?
9	(No remarks.)
10	FACILITATOR SMITH: Robin, any comments on
11	the line?
12	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no comments
13	from the phone line.
14	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you very much.
15	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments or questions on
16	the webinar.
17	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, Carrie.
18	Again, my name is George Smith and I'm the
19	facilitator for today's meeting. As a reminder, we
20	wanted to let you know not to please not to provide
21	any for-official-use-only information, safeguarded
22	information, classified information, or information
23	related to a specific facility. And this meeting
24	today is being transcribed.
25	Not hearing any comments, we're going to

move on to the last set of questions. Again, although 1 2 we are -- we're moving forward, if there are any 3 questions or comments that you have from previous 4 questions, please provide those comments over the web 5 or on the phone line. You are more than welcome. 6 So, Question 1. "Should physical security 7 requirements for Category 1 and 2 quantities of 8 radioactive material be expanded to include Category 9 3 quantities?" 10 Any clarifying remarks? 11 (Pause.) 12 FACILITATOR SMITH: Duncan or Irene, any 13 clarifying remarks? 14 MS. WU: No. 15 WHITE: No. Again, I think the 16 question is pretty clear and self-evident. Again, we 17 would treat Category 3 sources just like we treat 18 Category 1 and 2 sources. They will follow all things 19 in Part 37. 20 Again, feedback we've received in previous 2.1 webinars and public meetings is no one liked the idea. 22 They felt this -- people repeatedly told us they felt 2.3 safety requirements in other parts of 24 regulation and licensing, Part 20 and such,

sufficient to protect Category 3 sources.

1	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
2	So, if you have any additional comments, we would like
3	to hear those comments.
4	What are your thoughts on expanding the
5	physical security requirements for Category 3
6	quantities of radioactive material?
7	Robin, any indication of comments on the
8	telephone line?
9	THE OPERATOR: And I'm showing no comments
10	or questions from the phone line at this time.
11	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
12	Carrie?
13	MS. CRAWFORD: No comments or questions on
14	the webinar at this time.
15	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Thank you.
16	Okay. We're going to move on to Question
17	No. 2. But, again, if you have any comments on
18	Question 1 or any previous question, please provide
19	those comments on the telephone line or the web.
20	Question 2. "Some Category 3 sources are
21	covered under a general license (10 CFR 31.5). Should
22	the NRC consider establishing maximum quantities in
23	general licensed devices, thereby reserving
24	authorization to possess Category 1, 2 and 3
25	quantities of radioactive material to specific

1	licensees?"
2	Any clarifying comments in the room?
3	MR. WHITE: This is Duncan White.
4	When we rolled out back, I guess, in 2010,
5	the Commission did direct staff to no longer have
6	Category 2 general license devices. There weren't
7	many, but there were a few out there, NRC and
8	Agreement State jurisdiction.
9	And this is following along the same line
10	of thinking that if we impose additional requirements
11	on Category 3, that, you know, general licenses would
12	be, then we would fold general license under specific
13	licenses.
14	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you very much.
15	MS. CRAWFORD: I have a question on the
16	webinar.
17	FACILITATOR SMITH: Okay. Great. Thanks.
18	MS. CRAWFORD: Okay. Question from Mike
19	Welling. "Would this be under a Part 37 revision or
20	an order until Part 37 would be revised?"
21	MR. WHITE: What as part of this effort
22	again, I think you're referring to the first
23	question about including Category 3 as part of Part
24	37.
25	We would have to make that if the staff

1	decides that this was appropriate, then we would make
2	a recommendation to the Commission. The Commission
3	would give direction to staff to proceed with
4	appropriate rulemaking.
5	Now, they've decided this is a requires
6	an immediate more immediate action. We could issue
7	orders, but I would think this would require
8	Commission approval to do that.
9	And, again, this is part of the current
10	effort is to look at these type of efforts and make
11	recommendations to the Commission later this year.
12	So, remember what Irene talked about earlier regarding
13	the Commission paper in August on a number of topics,
14	and this being one of them.
15	FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you, Duncan.
16	Robin, any indication of questions on the
17	telephone line?
18	THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no questions on
19	the phone line at this time.
20	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
21	MS. CRAWFORD: No further comments or
22	questions on the webinar.
23	FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.
24	Again, we'd like to remind you if you have
25	any comments on any of the questions that we've

presented today, please provide those comments at this time either on the web or telephone line. We welcome 3 all comments from all stakeholders on any of questions. (Pause.) FACILITATOR SMITH: So, that was the last question that we have. But before we close the comment portion of the meeting, I wanted to open the floor for any final thoughts or comments on any 10 aspects of this evaluation. Does anyone have any final thoughts on --12 or additional comments they'd like to make? So, if 13 you have any comments that you'd like to make on any or any aspects of portion of the meeting evaluation of Category 3, please provide 16 thoughts or any comments at this time either here in the room or on the telephone line or the web. 18 Are there any indication of questions on 19 the line at this time? THE OPERATOR: I'm showing no questions or 2.1 comments from the phone line at this time. 22 FACILITATOR SMITH: Thank you. Great. 2.3 CRAWFORD: MS. No comments from the webinar or questions at this time. FACILITATOR SMITH: Great. Thank you.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

15

17

20

24

Great. We'd like to thank you all 1 Okav. 2 for your comments and we'd like to remind you that 3 there is an additional webinar that will take place 4 from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time tonight. 5 So, the NRC will host several additional 6 public meetings and webinars on Category 3 source 7 security and accountability during the public comment 8 period for this effort. 9 On February 28th, we will be holding two 10 public meetings and webinars in Houston, 11 Lastly, we are having another webinar on March 2nd. 12 All of these public meetings and webinars 13 are noticed on the public meeting website with a link 14 from our website. So, please check the meeting notice 15 for the times and detail. 16 Finally, we would like to remind you that 17 the public comment period for the FRN that provides 18 these questions, closes on March 10th, 2017. 19 encourage your response to the FRN and appreciate your 20 participation in today's meeting. 2.1 So, a copy of the slides used in today's 22 meeting will be made available on the Category 3 2.3 webpage located on the NRC's website under radioactive 24 material security. 25 If you have any additional questions

1	related to this meeting or the Category 3 source
2	security and accountability re-evaluation, please
3	contact either Duncan White and Duncan be reached
4	at <u>duncan.white@nrc.gov</u> or 301-415-2958 or Irene
5	Wu. Irene can be reached at irene.wu@nrc.gov or 301-
6	415-1951.
7	Again, I'd like to remind everyone that we
8	will have another webinar today and it will start at
9	six o'clock Eastern Standard time. So, 6:00 p.m.
10	Eastern Standard Time. Thank you very much.
11	Robin, thank you so much for your help.
12	THE OPERATOR: And thank you. This does
13	conclude this session. You may go ahead and
14	disconnect, if you wish, and thank you for your
15	participation.
16	(Whereupon, at 4:25 p.m. the meeting was
17	concluded.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	