UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+++++

BRIEFING ON EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY, AFFIRMATIVE EMPLOYMENT, AND SMALL BUSINESS

+++++

THURSDAY,

NOVEMBER 30, 2017

+++++

ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

+ + + + +

The Commission met in the Commissioners= Hearing Room at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, at 10:03 a.m., Kristine L. Svinicki, Chairman, presiding.

COMMISSION MEMBERS:

KRISTINE L. SVINICKI, Chairman

JEFF BARAN, Commissioner

STEPHEN G. BURNS, Commissioner

ALSO PRESENT:

ANNETTE VIETTI-COOK, Secretary of the Commission

MARGARET DOANE, General Counsel

NRC STAFF:

PAMELA BAKER, Director, Office of Small Business and Civil Rights

JENNIFER GOLDER, Deputy Chief Human Capital Officer,
Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer

CATHERINE HANEY, Regional Administrator, Region II

MICHAEL JOHNSON, Deputy Executive Director for

Reactor and Preparedness Programs

JENNENE LITTLEJOHN, Chair, Advisory Committee for

African Americans

MARIA SCHWARTZ, Executive Vice-President of NTEU

Chapter 208

MICHAEL WEBER, Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

MAUREEN WYLIE, Chief Financial Officer, Office of the Chief Financial Officer

1	PROCEEDINGS

2	10:03 a.m.
3	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Well, good morning, everyone. It's
4	so great to see everyone here this morning. And we are convening to have
5	our semi-annual briefing on EEO, affirmative employment, and small
6	business-related topics, although we're going to hear, I think, a broader
7	diversity of topics than that today.
8	We're going to be hearing some more about strategic
9	workforce planning, competency modeling. So I know I eagerly look forward
10	to the presentations and our Q&A, and you know, it's interesting. These
11	are semi-annual, so it tends to be towards this time of the year, the end of the
12	year, that we gather for the second of these that we do annually.
13	And for me, this is a time of year when I focus on kind of,
14	you know, what atmosphere are we creating around ourselves? How are we
15	treating each other? And so I think, in some ways for me personally, it's a
16	great time of year to gather together as an NRC community, and discuss these
17	topics. Before we begin though, do either of my colleagues have any opening
18	remarks?
19	Okay, well with that we will begin. And, very capably as
20	acting EDO today, we have Mr. Mike Johnson to lead off staff presentation.
21	Please proceed.
22	MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Chairman. Good morning,
23	Chairman. Good morning Commissioner Baran and Commissioner Burns.
24	We do appreciate the opportunity to provide you with an
25	update, or an overview, on the EEO Equal Employment
26	Opportunity programs. And to highlight our dedication to a diverse and

empowered workforce. Can I have slide 2, please?

At this morning's meeting, I'm joined by Pam Baker, who is the Director of the Office of Small Business and Civil Rights, who will highlight the Agency's accomplishments in the small business program, and our ongoing work with minority-serving institutions, and our diversity and inclusion programs.

Jennifer Golder, who is the Deputy Chief, Human Capital Officer, will discuss two important initiatives. Jennifer will provide an update on our strategic workforce planning, pilot-enhanced strategic workforce planning pilot, and discuss our progress in the development of competency modeling.

Cathy Haney, the original Administrator for Region II, will highlight the recent diversity and inclusion accomplishments in Region II.

Maureen Wylie, the Chief Financial Officer, will share with you some insights in the successful change within the Office of Chief Financial Officer.

And then finally, Ms. Jennene Littlejohn, the Chair of the Advisory Committee for African Americans, will speak on behalf of the Diversity Management Advisory Committee. She'll also share with you a few minutes of a video on the importance of supervisors. That video was prepared, developed through a partnership between the EEO advisory committees.

Throughout the presentations, you'll hear how we've been partnering within offices, and across offices and programs, to achieve our safety and security mission, and to sustain our commitment to diversity and inclusion.

1	These partnerships are even more important now in the
2	current environment with declining budgets and limited hiring and promotional
3	opportunities. And so with that, I'll turn it over to Pam to begin our
4	presentation. Pam?
5	MS. BAKER: Thank you, Mike. Good morning Chairman
6	Svinicki, Commissioners Baron and Burns. I'm pleased to provide you an
7	update on the NRC's Equal Employment Opportunity Program today.
8	This past August, the Office of Small Business and Civil
9	Rights SBCR held a joint EEO conference for our counselors, advisory
10	committee members, and others.
11	In deciding on a theme for that conference, we reflected
12	upon the great strides the NRC has made to-date in designing a
13	work devi diverse workforce, and creating an inclusive work environment.
14	These achievements have laid a foundation upon which we
15	continue to build upon, as SBCR becomes a more efficient and effective office.
16	Thus, today's briefing focuses on the partnering that has occurred across the
17	Agency, that has served to ensure a continuation of what we've accomplished.
18	I'll share how years of past efforts have resulted in
19	excellence for our small business program, and significant success for the
20	minority-serving institution program.
21	Through a sharing of resources with other offices, we
22	maintained 100 percent timeliness in processing EEO complaints, and have
23	again established the NRC as a benchmark for inclusion with our diversity
24	dialogue program. Next slide.
25	The NRC's A+ small business grade for 2016 was the result

of a culmination of the program's continued excellence through the years. As

1	SBCR continues our partnership with the Office of Administration, our 2017
2	performance, again, is indicative of how years of effort can ultimately result in
3	great returns.
4	We not only surpassed our overall small business goal of 32
5	percent by achieving 36.7 percent, of significance is the awards to service
6	disabled veteran-owned businesses.
7	We achieved a historical largest-dollar-awarded, with \$15.6
8	million, more than double our three percent goal.
9	A major contract was for the building operations, where,
10	through the years, we assisted potential businesses to increase the market
11	competitiveness for these services.
12	Nonetheless, FY 2018 will be a challenging year, as our
13	marketplace for small business shrinks. In addition to proprietary restrictions
14	and statutory requirements to sustain specific sources, our budget for
15	corporate support goods and services, which provides the majority of small
16	business opportunities, is reduced.
17	The pursuit of shared services is a sound business practice
18	to stretch our funding, but it does limit small business.
19	We continue to focus on where we can develop small
20	business sources in those areas where opportunity does exist, while also
21	maintaining what we've achieved thus far.
22	The small business community ebbs and flows as
23	companies graduate from the 8A program, and HUB zones are redefined.
24	Our extensive community awareness and agility enables us to respond to
25	these changes, to ensure our small business objectives are realized, by

placing greater emphasis on market research, and collaborating with our

1	contracting officer representatives, and identifying viable sources. Next slide.
2	SBCR's outreach efforts have also yielded positive results
3	in supporting the NRC's Minority-Serving Institution Program. The technical
4	assistance we have provided to MSIs has enabled many institutions to
5	become more competitive for Integrated University Program grants.
6	In FY 2017, MSI award funding from the IUP was over
7	\$4 million, which is more than double than what had been awarded in previous
8	years.
9	Outreach with our existing MSI grantees also continues.
LO	The University of Houston downtown recently provided a presentation of the
L1	wide range of programs and curriculums they have developed over the years,
L2	to serve NRC and the industry skill needs.
L3	We hosted Fort Valley University's stem program, sharing
L4	with about 40 high school students the career opportunities available at the
L5	NRC, as these young students start thinking about their education endeavors.
L6	We continue to close out the specific MSI grants program,
L7	and integrate it with the IUP, in alignment with the Project Aim re-baselining
L8	recommendation.
L9	In FY 2017, we successfully funded the grants for that year
20	by prioritizing and reprogramming funds within the outreach product line, as
21	portrayed in this displayed chart.
22	Over the next four years, we will orderly close out this
23	program for the remaining open grants. Next slide.
24	A year ago I shared concerns regarding SBCR's ability to
25	meet the demands of timely processing EEO complaints, given reduced
26	resources and anticipated increased workload.

1	As SBCR continues to develop internal bench strength to
2	meet this challenge, we explored other options. We successfully partnered
3	with the Office of General Counsel and the Office of Commission Appellate
4	Adjudication, two rotations with our Civil Rights staff.
5	These rotations provided much needed relief in the SBCR
6	office in addressing workload surges during the year.
7	In addition, the experience gleaned by the attorneys in the
8	two offices can enhance the Agency's capacity, as SBCR continues to develop
9	our own internal staff.
10	Last spring, the Equal Employment Opportunity
11	Commission conducted a technical assistance visit at the NRC. The results
12	of their review identified a number of best-practices the NRC employs in
13	maintaining a model EEO program. I'd like to highlight two of these.
14	First, our Comprehensive Diversity Management Plan
15	ensures a common focus on EEO and diversity management throughout the
16	Agency. Second, our Diversity Dialogue Program strives to create an
17	environment for raising concerns through empathetic listening, and
18	understanding of different perspectives.
19	The one area the EEOC identified for improvement is our
20	Alternative Dispute Resolution Program. The EEOC identified a drop in the
21	NRC's use of ADR over the years, and expressed a concern that our
22	managers were not supporting the program.
23	The mis-perception of manager non-support was, in part,
24	based on the way we were reporting and characterizing ADR. We now
25	calculate the program performance based on the ADR that is actually offered,

where previously we counted all complaints as a denominator, because we

1	always describe ADR to employees as a possible option. Depending on the
2	situation, ADR may not always be a viable option. Next slide.
3	The good news from this slide, which portrays civil rights
4	program performance this past year, is that we have not realized an increase
5	in the number of complaints based on some of the unprecedented changes,
6	such as the potential of a corporate support rift, and the need to reassign NRO
7	and Region II staff, due to the halt in construction of the VC Summer plant.
8	I believe this is particularly noteworthy, and may be
9	indicative of our effective change management. Uncertainty often leads to
10	misunderstandings that can fuel perceptions of disparate treatment.
11	The above chart shows that EEO activity is trending slightly
12	downward, as our staffing levels also decline.
13	I would also add that the EEO activity remained significantly
14	low at the NRC, in comparison to our other Federal Agencies of our size.
15	Next slide.
16	Examining the bases for formal complaints, age, sex and
17	reprisal have been most frequently cited, each equally contributing to 65 to 70
18	percent of formal cases.
19	In FY 2017, however, we have seen age, as a bases,
20	starting to trend above the other two. This is not surprising given the
21	composition of our workforce, where the average age of our employees
22	exceeds 40 years, which is the threshold to be considered in the protected
23	class.
24	This chart reflects all bases cited in a formal complaint,
25	which may include multiple bases. Hence, why the total here is greater than

the actual number of the formal complaints on the previous chart. Next slide,

nl	ea	se
v	Ca	SC

2.3

There has been a change in the top issue for formal complaints from the past year in the area of reassignment of duties. This is reflective of our current environment. As the NRC continues to reduce staffing levels, essential work must be redistributed to the remaining staff.

As a result, there are also fewer promotion opportunities, as positions are eliminated and workload is shifted to where there may be capacity as other work goes away.

Harassment, as an issue, often accompanies other issues.

I'll discuss insights into the NRC's anti-harassment policy in my next slide.

Following our theme of partnering to last, embracing diversity inclusion is a strategic imperative for the NRC, serves to not only achieve our safety and security mission today, but creates a springboard that ensures sustainability into the future we face.

The overall demographic profile of the NRC has not significantly changed in the past five years. Our limited hiring and lack of control over what attrition occurs, constrains our ability to effect change in our overall demographics.

Where we can create change, and improve in leveraging our diversity, is how our existing representation is distributed vertically and horizontally throughout the Agency.

Focusing internally on inclusion can facilitate our efforts in this regard. Our commitment has been reinforced by identifying diversity and inclusion as an enterprise risk that we are all accountable to uphold.

Whether real or perceived, reducing resources for a program often implies it is of less importance or relevance. Reinforcing what

we've done well, and how we can continue with broader involvement, will serve to counter such concerns employees may have.

2.3

During a recent quarterly strategic alignment meeting, observations from EEO cases were shared with the leadership team, to broaden awareness of how deliberate and thoughtful actions cannot only mitigate misunderstandings that often disrupt productivity, but can also prevent the filing of an EEO complaint, and most importantly, preserve workplace harmony.

Ensuring an inclusive work environment means being responsive to the issues that can impede employees from bringing their whole selves to the workplace.

I a recent dialogue cohort check-in, it was brought to our attention that some of our employees are not familiar with the NRC's anti-harassment policy; in particular, when and how to report harassment.

In response to this, OCHCO and SBCR have partnered in preparing a yellow announcement reminding staff of the anti-harassment policy, which is also referenced in the No-Fear Act training, which is a biannual requirement all employees are required to complete next month.

A video of a panel discussion of anti-harassment reporting pathways was held this past summer, and will soon be available through iLearn. All employees are encouraged to view it, as we continue to explore ways to enhance Agency-wide knowledge and awareness, to maintain a harassment-free work environment.

As I shared at the start of my presentation, we have made great strides in creating a diverse and inclusive work environment, and we face both a necessity to sustain it, and have the capacity to build upon that

which we've created.

1

26

2	This concludes my remarks, and I'll now turn the briefing
3	over to Jennifer Golder, Deputy Chief Human Capital Officer, who will provide
4	an update on strategic workforce planning, and competency modeling.
5	Thank you.
6	MS. GOLDER: Thank you, Pam. Chairman Svinicki,
7	Commissioner Baran, Commissioner Burns, it's my honor to be at the table
8	today to discuss the status of strategic workforce planning, and competency
9	modeling. Slide 12, please.
10	In June, at the Human Capital Commission meeting, you
11	were briefed on the enhanced strategic workforce planning process that staff
12	developed.
13	In early July, the Executive Director for Operations approved
14	implementation of a pilot to test the enhanced process. The process will align
15	workload, skills and needs to meet short- and long-term Agency objectives.
16	It sets agency-wide human capital goals including overall
17	workforce size and skills composition which extend beyond the two-year
18	budget cycle.
19	It will also establish a structured, repeatable, and
20	comprehensive approach that can be built upon each year. It will leverage
21	and align with other existing Agency processes, such as budget formulation,
22	quarterly performance reporting, and strategic planning.
23	It will also identify and align with efficiencies from Project
24	AIM, as part of the workload forecast phase of the process.
25	Lastly, it also addresses the recommendations from the

recent GAO audit on Strategic Workforce Planning, such as training managers

and supervisors in strategic human capital management, and assessing employee skill needs. Next slide, please.

The pilot will enable staff to test the process and make adjustments before broader implementation occurs. A key to success is developing the infrastructure to support each phase. This includes performing a job analysis for core positions, which is a manager's systematic examination of the tasks performed in a job, and the associated competencies.

Job analyses enable managers to take a fresh look at the positions, helps identify the key competencies necessary for success, and helps identify potential future skill gaps during the workload forecast and demand phases.

Since the competency-modeling project is still underway, staff is developing an inventory tool to track competencies for the core positions, which will be based on the information from the completed job analyses.

The inventory will support the strategy development phase assisting with identification of skill sets that can be transferred to other positions where gaps have been identified.

OCHCO and EDO -- OEDO -- formed a partnership with Research, Region II, and OCFO, to implement the pilot. Biweekly meetings with the implementation team, periodic checks with the pilot offices, and regular written status updates, are providing input and feedback as the pilot moves forward.

We are testing a database provided by the USDA Farm Services Agency, that will be used during the supply phase, by supervisors, as they complete a risk analysis of positions and competencies. By using an

existing tool, we reduce the amount of time necessary to develop, share and analyze workforce data.

We continue to capture insights as we embark on each new step in the process. We learned early on that there was some confusion regarding how this effort aligned with competency modeling.

We developed a community to clarify the efforts, and we are continuing to discuss it with our stakeholders, to increase awareness and understanding. We also recognize there needs to be alignment on definition of terms, such as position, roles, and competencies. As we develop guidance, we are including definitions for clarity.

At the end of the pilot, we will turn to strengths, challenges, lessons learned, and scalability of the process, in a report which will be given to the Executive Director for Operations in late-summer, and will inform our plans for broader scale implementation in the Agency. Slide 14, please.

This slide is a visual depiction of where we are currently.

We have completed Phase 1 -- setting the strategic direction -- and we are moving into Phase 2 -- workforce supply analysis.

We've made progress on the development and implementation of the pilot. Beginning in August and running through September, we provided training for the managers in the pilot offices. The pilot offices met in October to discuss their environmental scan with senior management from the business side lead offices -- including NRR, NRO, and NMSS -- and they completed the documentation at the beginning of November.

Workload forecasts flow from the environmental scan, and the offices will be submitting their forecasts in mid-December to the Office of

1	Executive Director for Operations, for approval. We will move into the
2	demand/supply component in the new calendar year.
3	This will be followed by gap analysis, strategic development,
4	and evaluation and monitoring. We appreciate the enthusiasm, support and
5	feedback we have received from the pilot offices and other stakeholders. I
6	envision they will serve as ambassadors for strategic workforce planning, we
7	implement beyond the pilot.
8	Now I'll move on to competency modeling. Slide 15,
9	please.
10	Competency models are built in partnership with the
11	program and corporate offices. We work closely with subject matter experts
12	who know how to do the job in support of the mission.
13	With their help we are building a competency based training
14	program. Competency models were introduced as a way to more efficiently
15	move employees between roles by not requiring training, or the competencies
16	needed for the new role had already been mastered.
17	We can gain efficiency and effectiveness in all of our
18	learning programs, by following the process outlined here.
19	First, build a model to identify the baseline requirements for
20	the job. Then ensure all training requirements are aligned with those
21	essential competencies. And last, adjust training content to align the
22	competencies and design, in the most efficient and effective manner.
23	This effort will also serve as the basis for improved individual
24	development through the automated creation and use of IDPs, and
25	supervisors will be able to compare employee skills against competencies

needed for new or potential future roles. Slide 16, please.

1	The project consists of many moving parts. Due to the
2	investment required to model, align qualifications and update curriculum, we
3	continue to develop the business case that will provide a cost and time
4	comparison between traditional qualification and competency based
5	programs.
6	Based on initial reviews of the health physics
7	decommissioning role, we believe we can sustain or enhance workforce
8	competency, and reduce approximately 140 hours of training, resulting in
9	approximately \$50,000 of savings per employee in formal training in formal
10	qualification programs.
11	This includes travel costs, as well as productivity hours
12	spent in training. We're beginning to work on the Resident Inspector Program
13	comparison, and expect similar benefits and savings, as well.
14	At the same time, we have accelerated modeling for most
15	roles in the Agency, which will support strategic workforce planning, while also
16	making training more efficient, effective, and personalized.
17	We will we have collected data from offices and regions
18	to identify roles in specialty positions that will be modeled, and we began the
19	job task analysis earlier this month.
20	We plan to model up to six positions at once, so there is a
21	need for significant communication and coordination with the offices, who are
22	our partners in this effort.
23	We will be relying on subject matter experts across the
24	Agency, who will be providing experience and knowledge to inform the

process. Additionally, we continue to recognize that there are roles that cross

Government -- such as in the financial management area -- and we plan to

25

leverage any work performed by other groups -- for example, the CFO counsel. Next slide, please.

We have achieved several accomplishments so far. We have built or purchased and customized models for six roles. Seven more are currently in development. We have given access to the assessment toll to approximately 400 employees in the Agency, and we have completed a competency based qualification analysis for one inspector role.

We identified several areas where course updates are needed to make the outcome match the actual task performed by the inspectors. We have begun work on the analysis for the resident inspector role. Next slide.

We've gained several insights as we build models. First, there are many tasks happening simultaneously within this project, and with other projects, like strategic workforce planning, which require input and support from subject matter experts, and can be a draw on staff, time and workload.

Communication and coordination needs to be more frequent and effective at all levels, to ensure success. We added steps in our plan to include more communication before and throughout development.

Second, our initial approach for the models was to focus on tasks and behaviors. Based on feedback, we recognized we needed to also identify specific technical knowledge. We've made adjustments to include this as we move forward in future roles, and we are also going backwards to -- going back to add it for roles already developed.

Third, there was some initial concern that assessments could be inflated, and that supervisors and staff would not align. Data

1	collected so far indicates that employee appear to be more self-critical than
2	their supervisor assessments.
3	Self-identifying areas for improvement, this is leading to a
4	good discussion between supervisors and staff. We will continue looking at
5	this as we move forward to identify additional insights.
6	I thank Region II for providing valuable support with staff
7	helping on project management. I also appreciate the support and feedback
8	from numerous program offices and corporate offices. We hope to build on
9	this and partner with other offices in the future. Next slide, please.
10	As I mentioned, we have begun the work on refining the
11	Resident Inspector Qualification Program into a competency based format,
12	which should be completed by the end of January. And then we will conduct
13	the time and cost comparisons.
14	The Technical Training Center staff is learning how to create
15	the competency based qualifications from contractor. I envision in the future
16	we will be able to do this ourselves.
17	Earlier, I mentioned seven models are in development, and
18	this work is planned to continue through the end of 2019 for most roles within
19	the Agency.
20	And finally, we continue to develop the business case for
21	the competency based training and qualification, which is expected to be
22	completed by late-summer. That concludes my presentation, and I will now
23	turn it over to Cathy Haney for a highlight on Region II. Thank you.
24	MS. HANEY: Thanks, Jennifer. Good morning Chairman
25	Svinicki, Commissioner Baran, Commissioner Burns. It's my pleasure to be

here with you today to highlight some of the diversity and inclusion

1	accomplishments in Region II. Next slide, please.					
2	Region II views diversity inclusion as vital to maintaining					
3	success of our organization, as well as fulfilling the mission of the Nuclear					
4	Regulatory Commission.					
5	We're committed to developing agile leaders and					
6	employees who can operate effectively in any environment. Our commitment					
7	also expands to celebrate our differences through the support of community					
8	service and diversity initiatives.					
9	Region II's commitment reflects the value we place on					
10	inclusion, and fostering diversity within NRC. Next slide, please.					
11	Region II is committed to a workforce where all levels of the					
12	organization reflect the diversity of NRC. Region's II staff is composed of 267					
13	employees.					
14	Our workforce, by gender and ethnicity, includes 29 percent					
15	females, 10 percent Hispanic, 2 percent Native Americans, 25 percent					
16	African- Americans, and 3 percent Asian-Pacific employees.					
17	Most notable is the African-American, which is 25 percent of					
18	our workforce. And African-Americans represent 19 percent of our					
19	supervisory positions.					
20	Overall, 30 percent of our supervisors are minorities. In					
21	addition, veterans represent 32 percent of our population in Region II, with 6					
22	percent being disabled veterans. Next slide, please.					
23	In Region II, the leadership team has been engaged in					
24	conversations to more fully understand, appreciate and celebrate diversity,					
25	and to ensure that we are taking actions now to intentionally embrace and					

grow our diversity.

1	This slides shows some of the topics that we've explored in
2	the past year. About a year ago, we worked with NRC's organizational
3	development experts to explore emotional intelligence and how it impacts the
4	way we engage each other and our staff.
5	Each manager on a team responded to a survey instrument,
6	and received a report highlighting his or her strengths and weaknesses in the
7	15 areas falling under the emotional intelligence umbrella.
8	Those attending the training were asked to provide
9	information on what they learned to their staff, with the goal of incorporating
10	the theories behind emotional intelligence into our day-to-day activities.
11	In addition, we studied and implemented concepts from the
12	appreciative inquiry model, to bring about collaborative and strength-based
13	change. Appreciate inquiry is a method which refocuses attention on what
14	works, the positive core, and on what people really care about.
15	Essentially, we benchmarked ourselves by looking at what
16	we did well. We then asked ourselves, what were the factors that contributed
17	to that level of performance, and looked for ways to apply those factors to
18	areas needing improvement.
19	The opportunity for rotational assignments is one element of
20	good career planning. Over the last year, we provided rotational
21	opportunities to Region II employees, and individuals from headquarters and
22	other regions.
23	In addition, Region II had the unique opportunity to rotate
24	managers at the Branch Chief level, into the division of Fuel Facility Inspection
25	Deputy Director position.

This rotation and this highly specialized fuel facility area

allow the managers to gain invaluable experience in the technical and regulatory aspects of that position, along with a leadership perspective.

A total of 18 Region II positions were impacted by the scanner decision to not move forward with the construction of the AP-1000 unit at the Summer site. As of today, almost all of the positions have been vacated, and individuals are in the process of transitioning to new positions in the Agency.

Jennifer has already mentioned the resident inspector competency modeling and the strategic workforce planning project pilot.

Region II is one of the organizations that is piloting SWP.

In September, Region II identified 14 core positions, working with OCHCO, Region II managers completed job analysis for all 14 positions, and have started to work on the workload forecasts for the region. We look forward to working with the SWP implementation team to complete the entire process.

In addition, I also have several individuals supporting the development of the competency model for the senior resident and resident position. Next slide, please.

We're engaged in a number of structured activities to help our staff broaden their skills to increase our bench strength in critical skills. We fully support the Agency's Mid-career Leadership Development Program, the Aspiring Leaders Program, and the Leaders-at-all-level Program. And todate, we have had four employees receive their certificates.

In addition to NRC leadership programs, we have two employees who completed the Atlanta Federal Executive Board Leadership Government Program. These activities, taken together, are intended to

1	increase the Region's ability to address emergent work, and build future			
2	leadership that is more broadly representative of our staff and the public that			
3	we serve. Next slide, please.			
4	Region II maintains its connection with the Atlanta Federal			
5	Executive Board. We continue our engagement by recognizing employees			
6	through the annual Federal Executive Board Employee of the Year awards.			
7	Region II is honored to nominate ten employees for the			
8	2017 Annual Award Recognition program. From these nominations we had			
9	one winner and two finalists.			
10	Omar Lopez Santiago was the winner in the Outstanding			
11	Manager category. Cynthia Haynes was a finalist in the Outstanding			
12	Administrative Assistant category, and Nicole Coovert was a finalist in the			
13	Outstanding Professional category. Next slide, please.			
14	We are grateful for the opportunity to highlight our			
15	employees through programs outside the Agency. Recently, Alejandro Alen,			
16	a resident inspector at the Vogtle Operating Nuclear Plant, received a			
17	prestigious national award.			
18	Alejandro was named Illuminary Honoree by Great Minds in			
19	Science, Technology and Engineering Mathematics, a California-based group			
20	that recognizes Hispanic leaders in industry and Government. We're very			
21	proud of Alejandro's accomplishments. Next slide, please.			
	Design II ampleyees are committed to supporting			
22	Region II employees are committed to supporting			
22	organization programs and events outside their normal work duties, and are			

Stephen Downey, Jamie Heisserer, Pam Kruger, and

Shakur Walker, all understand the important role volunteer service plays in
our communities. Stephen Downey one of our reactor inspectors spends
time mentoring college students at Florida A&M University, who are pursuing
engineer degrees, both at the bachelor's and doctorial level.

Stephen recently served as a member of the dissertation committee for a student who successfully defended and graduated with a Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering.

Region II Branch Chief, Jamie Heisserer, participates as a judge in the First Tech Challenge's robotic competitions. First Tech is an organization that encourages students of all ages to pursue interest in science, technology, engineering and mathematics.

The organization also values gracious professionalism -- a way of doing things that encourages high-quality work, and respects individuals in the community.

Pam Kruger -- Site Administrative Assistant at Nuclear Fuel Services -- volunteers at the Jonesboro Senior Center in Jonesboro, Tennessee. Ms. Kruger spends time assisting with tours of the facility, and greeting seniors as they enter the center. And one of her projects, she assists with videotaping the seniors telling their life story.

As a youth advocate, Shakur Walker -- another Region II Branch Chief -- works with an organization called the Orange Duffel Bag Initiative. The Orange Duffel Bag Initiative provides at-risk teens and young adults -- many of whom are homeless or in foster care -- programs to improve their education success.

From assisting as little league coaches, serving as foster parents to support disability programs, other Region II employees are

dedicated to supporting and helping communities thrive. Next slide, please.

To further demonstrate the commitment of Region II employees, each year a group of Region II employees volunteer to do a phenomenal job sponsoring the bring -- take-your-child-to-work day.

The event provides a fun-filled day that engages children of all ages, and builds bonds across the organization as our families come together. Next slide.

Region II's diversity resource groups are a symbol of the organization's commitment to diversity. Many of our special emphasis groups plan and sponsor a number of cultural awareness events, social events, and staff celebrations, including the Black History Month celebration, volunteering with Hosea Feed-the-Hungry at Martin Luther King Day 2017, and hosting a dessert social celebrating Pride Month, just to highlight a few. Next slide, please.

Region II is committed to supporting our veteran and military employees. We understand the depth and breadth of experience they bring to the organization. The 2017 Veterans Day Program highlighted a Region II administrative assistant who presented a firsthand account of her experience in the military.

In addition, the keynote speaker -- Ms. Jennifer McKindae (phonetic) -- participated in the Wounded Warrior Project. She presented an inspiring story on how the Wounded Warrior Project stepped in to assist her after her brother suffered multiple injuries while on mission with his brigade during the Iraq war. Next slide, please.

Region II's ultimate goal is to create a work life where all employees feel valued and comfortable being who they are, regardless of their

1	individual differences. Creating this type of environment allows employees
2	to grow, learn and maximize his or her potential, and contribute to the
3	Agency's success.
4	With that now, I will turn the presentation over the Maureen
5	Wylie, Office of the Chief Financial Officer.
6	MS. WYLIE: Thank you very much, Cathy. Good
7	morning, Chairman Svinicki and Commissioners Baran and Burns.
8	I'm very excited about the opportunity to speak with you
9	today about OCFO's focus on collaboration between management and staff.
10	We are, in fact, partnering to last. Slide 33, please.
11	Our focus has been on improving our employee
12	engagement scores, and because we believe that that's the key to all other
13	improvements in both our operation, and how people feel about the office.
14	The Employee Engagement Index measures the conditions
15	that are conducive to engagement, and measures three subfactors leaders
16	lead, supervisors, and intrinsic work experience. So we're looking at the
17	green line on the right of the chart. We have seen a growth of 14 percent in
18	that score since 2012 at 77 percent for the office.
19	We've also seen substantial improvement in the new IQ
20	index, which measures how employees see cooperation, empowerment,
21	fairness, openness, and how well they're supported. We've had a ten percent
22	growth in this index, which new since 2013.
23	We believe fundamentally, that diversity inclusion make us
24	better, and we'll talk about that a little bit later, in a later side.
25	And then finally, as a result of our heavy workload in

2017 -- and I'm sure you remember the budget traffic jam, our system changes

1	and our cost activity management program, particularly during the survey
2	period we experienced a decline in participation in the survey.
3	Unfortunately, our response rate went down five percent
4	between 2016 and '17, to 59 percent. That is 17 percent below the agency-
5	wide average. We still have work to go on getting people to fill out the form
6	and give us feedback. Next slide, please.
7	We've spent quite a bit of time in OCFO talking about the
8	various measures. I wanted to give you a sample of questions which show
9	where we have improved, so what we call looking good as well as focus
10	areas for the future, needs improvement.
11	As an example of improvement, we can see in parts of the
12	human capital assessment and accountability framework, particularly around
13	results-oriented performance culture. So Question 32 creativity and
14	innovation are rewarded this is the only question in the survey related to
15	innovation.
16	We have seen a 15 percent increase in the score in the
17	positive score on this measure since last year, and a 21 increase since 2014.
18	I'm very excited about that. I think that's directly contributed to our success.
19	However, there are plenty of areas where we still can work
20	to improve, and there are also parts of our Agency of our office where more
21	improvement is needed than others.
22	An example of an area needing improvement is Question
23	37 Arbitrary Action, Personal Favoritism and Coercion for partisan political
24	purposes, are not tolerated. We've seen a decrease of 13 percent on this
25	question since last year.

Even within that direct decline, there is positive information.

	27
1	The neutral score for this question actually increased, and the negative score
2	declined. So what that tells me, is that while employees are uncertain about
3	how to answer, we still have time to work and meet their trust, so that we can
4	keep them from moving to more negative score. Go to the next slide, please.
5	I'm very proud to talk about the people of OCFO. We are
6	not a copy of the patterns of the Agency as a whole. For example, we have
7	a higher ratio of females to males than the Agency at large. OCFO as an
8	office is 77 percent female.
9	That's 37 percent for the Agency, and 49 percent
10	Government-wide. So there's definitely a different picture here.
11	Also, in terms of race and national origin, we have a larger
12	percentage of minority population than the rest of the Agency. We are almost
13	at a 50/50 split between whites and people of color. And that's a substantial
14	difference from the Agency and the Government at large, which is at 35
15	percent, roughly.
16	We do, however, closely parallel the educational
17	demographics of the Agency as a whole. We have the same percentage of
18	bachelor's and master's degrees as the rest of the Agency. Although,
19	obviously we have fewer who have obtained doctoral and professional
20	degrees. And we are approximately as old as the rest. Next slide, please.
21	So over time, we've been focused on collaboration and

We do this by creating working groups. Some are just employees, some are employees in management. We try to meet the needs of employees as we attack different topics. Our most successful and our

partnership, and we've had a variety of action plans to get at specific issues,

and broadly, the issues of accountability and trust.

longest duration grou	up is Communications and Collaboration.	That's a forum
that meets monthly.	It's it with typically with the Deputy	/ CFO.

They've developed many modes of communication for us to use to do a better job of not just me talking to them, but of employees interacting and communicating with each other. That's been, I think, extremely successful.

We also made a specific plan to advocate for the organization with our stakeholders, both within the organization, NRC at large, and with our external stakeholders in industry. We did extensive outreach, we've expanded our planning with our stakeholders, so they'll understand why OCFO often asks them to do the things that they do, and to tell them what we do with their information afterwards.

Around invoicing and budgeting, we've had sustained engagement with our external stakeholders. You've heard some of the results of that. I think what's most important is it's led to a reduction in customer complaints, and improvement of scores on our GSA survey.

But for me, the most important thing is it allows my team members to feel that they are seen and heard, and valued for the work that they do. And I think that's most important.

We've also tried to increase our cultural accountability. We had a specific staff-only trust and accountability group. We stole that idea from NRO -- thanks very much to them.

But a great idea is worth stealing. And their innovation was to develop a staff management forum where staff can, in safe ways, ask questions of first-line supervisors and senior management, so that we can put difficult issues out for discussion. It's not always the most comfortable

meeting we have. But I think it's been very important for clearing the air, and for our culture.

And then finally, we are focused like a laser on helping people improve their skill gaps. We've done improvements with targeted training, we've had employees provide training to each other, wherever they've had skill sets.

We have a specific learning group where we're actively involved in managing this. And we've been well supported by OCHCO as we supported people's efforts to become qualified to take accounting jobs, which have 24 hours of education required.

For the future, we plan to use speed-of-trust as our focus, particularly since trust is the foundation of everything else. We want to continue to follow up on some of the areas that we've identified as improvement opportunities, and without trust, we can't build employee engagement or global satisfaction. Next slide, please.

One of our important efforts over the last three-year period, has been the development of a shared vision. First, we met as a management team collaboratively, to set goals and builds on our priorities. Many of them are reflected on the Board, which is where we keep track of our most important issues.

But once we did that, we did extensive staff input, including surveys and an office-wide meeting where we really talked about what was important to us. Because we felt we wanted to have that unifying vision.

And as you see here, that vision is excellence in innovation and financial management through trusted leadership, integrated teamwork, strategic partnerships, open communication, and continuous learning. Next

slide, please.

So obviously, it takes a team. I -- we have been successful because we've had employee-driven solutions on tough issues. Some of those issues have not made us universally popular with the Agency at large, but they were things that needed to be done.

In particular, employees brought me concerns and solutions around our cellphone reimbursement policy. And then of course, the license fee billing activities that we've been engaged in over a three-year period, when I arrived in my first week, I had a briefing on the 41 improvements that were needed in license fee billing. They didn't need me to tell them what to do. They needed me to help them figure out how to get it done.

And then of course, we've attempted to manage budget workload collaboratively as the budget process has become more friction-filled over the last several years.

You can't do this without strong supervisory support for the initiative. We have a first-line supervisors counsel. They collaborate with each other on how to get the work done, and how to support one another. We had a six percent increase in the supervisory index year over year. So the results are clear.

It's also about the art of the possible. When you employ empow--- when you empower employees and try to enhance their engagement, I believe perfect is the enemy of the good. When they bring you solutions, I find way--- I work hard with my management team to find ways to say yes, so that we can implement and move on to the next task.

That also requires us to prioritize, and we do that together.

And then that allows us to then say, we've got a good solution here, let's work

1	for continuous improvement going forward, rather than polishing the diamond
2	further.
3	And then finally, work can be fun too. Because as you may
4	have heard me say before, all the fun in the Agency is being had in OCFO.
5	I'm convinced of that.
6	We had our fabulous open house in 2015. We have annual
7	picnics and holiday parties. But more important, we've had several trips to
8	operating reactors, so that our employees can enhance their tie to our mission.
9	And then just recently, we had a fairly spirited game of CFO Jeopardy.
10	So next slide.
11	With apologies to Drake
12	[Plays Drake video: + Starting from the bottom now we're
13	here. Starting from the bottom now we're here +]
14	In 2013, OCFO was at the absolute bottom in terms of our
15	employee engagement score. In 2016, we were tied with OCHCO at tenth
16	overall. And now in 2017, we're tied for seventh with Research and NRO.
17	I want you to know that the song was recommended to me
18	by staff.
19	(Laughter.)
20	It's on my iPod. Anyway, I'll now turn the briefing over to
21	Jennene Littlejohn, Chair for the Advisory Committee on African-Americans.
22	Thank you very much.
23	MS. LITTLEJOHN: Thank you, Maureen. Good morning,
24	Chairman Svinicki, Commissioner Baran, and Burns. I would like to start by
25	thanking each of you for your personal support for diversity and inclusion here
26	at the NRC.

It is my honor to speak on the behalf of the Diversity
Management Advisory Committee DMAC which represents the collective
voice of non-volunteer groups, eight equal employment employment
opportunity advisory committees, and one employee resource group.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

We are the Advisory Committee for African-Americans -- ACAA -- which I chair. The Advisory Committee for Employees with Disabilities with Disabilities -- ACED -- the Advisory Committee for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Employees -- ACLGBT -- the Asian-Pacific American Advisory Committee -- APAAC -- the Diversity Advisory Committee on Ageism -- DACA -- the Federal Women's Program Advisory Committee -- FWPAC -- the Hispanic Employment Program Advisory Committee --HEPAC -the Native American Advisory Committee -- NAAC -- and the Veterans Employee Research Group -- VERG.

The DMAC coordinates among these committees, and works with the Office of Small Business and Civil Rights, to support recruitment, professional development, retention, and diversity.

In keeping with this year's briefing theme -- partnering to last -- my joint statement focuses on partnering among committees, committees partnering executive sponsors, and partnering between employees and their supervisors. Slide 40, please.

We work collectively to ensure that goals -- we work collectively to ensure that our goals are met, and to expand diversity and inclusion at the NRC.

Over the last year, the committees have enhanced partnering in collaboration to make the NRC an even better place to work.

This year has been another busy year for the advisory committees, with

changes in the Agency and Federal Government as a whole.

The committees co-sponsor events to assist staff members in navigating these changing times. It is extremely important for the committees to continue to support each other, as the Office of Small Business and Civil Rights has reduced in size.

For example, FWPAC, NAC, APAAC and ASED cosponsored Take-Your-Seat-At-The-Table. APAAC and NAC co-sponsored Career Planning and Development in Changing Times.

NAC, ACLGBT and HEPAC co-sponsored Get Your Career Out of Hibernation. In Region III, FWPAC and ACAA co-sponsored hitting figures movie outing and brunch, which we emulated here at headquarters this summer in the showing and discussing of the movie.

Region I leveraged the Regional DMAC to enhance the Diversity Champion Program, while reducing travel costs.

By fostering partnerships, we reach a larger segment of NRC's employees, including our regional employees. In building partnerships, committee members and volunteers work with a diverse group of people, and enhance their leadership skills.

Committee members and volunteers gain different perspectives, enhance teamwork and cooperation, and build long-lasting relationships. In addition to these individual benefits, partnering also produces organizational benefits, such as increased capacities, teamwork, and agility. Slide 41, please.

During the last year, we promoted diversity inclusion by partnership with our executive sponsors. SBCR established the Executive Sponsor Program in 2015 to promote interaction between committees and

lea	Λ	ρ	re	h	ın	١.
-c	u	•	ıo		ı	٠.

2.3

Through this program, members of our Agency's senior-level core provide advice about effective leadership, with the context of Agency culture.

They also offer ideas, broker solutions, and mentor our leadership. They plan a key role in the enhancement of teamwork among staff and management.

By partnering with our executive sponsors, advisory committee members gain support and insights for conducting activities, and executive sponsors are able to nurture the professional development and engagement of employees. Slide 42, please.

Finally, we and DMAC have made over the last year by encouraging partnering between supervisors and employees, to enhance their communication.

As an example, on March 1, 2017 ACAA, FWPAC and APAC hosted an event titled, Building the Foundation to Radically Transform Your Career. We focused on several questions, including, how can I build a solid career foundation if I cannot have a conversation with my supervisor regarding my career goals?

With the EDO's encouragement, ACAA then partnered with the other EEO advisory committees to develop a video on the importance of supervisors in the developing and advancing of their employees.

Even with the progress that we've made over the year, there's still work that needs to be done. ACAA and all of the other committees will work together to make the NRC an even better place to work by partnering to last.

1		Now I would like to show you a trailer of the supervisor
2	video.	
3		[VIDEO PLAYED]
4		VOICE: Hello. My name is Jennene Littlejohn, and I'm the Chair of
5		the Advisory Committee for African-Americans. In the spring of
6		2017, ACAA sat down with the EDO to discuss our goals for the year.
7		In that briefing, we discussed, how can the staff help current and
8		future supervisors understand the importance of their role in their
9		employees' career.
10		I'm just to appreciative that they each one of them was
11		able to kind of take a break from the technical world and kind of hom
12		e in on what I wanted to do in the administrative field, whether that
13		was their expertise or not.
14		With the approval of EDO, ACAA, along with the other EEO
15		advisory committees, developed the super supervisor video. In this
16		video you will hear from NRC staff sharing their individual
17		experiences in regards to what it takes to be a super supervisor.
18		To be a great supervisor, you've got to have heart. Okay?
19		Heart. And basically, that H-E-A-R-T. You got to be honest, you
20		got to have empathy, you have to have attitude, respect, and tenacity.
21		[END OF VIDEO]
22		This concludes my presentation. I will now turn it back over
23	to Mike	Johnson for his final remarks.
24		MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Jennene. If well if that
25	doesn't (get you to want to go out and see the video, I don't know
26		Chairman, Commissioners, we appreciate the opportunity to

1	brief you on the EEO and Diversity Inclusion programs. As you've heard,
2	we've made changes to sustain and grow our EEO and diversity and inclusion
3	programs.
4	We appreciate that our down even as a result of our
5	downsizing, we have not significantly impacted our overall demographics, and
6	we remain committed to the importance of diversity and inclusion programs.
7	The investment that we're making to enhance the strategic
8	workforce planning, as described by Jennifer, and to further will further
9	enable us to make sure that we have the right people in the right position, with
10	the right skills, to do the Agency's safety and security work.
11	And finally, as you saw, our partnerships across the
12	Agency through those partnerships across the Agency, we are building a
13	diverse and inclusive workforce. That concludes our presentation, and we
14	are ready to take your questions. Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you so much, Mike, and
16	what a wonderful set of presentations. Whether or not you had trailer or
17	teaser videos, you all did a really great job, and we get to learn a little bit more
18	about Maureen's music library that she has.
19	(Laughter.)
20	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: When we see her rocking out in the
21	elevator on the way to her car at the end of the day, now we'll know that it's
22	Drake and others.
23	But there are any number of things I could call out and just
24	offer my personal compliments and congratulations, not just to the presenters,
25	but to all of those who supported and helped to make it possible, the

successes that you all have talked about today. It's definitely, as Maureen

said, it takes a team. And it's an effort to be on those sitting at the table, which you all have acknowledged.

2.3

I want to compliment the Agency once again on its tremendous outreach in the small business area, the A+ score. Many, many agencies and departments, as I've mentioned in years past, would long dream of that kind of a small business achievement score. And again, we don't take it for granted.

And Pam talked about and acknowledged the challenges as our goal goes up every year and we have a shrinking pool of opportunity but we have to redouble our efforts. And that way I think that you and your team are bringing some real creativity to that challenge in the coming fiscal, the current fiscal year, and I appreciate that.

Thought it was an interesting example about OGC and OCAA providing a rotational opportunity for some attorneys to go over to SBCR. And it really, it helped with a near-term organization need, as Pam mentioned. But I am very confident that those two individuals have the ability to take that back to their home organizations.

I think there were clear synergies for NRC as a whole that were created there. So that's a wonderfully innovative and kind of widening the aperture way of thinking about a near-term challenge. And I think it's interesting as well to have had the EEOC technical assistance visit.

I appreciate you highlighting, you know, an area where they thought perhaps we should relook and do some improvements maybe just on our understandings and communication on the use of alternative dispute resolution. I know that oftentimes those who elect to go through that process do fine that it is satisfying, I think, in a very productive way to address concerns

that are raised.

2.3

So I hope that we've identified, I think, some of the causes there, but we should continue to look at communicating the availability of ADR, and then maybe documenting, you know, positive examples in a generic sense so that others could say, If I elected this, how would it look for me and what might it mean. So I think that that's very important.

And Jennene, thank you so much for the video, which is always great. You know, I think the Commission is coming to kind of count on the fact that we're going to have a video at these meetings. So you certainly swung for the fences and came through there, so thank you for that.

But more generally, just the work of the committees. You've acknowledged that the Commissioners have such an involvement throughout the year in that. And you know, again, having served with a lot of different members of this Commission, that is a very authentic feeling that we have about the importance of the committees and their work.

And now to have the DMAC as kind of a super-coordinating function, I think that that's adding a lot to maybe what were more separate initiatives. And now there can be a way to really be weaving those efforts together in a complimentary fashion. So I think that that's all for the better.

And then, you know, I wanted to comment, some have mentioned first-line supervisors and the role they play throughout the Agency. I've said in meetings past that in some ways, that could be looked at as one of the most challenging roles to carry out in a large organization such as ours.

And so I challenge everyone to think about the elements of the topics that we've talked about today, how can those be helping and just further reinforcing the important role. And as first-line supervisors go about their duties, which again put them so directly in support of the Agency's mission, but also with a supervisory and management overlay that really adds to their day-to-day obligations and responsibilities.

If there's elements of what we're doing, what we're piloting, what we're deploying that can be helping them to do that tough job, I encourage us all to take a moment to think about if there's opportunity that we can find in all these broad initiatives that we're talking about.

When we talked about the enhanced strategic workforce planning, Jennifer talked about that and the competency modeling, which have some connection as you mentioned, was a great overview of kind of the stepwise process that we're going through, some of the synergies between the two.

But as I was listening to that, I thought of the two organizations, Region II and OCFO, that we asked to come here and highlight their programs this year.

I think they each had challenges that, or opportunities, that enhanced strategic workforce planning and competency modeling, if they realize their full potential, could maybe have helped them work through those types of issues. And in the future, as a large organization, we'll continue to have unexpected things and challenges.

In the case of Region II, it was the decision on the Summer Construction Project which caused, you know, us to have to take a rapid look at the employees assigned to that work and their competencies. And then to have an understanding of our workload projections, which would, again, out of enhanced strategic workforce planning, we hope to continue to have a good, exquisite knowledge of at least a forecast there.

And it seems to me that if the two elements of enhanced
strategic workforce planning and competency modeling were not at the
developmental stage but were completed, so for future NRC, having those
elements in place I think would be advantageous.

And in the case of OCFO, there were positions in OCFO potentially impacted by the potential for a reduction in force. And so I know again, it occurs to me that competency modeling and enhanced strategic workforce planning could have helped.

So I would ask maybe Jennifer as a generic matter, but Cathy and Maureen, if they have anything to say about kind of thinking about these initiatives that we hope to position us with greater strength and agility and resiliency for the future. Do you agree that had those been in place, it might have just enhanced your speed or efficiency with which you could address these kinds of changes and challenges?

MS. WYLIE: So I'm happy to start, because we've been involved at the CFO Counsel level on an essentially competency pathways and models for the critical both entry journey and mastery levels of our critical positions already. So we had a little something already before we started to do competency modeling here.

That's one of the reasons I volunteered to participate, because I felt I could bring some of that to the table. And we had already done some skills assessing, so we knew who needed to have additional support to be qualified for other positions. So given our experience, I agree, if you have that available to you, it's definitely an enhancement for when we downsize.

into funded positions out of unfunded work.

CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you. Cathy.

MS. HANEY: I would agree. I think it would help. Region was a little bit different than NRO in needing to place individuals. Because the majority of our people that were impacted by the SCANIT decision fell into two categories: resident inspectors and then regional inspectors.

And what I've gained through learning, being more and more engaged with the two efforts, is there are some core characteristics that apply across the inspector. Something as simple as dealing with the public, engaging in conversations, say, what some have referred to as the softer skills.

I'm not sure I'm ready to label those as the softer skills, because those can really make or break. You could be a wonderful tech from a technological standpoint and know your field correctly, but if you don't have some of those skills, I would say maybe not going to be as successful in the long run.

So I think where, I have two really groups that are working on these efforts in the region. But in talking to them, to they're really coming down to a lot of the similar things that they're going to the baseline characteristics that you need the qualifications that are coming through and already labeled in ours. Like for example, Chapters 12-45 that talks about the qualifications for inspectors.

So we do have a couple of points that, places that we can pull from on the technical issues. But certainly if we had these tools in place, they would definitely help us in the long run if we were to engage in this in the future.

1	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you. Mike, did you want to
2	add maybe from the programmatic standpoint on that?
3	MR. JOHNSON: Yes, I did. If, in fact, NRO were here
4	they would talk about the similar activity that they had where they actually
5	moved individuals across office, across business lines. So the look that we
6	did to find matches was an Agency look. That would be further enhanced if
7	we had the tool, if we had the ready tool.
8	There are folks in NRO who are going to the Center of
9	Expertise in NMSS for rulemaking. So that's an example of how we can be
10	agile if we have the right tools to be able to do that. We were successful in
11	this instance, we'll be even more successful, more agile in the future with the
12	availability of the tool.
13	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you. Jennifer, did you
14	want to add, or do you think that kind of covers it? I will say
15	MS. GOLDER: I think they covered it.
16	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Okay, and no one has mentioned
17	just because it's well known to us inside the Agency. But again, in cases
18	where work went away, we did move, we do have natural attrition that is
19	always going on as an Agency. So there are positions that are available.
20	I think people think maybe our workforce is a little more
21	static than it is. It is a large organization, so there's always some level of
22	attrition going on, and that provided this opportunity for the movement of
23	individuals. I just wanted to clarify that in case that wasn't well understood.
24	Well, again, I thank you all for your presentations, and for all
25	those who are here today who support the efforts that we've talked about
26	thank you for that. And with that, I will recognize Commissioner Baran.

1	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Thanks. Well, thank you al
2	for your presentations and all the work and effort and successes that they
3	reflect. We really appreciate it.
4	I wanted to just continue the conversation with some

I wanted to just continue the conversation with some questions on the strategic workforce planning and the pilot there. Jennifer, you explained that the staff recently completed the job analyses for core positions. Can you talk a little bit more about what that involved and how that went?

MS. GOLDER: Yes. So the job analyses is, it's, they are set of questions that we, that the supervisors work through to really understand the competencies associated with different positions and roles. And it really helps, as I mentioned in the presentation, it gives them a fresh perspective on what they're looking for.

The job analyses, not only is it helping us really define the competencies associated with the core positions that we're identifying for the pilot, but it can also be used to help us to connect to recruiting and merit staffing vacancies. So that when we are able to or when we advertise a vacancy, we can understand really what we're asking for, what we need, the competencies we need.

And so the job analyses takes supervisors through a series of questions to help them understand what they're looking for. And then that can be used to feed into a position description, create a new plan, a vacancy announcement. It helps us, it is helping us populate a skills inventory tool that we're testing through the pilot.

The way we approached it in the pilot is interesting. We had three offices, and instead of doing the same, having the same with each

1	pilot office, we actually had a little bit of, we used a different technique with
2	each office. So for Region II, we OCHCO staff went to Region II and they
3	met with all of the managers.
4	And we sat down and they interviewed them and they
5	worked through, they did the, our staff did the job analyses for Region II. And
6	then we, based on the conversations we had, we developed it, gave it back to
7	them, and continued to refine it.
8	CFO, we worked through their point of contact and they
9	worked with their management. So the CFO point of contact for the pilot
10	developed their job analyses for their core positions, and then obtained
11	management feedback. And for research, we trained the managers and they
12	worked the job analyses.
13	And the benefit of doing this three different ways is we
14	wanted to see through the pilot if there's really, if there's one best way to do it.
15	Or as we move forward to implement Agency-wide or a broader scale, can we
16	employ different techniques. And we're finding that we can definitely use
17	different techniques to get this information, which I think is good, because it
18	will help us, I think, become more flexible as an organization.
19	COMMISSIONER BARAN: And is there like a typical
20	number of competencies that are then reflected in a position? What's the
21	range, is it like three, five, is it ten, I mean is there?
22	MS. GOLDER: I'm looking at my staff over there. I'd say
23	they're not necessarily a set number. I mean, it could range between maybe
24	five and fifteen. Yes, I'm getting a nod, so that is correct.
25	(Laughter.)
26	COMMISSIONER BARAN: So then the, just kind of going

1	through the steps. In December, it looks like the staff's scheduled to produce
2	a propose workload forecast. How will that workload forecast be developed
3	and how will it differ from prior forecasts?
4	MS. GOLDER: That's a great question. I think it's going
5	to connect to our environmental scan. It's going to be I think a little more
6	detailed. Or we're trying to create more structure while also giving us
7	flexibility.
8	And there's going to be a little qualitative and quantitative
9	data in there. We're still developing it though. I don't know if anyone wants
10	to, if Mike or Christian wanted to add anything.
11	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Mike's pointing to someone
12	else.
13	MS. GOLDER: Or Maureen.
14	MS. WYLIE: And I'll speak for Mike too and he can correct
15	me, because he was showing me some of his workload analysis. So it really
16	does vary depending on what office that you're in. Mike's using our new EPID
17	structure to understand the volume of work that he has today in terms of hours
18	and cost.
19	And then do projections out five years to see where the
20	trends are in terms, what will be done, what may need to be enhanced. So
21	he's got a very data-driven set of work.
22	Mine is more calendar-driven and statutory and regulatory
23	requirements driven. You know, at a certain date, we have to produce a
24	thing. So it really has varied for us.
25	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Can I just say, because this is
26	being webcast, the Mike is not Mike Johnson, who's sitting at the table. It's

1	Mike Weber, the Director of the Office of Research. Just clarifying.
2	MS. WYLIE: I apologize for that.
3	MS. HANEY: I would say one of the interesting things from
4	the regional perspective, and it does touch a little bit to the Office of Research,
5	but working across all business lines. Because when we kicked off this effort
6	at the regional level, it was a conversation with, we have three, I have three
7	business lines that feed into my organization.
8	So it was a matter of reaching out to all the headquarters
9	offices. We had our perspective on what a workload forecast, but making
LO	sure that we're aligned with the program offices here in headquarters. So it
L1	really did result in a lot of very good dialog, and this is at the practical level.
12	And now we're in the process of rolling it up.
13	COMMISSIONER BARAN: And traditionally we've done
L4	this in like two years out, right, and now we're going five years out, is that?
15	And can you talk a little bit about, you know, with every year further into the
L6	future, there are presumably increased uncertainties about what the
L7	workload's going to look like. How are you all kind of coping with that?
L8	You know, I mean, so it's easier to say, well, we really
L9	expect this application to come in next year, or another application to come in
20	two years from now. But you're five years out and it's all a little bit harder to
21	project. Are you doing timelines, or are there other ways you're trying to
22	come up with something that has some fidelity that far out?
23	MS. HANEY: Well, again, from the regional perspective,
24	it's a challenge to do that. And it would be, like especially in Region II, if you
25	look at the recent announcements in the fuel facility area, where a plant, the

workload starting very near term is going to decrease.

1	So projecting out what will that be in five years, that's a
2	challenge. When is that going to come back in? Now, again, in the resource
3	atmosphere, that's a very small resource question. But then you look into the
4	construction area, it is a little challenging, where are we going future in the
5	construction.
6	In Region II, the decommissioning, we don't forecast that
7	currently. So it, I would say it's, I'm not necessarily answering your question
8	directly, but it is a big challenge for us. And to judge what is, what's going to
9	happen.
10	And it's very important that we're keeping that external
11	awareness of what's going on in industry in the engagements that we have,
12	through my staff out at the sites, as well as through the program offices.
13	COMMISSIONER BARAN: The aforementioned Mike
14	Weber has emerged.
15	MR. WEBER: Thanks for the opportunity. Mike Weber,
16	Director of Research. For us, it's really the state of knowledge. So what
17	we're trying to take into account, because we're not driven so much by how
18	many amendments are we processing, how many license renewals are we
19	reviewing.
20	It's really taking into account the maturity of the research
21	that we've launched years ago and that are now being sunset because we've
22	achieved the research objectives. It's taking into account emerging
23	technologies that are being communicated to us by the industry saying they
24	are very interested.
25	We had a workshop this week on additive manufacturing

technology, for example. So we're trying to examine, well, what are the

1	research needs, if any, that would be associated with that work. And it takes
2	into account the needs of the regulatory programs that we're supporting, which
3	are in fact driven by workload.
4	And so all that is being rolled up into our best forecast of
5	where we think we're going to be, and at the same time taking into account
6	variables and key drivers that might be impacting that. What if it's more?
7	What if we're doing advanced reactor work more than what we're doing
8	currently?
9	So that's fuzzy cloud type answer to your question, but that's
10	what we're doing in research.
11	MR. JOHNSON: Thanks. And some of the fuzziness and
12	some of the looks that you've seen reflect that we are just piloting, developing
13	this activity. And the premise of your question about the difficulty of the
14	forecast the further you look out are certainly true. Again, I think Mike
15	summed it up very well.
16	I don't even know the reaches for the five-year forecast, they
17	help me inform what types of work I'll need to do and what skills I'll need to be
18	able to do that work. And so we think even within the uncertainty, the forecast
19	will be beneficial for the activity.
20	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Great, let me just ask one last
21	thing on. At prior meetings, we've talked about this streamlined workforce
22	planning tool that the staff has been developing to keep track of the
23	competencies and skills of NRC's employees.
24	Is that this skills inventory tool that you refer to, Jennifer?
25	Or is that a different I'm trying to keep track of all the tools now. Is that the

same tool, or is that a different tool?

1	MS. GOLDER: There are a lot of tools.									
2	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Okay.									
3	MS. GOLDER: The skills inventory tool is the one I think									
4	that we're talking about. And that would identify the competencies associated									
5	with different roles that are out there. And so it's not just going to be an									
6	inventory of any skill out there, it's specific to the roles that we have in the									
7	Agency.									
8	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Okay, and how's that coming									
9	along, the development of that?									
10	MS. GOLDER: So we have developed the infrastructure									
11	for it. And we are using the information from the three pilot offices from the									
12	job analyses of the core positions to feed that information.									
13	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Okay.									
14	MS. GOLDER: And so one of the things that I wanted to									
15	mention that we found is that there are very similar competencies between the									
16	roles, which I think Cathy touched on. What we're seeing is slightly different									
17	definitions or terms.									
18	And so one of the things we're trying to do is reconcile, so									
19	that we don't have ten different ways to characterize the same thing.									
20	Because that will just make it more complicated. So there is some massaging									
21	of the information that's occurring right now.									
22	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Great, well I think that's going									
23	to be a very valuable tool, and it's good to hear that you're at the point where									
24	you're kind of working through the nuts and bolts of it. So thanks.									
25	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you. Commissioner									
26	Burns.									

COMMISSIONER BURNS: Thanks again for everyone's
presentations. I may come back to the strategic workforce plan in a minute.
But just to acknowledge, one thing I want to acknowledge is in terms of our,
you know, advisory committees and also the cultural events we put on, well, I
don't think I acknowledged it in last year.

But they actually helped the projection in terms of our own sort of gratitude by one of our sort of peer regulators, in terms of a trip I did to India last year. Because they were very pleased that we had a Divali celebration. And one of the meetings I had over there put up the big picture that we had from our website on that.

So let's not discount the cultural events we have, which are great celebrations. Just that was probably an unusual one in terms of an acknowledgment of what we were doing as an Agency, trying to come together. And the folks were very impressed during that trip.

A couple things. I want to come back to strategic workforce planning and the competency, because I think Commissioner Baran's discussion toward the end got to the thing, got to the point that I would have, is that what are we doing.

I hope part of what, and I think what I've heard from Jennifer and others during this, what one of the cautions I have is, in fact building the building machine that is overly complex and basically, you know, why the heck would I want to use it as a supervisor. Because, and it also, part of it, and it seems to me, because we're operating at different levels.

At one level, I think Jennifer at one point was describing where you have, you're a supervisor, you have a vacancy, and then, and here you might have to help me along. Is your, you have a vacancy and you're

trying to understand, well, what kind of, what do I need to fill that vacancy?

Well, having been on the other side of the table, and sort of say, if I have certain vacancies, I know I need a contract. So I need a government contracts lawyer. Or I need somebody who's associated with appropriations.

I don't, in a sense there's not a lot of work for me to do to understand that. What I might need to know is how would I, and this goes to, I think, some comments that Cathy and Maureen had made, how to, you know, if I don't want somebody -- I want somebody who might have some skill or ability to move into other positions, other than just doing the government contracts work.

In fact, in OGC, that's exactly what happened with one of our senior contracts, he was brought in as senior contracts lawyer. And Ed Williamson, who's now overseeing licensing-type matters. So I've got that at the supervisory level, I have the supervisory level. Then I have, I'm trying to project out, as Maureen and Mike described about trying to go beyond what the critique was of the two years.

So what I'm trying to understand, how are you coming into assessment of whether I've got this to get me what I need without driving, you know, excessive complexity, that basically I think you lose hearts and minds, quite honestly, as you implement it. So let me pose it that way, and any of you can sort of answer it.

MS. GOLDER: So throughout the pilot, one of the things that we are considering at every step of the way is how we can make this as simple as possible, using what we've already created in the Agency without adding more complexity. Because we do, we all recognize, and we have a

1	lot of discussion about how, if we make it too burdensome or too bureaucratic,
2	too complex, it will fall under its own weight, or it just won't be used.
3	And so we are trying to build on what we already have in
4	this Agency. And we're trying to simplify as much as possible so that and
5	we're also trying to define how it would be used at the Agency level or business
6	line, office level, supervisor level, the staff level. And it's a work in progress.
7	We're still developing.
8	But it's something we're mindful of, and it concerns us.
9	Because we don't want to build something that is going to not be used. So
10	we're trying to be very simple about it, we're trying to use what's already out
11	that. And even the things that are already out there we're trying to simplify
12	further and capitalize on that. Cathy, Maureen?
13	MS. WYLIE: So using a specific example, the 560 series,
14	our budget analysts. But there is diversity, not just level, but budget
15	formulation analysts have different kinds of skills than budget execution
16	analysts.
17	But what we've tried to do is reduce the desire to customize,
18	right. So budget formulation of a technical type of organization, budget
19	execution and of corporate support. No, no, no, these skills are usable on
20	any type of formulation.
21	So it helps us that we have what the CFO council has
22	developed, which is extremely robust, which you could also read as perhaps
23	too complicated, because it's based on work that was done by many other
24	Federal Agencies, including the military services, which have very well
25	developed controllership programs, as an example.

So we're trying to cherry-pick out of that the things that work

for us and keep to the keep it simple mantra.

commissioner burns: Okay, and one of the things I'd say, and maybe Mike alluded to this, Mike Weber alluded to this. In terms of, I understand on the forecasting that we don't know exactly what our budget will be in five years, we don't exactly know what the scope of regulation in terms of the number of licensees, etc., etc. But is there a certain amount of what I call scenario planning that goes into that?

You know, like for example, Mike's example on advanced reactor work is I think a good example. You know, you got all this churn and, you know, folks saying, oh, we're going to do it, going to do it, going to do it. Are you looking at, for example, if we get it, we may get, you know, like a high side versus a low or medium side?

The same way on, I think, and I realize it's difficult. But the same with say, operating reactors, or things like that. So how does that feed into that, in terms of that's this high level view, the 50,000 or 25,000 foot view of what you're going to need from a strategic workforce implementation?

MR. WEBER: And I'll try and answer that. Again, we're still developing the key aspects of the program and how they're tied together. But for example, the accident-tolerant fuels is an example of a new technology, a new demand, an increase in demand, a need for us to have skills, not just ability to look at neutrons and do criticality analysis, but to have advanced materials understanding and be able to draw those kinds of folks in.

So a part of the challenge will be for us to look at not only what we need, but when we'll need it so that we can identify the skills and program people to be able to either develop those skills that are on staff or us to be able to hire those skills in. So there is a scenario-driven aspect of the

p	r	0	С	е	S	s	

COMMISSIONER BURNS: Okay, thanks, Mike. Pam, I
want to express my appreciation, the Office of Small Business and Civil
Rights, for the work you do. I appreciate also the statistical analysis on the
complaint aspect. I agree with you, I think it's, you know, in tough times, you
know, that's, sometimes we're going to get more complaints, and that seems
to be managed.
And I think your office in terms of your engagement with folk.

And I think your office in terms of your engagement with folk.

And also I think the work of the Agency as a whole trying to be true to its values really helps, so I want to express my appreciation to you and your staff for the work on that.

Maureen, an interesting thing on FEVS, you mentioned in terms of the participation rate. I don't know if you, did you compare your participation rate against the government-wide average?

MS. WYLIE: So I'm still 14% above the government average.

COMMISSIONER BURNS: Yeah, I was going to say.

And I know, I think I read something in Government Executive or whatever, I mean, this is the survey fatigue. And I will be the first one, not that I get to do the survey anymore. I'm not allowed, but you know what I mean.

You know, every time I buy something on the internet, well how was your experience, and how was it to this, and you know like that. Would you like to take a survey? Or the NRC website, when I access remotely, would you like to take a survey on. No, I just want the information, darn it.

So I know that there's, all kidding aside, there is, I know I

1	had seen something that I think OPM, and I don't know if you, anybody, has
2	any insights whether OPM's looking at that. But the notion now, the survey,
3	you know, every year. And I know, all due respect to our IG survey, which
4	actually I think in some ways, you know, for us is often even more valuable
5	because of some of the focus it does.
6	Not that we're doing it every month, it's once a year, but
7	even so, in context. Anyway, I've vented on surveys, but it has nothing to do
8	with FEVS.
9	MS. WYLIE: We did recognize it was just a really bad time
10	for us.
11	COMMISSIONER BURNS: Yeah.
12	MS. WYLIE: I think there is some survey fatigue. This
13	one does, anytime you have an 86-questions survey, or 89 now with some of
14	the optional questions, it is going to try people's souls a little bit. I did go
15	around and ask people personally to do it. I found that helped a little bit at
16	the end. But you know some of them said, I'm doing the nine things you
17	already asked me to do. So it's very hard to argue with them.
18	COMMISSIONER BURNS: No, I know, having been in a
19	position, you're encouraging your staff to do it as best we can do. And I
20	mean, I do encourage people, don't get me wrong. There is a, I think a value
21	to the surveys. But you know, I also understand.
22	And statistically, you know, I mean, I will be the first to admit
23	I am not a statistical expert or would not presume to be one. But statistically,
24	we still have, as you noted, a fairly high participation rate. And I think that

does help give insight and give credence to outcomes.

25

26

But I also compliment you for looking at not only what came

1	in but what wasn't there and what does that mean, and the thinking through it
2	So I appreciate that. Thank you, Chairman.
3	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Well, thank you all again. I think
4	many of us feel our colleagues' survey fatigue. But you know what I find
5	intriguing is, and I've principally seen these in other countries, it's those little
6	podiums with a button, and there's like a happy face, a neutral face, and a sac
7	face.
8	And it was like, how did you find the cleanliness of this
9	restroom, you know. And on your way out, you're supposed to touch it
10	Well, I guess there's some hygiene issues there if you touch a button.
11	COMMISSIONER BURNS: Yeah, yeah, I do those. And
12	at Heathrow, they always get a frowny face. I hate that airport.
13	(Laughter.)
14	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Now, somebody's in a venting
15	mood today, so maybe I should. But this notion of how much effort it takes
16	and how willing you are I think is the point. I think if we can work to maybe
17	have a 50-question survey and not an 89 questions. Those are real issues
18	because people have other important things that we're asking them to do in
19	addition to that.
20	But I do thank you all for you presentations. The fina
21	component of our discussion today, I will now invite Maria Schwartz, the
22	Executive Vice-President of the National Treasury Employees Union, the
23	Chapter here 208 here at NRC, to please come to the podium and presen
24	remarks. Maria.
25	MS. SCHWARZ: Good morning, Chairman Svinicki

Commissioners Baran and Burns, and Mr. Johnson, and my bargaining unit

1	colleagues. As the Executive Vice-President of NTEU Chapter 208, I'm
2	pleased to be able to provide the union's comments this morning.
3	Joining me today is our chapter president, Sheryl Burrows,
4	and several members of out union team, including several stewards and
5	officers on the bridge line.
6	NTEU, as most of you know, is the sole representative of
7	our bargaining unit employees. So as the number of topics discussed this
8	morning illustrates, so much has happened at the NRC since the last EEO
9	briefing. Most significantly, the Agency was able to avoid a RIF. NTEU
10	worked diligently with the Agency to ensure that not one NRC employee was
11	involuntarily removed.
12	NTEU's efforts started in 2015, when we bargained with the
13	Agency for a very robust Article 49 in our collective bargaining agreement
14	addressing reductions in force. This article requires the Agency to establish
15	a working group as soon as there was even the possibility of a RIF.
16	The working group started meeting last year. Its focus was
17	to develop ways to avoid a RIF. I'm happy to say that both NTEU and the
18	Agency worked tirelessly on this effort, the outcome of which is particularly
19	important in terms of this briefing this morning, since so many of the potentially
20	impacted employees belong to one or more of the EEO classes protected
21	under Title VII.
22	We should celebrate the success, but even as we celebrate,
23	our leaders must not lose sight of the stress that's placed on so many
24	employees in the corporate support offices, and really on employees
25	throughout the Agency.

Many employees commented to NTEU officers and stewards that this process made them question their value as NRC employees. Let's hope that even the possibility of a RIF never occurs again.

And on a positive note, some of the initiatives that have been taken under Project Aim, such as the re-prioritization of work, competency modeling, and ongoing efforts to develop a truly strategic workforce plan that focuses not only on the current workforce, but keeps an eye on some of the uncertainties of future work, should to eliminate the possibility of any future RIF. NTEU applauds this use of lessons learned.

So now I'm going to talk about the FEVS scores, you just led me into it. So despite much of the turmoil our employees have been working under, the Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey scores went up a little this years. NTEU would like to include this as a success too, but to be honest, we find it a little puzzling.

For the last several years, through several Chairmen and EDOs, NTEU has been following the FEVS scores to understands trends. And based on these trends, NTEU has repeatedly asked our senior leaders to ensure that Agency and office processes and procedures are available; that employees understand what they're being asked to do; and that resources, including training, are provided. Our bargaining unit employees need these resources to engage their work most effectively.

Thus, while I would like to call the overall rise in the FEVS score a success as well, we are not sure necessarily that this is the case.

As things perhaps start to settle down after a very tumultuous couple of years, NTEU's ask at this time for senior leaders is to consider the number of very large differences between the number of positive

1	responses of non-supervisory employees as compared to the number of
2	positive responses of senior leaders.
3	There are large differences in responses to several
4	questions, including those involving policies and procedures, opportunities to
5	get a better job, and opportunities to get training. I have chosen one very
6	inclusive question, Question 69, as an example. It asks: Considering
7	everything, how satisfied are you with your job?
8	The number of positive responses from non-supervisory
9	employees was 71.6%, which appears to be a pretty good score. And
10	actually, it is a pretty good score, as compared to some other Agencies.
11	The troubling issue for NTEU is that the number of positive
12	responses for senior leaders was 97.5 percent, almost perfection. There may
13	be several plausible reasons for this. One that I have often heard is that
14	senior leaders have a broader view of what is occurring at the NRC.
15	There is another possibility, however, that should be
16	considered, and that is that senior leaders have a different view than non-
17	supervisory employees on many of the issues that our bargaining unit
18	employees are facing.
19	If that is the case, NTEU believes that this is, to use the
20	vernacular, a big challenge. Because at its core, it indicates the vision of
21	senior leaders is different than the vision non-supervisory employees have
22	about many things involving the NRC.
23	This in turn can create mixed messages and lessen the
24	effectiveness of other communications, as well as the Agency initiatives we
25	heard discussed this morning.

Success demands that there is a common vision of the

1	goals to be achieved, how those goals will be achieved. And to understand
2	this better, perhaps senior leaders should start talking with and listening more
3	to non-supervisory employees.
4	While NTEU and the Advisory Committees work as conduits
5	for these voices, this does not take the place of the one-on-one activity.
6	Hearing about OCFO's initiatives in this regard, it sounds like they have some
7	ideas worth stealing.
8	NTEU believes the differences between the positive
9	responses of senior leaders versus the positive responses of non-supervisory
10	employees deserves further consideration, and that the outcome of this
11	analysis could provide ways to strengthen the NRC's organizational health
12	and vitality. As a questioning organization, we should always strive to create
13	and use lessons learned to develop ways to continually improve.
14	Thank you for the opportunity to bring NTEU's concern to
15	your attention this morning. NTEU looks forward to working with you for the
16	benefit of our bargaining unit employees, who deserve nothing less.
17	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you very much, Maria, for
18	those remarks. If my colleagues have nothing further, again, I thank
19	everyone, and we are adjourned.
20	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record
21	at 11:41 a.m.)
22	