

**FY 2019
PROPOSED
FEE RULE
WORK PAPERS**

FY 2019 Proposed Fee Rule Work Papers

The supporting information to the FY 2019 Proposed Fee Rule is contained in the following work papers. The items identified in the Table of Contents are located behind a corresponding Tab. At the beginning of each Tab is a cross reference, if appropriate, to the location of the subject matter and Tables found within the Proposed Fee Rule Document. For example, a reference to "**Section II.**" is the supporting information for: **Section II. FY 2019 Fee Collection A. Amendments to 10 CFR Part 170 1. Professional Hourly Rate.**

The complete outline of the FY 2019 Proposed Fee Rule showing the Section and Table titles is located immediately following the Table of Contents.

Table of Contents

FY 2019 Proposed Fee Rule Outline

Budget and Fee Recovery

Part 170 Fees

- Determination of Professional Hourly Rate
- Licensing Fees
- Export and Import Fees
- Reciprocity Fees--Agreement State Licensees
- General License Registration Fees

Fee Collected for Prior Year

Part 171 Annual Fees

- Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge Included in Annual Fees
- Operating Power Reactors
- Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning
- Fuel Facilities
- Uranium Recovery Facilities
- Test and Research Reactor
- Rare Earth Facilities
- Materials Users
- Transportation

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Budget Authority (FY 2019)

- FY 2019 Budget Summary by Program
- FY 2019 Budget by Product Line
 - Office of Inspector General
 - Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
 - Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations
 - Office of New Reactors
 - Regional Offices
 - Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
 - Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response
 - Office of General Counsel
 - Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards
 - Office of International Programs
 - Office of Enforcement
 - Office of Investigations
 - Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
 - Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer
 - Office of Administration

OBRA-90, as amended

Court Decision, 1993

FY 2019 Proposed Fee Rule Outline

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

II. Background; Statutory Authority

III. Discussion

Fee Collection - Overview

Table I—Budget and Fee Recovery Amounts

Fee Collection - Professional Hourly Rate

Table II— Professional Hourly Rate Calculation

Fee Collection - Flat Application Fee Changes

Fee Collection - Fee-Relief and Low-Level Waste (LLW) Surcharge

Table III—Fee-Relief Activities

Table IV—Allocation of Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge, FY 2019

Fee Collection - Revised Annual Fees

Table V—Rebaselined Annual Fees

a. Operating Power Reactors

Table VI—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Operating Power Reactors

b. Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning

Table VII—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for the Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor in
Decommissioning Fee Class

c. Fuel Facilities

Table VIII—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Fuel Facilities

Table IX—Effort Factors for Fuel Facilities, FY 2019

Table X—Annual Fees for Fuel Facilities

d. Uranium Recovery Facilities

Table XI—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Uranium Recovery Facilities

Table XII—Costs Recovered Through Annual Fees; Uranium Recovery Fee Class

Table XIII—Benefit Factors for Uranium Recovery Licenses

Table XIV—Annual Fees for Uranium Recovery Licensees (other than DOE)

e. Research and Test Reactors (Non-power Reactors)

Table XV—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Research and Test Reactors

f. Rare Earth

g. Materials Users

Table XVI—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Materials Users

h. Transportation

Table XVII—Annual Fee Summary Calculations for Transportation

Table XVIII—Distribution of Generic Transportation Resources, FY 2019

Fee Policy Changes

Administrative Changes

- IV. Regulatory Flexibility Certification
- V. Regulatory Analysis
- VI. Backfitting and Issue Finality
- VII. Plain Writing
- VIII. National Environmental Policy Act
- IX. Paperwork Reduction Act
- Public Protection Notification
- X. Voluntary Consensus Standards
- XI. Availability of Guidance
- XII. Public Meeting
- XIII. Availability of Documents

Budget and Fee Recovery

Section III

Table I

The NRC's total budget authority for FY 2019 is \$911.0 million. The Excluded fee items include \$16.0 million for advanced reactor infrastructure, \$10.3 million for international activities, \$1.3 million for WIR activities, \$1.1 million for IG services for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, and \$14.6 million for generic homeland security activities. Based on the 90 percent fee-recovery requirement, the NRC will have to recover approximately \$781.9 million in FY 2019 through Part 170 licensing and inspection fees and Part 171 annual fees. The amount required by law to be recovered through fees for FY 2019 would be \$7.4 million less than the amount estimated for recovery in FY 2018, a decrease of 0.9 percent.

The FY 2019 fee recovery amount is increased by \$1.1 million to account for billing adjustments (i.e., for FY 2019 invoices that the NRC estimates will not be paid during the fiscal year, less payments received in FY 2019 for prior year invoices). This leaves approximately \$781.9 million to be billed as fees in FY 2019 through Part 170 licensing and inspection fees and Part 171 annual fees.

The NRC estimates that \$246.7 million would be recovered from Part 170 fees in FY 2019. This represents a decrease of \$34.1 million or approximately 12.1 percent as compared to the estimated Part 170 collections of \$280.8 million for FY 2018. The remaining \$535.2 million would be recovered through the Part 171 annual fees in FY 2019, which is an increase of \$26.7 million when compared to estimated Part 171 collections of \$508.5 million for FY 2018.

See Tab "Budget Authority (FY 2019)" for supplemental information on the distribution of budgeted FTE and contract dollars.

Budget and Fee Recovery
FY 2019
 (\$ in Millions)
 (Individual dollar amounts may not add to totals due to rounding)

	FY 2019
NRC Budget Authority	\$911.0
Less Excluded Fee Items	-\$43.4
Balance	\$867.6
Fee Recovery Rate for FY 2018	x .90
Total Amount to be Recovered For FY 2018	\$780.8
USAID Rescission	\$0.0
Amount to be Recovered Through Fees and Other Receipts	\$780.8
Estimated amount to be recovered through Part 170 fees and other receipts	-\$246.7
Estimated amount to be recovered through Part 171 annual fees	\$534.1
Part 171 billing adjustments	\$1.1
Adjusted Part 171 annual fee collections required	\$535.2

Part 170 Fees

Section III.A

Part 170 Fees

Determination of Professional Hourly Rate

Section III.A.1

Table II

Proposed Professional Hourly Rate is \$278

The NRC's professional hourly rate is derived by adding budgeted resources for (1) mission-direct program salaries and benefits; (2) mission indirect-program support; and (3) agency support (corporate support and the Inspector General (IG)), then subtracting certain offsetting receipts and then dividing this total by mission direct full-time equivalents (FTE) converted to hours. The only budgeted resources excluded from the professional hourly rate are those for mission-direct contract activities.

The NRC has reviewed and analyzed actual time and labor data in the NRC's Human Resource Management System for the most recent completed fiscal year (FY 2018) to determine if the annual direct hours worked per direct FTE estimate requires updating for the FY 2019 fee rule. Based on this review using actual time and labor data, the NRC determined that 1,510 hours is the best estimate of direct hours worked annually per direct FTE. This estimate excludes all non-direct activities, such as annual leave, sick leave, holidays, training, and general administration tasks.

Definitions of Professional Hourly Rate Components

Mission-Direct Program Salaries and Benefits:

These resources are allocated to perform core work activities committed to fulfilling the agency's mission of protecting public health and safety, promoting the common defense and security, and protecting the environment. These resources include the majority of the resources assigned under the direct business lines (Operating Reactors, New Reactors, Fuel Facilities, Nuclear Materials Users, Decommissioning and Low-Level Waste, and Spent fuel Storage and Transportation) are core work activities considered mission-direct.

Mission-Indirect Program Support:

These resources support the core mission-direct activities. These resources include for example, supervisory and nonsupervisory support, and mission travel and training. Supervisory and nonsupervisory support, and mission travel and training resources assigned under direct business line structure, are considered mission-indirect due to their supporting role of the core mission activities.

Agency Support (Corporate Support and the IG):

These resources are located in executive, administrative, and other support offices such as the Office of the Commission, the Office of the Secretary, the Office of the Executive Director for Operations, the Offices of Congressional and Public Affairs, the Office of the Inspector General, the Office of Administration, the Office of the Chief Financial Officer, the Office of the Chief Information Officer, the Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer and the Office of Small Business and Civil Rights. These resources administer the corporate or shared efforts that more broadly support the activities of the agency. These resources also include information technology services, human capital services, financial management and administrative support.

Offsetting Receipts:

The fees collected by the NRC for the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Indemnity (financial protection required of licensees for public liability claims of 10 CFR Part 140) are subtracted from the budgeted resources amount when calculating the 10 CFR Part 170 professional hourly rate per the guidance in OMB Circular A-25 "User Charges." The budgeted resources for FOIA activities are allocated under the product for information services within the Corporate Support business line. The indemnity activities are allocated under the licensing actions and the Research and Test Reactors products within the Operating Reactors business line.

Estimated Annual Mission Direct FTE Productive hours:

Also referred to as the productive hours assumption, reflects the average number of hours that a mission-direct employee spends on mission-direct work in a given year. This excludes hours charged to annual leave, sick leave, holidays, training and general administration tasks. The productive hours assumption is calculated using actual time and labor data in HRMS (minus support and supervisory staff).

<u>Total hours in mission business lines</u> Total hours in mission business lines + "Other Hours"	X	Total work hours in a year (2,087)	=	Productive Hours Assumption
2,478,954 <hr style="width: 100%;"/> 3,422,244	X	Total work hours in a year (2,087)	=	1,510

- 2,087 hours is used to be consistent with OPM guidance and the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 when determining pay
- The primary increase in productivity assumption is attributed mainly by the decline in direct staff hours for general administration and training attendance.

DETERMINATION OF PROFESSIONAL HOURLY RATE CONTINUED

Total included in professional hourly rates:		% total	value
Mission-Direct Program Salaries & Benefits		44.05%	\$334,707,758
Mission-Indirect Program Support		15.88%	\$120,615,242
Agency Support: Corporate Support w/ Inspector General		40.07%	\$304,455,000
Total		100.00%	<u>\$759,778,000</u>
less offsetting receipts*			\$8,059
Total in professional hourly rate**			\$759,769,941
Mission-Direct FTE			1,810
FTE rate- Full Costed** ('Total in professional hourly rates' divided by 'Mission Direct FTE')			\$419,767
Annual Mission-direct FTE productive hours			1,510
Mission-direct FTE converted to hours ('Mission Direct FTE' multiplied by 'Annual Mission direct FTE productive hours')			2,733,100
Professional Hourly rate** ('Total in professional hourly rates' divided by 'FTE converted to hours')			\$278
*Calculation of offsetting receipts		Total	value
FOIA		%	
	\$8,059	100%	\$8,059
INDEMNITY			
	\$0	100%	\$0
TOTAL			<u>\$8,059</u>

**Since offsetting receipts can not be used to offset total fee collections, offsetting receipts are not subtracted from numerator for FTE rate. Per fee policy documents, we can subtract these receipts when calculating professional hourly rates.

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
CORPORATE SUPPORT						
BUSINESS LINE: CORPORATE SUPPORT						
Acquisitions						
Mission IT	5,965	2.0	6,202	2.0	(237)	0.0
Commodity Management	0	3.0	0	3.0	0	0.0
Procurement Operations	156	43.0	156	43.0	0	0.0
Administrative Assistants		1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Strategic Sourcing	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Supervisory Staff	0	5.0	0	5.0	0	0.0
Travel	15	0.0	15	0.0	0	0.0
Administrative Services						
Mission IT	2,498	1.0	2,841	2.0	(343)	(1.0)
Supervisory Staff		10.0	0	10.0	0	0.0
Support Services	9,451	23.0	9,156	23.0	295	0.0
Administrative Assistants	295	2.0	295	2.0	0	0.0
IT Infrastructure			0	0.0	0	0.0
Corporate Rulemaking		1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Facility Management	10,093	14.0	9,934	14.0	159	0.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	108	6.0	108	6.0	0	0.0
Physical & Personnel Security	14,439	18.0	14,315	18.0	124	0.0
Travel	48		48	0.0	0	0.0
Rent & Utilities	35,064	1.0	47,409	1.0	(12,345)	0.0
Financial Management						
Mission IT	11,917	9.0	11,726	12.0	191	(3.0)
Corporate Rulemaking	0	2.0	0	2.0	0	0.0
Supervisory Staff	0	14.0	0	14.0	0	0.0
Budgeting	0	27.0	0	26.0	0	1.0
Administrative Assistants	85	4.0	85	4.0	0	0.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	217	2.0	207	3.0	10	(1.0)
Travel	39	0.0	95	0.0	(56)	0.0
Financial Services	1,900	16.0	2,270	21.0	(370)	(5.0)
Management controls	646	25.0	646	21.0	0	4.0
Performance Management	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Human Resource Management						
Mission IT	1,028	3.0	1,039	2.0	(11)	1.0
Supervisory Staff	0	5.0	0	5.0	0	0.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	162	2.0	162	2.0	0	0.0
Administrative Assistants	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Travel	87	0.0	147	0.0	(60)	0.0
Employee/Labor Relations	15	5.0	15	5.0	0	0.0
Policy Development & SWP	30	5.0	30	5.0	0	0.0
Recruitment & Staffing	6,598	18.0	5,914	22.0	684	(4.0)
Work Life Services	2,156	5.0	2,156	5.0	0	0.0
Information Management						
Mission Training			0	0.0	0	0.0
Content Management			0	0.0	0	0.0
Information Services			0	0.0	0	0.0
Information Security			0	0.0	0	0.0
Information Technology						
IM Technologies	8,980	15	12,963	14	(3,983)	1.0
IT Infrastructure	51,054	68.0	42,308	74.0	8,746	(6.0)
IT applications infrastructure	0	0.0	2,624	5.0	(2,624)	(5.0)
IT Security	5,371	16.0	7,136	16.0	(1,765)	0.0
Information Services	2,085	18.0	1,807	17.0	278	1.0
Information Security	0	2.0	348	2.0	(348)	0.0
Supervisory Staff	0	17.0	0	18.0	0	(1.0)
Non-Supervisory Staff	0	2.0	0	5.0	0	(3.0)
Travel	98	0.0	98	0.0	0	0.0
Administrative Assistants	424	1.0	408	1.0	16	0.0
Content Management	2,122	7.0	3,006	4.0	(884)	3.0
IT Strategic Management	4,167	28.0	802	20.0	3,365	8.0
Outreach						
Small Business & Civil Rights	424	6.0	457	6.0	(33)	0.0
Outreach & Compliance Coord. Program	429	3.0	462	3.0	(33)	0.0
Supervisory Staff	0	2.0	0	2.0	0	0.0

Agency Support (Corporate Support and the IG): Budgeted Resources for Professional Hourly Rate Calculation

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
Administrative Assistants	61	1.0	61	1.0	0	0.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	33	0.0	18	0.0	15	0.0
Travel	30	0.0	30	0.0	0	0.0
Policy Support						
Mission IT	690	0.0	614	0.0	76	0.0
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
International Policy Outreach	290	3.0	265	3.0	25	0.0
Performance Management	0	1.0	80	1.0	(80)	0.0
Commission	70	35.0	70	35.0	0	0.0
Commission Appellate Adjudication	90	6.0	90	6.0	0	0.0
EDO Operations	0	8.0	10	8.0	(10)	0.0
Policy Outreach	1,089	35.0	1,005	32.0	84	3.0
Secretariat	0	17.0	0	17.0	0	0.0
Official Representation	25	0.0	25	0.0	0	0.0
Corporate Rulemaking	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
Supervisory Staff	0	14.0	0	14.0	0	0.0
Administrative Assistants	75	15.0	55	16.0	20	(1.0)
Non-Supervisory Staff	63	1.0	73	1.0	(10)	0.0
Travel	824	0.0	1,023	0.0	(199)	0.0
Training						
Mission IT	266	2.0	263	2.0	3	0.0
Training and Development	1,282	4.0	1,382	4.0	(100)	0.0
Organizational Development	0	2.0	0	2.0	0	0.0
Supervisory Staff	0	3.0	0	3.0	0	0.0
Administrative Assistants	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
IT Security	150	0.0	245	0.0	(95)	0.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	0	1.0	0	2.0	0	(1.0)
Travel	341	0.0	281	0.0	60	0.0
Business Process Improvements	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Agency Support (Corporate Support and the IG) Resources	183,545	609	192,980	617	(9,435)	(8.0)
Total value of Corporate Support Resources(FY19 \$183,545 contract funding + 609 FTE multiplied by S&B rate)	\$ 183,545	\$ 109,404	\$ 192,980	\$ 103,404	(9,435)	6000.0
Office of Inspector General	1,414	58.0	1,810	58.0	(396)	0.0
Total value of the Office of Inspector General Resources(\$1,414 contract funding + 58.FTE multiplied by S&B rate)	\$ 1,414	\$ 10,092	\$ 1,810	\$ 9,918	(396)	174.0
Total Agency Support (Corporate Support and the IG) Resources	\$ 184,959	\$ 119,496	\$ 194,790	\$ 113,322	(9,831)	6174.0

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
International Activities						
Licensing Export/Import	0	0.0	0	0.0		
International Technical Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Licensing					0	0.0
IT Infrastructure	0	0.0	1,611	0.0	(1611)	0.0
EDO Operations	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Policy Outreach	0	0.0	0	2.0	0	(2.0)
Business Process Improvements	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Training and Development	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Travel						
International Activities Travel	166	0.0	0	0.0	166	0.0
Mission Travel	2,120	0.0	2,615	0.0	(495)	0.0
Travel	5	0.0	0	0.0	5	0.0
Support Staff						
Supervisory Staff	0	49.0	0	50.0	0	(1.0)
Support Services	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Budgeting	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
HR Activities	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Information Services	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Admin Assistants	550	24.0	700	24.0	0	0.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	0	12.0	48	12.0	(150)	0.0
					(48)	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
Licensing						
RIC	0	0.0	718	2.0	(718)	(2.0)
EDO Operations	0	0.0	0	3.0	0	(3.0)
Policy Outreach	0	0.0	0	3.0	0	(3.0)
Business Improvements	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Oversight						
Mission IT	0	0.0	143	0.0	(143)	0.0
IT Infrastructure	0	0.0	5,577	0.0	(5577)	0.0
Research						
Mission IT	0	0.0	629	0.0	(629)	0.0
Training						
Training and Development	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Business Process Improvements	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Travel						
International Activities Travel	803	0.0	0	0.0	803	0.0
Mission Travel	13,508	0.0	14,445	0.0	(937)	0.0
Support Staff						
Supervisory Staff	0	184.0	0	187.0	0	(3.0)
Support Services	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Budgeting	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Procurement Operations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Content Management	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Information Services	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Admin Assistants	975	91.0	1,302	93.0	(327)	(2.0)
Non-Supervisory Staff	1,676	65.0	2,139	76.0	(463)	(11.0)
HR Activities	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	19,803	425.0	29,927	454.0	(10124)	(29.0)

PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES

International Activities						
Export/Import	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Oversight						
IT Infrastructure	0	0.0	407	0.0	(407)	0.0
Travel						
International Activities Travel	120	0.0	0	0.0	120	0.0
Mission Travel	981	0.0	1,101	0.0	(120)	0.0
Support Staff						
Supervisory Staff	0	14.0	0	16.0	0	(2.0)
Support Services	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Budget	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Content Mgmt	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Admin Assistants	268	4.0	268	4.0	0	0.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	82	2.0	82	2.0	0	0.0

PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS

International Activities						
Export/Import	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing						
EDO Operations	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Policy Outreach	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Oversight						
IT Infrastructure	0	0.0	832	0.0	(832)	0.0
Travel						
International Activities Travel	79	0.0	0	0.0	79	0.0
International Assistance Travel	350	0.0	0	0.0	350	0.0
Mission Travel	1,334	0.0	1,790	0.0	(456)	0.0
Training						
Business Process Improvements	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	1.0
Support Staff						
Supervisory Staff	0	25.0	0	24.0	0	1.0
Support Services	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Budget	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Content Mgmt	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Admin Assistants	0	8.0	0	9.0	0	(1.0)
HR Activities	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Information Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Information Services	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	497	10.0	497	11.0	0	(1.0)

PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE

Licensing						
IT Infrastructure	0	0.0	346	0.0	(346)	0.0
Policy Outreach	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Oversight						
Travel			0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission Travel	730	0.0	797	0.0	(67)	0.0
International Activities Travel	180	0.0	0	0.0	180	0.0
Support Staff						
Supervisory Staff	0	11.0	0	11.0	0	0.0
Support Services	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Budget	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Content Mgmt	0	0.0	12	0.0	(12)	0.0
Admin Assistants	0	2.0	0	2.0	0	0.0
HR Activities	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	12	1.0	0	2.0	12	(1.0)

Mission Program Indirect Budgeted Resources for Professional Hourly Rate Calculation

**PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND
TRANSPORTATION**

Licensing						
IT Infrastructure	0	0.0	405	0.0	(405)	0.0
Policy Outreach	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Oversight			0	0.0	0	0.0
Travel						
Mission Travel	461	0.0	519	0.0	(58)	0.0
International Activities Travel	120	0.0	0	0.0	120	0.0
Support Staff						
Supervisory Staff	0	11.0	0	11.0	0	0.0
Support Services	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Content Mgmt	0	0.0	14	0.0	(14)	0.0
Budget	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Admin Assistants	0	2.0	0	2.0	0	0.0
Non-Supervisory Staff	14	2.0	0	3.0	14	(1.0)
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	5,228	.92.0	7,070	103	(1842)	(11.0)
Total Mission Program Indirect Resources	25,031	517.0	36,997	557.0	(11966)	(40.0)
Total value of Mission Program Indirect Resources(FY 19						
\$25,031 contract funding + 517 FTE multiplied by S&B rate)						
	\$ 25,031	\$ 95,584	\$ 36,997	\$ 97,995	(11966)	(2410.7)

Part 170 Fees

Specific Services

Section III.A.2

Flat application fees are calculated by multiplying the average professional staff hours needed to process the licensing actions by the proposed professional hourly rate (\$278 for FY 2019). The agency estimates the average professional staff hours every other year as part of its biennial review of fees which was performed in FY 2019.

Full cost fees are determined based on the professional staff time and appropriate contractual support of services. The full cost fees for professional staff time will be determined at the professional hourly rate in effect the time the service was provided.

The NRC estimates the amount of 10 CFR part 170 fees for each fee class based on established fee methodology guidelines (42 FR 22149; May 2, 1977), which specified that the NRC has the authority to recover the full cost of providing services to identifiable beneficiaries. The NRC uses these established guidelines to apply the most current financial data and workload projections by offices and divisions to calculate the 10 CFR part 170 fee estimates. Current financial data includes: 1) four quarters of the most recent billing data (professional hourly rate invoice data); 2) actual contractual work charged (prior period data) to develop contract work estimates; and 3) the number of FTE hours charged, multiplied by the NRC professional hourly rate

DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES and Average Inspection Costs ** FY 2019			
FY2019 Professional Hourly Rate \$278			
Materials Part 170 Fee			
Category	FY 2019 Estimated Professional Process Time (Hours)*	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Professional Time x FY 2019 Professional Hourly Rate)	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Rounded)
1. Special Nuclear Material			
1C. Industrial Gauges			
Inspection Costs**	7.7	\$2,141	\$2,100
New License	4.6	\$1,279	\$1,300
1D. All Other SNM Material, less critical mass			
Inspection Costs**	23.1	\$6,422	\$6,400
New License	9.3	\$2,585	\$2,600
2. Source Material			
2B. Shielding			
Inspection Costs**	10	\$2,780	\$2,800
New License	4.4	\$1,223	\$1,200
2C. Exempt Distribution/SM			
Inspection Costs**	14.5	\$4,031	\$4,000
New License	15.5	\$4,309	\$4,300
2D. General License Distribution			
Inspection Costs**	15.6	\$4,337	\$4,300
New License	9.9	\$2,752	\$2,800
2E. Manufacturing Distribution			
Inspection Costs**	15.6	\$4,337	\$4,300
New License	9.5	\$2,641	\$2,600
2F. All Other Source Material			
Inspection Costs**	28.8	\$8,006	\$8,000
New License	9.5	\$2,641	\$2,600
3. Byproduct Material			
3A. Mfg-Broad Scope			
Inspection Costs**	57.7	\$16,040	\$16,000
New License	46.8	\$13,010	\$13,000
3. Byproduct Material			
3A1. Mfg-Broad Scope			
Inspection Costs**	76.9	\$21,377	\$21,400
New License	62.2	\$17,291	\$17,300
3. Byproduct Material			
3A2. Mfg-Broad Scope			
Inspection Costs**	96.2	\$26,742	\$26,700
New License	77.7	\$21,600	\$21,600
3B. Mfg-Other			
Inspection Costs**	33.9	\$9,424	\$9,400
New License	12.9	\$3,586	\$3,600

DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES and Average Inspection Costs ** FY 2019			
FY2019 Professional Hourly Rate \$278			
Materials Part 170 Fee			
Category	FY 2019 Estimated Professional Process Time	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Professional Time x FY 2019 Professional Hourly Rate)	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Rounded)
3B1. Mfg-Other (sites 6-19)			
Inspection Costs**	45.2	\$12,565	\$12,600
New License	17.2	\$4,781	\$4,800
3B2. Mfg-Other (sites 20 or more)			
Inspection Costs**	56.5	\$15,706	\$15,700
New License	21.4	\$5,949	\$5,900
3C. Mfg/Distribution Radiopharmaceuticals			
Inspection Costs**	23.8	\$6,616	\$6,600
New License	18.7	\$5,198	\$5,200
3C1. Mfg/Distribution Radiopharmaceuticals			
Inspection Costs**	31.7	\$8,812	\$8,800
New License	24.9	\$6,922	\$6,900
3C2. Mfg/Distribution Radiopharmaceuticals			
Inspection Costs**	39.7	\$11,036	\$11,000
New License	31.0	\$8,618	\$8,600
3D. Distribution Radiopharmaceuticals/No Process			
Inspection Costs**	0	\$0	\$0
New License	0	\$0	\$0
3E. Irradiators/Self-Shielded			
Inspection Costs**	49.8	\$13,844	\$13,800
New License	11.5	\$3,197	\$3,200
3F. Irradiators < 10,000 Ci			
Inspection Costs**	15.7	\$4,364	\$4,400
New License	23.4	\$6,505	\$6,500
3G. Irradiators => 10,000 Ci			
Inspection Costs**	15.6	\$4,337	\$4,300
New License	223.2	\$62,047	\$62,000
3H. Exempt Distribution/Device Review			
Inspection Costs**	14.1	\$3,920	\$3,900
New License	23.9	\$6,644	\$6,600
3i. Exempt Distribution/No Device Review			
Inspection Costs**	14.5	\$4,031	\$4,000
New License	41.6	\$11,564	\$11,600
3J. General License Distribution/Device Review			
Inspection Costs**	10.5	\$2,919	\$2,900
New License	7.2	\$2,002	\$2,000

**DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES
and Average Inspection Costs **
FY 2019**

FY2019 Professional Hourly Rate
\$278

Materials Part 170 Fee			
Category	FY 2019 Estimated Professional Process Time	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Professional Time x FY 2019 Professional Hourly Rate)	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Rounded)
3K. General License Distribution/No Device Review			
Inspection Costs**	10.4	\$2,891	\$2,900
New License	4.1	\$1,140	\$1,100
3L. R&D-Broad			
Inspection Costs**	40.4	\$11,231	\$11,200
New License	19.7	\$5,476	\$5,500
3L1 R&D-Broad			
Inspection Costs**	53.9	\$14,984	\$15,000
New License	26.2	\$7,283	\$7,300
3L2 R&D-Broad			
Inspection Costs**	67.3	\$18,709	\$18,700
New License	32.7	\$9,090	\$9,100
3M. R&D-Other			
Inspection Costs**	23.8	\$6,616	\$6,600
New License	29.8	\$8,284	\$8,300
3N. Service License			
Inspection Costs**	34.2	\$9,507	\$9,500
New License	32	\$8,896	\$8,900
3O. Radiography			
Inspection Costs**	28.4	\$7,895	\$7,900
New License	22.8	\$6,338	\$6,300
3O1. Radiography			
Inspection Costs**	37.9	\$10,536	\$10,500
New License	30.4	\$8,451	\$8,500
3O2. Radiography			
Inspection Costs**	47.3	\$13,149	\$13,100
New License	38.0	\$10,564	\$10,600
3P. All Other Byproduct Material			
Inspection Costs**	24.5	\$6,811	\$6,800
New License	17	\$4,726	\$4,700
3P1. All Other Byproduct Material			
Inspection Costs**	32.7	\$9,090	\$9,100
New License	22.7	\$6,310	\$6,300
3P2. All Other Byproduct Material			
Inspection Costs**	40.8	\$11,342	\$11,300
New License	28.3	\$7,867	\$7,900

**DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES
and Average Inspection Costs **
FY 2019**

**FY2019 Professional Hourly Rate
\$278**

Materials Part 170 Fee			
Category	FY 2019 Estimated Professional Process Time	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Professional Time x FY 2019 Professional Hourly Rate)	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Rounded)
3R1. Radium-226 (less than or equal to 10x limits in 31.12)			
Inspection Costs**	24.2	\$6,727	\$6,700
New License	9.2	\$2,557	\$2,600
3R2. Radium-226 (more than 10x limits in 31.12)			
Inspection Costs**	16.2	\$4,503	\$4,500
New License	9	\$2,502	\$2,500
3S. Accelerator Produced Radionuclides			
Inspection Costs**	31.6	\$8,784	\$8,800
New License	51.1	\$14,205	\$14,200
4B. Waste Packaging			
Inspection Costs**	23.5	\$6,533	\$6,500
New License	24.9	\$6,922	\$6,900
4C. Waste-Prepackaged			
Inspection Costs**	14.2	\$3,947	\$3,900
New License	18	\$5,004	\$5,000
5. Well Logging			
5A. Well Logging			
Inspection Costs**	33	\$9,174	\$9,200
New License	16.5	\$4,587	\$4,600
6. Nuclear Laundries			
6A. Nuclear Laundry			
Inspection Costs**	21.7	\$6,032	\$6,000
New License	79.7	\$22,156	\$22,200
7. Human Use			
7A. Teletherapy			
Inspection Costs**	57.8	\$16,068	\$16,100
New License	40	\$11,120	\$11,100
7. Human Use			
7A1. Teletherapy			
Inspection Costs**	77.1	\$21,433	\$21,400
New License	53.2	\$14,789	\$14,800
7. Human Use			
7A2. Teletherapy			
Inspection Costs**	96.3	\$26,770	\$26,800
New License	66.4	\$18,458	\$18,500

DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES and Average Inspection Costs ** FY 2019			
FY2019 Professional Hourly Rate \$278			
Materials Part 170 Fee			
Category	FY 2019 Estimated Professional Process Time	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Professional Time x FY 2019 Professional Hourly Rate)	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Rounded)
7B. Medical-Broad			
Inspection Costs**	50.9	\$14,150	\$14,100
New License	31.2	\$8,673	\$8,700
7B1. Medical-Broad			
Inspection Costs**	67.9	\$18,875	\$18,900
New License	41.5	\$11,535	\$11,500
7B2. Medical-Broad			
Inspection Costs**	84.8	\$23,573	\$23,600
New License	51.8	\$14,398	\$14,400
7C. Medical-Other			
Inspection Costs**	25	\$6,950	\$6,900
New License	23.6	\$6,561	\$6,600
7C1. Medical-Other			
Inspection Costs**	33.3	\$9,243	\$9,200
New License	31.4	\$8,725	\$8,700
7C2. Medical-Other			
Inspection Costs**	41.5	\$11,537	\$11,500
New License	39.2	\$10,890	\$10,900
8. Civil Defense			
8A. Civil Defense			
Inspection Costs**	24.2	\$6,727	\$6,700
New License	9.2	\$2,557	\$2,600
9. Device, product or sealed source evaluation			
9A. Device evaluation-commercial distribution			
Application - each device	39	\$10,842	\$10,800
9B. Device evaluation - custom			
Application - each device	32.4	\$9,007	\$9,000
9C. Sealed source evaluation - commercial distribution			
Application - each source	19	\$5,282	\$5,300
9D. Sealed source evaluation - custom			
Application - each source	3.8	\$1,056	\$1,100
10. Transportation			
10B. Evaluation - Part 71 QA program			
Application - approval	15.1	\$4,198	\$4,200

**DETERMINATION OF MATERIALS PART 170 APPLICATION FEES
and Average Inspection Costs **
FY 2019**

**FY2019 Professional Hourly Rate:
\$278**

Materials Part 170 Fee			
Category	FY 2019 Estimated Professional Process Time	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Professional Time x FY 2019 Professional Hourly Rate)	FY 2019 Fee/Cost (Rounded)
17. Master Materials License¹			
Inspection Costs**	445.6	\$123,872	\$123,900
New License	397	\$110,361	\$110,400

NOTES:

Rounding: <\$1000 rounded to nearest \$10,
=or>\$1000 and <\$100,000 rounded to nearest \$100,
=or>\$100,000 rounded to nearest \$1,000

* hours based on FY 2019 Biennial Review

** Inspection costs are used in computation of the Annual fees for the category

¹ Beginning with FY 2011 fee rule, the Master Materials License Part 170 application fee was eliminated. Per FSME's recommendation in their Biennial Review, the fee for a new MML license will be fully costed based on the hours spent on reviewing a new application.

Part 170 Fees

Export and Import Fees

Section III.A.2

Flat application fees are calculated by multiplying the average professional staff hours needed to process the licensing actions by the proposed professional hourly rate (\$278 for FY 2019). The agency estimates the average professional staff hours every other year as part of its biennial review of fees. The agency estimates the average professional staff hours every other year as part of its biennial review of fees which was performed in FY 2019.

Note: Because the FY 2019 enacted budget excludes international activities from the fee-recoverable budget, import and export licensing actions (see fee categories K.1. through K.5. of § 170.21 and fee categories 15.A. through 15.R. of § 170.31) will not be charged fees under the proposed rule. To implement this, the NRC has revised fee categories K.1. through K.5. of § 170.21 and fee categories 15.A. through 15.R. of § 170.31 and included a new footnote in these tables.

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Import-Export Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
International Activities						
Licensing Import/Export	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
International Activities						
Licensing Import/Export	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
International Activities						
Licensing Import/Export	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$0		\$0		\$0	

DETERMINATION OF EXPORT AND IMPORT PART 170 FEES			
FY 2019			
FY 2018 Professional Hourly Rate = \$278			
Export and Import Part 170 Fees	FY 2019	FY 2019 Fee/Cost	FY 2019
Category	Estimated	(Professional Time x	Fee/Cost
	Professional	FY 2019 Professional	(Rounded)**
	Process Time	Hourly Rate)	
	(Hours)*		
10 CFR 170.21, Category K			
Subcategory			
	1	65	N/A
	2	35	N/A
	3	17	N/A
	4	17	N/A
	5	10	N/A
10 CFR 170.31, Category 15			
Subcategory			
	A	65	N/A
	B	35	N/A
	C	17	N/A
	D	17	N/A
	E	18	N/A
	F	60	N/A
	G	30	N/A
	H	11	N/A
	I	1	N/A
	J	60	N/A
	K	30	N/A
	L	15	N/A
	M	0	N/A
	N	0	N/A
	O	0	N/A
	P	0	N/A
	Q	0	N/A
	R	5	N/A

NOTES:

The application fees and amendment fees are the same for each subcategory because, per discussion with IP representatives, the processing time is the same for a new license or an amendment to the license.

Rounding: <\$1000 rounded to nearest \$10,
 =or>\$1000 and <\$100,000 rounded to nearest \$100,
 =or>\$100,000 rounded to nearest \$1,000

* data based on FY 2019 Biennial Review

** N/A based upon 2019 appropriation language of international activities

Part 170 Fees

Reciprocity Fees - Agreement State Licensees

Section III.A.2

The application fee for Agreement State licensees who conduct activities under the reciprocity provisions of 10 CFR 150.20 is determined using FYs 2014 through 2017 data and the FY 2019 professional hourly rate. The FYs 2014-2017 reciprocity fee data was provided as part of the FY 2019 biennial review of fees.

**DETERMINATION OF RECIPROCITY PART 170 FEES
FY 2019**

NOTES:

The reciprocity application and revision fees are determined using FYs 2014-2017 data*, and the FY 2019 professional hourly rate.
The reciprocity application fee includes average costs for inspections, average costs for processing initial filings of NRC Form 241, and average costs for processing changes to the initial filings of NRC Form 241.

FY 2019 Professional Hourly Rate:		\$278	
Average inspection costs:		Avg Inspection Costs (Avg. no. of hours for insp. x professional hourly rate)	Total Amount
Reciprocity Part 170 Fee			
Fee Category 16			
Inspection			
Number of Inspections Conducted for FY14-17	78	\$8,800	
	<u>0</u>		
Total	78		\$171,600
Average for the 4 years	19.5		
Initial 241s			
Number of Completions for FY14-17	846	\$600	
	<u>0</u>		
Total	846		\$126,900
Average for the 4 years	211.5		
Revised 241s			
Number of Completions for FY14-17	6209	\$100	
	<u>0</u>		
Total	6209		\$155,225
Average for the 4 years	1552.25		
APPLICATION FEE:			
Amount for inspections [Cost/Initial 241]	\$811		
Amount for initial filing of NRC Form 241 [Cost/Initial 241]	\$600		
for revisions to initial filing of NRC Form 241 [Cost/Initial 241]	\$734		
Total Application Fee	\$2,145		
Application Fee Rounded	\$2,100		

* data based on FY 2019 Biennial Review

Part 170 Fees

General License Registration Fees

Section III.A.2

This fee under byproduct material is for registration of a device(s) generally licensed under part 31 of this chapter.

DETERMINATION OF GENERAL LICENSE REGISTRATION FEE , FY 2019 (FEE CATEGORY 3Q)				
		<u>Total GL Resources</u>	<u>% Supporting Registrable GLs</u>	<u>Total Supporting Registrable GLs</u>
<u>NMSS GL Program</u>				
budgeted FTE	Regions			
	HQ			0.20
budgeted contract \$	Regions			\$0
	HQ			\$288,500
full cost of FTE		\$419,767		\$419,767
total budgeted resources, NMSS GL Program (equals full cost of FTE + contract \$)				\$372,453
portion of budgeted resources associated w/fee exempt GLs (nonprofit educational) net to be recovered.				\$21,230
				\$351,224
fee assuming 493 registrable GLs fee, rounded				\$712.42
				\$700
Data based on the NRC budget documents and the 10/18 email from J.Rand(NMSS GL program).				

Fees Collected for Prior Year

As part of the NRC's fees transformation, beginning with the FY 2018 final fee rule work papers, we have compared the FY 2018 actual Part 170 and Part 171 percentage of total collections with the estimated Part 170 and Part 171 percentage of total collections.

FEES COLLECTED FOR PRIOR YEAR

Fee Class	FY 2018 Actual Part 170-User Fees % of Total Collections for the Fee Class	FY 2018 Actual Part 171-Annual Fees % of Total Collections for the Fee Class	FY 2017 Estimated Part 170-User Fees % of Total Collections for the Fee Class	FY 2017 Estimated Part 171-Annual Fees % of Total Collections for the Fee Class
Fee Relief Activities	100%	0%	100%	0%
Operating Power Reactors	35%	65%	36%	64%
Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning	28%	72%	30%	70%
Fuel Facilities	23%	77%	25%	75%
Uranium recovery	80%	20%	96%	4%
Research and Test Reactors	81%	19%	84%	16%
Rare Earth	100%	0%	0%	0%
Materials users	3%	97%	3%	97%
Transportation	73%	27%	74%	26%
Export and Import Fees	100%	0%	0%	0%
Total	34%	66%	31%	69%

As part of improving transparency of the fee setting process, NRC committed to providing more information to identify budgeted activities allocated to user fees or annual fees. The FY 2019 Congressional Budget Justification released on February 12, 2018, included which Products Lines may generally be annual or user fees for each business line.

In addition, NRC will report fees collected for the prior fiscal year, by fee class, beginning with the FY 2018 final fee rule workpapers. Each fee class data includes distribution of fees collected as user fees (10 CFR Part 170) and annual fees (10 CFR Part 171).

Part 171 Annual Fees

Section III.B

Part 171 Annual Fees

Application of Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge

Section III.B.1

Table III Table IV

The NRC applies the 10 percent of its budget that is excluded from fee recovery under OBRA-90, as amended (fee relief), to offset the total budget allocated for activities which do not directly benefit current NRC licensees. The budgeted resources for these fee-relief activities are totaled, and then reduced by the amount of the NRC's fee relief. Any difference between the fee relief and the budgeted amount of these activities results in a fee relief adjustment (increase or decrease) to all licensees' annual fees, based on their percent of the budget (the majority is allocated to power reactors each year).

The FY 2019 budgeted resources for NRC's fee-relief activities are \$86.6 million. The NRC's 10 percent fee relief amount in FY 2019 is \$86.8 million, leaving a \$0.2 million fee-relief credit that will decrease all licensees' annual fees based on their percentage share of the budget.

Separately, the NRC has continued to allocate the low-level waste (LLW) surcharge based on the volume of LLW disposal of three classes of licensees, operating reactors, fuel facilities, and materials users.

Note: For FY 2019, the enacted budget excludes international activities from the fee-recoverable budget. This includes conventions and treaty activities that are not attributable to an existing NRC licensee or class of licensees, and it included international cooperation activities that are not attributable to an existing NRC licensee or class of licensees.

FY 2019 FEE-RELIEF ACTIVITIES AND LLW GENERIC SURCHARGE

FTE rate: \$419,767

	DIRECT RESOURCES		Less Part 170 materials decommissioning revenue, \$ M	FEE AMOUNT
	\$,M	FTE		(\$,M)
TOTAL NRC				
NONPROFIT EDUCATIONAL EXEMPTION	0.64	20.2		9.116
INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	0.00	0.0		0.00
SMALL ENTITY SUBSIDY				8.07
AGREEMENT STATE OVERSIGHT	1.88	22.9		11.497
REGULATORY SUPPORT TO AGREEMENT STATES	2.89	28.1		14.684
ISL RULE/GENERAL LICENSEES/MOLY99/FELLOWSHIPS & SCHOLARSHIPS	15.94	26.4		27.03
DECOMMISSIONING/RECLAMATION GENERIC	1.30	35.2	3.07	13.00
MILITARY RADIUM 226	0.40	4.0		2.08
NON-MILITARY RADIUM 226	0.00	2.7		1.13
LLW GENERIC SURCHARGE	0.23	8.5		3.80
TOTAL	23.28	148.0		90.40

To meet the 90% fee recovery requirement for FY 2018, the Fee-Relief Activities are reduced by 10% of NRC's FY 2019 net budget authority (appropriation less Non-Recoverable Fee Items¹, as shown below)

	(\$,M)
Fee-Relief Activity (Total above less LLW generic surcharge) ²	86.61
Budget Authority minus Non-Fee Items	867.59
Percent reduction in fee recovery amount for FY 2019	10.0%
Reduction in annual fee recovery amount for FY 2019	86.76
Delta, Fee-Relief Activity (less generic LLW) and reduction in fee recovery amt	-0.153
Generic LLW Surcharge amount	3.797
Net adjustment to fee assessments	3.644

DISTRIBUTION OF ADJUSTMENT TO FEE ASSESSMENTS

	LLW GENERIC SURCHARGE		FEE-RELIEF ACTIVITIES		TOTAL ADJUSTMENT
	PERCENT	\$,M	PERCENT	\$,M	\$,M
POWER REACTORS	74.4%	2.8	86.6%	-0.132	2.693560
SPENT FUEL STORAGE/REACTOR DECOMMISSIONING	0.0%	0	4.7%	-0.007	-0.00716
TEST AND RESEARCH REACTORS	0.0%	0	0.2%	0.000	-0.0003
FUEL FACILITIES	20.3%	0.8	4.0%	-0.006	0.7846
MATERIALS	5.3%	0.201	3.8%	-0.006	0.1955
TRANSPORTATION	0	0	0.6%	-0.001	-0.0009
RARE EARTH FACILITIES	0	0	0.0%	0.000	0.0000
URANIUM RECOVERY	0	0	0.1%	0.000	-0.0002
TOTAL	100.02	3.80	100.0%	-0.15	3.65

NOTES:

¹Non-Recoverable Fee Items: DNFSB, WIR, ARI, IA and generic homeland security

²Generic LLW activities are not considered a fairness and equity issue because licensees will benefit from these activities

FEE RELIEF ADJUSTMENT SUPPLEMENTAL

To meet the 90% fee recovery requirement for FY 2019, the Fee-Relief Activities are reduced by 10% of NRC's FY 2019 net budget authority (appropriation less Non-Recoverable Fee Items, as shown below)

	FY 2019 (\$,M)	FY 2018 (\$,M)	Variance (\$,M)
Fee-Relief Activity (Total previous page less LLW generic surcharge)	\$86.6	\$83.9	\$2.7
Budget Authority minus Excluded Fee Items	\$867.6	\$878.2	-\$10.6
Percent reduction in fee recovery amount for FY 2019	10.0%	10.0%	-
Reduction in annual fee recovery amount for FY 2019	\$86.8	\$87.8	-\$1.0
Delta, Fee-Relief Activity (less generic LLW) and reduction in fee recovery amount	-\$0.2	-\$3.9	\$3.7
Generic LLW Surcharge amount	\$3.8	\$3.4	\$0.4
Net adjustment to fee assessments	\$3.6	-\$0.5	\$4.1

* Individual values may not sum tot totals due to rounding.

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Nonprofit Education Exemption Fee-Relief Category**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/ PRODUCTS:						
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Construction Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	(0)	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Part 50	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Vendor Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	(0)	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Research & Test Reactors	597	12.3	453	12.5	144	(0.2)
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	1.1	0.1	1.1	0.0	0	0.1
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	0	3.6	0	0.0	0	3.6
Mission IT	0.6	0.0	0.7	0.0	(0)	0.0
Research & Test Reactor Insp.	0	0.0	0	2.7	0	(2.7)
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Fukushima NTF	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission Training	17	0.0	30	0.0	(13)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	615.7	16.0	484	15.2	131	0.8
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	615.7	16.0	484	15.2	131	0.8
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Licensing Actions	0	1.3	2	2.0	(2)	(0.7)
Licensing Support	1	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	1	0.0	(1)	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.6	0	0.5	0	0.1
Enforcement	2.9	0.4	2.9	0.4	0	0.0
Event Evaluation	0	0.2	0	0.1	0	0.1
Inspection	4.9	0.9	4.9	0.7	0	0.2
IT Infrastructure	6.0	0.0	0	0.0	6	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Research						
Materials Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.3	0	0.6	0	(0.3)
Rulemaking Support	0	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.2
Training						
Mission Training	6	0.0	7	0.0	(1)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	20.8	3.9	17	4.3	3	(0.4)

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Nonprofit Education Exemption Fee-Relief Category**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Environmental Reviews	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Storage Licensing	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Transportation Certification	0	0.3	0	0.3	0	0.0
Oversight						
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking (PL)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Travel						
Mission Travel	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.3	0	0.3	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	20.8	4.2	17.4	4.6	3	(0.4)
TOTAL Nonprofit Education Exemption	636.5	20.2	502	19.8	135	0.4
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$1,480		\$8,726		(\$7,246)	

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
International Activities Fee-Relief Category**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
International Cooperation						
Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission Training						
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
Conventions & Treaties	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Fukushima NTTF	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
Conventions & Treaties	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Import/Export	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
International Technical Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
International Assistance	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Travel						
International Activities Travel	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
International Technical Cooperation	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Conventions & Treaties	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	1.0
Mission Training						
Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
International Technical Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Conventions & Treaties	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission Travel						
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
International Activities Fee-Relief Category**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
TOTAL INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$0		\$0		\$0	

Per the 2019 Appropriation International activities are off the Fee Base.

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Agreement State Oversight Fee-Relief Category**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Training						
Mission Training	10	0.0	10	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	10	0.0	10	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Oversight						
Mission Training	10	0.0	0	0.0	10	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	26	0.2	28	0.2	(2)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	36	0.2	28	0.2	8	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	46	0.2	38	0.2	8	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Research						
Materials Research	0	0.7	0	0.7	0	0.0
State Tribal and Federal Programs						
Agreement States	125	22.0	125	27.0	0	(5.0)
Mission IT	137	0.0	187	0.0	(50)	0.0
Travel						
Agreement State Travel	1,090	0.0	1,159	0.0	(69)	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	1,352	22.7	1,471	27.7	(119)	(5.0)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Licensing						
Decommissioning Licensing Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	446	0.0	356	0.0	90	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	446	0.0	356	0.0	90	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Training						
Mission Training	40	0.0	0	0.0	40	0.0
Total Direct Resources	40	0.0	0	0.0	40	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	1,838	22.7	1,827	27.7	11	(5.0)
TOTAL AGREEMENT STATE OVERSIGHT	1,884	22.9	1,865	27.9	19	(5.0)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$11,497		\$13,453		(\$1,957)	

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Agreement State Regulatory Support Fee-Relief Category**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Training						
Mission Training	328	0.0	160	0.0	168	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	328	0.0	160	0.0	168	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Event Response						
Response Operations	0	0.7	0	1.7	0	(1.0)
Response Programs	0	1.7	0	1.7	0	0.0
International Activities						
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing						
Licensing Actions	0	0.0	30	12.9	(30)	(12.9)
Licensing Support	242	7.0	242	0.2	(0)	6.8
Mission IT	124	0.0	305	0.0	(181)	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.1	0	0.4	0	(0.3)
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Event Evaluation	860	2.7	1,152	3.9	(292)	(1.2)
Inspection	6.3	2.2	6.3	3.9	0	(1.7)
IT Infrastructure	645.9	0.0	0	0.0	645.9	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	4.6	0	2.7	0	1.9
Rulemaking Support	0	2.5	0	2.2	0	0.3
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Research						
Materials Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
State Tribal and Federal Programs						
Agreement States	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
Liaison	0	1.4	0	0.9	0	0.5
Travel						
Agreement State Travel	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	682	1.7	848	1.7	(166)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	2,560.2	25.6	2,583.5	32.2	(23.3)	(6.6)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Uranium Recovery Environmental Reviews	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	0	1.5	0	2.0	0	(0.5)
Mission Training						
Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	2.5	0	3.0	0	(0.5)

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Agreement State Regulatory Support Fee-Relief Category**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
	PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY					
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	2,888.2	28.1	2,743.5	35.2	144.7	(7.1)
TOTAL AGREEMENT STATE REGULATORY SUPPORT	2,888.2	28.1	2,743.5	35.2	144.7	(7.1)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$14,684		\$17,364		(\$2,680)	

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
In-situ Leach Facilities Rulemaking, Unregistered General Licensees, MOLY 99 and Fellowships Scholarships
Fee-Relief Category**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Research & Test Reactors						
Oversight	53	11.7	616	6.9	(563)	4.8
Research & Test Reactor Inspection	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	(1.0)
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	53	11.7	616	7.9	(563)	3.8
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	53	11.7	616	7.9	(563)	3.8
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Licensing Support	289	2.0	289	0.8	1	1.2
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Event Evaluation	0	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.2
Inspection	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	289	3.2	289	0.8	1	2.4
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Decommissioning Licensing Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Env. Reviews	500	1.6	0	0.0	500	1.6
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	0	6.8	0	0.0	0	6.8
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	1.4	240	1.5	(240)	(0.1)
Training						
Mission Training	102.2	0.0	0	0.0	102	0.0
Oversight						
Uranium Recovery Inspection	0	1.6	0	0.0	0	1.6
Total Direct Resources	602.2	11.4	240	1.5	362	9.9
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	891	14.6	529	2.3	363	12.3
PROGRAM: CORPORATE SUPPORT						
Outreach						
MSI Grants	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Integrated University Program	15,000	0.0	15,000	0.0	0	0.0
Outreach & Compliance Coord. Pgm.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Corporate Support	15,000	0.0	15,000	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL ISL/MOLY99/GENERAL LICENSEES/FELLOWSHIPS & SCHOLARSHIPS	15,944.2	26.3	16,144.5	10.2	(200)	16.1
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$26,984		\$20,381		\$6,603	

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Remediation of Non-Military Unlicensed Radium Sites

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Licensing						
Decomm. Licensing Actions	0	1.9	0	4.1	0	(2.2)
Oversight						
LLW Regulation & Oversight	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	0	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.8
Mission Training						
Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	2.7	0	4.1	0	(1.4)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	0	2.7	0	0.0	0	2.7
TOTAL GENERIC LOW LEVEL WASTE	0	2.7	0	4.1	0	(1.4)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$1,133		\$1,703		(\$570)	

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Department of Defense Remediation program MOU activities**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Licensing						
Decomm. Licensing Actions	400	2.8	0	2.8	0	0.0
Oversight						
LLW Regulation & Oversight	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	0	1.2	0	0.0	0	1.2
Mission Training						
Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	400	4.0	0	2.8	400	1.2
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	400	4.0	0	2.8	400	1.2
TOTAL GENERIC LOW LEVEL WASTE	400	4.0	0	2.8	400	1.2
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$2,079		\$1,163		\$916	

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Generic Decommissioning and Reclamation Fee-Relief Category**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Training						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
International Activities						
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	100	2.7
Licensing						
Decomm. Environmental Reviews	500	3.0	288	3.0	212	0.0
Decomm. Licensing Actions	439	19.5	1,063	24.3	(624)	(4.8)
Mission IT	62	0.0	45	0.0	17	0.0
Policy Outreach	0	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Environmental Reviews	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	0	1.0	200	2.0	(200)	(1.0)
Mission Training						
Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
Oversight						
Inspections	0	4.6	0	7.0	0	(2.4)
Research						
Waste Research	300	1.0	150	1.0	150	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	4.6	0	1.0	0	3.6
Total Direct Resources	1,301	35.2	1,746	38.3	(445)	(3.1)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
International Activities						
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	1,301	35.2	1,746	38.3	(445)	(3.1)
TOTAL GENERIC DECOMMISSIONING & RECLAMATION	1,301	35.2	1,746	38.3	(445)	(3.1)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$13,007		\$14,498		(\$1,491)	

All decommissioning resources for licensees other than Part 50 power reactors and Part 72 licensees—i.e., site specific + generic resources—are allocated to the 'generic decommissioning' Fee-Relief category. OCFO then subtracts from this total the estimated Part 170 decommissioning revenue from these licensees. By definition, what's left is 'generic.'

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Generic Low Level Waste Surcharge Category**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Oversight						
Mission IT	18	0.0	0	0.0	18	0.0
Total Direct Resources	18	0.0	0	0.0	18	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	18	0.0	0	0.0	18	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Licensing						
Policy Outreach	0	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.5
Oversight						
LLW Regulation & Oversight	111	5.0	136	5.0	(25)	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission Training						
Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	100	3.0	188	1.5	(88)	1.5
Rulemaking Support	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Total Direct Resources	211	8.5	324	7.5	(113)	1.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	211	8.5	324	7.5	(113)	1.0
TOTAL GENERIC LOW LEVEL WASTE	229	8.5	324	7.5	(95)	1.0
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$3,797		\$3,439		\$358	

Part 171 Annual Fees

Operating Power Reactors

Section III.B.2.a

Table VI

The budgeted costs to be recovered through annual fees to power reactors are divided equally among the 98 power reactors licensed to operate. This results in a FY 2019 annual fee of \$4,697,000 per reactor. Additionally, each power reactor licensed to operate would be assessed the FY 2019 spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning annual fee of \$163,000. This results in a total FY 2019 annual fee of \$4,860,000 for each power reactor licensed to operate.

Note: The NRC amended its licensing, inspection and annual fee regulations to establish a variable annual fee structure for light-water small modular reactors (SMR) on May 24, 2016. Under the variable annual fee structure, an SMR's annual fee would be calculated as a function of its licensed thermal power rating. This fee methodology complies with OBRA-90, as amended. Currently, there are no operating SMRs; therefore, the NRC will not propose an annual fee in FY 2019 for this type of licensee.

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				
	TOTAL		POWER REACTORS ALLOCATIONS	
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	113,923.0	1,863.0	78,044.9	1,406.9
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	18,921.0	464.0	121.7	3.4
CORPORATE	183,545.0	609.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,414.0	58.0		
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	317,803.0	2,994.0	78,166.6	1,410.3

Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)

(1) FY 2019 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (shown below)		670.2
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS		213.8
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)		456.4
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)		0.3
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocated)(equals 3+4)		456.7
(6) FY 2019 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocation) (equals 2+5)		670.4
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, import/export alloc, small entity)		86.61%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Surcharge		2.7
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee		0.03
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments		1.0
(11) Adjustments		0.000
(12) TOTAL FY 2019 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)		460.3
(13) Number of Licensees		98
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)		4.70
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$		4,696,903
rounded annual fee, actual \$		4,697,000
FTE FULLY COSTED RATE (average based on budget data, actual \$): See Determination of Hourly Rate for calculations	419,767	

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Power Reactors Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
International Cooperation						
Licensing	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Advanced Reactors	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Combined Licenses	0	7.0	0	1.0	0	6.0
Design Certification	1,840	59.0	2,408	68.0	(568)	(9.0)
Early Site Permit	480	14.0	1,380	17.0	(900)	(3.0)
EDO Operations	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fukushima NTTF	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
IT Infrastructure	1,451	0.0	0	0.0	1,451	0.0
Licensing Actions	150	22.0	325	23.0	(175)	(1.0)
Licensing Support	2,097	32.0	2,827	54.0	(730)	(22.0)
Mission IT	2,432	5.0	1,999	5.0	433	0.0
New Reactor Facilities	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	1.0	0	2.0	0	(1.0)
Operator Licensing	0	11.0	0	11.0	0	0.0
Pre-Application Reviews	0	9.0	0	6.0	0	3.0
Part 50	0	6.0	0	0.0	0	6.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	8.9	0	8.9	0	0.0
Construction Inspection	210	38.0	210	37.0	0	1.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	6	3.0	6	3.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Part 50	0	4.0	0	0.0	0	4.0
Security	600	4.0	600	4.0	0	0.0
Vendor Inspection	60	15.0	40	20.0	20	(5.0)
Research						
Adv. Reactors Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Long term Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
New Reactors Research	2,685	11.0	3,236	12.0	(551)	(1.0)
Rulemaking (PL)						
Rulemaking	0	9.0	100	7.0	(100)	2.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking Support	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	1,045	9.0	1,021	10.0	24	(1.0)
Mission IT	30	0.0	30	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	13,086	271.9	14,182	291.9	(1,096)	(20.0)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Event Response						
Mission IT	7,485	14.0	7,010	11.0	475	3.0
Other Response Activities	1,607	0.0	0	0.0	1,607	0.0
Response Operations	125	19.0	175	19.0	(50)	0.0
Response Program	0	15.0	0	15.0	0	0.0
International Activities						
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing						
EDO Operations	0	3.0	0	0.0	0	3.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	8.0	0	10.0	0	(2.0)
Generic Issues Program	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fukushima NTTF/Japan Lessons Learned	650	21.0	1,650	35.0	(1,000)	(14.0)
License Renewal	589	38.0	960	39.0	(371)	(1.0)
Licensing Actions	5,339	164.0	4,199	160.0	1,140	4.0
Licensing Support	4,456	59.0	3,956	55.0	500	4.0
Mission IT	150	0.0	244	0.0	(94)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	4.0	0	4.0	0	0.0
Operator Licensing	405	35.0	255	35.0	150	0.0
Policy Outreach	0	3.0	0	0.0	0	3.0
Research & Test Reactors	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
RIC	718	2.0	0	0.0	718	2.0
Security	250	13.0	750	13	(500)	0.0

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Power Reactors Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
International Activities						
International Cooperation						
Licensing	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness						
Environmental Reviews	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Licensing Support	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Security	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Storage Licensing	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Transportation Certification	0	1.0	0	1	0	0.0
Research	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Waste Research						
Rulemaking (PL)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Travel	0	0.4	293	0.8	(293)	(0.4)
Mission Travel	0	0.0				
Training						
Mission Training	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	1.4	293	1.8	(293)	(0.4)
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	121.7	3.4	451	4.0	(329)	(0.6)
TOTAL POWER REACTORS	78,166.6	1,410.3	77,114	1,427.2	1,053	(16.9)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	670,164		669,908		\$256	
<p>The budgetary resources allocated to Power Reactors Fee Class from Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety Program include (but are not limited to) activities pertaining to analysis, data collection, modeling future strategies for disposal of spent fuel and high level waste and monitoring developments in the evolving national waste management strategy. In addition to tribal program activities, dosimeter costs and materials training widely attended by all agency staff including inspectors benefitting numerous facets of the agency's mission.</p>						

**OPERATING POWER REACTOR ANNUAL FEE
FY 2019**

**NUMBER OF POWER REACTORS LICENSED TO OPERATE:
(by Nuclear Steam System Supplier & Design Type)**

Westinghouse	48
General Electric	33
Combustion Engineering	11
Babcock & Wilcox	<u>6</u>
TOTAL REACTORS	98

DETERMINATION OF ANNUAL FEE:

TOTAL BUDGETED COSTS FOR OPERATING POWER REACTORS (INCLUDES NON-FEE ACTIVITIES)	\$670,163,790
ANNUAL FEE PER REACTOR (rounded) (BUDGETED COSTS DIVIDED BY 98 OPERATING POWER REACTORS)	\$ 4,697,000
PLUS SPENT FUEL STORAGE/ REACTOR DECOMMISSIONING ANNUAL FEE	\$163,000
TOTAL ANNUAL FEE PER LICENSE	\$ 4,860,000

Part 171 Annual Fees

Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning

Section III.B.2.b

Table VII

For FY 2019, budgeted costs of approximately \$19.9 million for spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning are to be recovered through annual fees assessed to part 50 power reactors, and to part 72 licensees who do not hold a part 50 license. Those reactor licensees that have ceased operations and have no fuel onsite are not subject to these annual fees. The required annual fee recovery amount is divided equally among 122 licensees, resulting in a FY 2019 annual fee of \$163,000 per licensee.

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES					
				SPENT FUEL STORAGE/ REACTOR DECOMM. ALLOCATIONS	
				TOTAL	
		CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
		\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY		113,923.0	1,863.0	8.1	0.4
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)		18,921.0	464.0	2,757.2	77.8
CORPORATE		183,545.0	609.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)		1,414.0	58.0		
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE		317,803.0	2,994.0	2,765.3	78.2
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)					
(1) FY 2019 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (shown below)					35.6
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS					16.5
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)					19.1
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)					0.7
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocated)(equals 3+4)					19.9
(6) FY 2019 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocation) (equals 2+5)					36.3
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, import/export alloc, small entity)					4.69%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Surcharge					0.0
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee					0.00
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments					0.1
(11) Adjustments					0.000
(12) TOTAL FY 2019 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)					19.9
(13) Number of Licensees					122
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)					0.163
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$					163,213
rounded annual fee, actual \$					163,000

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.1	0	0.1	(0)	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0.0	0.1	0	0.1	(0)	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Training						
Business Process Improvement	0	0.1				
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.1	0	0.1	0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	1	0.1	1	0.2	0	(0.1)
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	7	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0
Research & Test Reactor Insp.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	8.1	0.3	2	0.3	6	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	8.1	0.4	2.0	0.4	6	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
EDO Operations	0	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.5
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.1	0	(0.1)
Enforcement	2	0.4	2	0.4	0	0.0
Inspection	6	0.0	6	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
State, Tribal and Federal Pro.						
Liaison	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	24	0.2	30	0.0	(6)	0.2
Total Direct Resources	31.7	1.1	37.7	0.5	(6)	0.6
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International						
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing						
Decommissioning Licensing Actions	0	6.0	0	1.0	0	5.0
IT Infrastructure	312	0.0	0	0.0	312	0.0
Oversight						
Inspection	0	6.4	0	6.3	0	0.1
Training						
Mission Training	183	0.0	240	0.0	(57)	0.0
Total Direct Resources	495	12.4	240	7.3	255	5.1
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing						
Emergency Preparedness	0	1	0	1	0	0.0
Environmental Reviews	117	6	2207	4	(2,090)	2.0
Fukushima NITF	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
IT Infrastructure	182.5	0	0	0	183	0.0
Licensing Actions	155	3	155	1	0	2.0
Licensing Support	553	8.8	468	11	85	(2.2)
Mission IT	257	0.6	344	0.6	(87)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources Allocated to
Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
Policy Outreach	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
Security	0	3	0	3	0	0.0
Storage Licensing	300	23	45	23	255	0.0
Transportation Certification	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Oversight						
Security	0	3	0	3	0	0.0
Inspection	0	8.5	0	8.5	0	0.0
Research						
Waste Research	615	2.0	730	2.0	(115)	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking (PL)	0	4.0	0	4.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking Support	0	0.4	32	0.8	(32)	(0.4)
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	51	0.0	15	0.0	36	0.0
Travel						
Mission Travel	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	2,230.5	64.3	3,996	62.9	(1,766)	1.4
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	2,757.2	77.8	4,273.7	70.7	(1,517)	7.1
TOTAL SPENT FUEL STORAGE & REACTOR DECOMM.	2,765.3	78.2	4,276	71.1	(1,510)	7.1
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$35,591		\$33,807		\$1,784	

**SPENT FUEL STORAGE/REACTOR DECOMMISSIONING
ANNUAL FEE
FY 2018**

LICENSES SUBJECT TO THE ANNUAL FEE:

Operating Power Reactor Licensees: 98

**Power Reactors in Decommissioning or Possession Only Status with
Fuel Onsite**

Reactor	Docket No.
Big Rock Point	50-155
Indian Point, Unit 1	50-003
Dresden, Unit 1	50-010
Haddam Neck	50-213
Humboldt	50-133
La Crosse	50-409
Maine Yankee	50-309
Millstone 1	50-245
Rancho Seco	50-312
San Onofre, Unit 1	50-206
Yankee Rowe	50-029
Zion 1	50-295
Zion 2	50-304
Crystal River 3	50-302
Kewaunee	50-305
San Onofre, Unit 2	50-361
San Onofre, Unit 3	50-362
Vermont Yankee	50-271
Fort Calhoun	50-285
Oyster Creek	50-219

**Total No. of Reactors in decommissioning or possession only status
with fuel onsite: 20**

Part 72 Licensees without a Part 50 License

Ft. St. Vrain	72-009
GE Morris	72-001
Foster Wheeler Environmental Corp.	72-025
Trojan	72-017

Total Part 72 licenses: 4

The annual fee is determined by dividing the total budgeted costs of approximately \$24.2 million (including the fee-relief activities) by the total number of licensees (122). This results in an annual fee (rounded) of \$198,000 per license.

Part 171 Annual Fees

Fuel Facilities

Section III.B.2.c

Table VIII

Table IX

Table X

The FY 2019 budgeted cost to be recovered in the annual fees assessment to the fuel facility class of licenses [which includes licensees in fee categories 1.A.(1)(a), 1.A.(1)(b), 1.A.(2)(a), 1.A.(2)(b), 1.A.(2)(c), 1.E., and 2.A.(1), under §171.16] is approximately \$24.8 million. This value is based on the full cost of budgeted resources associated with all activities that support this fee class, which is reduced by estimated part 170 collections and adjusted for allocated generic transportation resources, and the fee relief surcharge.

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				
	TOTAL		FUEL FACILITY ALLOCATIONS	
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	113,923.0	1,863.0	8.0	0.1
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	18,921.0	464.0	2,000.7	66.6
CORPORATE	183,545.0	609.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,414.0	58.0		
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	317,803.0	2,994.0	2,008.7	66.7
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)				
(1) FY 2019 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (shown below)				30.0
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS				7.2
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)				22.8
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)				1.3
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocated)(equals 3+4)				24.0
(6) FY 2019 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocation) (equals 2+5)				31.3
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, import/export alloc, small entity)				4.04%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Surcharge				0.8
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee				
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments				0.04
(11) Adjustments				0.000
(12) TOTAL FY 2019 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)				24.8
(13) Number of Licensees				
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)				
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				different for different categories of licenses; see other worksheets
rounded annual fee, actual \$				
FTE FULLY COSTED RATE (average based on budget data, actual \$): See Determination of Hourly Rate for calculations	419,767			

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for
Fuel Facilities Fee Class

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Research & Test Reactor Insp.	8.0	0.0	0	0.0	8	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Business Process Improvements	0	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.1
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	8.0	0.1	0	0.0	8	0.1
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	8.0	0.1	0	0.0	8	0.1
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Event Response						
Response Operations	30	2.0	30	2.0	0	0.0
International Activities						
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing						
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Environmental Reviews	0	0.0	300	1.0	(300)	(1.0)
Fukushima NTF	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Actions	955	23.0	412	27.0	543	(4.0)
Licensing Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Policy Outreach	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
Security	0	3.0	0	2.0	0	1.0
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	10	2.0	10	3.0	0	(1.0)
Inspection	0	25.0	0	30.0	0	(5.0)
IT Infrastructure	367	0.0	0	0.0	367	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Mission IT	9	0.0	0	0.0	9	0.0
Security	312	6.0	312	7.0	0	(1.0)
Research						
Longterm Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Materials Research	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking (PL)						
Rulemaking	0	4.0	23	7.0	(23)	(3.0)
Rulemaking support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	253	0.0	125	0.0	128	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	1,936.0	66.0	1,212	81.0	724	(15.0)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International Activities						
Multilateral/Bilateral	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing						
EDO Operations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	6	0.0	6	0.0	(0)	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
State Tribal and Federal Programs						
Liaison	0	0.4	0	0.5	0	(0.1)
Training						
Mission Training	43	0.2	53	0.2	(10.0)	0.0
Total Direct Resources	48.7	0.6	59.0	0.7	(10.3)	(0.1)

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for
Fuel Facilities Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Licensing						
Decomm Licensing Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
IT Infrastructure	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Env. Reviews	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	16	0.0	13	0.0	3	0.0
Oversight						
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	16.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	3	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Licensing						
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Environmental Reviews	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Storage Licensing	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Transportation Certification	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	2,000.7	66.6	1,284.0	81.7	717	(15.1)
TOTAL FUEL FACILITY	2,008.7	66.7	1,284	81.7	725	(15.0)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	30,007		35,218		(5,211)	

FUEL FACILITY ANNUAL FEES
FY 2019

Part 171 Amount	\$24,039,481
Less Billing Adjustment	44,443
Less Recision Adjustment	0
TOTAL	\$24,083,924

	<u>SAFETY</u>	<u>SAFEGUARDS</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>FEE-RELIEF</u>	<u>TOTAL ANNUAL FEE</u>
Allocation of Part 171 Amount to Safety/Safeguards	\$13,813,902	\$10,270,022	\$24,083,924	\$764,628	\$24,848,552

FEE CATEGORY	NUMBER OF LICENSES	EFFORT FACTORS					
		Safety		Safeguards		Total	
			%		%		%
1A(1)(a) SSNM (HEU)	2	88	48.1%	91	84.1%	179	53.8%
1A(1)(b) SNM (LEU)	3	70	36.8%	21	14.8%	91	27.3%
1A(2)(a) LIMITED OPS (Paducah)	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
1A(2)(b) OTHERS (Gas centrifuge enrichment demonstration)	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
1A(2)(c) OTHERS (hot cell facility)	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
1E ENRICHMENT	1	21	11.0%	23	16.2%	44	13.2%
2A(1) UF6 (Honeywell)	1	12	6.3%	7	4.0%	19	5.7%
TOTAL	7	191	57.4%	142	100%	333	100%

ALLOCATION to CATEGORY

Fee Category		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
						TOTAL ANNUAL FEE PER LICENSE	FY 2019 Annual Fee Rounded	FY 2018 Annual Fee	% Inc./Dec.	GRAND TOTALS
1A(1)(a) SSNM (HEU)	2	\$6,364,520	\$6,581,493	\$12,946,013	\$411,018	\$6,878,515	\$6,679,000	\$7,346,000	-9.1%	13,357,030
1A(1)(b) SNM (LEU)	3	5,062,697	1,518,808	6,581,493	\$208,952	\$2,263,482	\$2,263,000	\$2,661,000	-15.0%	6,790,445
1A(2)(a) LIMITED OPS (Paducah)	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	0
1A(2)(b) OTHERS (Gas centrifuge enrichment demonstration)	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	#DIV/0!	0
1A(2)(c) OTHERS (hot cell facility)	0	0	0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	#DIV/0!	0
1E ENRICHMENT	1	1,518,806	1,663,454	3,182,260	\$101,032	\$3,283,292	\$3,283,000	\$3,513,000	-6.5%	3,283,292
2A(1) UF6 (Honeywell)	1	867,899	506,269	1,374,168	\$43,627	\$1,417,785	\$1,418,000	\$1,517,000	-6.5%	1,417,785
TOTAL	7	\$13,813,902	\$10,270,022	\$24,083,924	\$764,628					0
										24,848,552

Cols 1 and 2= budgeted amounts x percent of total effort factor

Col 3 = Col 1 + Col 2

Col 4 = Total fee-relief x percent of total effort factor

Col 5 = Col 3 + Col 4 + Col 5 / number of licensees

**NRC FUEL CYCLE FACILITIES
FY 2019 ANNUAL FEES - EFFORT FACTOR MATRIX**

CATEGORY	LICENSEE	DOCKET	FEE CATEGORY	PROCESSES																SUBTOTALS		TOTAL				
				SOLID UF6/METAL		ENRICHMENT		LIQUID UF6		HEU DOWN BLEND		CONVERSION POWDER		PELLET		ROD/BUNDLE		SCRAP/WASTE		HOT CELL			SENSITIVE INFORMATION			
				S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG	S	SG		S	SG		
Fuel Fabrication (HEU)	BWXT (SNM-42)	70-00027	1A(1)(e)	10	10	0	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	10	5	5	5	10	5	1	1	1	10	47	46	93
	NFS (SNM-124)	70-00143	1A(1)(e)	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	10	5	0	0	1	10	41	45	86
Uranium Enrichment	LES (SNM-2010)	70-03103	1E	10	1	5	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	10	21	23	44
	Centrus ACP (SNM-2011)*	70-07004	1E	10	1	5	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	10	-	-	-
	Global Laser Enrich (SNM-2019)*	70-07016	1E	10	1	5	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	10	-	-	-
Fuel Fabrication (LEU)	Global Nuclear Fuels (SNM-1097)	70-01113	1A(1)(b)	5	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	5	1	5	1	1	1	5	1	0	0	1	1	24	7	31
	Framatome (SNM-1227)	70-01257	1A(1)(b)	5	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	1	5	1	1	1	5	1	0	0	1	1	23	7	30
	Westinghouse (SNM-1107)	70-01151	1A(1)(b)	5	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	1	5	1	1	1	5	1	0	0	1	1	23	7	30
UF6 Conversion	Honeywell (SUB-526)	40-03392	2A(1)	5	1	0	0	5	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	12	7	19
	International Isotopes (SUB-1011)	40-09086	2A(1)	5	1	0	0	5	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	-	-	-
Enrichment Demonstration	None		1A(2)(b)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hot Cell	None		1A(2)(c)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				TOTALS																191	142	333				

S = Safety
SG = Safeguards

HIGH = 10
MODERATE = 5
LOW = 1
NONE = 0

Changes from Prior Year:

No Changes: 3
New Addition: 0

Notes:

- Centrus ACP is licensed, but not proceeding with construction.
- Global Laser Enrichment is licensed, but not proceeding with construction.
- International Isotopes is licensed, but not proceeding with construction.
- The license for AREVA Eagle Rock was terminated.
- Global Nuclear Fuels effort factors for safeguards made consistent with other LEU facilities.
- NFS factors for Scrap/Waste changed to be consistent with BWXT.

** I hereby agree that the operating licenses noted above are in agreement with the operating and billable licenses in the Web-Based Licensing (WBL) system.


Division Director, FCSE

Part 171 Annual Fees

Uranium Recovery Facilities

Section III.B.2.d

Table XI

Table XII

Table XIII

Table XIV

The total FY 2019 budgeted cost to be recovered through annual fees assessed to the uranium recovery class [which includes licensees in fee categories 2.A.(2)(a), 2.A.(2)(b), 2.A.(2)(c), 2.A.(2)(d), 2.A.(2)(e), 2.A.(3), 2.A.(4), 2.A.(5) and 18.B., under § 171.16], is approximately \$170,000 (rounded).

Of the required annual fee collections, \$120,000 is assessed to DOE's Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act (UMTRCA) under fee category 18.B. The remaining \$49,000 (rounded) would be recovered through annual fees assessed to the other licensees in this fee class (i.e., conventional mills, in-situ recovery facilities, 11e.(2) mill tailings disposal facilities (incidental to existing tailings sites), and a uranium water treatment facility.)

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				
	TOTAL		URANIUM RECOVERY ALLOCATIONS	
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	113,923.0	1,863.0	0.0	0.0
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	18,921.0	464.0	160.8	2.2
CORPORATE	183,545.0	609.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,414.0	58.0		
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	317,803.0	2,994.0	160.8	2.2
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)				
(1) FY 2019 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (shown below)				1.1
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS				0.9
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)				0.2
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)				
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocated)(equals 3+4)				0.2
(6) FY 2019 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocation) (equals 2+5)				1.1
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, import/export alloc, small entity)				0.14%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Surcharge				0.0
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee				
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments				0.0
(11) Adjustments				0.000
(12) TOTAL FY 2019 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)				0.2
(13) Number of Licensees				
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)				different for different categories of licenses; see other worksheets
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				
rounded annual fee, actual \$				
FTE FULLY COSTED RATE (average based on budget data, actual \$): See Determination of Hourly Rate for calculations	419,767			

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for
Uranium Recovery Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
State Tribal and Federal Programs						
Agreement States	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Liaison	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Licensing						
Decommissioning Licensing Actions	0	0.8	0	0.8	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Envir. Reviews	100	0.4	1,946	7.0	(1,846)	(6.6)
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	60	0.7	60	14.0	0	(13.3)
Oversight						
Inspection	0	0.4	0	4.7	0	(4.3)
Mission Training						
Training	1	0.0	27	0.0	(26)	0.0
Total Direct Resources	161	2.3	2,033	26.5	(1,872)	(24.2)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	160.8	2.3	2,033	27.5	(1,872)	(25.2)
TOTAL URANIUM RECOVERY	161	2.3	2,033	27.5	(1,872)	(25.2)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$1,126		\$13,455		(\$12,329)	

**URANIUM RECOVERY ANNUAL FEES
FY 2019**

TOTAL ANNUAL FEE AMOUNT (excl. fee-relief adjustment):	TOTAL
TOTAL FEE-RELIEF ADJUSTMENT:	\$169,828
TOTAL:	-214
	<u>\$169,614</u>

**GROUP 1
Calculation of DOE Annual Fee**

Fee Category	contract \$	FTE	FTE Rate	Less: Part 170 Receipts	Total Fee
18.B. DOE UMRCA Budgeted Costs:	\$0	0.80			
10% x (Total Annual Fee Amount (excl. Fee-Relief) less UMRCA)			\$419,767	-\$220,825	\$114,988
10% of Fee-Relief Activities					\$5,484
					-\$21
					Total: \$120,451
					DOE's Annual Fee Rounded: \$120,000

**GROUP 2
Calculation of Annual Fee Amount for Remaining UR Licensees**

	FY 2019
	Total
Remaining Annual Fee Amount (excl. Fee-Relief Adjustment):	\$49,355
Remaining Fee Relief Adjustment (90%):	-\$192
Total:	<u>\$49,163</u>

CALCULATION OF ANNUAL FEE AMOUNTS BY CATEGORY:

Type of Site	Fee Category	(1) Number of Licenses	(2) Category Benefit	(3) Total Benefit Value	(4) Percent	(5) Total base annual fee
Conventional & Heap Leach Mills	2.A.(2)(a)	0	-	-	0%	\$0
Basic In-situ Recovery Facilities	2.A.(2)(b)	1	190	190	100%	\$49,355
Expanded In-situ Recovery Facilities	2.A.(2)(c)	0	-	-	0%	\$0
In-situ Recovery Resin Facilities	2.A.(2)(d)	0	-	-	0%	\$0
Resin Toll Milling Facilities	2.A.(2)(e)	0	-	-	0%	\$0
Facilities for Disposal of 11e(2) Materials	2.A.(3)	0	-	-	0%	\$0
Disposal Incident to Operation at Licensed Facilities	2.A.(4)	0	-	-	0%	\$0
Uranium Water Treatment Facility	2.A.(5)	0	-	-	0%	\$0
TOTAL		<u>1</u>	<u>190</u>	<u>190</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$49,355</u>

Annual Fee Per License			FY 2019 Annual Fee Rounded	FY18 Fee	% Inc./dec.	GRAND TOTAL
Base	Fee Relief	Total				
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$38,800	-100.00%	\$0
\$49,355	-\$192	\$49,163	\$49,200	\$49,200	0.00%	\$49,163
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,700	-100.00%	\$0
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$22,000	-100.00%	\$0
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,500	-100.00%	\$0

Col. 3= Col. 1 x Col. 2
 Col. 5= Col. 4 x Group 2 Total Base Fee
 Col. 6= Col. 5 / Col. 1
 Col. 7= Col. 4 x Group 2 Fee-Relief Adjustment Amount / Col. 1
 Col. 8= Col. 6 + Col. 7

	<u>\$49,163</u>
DOE	<u>\$120,451</u>
Total	<u>\$169,614</u>

URANIUM RECOVERY MATRIX OF REGULATORY BENEFIT BY CATEGORY OF LICENSEE

includes facilities in *operational status* (even if in standby); excludes possession only licensees
TO DETERMINE ANNUAL FEES FOR FY19 FEE RULE

Type of Site	Fee Category	No. of Licensees	TYPE OF OPERATING ACTIVITY						Total Score, all activities	Total Score, all Licensees per category	Percent total Annual Fee, per Licensee	
			Operations weight = 10		Waste Operations weight = 5		Groundwater Protection weight = 10					
			Benefit	Total Score (=benefit score * weight)	Benefit	Total Score (=benefit score * weight)	Benefit	Total Score (=benefit score * weight)				
Conventional and Heap Leach Mills	2(A)2a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0.0000	
Basic In Situ Recovery Facilities	2(A)2b	1	9	90	2	10	9	90	190	190	100%	1.0000
Expanded In Situ Recovery Facilities	2(A)2c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0.0000
In-situ Recovery Resin Facilities	2(A)2d	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0.0000
Resin Toll Milling Facilities	2(A)2e	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0.0000
Facilities for Disposal of 11e(2) Materials	2(A)3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0.0000
Disposal Incident to Operation at Licensed Facilities	2(A)4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0.0000
Uranium Water Treatment Facility	2(A)5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	0.0000
Grand Total												
									190		1.0000	
Level of Regulatory Benefit: Scale of 0 to 10 (examples):			Benefit factors under "Operations", "Waste Operations", and "Groundwater Protection" reflect the regulatory benefit to each licensee in the fee category from generic uranium recovery program activities.									
None	0											
Minor	2											
Some	5											
Significant	10											

Part 171 Annual Fees

Research and Test Reactors

Section III.B.2.e

Table XV

Approximately \$316,000 in budgeted costs is to be recovered through annual fees assessed to the research and test reactor class of licenses for FY 2019. This required annual fee recovery amount is divided equally among the four research and test reactors subject to annual fees, and results in a FY 2019 annual fee of \$79,000 for each licensee.

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				TEST AND RESEARCH REACTORS ALLOCATIONS	
				TOTAL	
		CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
		\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY		113,923.0	1,863.0	285.2	2.4
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)		18,921.0	464.0	0.5	0.0
CORPORATE		183,545.0	609.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)		1,414.0	58.0		
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE		317,803.0	2,994.0	285.7	2.4
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)					
(1) FY 2019 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (shown below)					1.293
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS					1.006
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)					0.287
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)					0.027
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocated)(equals 3+4)					0.314
(6) FY 2019 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocation) (equals 2+5)					1.320
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, import/export alloc, small entity)					0.17%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Surcharge					-0.0003
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee					-0.0001
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments					0.002
(11) Adjustments					0.000
(12) TOTAL FY 2019 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)					0.316
(13) Number of Licensees					4
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)					0.0790
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$					79,013
rounded annual fee, actual \$					79,000
FTE FULLY COSTED RATE (average based on budget data, actual \$): See Determination of Hourly Rate for calculations		419,767			

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for
Test and Research Reactors Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Construction Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Part 50	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Vendor Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Licensing						
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Generic Issues Program	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Japan Lessons Learned	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
License Renewal	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Operator Licensing	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Research & Test Reactors	260	2	384	3.6	(124)	(1.6)
Security	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	0	0.4	0	0.0	0	0.4
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Research & Test Reactor Insp.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking (PL)	0	0.0	0	0.3	0	(0.3)
Training						
Mission Training	25	0.0	4	0.0	21	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	285	2.4	389	3.9	(104)	(1.5)
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	285	2.4	389	3.9	(104)	(1.5)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Oversight						
Inspection						
Training	1	0.0	1	0.0	(1)	0.0
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	1	0.0	1	0.0	(1)	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
<i>PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:</i>						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	1	0.0	1	0.0	(1)	0.0
TOTAL TEST & RESEARCH REACTORS	285.7	2.4	389	3.9	(103)	(1.5)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$1,293		\$2,009		(\$716)	

TEST AND RESEARCH REACTOR ANNUAL FEE

FY 2019 FEE RULE

DETERMINATION OF THE FY 2019 ANNUAL FEE:

TEST AND RESEARCH REACTORS SUBJECT TO ANNUAL FEES (See note)

	License No.	Docket No.
1. Dow Chemical - TRIGA MARK I	R-108	50-264
2. AEROTEST	R-98	50-228
3. GE, NTR	R-33	50-73
4. NIST	TR-5	50-184

DETERMINATION OF ANNUAL FEE

BUDGETED COSTS

\$316,054

ANNUAL FEE PER LICENSE (rounded)

(Budgeted costs divided by number of test and research reactor licensees subject to annual fee)

\$81,300

NOTE: Does not include License R-38 (TRIGA MARK I); Docket No. 50-89, issued to General Atomics. License R-38 was amended in 1997 to authorize possession only.

Part 171 Annual Fees

Rare Earth Facilities

Section III.B.2.f

During FY 2016 NRC did receive an application under the Rare Earth fee class 2.A. (2)(f). However, no FY 2019 budgetary resources were allocated to this fee class, and did not require an annual fee to be established.

NRC revised the fee category for this fee class from 2.A.(2)(c) to 2.A.(2)(f) in FY 2009.

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				
	TOTAL		RARE EARTH ALLOCATIONS	
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	113,923.0	1,863.0	0.0	0.0
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	18,921.0	464.0	0.0	0.0
CORPORATE	183,545.0	609.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,414.0	58.0		
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	317,803.0	2,994.0	0.0	0.0
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)				
(1) FY 2019 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (shown below)				0.00
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS				0.00
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)				0.00
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)				
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocated)(equals 3+4)				0.00
(6) FY 2019 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocation) (equals 2+5)				0.00
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, import/export alloc, small entity)				0.00%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Surcharge				0.000
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee				
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments				0.000
(11) Adjustments				0.0000
(12) TOTAL FY 2019 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)				0.0000
(13) Number of Licensees				
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)				different for different categories of licenses; see other worksheets
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				
rounded annual fee, actual \$				
FTE FULLY COSTED RATE (average based on budget data, actual \$): See Determination of Hourly Rate for calculations	419,767			

Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for Rare Earth Fee Class

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Decommissioning Licensing Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Envir. Reviews	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Oversight						
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission Training						
Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL RARE EARTH	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$0		\$0.0		\$0	

Part 171 Annual Fees

Materials Users

Section III.B.2.g

Table XVI

The following fee categories under §171.16 are included in this fee class: 1.C., 1.D., 1.F., 2.B., 2.F., 3.A. through 3.S., 4.A. through 4.C., 5.A., 5.B., 6.A., 7.A. through 7.C., 8.A., 9.A. through 9.D., 16, and 17. The annual fee for these categories of materials users licenses is developed as follows:

Annual fee = Constant x [Application Fee + (Average Inspection Cost / Inspection Priority)] + Inspection Multiplier x (Average Inspection Cost / Inspection Priority) + Unique Category Costs.

To equitably and fairly allocate the \$36.5 million in FY 2019 budgeted costs to be recovered in annual fees assessed to the approximately 2,600 diverse materials users licensees, the NRC continues to calculate the annual fees for each fee category within this class based on the 10 CFR part 170 application fees and estimated inspection costs for each fee category. Because the application fees and inspection costs are indicative of the complexity of the material license, this approach provides a proxy for allocating the generic and other regulatory costs to the diverse fee categories. This fee calculation method also considers the inspection frequency (priority), which is indicative of the safety risk and resulting regulatory costs associated with the categories of licenses.

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				
	TOTAL		MATERIALS ALLOCATIONS	
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	113,923.0	1,863.0	39.0	0.1
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	18,921.0	464.0	638.4	84.1
CORPORATE	183,545.0	609.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,414.0	58.0		
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	317,803.0	2,994.0	677.4	84.2
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)				
(1) FY 2019 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (shown below)				36.0
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS				1.0
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)				35.0
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)				1.3
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocated)(equals 3+4)				36.3
(6) FY 2019 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocation) (equals 2+5)				37.3
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, import/export alloc, small entity)				3.78%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Surcharge				0.2
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee				
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments				0.0
(11) Adjustments				0.000
(12) TOTAL FY 2019 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)				36.5
(13) Number of Licensees				
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)				different for different categories of licenses; see other worksheets
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				
rounded annual fee, actual \$				
FTE FULLY COSTED RATE (average based on budget data, actual \$): See Determination of Hourly Rate for calculations	419,767			

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for
Materials Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Oversight						
Mission IT	13	0.0	0	0.0	13	0.0
Training						
Business Process Improvements	0	0.1	18	0.0	(18)	0.1
Mission Training	26	0.0	18	0.0	8	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	39.0	0.1	18	0.0	21	0.1
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety	39.0	0.1	18	0.0	21	0.1
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Training						
Mission Training	38	0.0	19	0.0	19	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	38	0.0	19	0.0	19	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Event Response						
Response Operations	0	0.3	0	0.3	0	0.0
Response Programs	0	0.3	0	0.3	0	0.0
International Activities						
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing						
EDO Operations	0	0.5	27	24.1	(27)	(23.6)
Licensing Actions	13	30.7	27	24.1	(14)	6.6
Licensing Support	45	0.0	45	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	20	0.0	50	0.0	(30)	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	4.0	0	2.0	0	2.0
Policy Outreach	0	1.0	0	0.0	0	1.0
Security	0	1.0	0	1.0	0	0.0
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0.0	10.3	0	11.0	0	(0.7)
Enforcement	41.1	12.0	41	10.0	0	2.0
Event Evaluation	140.0	1.9	188	3.0	(48)	(1.1)
Inspection	1.2	17.9	1	17.4	0	0.5
IT Infrastructure	99.1	0.0	0	0.0	99	0.0
Mission IT	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Research						
Materials Research	0	0.3	0	0.3	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking	0	3.1	0	3.7	0	(0.6)
Rulemaking Support	0	0.3	0	0.8	0	(0.5)
State Tribal and Federal Programs						
Agreement States	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Liaison	0	0.0	0	0.1	0	(0.1)
Travel	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	167	0.5	208	0.7	(41)	(0.2)
NSPDP Training	0	0.0	0	1.0	0	(1.0)
Total Direct Resources	526.4	84.1	559.0	75.7	(32.6)	8.4
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Decommissioning Licensing Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Uranium Recovery Lic. Actions	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission Training						
Training	64	0.0	20	0.0	44	0.0
Total Direct Resources	64	0	20	0.0	44	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for
Materials Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Licensing						
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Environmental Reviews	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing Support	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Storage Licensing	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Transportation Certification	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission Training						
Training	10	0.0	0	0.0	10	0.0
Total Direct Resources	10	0.0	0	0.0	10	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	638.4	84.1	598	75.7	40	8.4
TOTAL MATERIAL USERS	677.4	84.2	616	75.7	61	8.5
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class (mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$36,022		\$32,058		\$3,964	

REBASELINE	FY 2019 Materials Users Annual Fees															11/29/2018			
DEVICE, PRODUCT, OR SEALED SOURCE SAFETY EVALUATION:																			
0A. Device/Product Safety Evaluation - Broad	0	05	0	05.0	10,800	5	1028000	0	14337	0	14,337	-3	14,334	1382	1382				
0B. Device/Product Safety Evaluation - Other	0	4	0	4.0	8,000	5	38000	0	11048	0	11,848	-2	11,845	48	48	0	20		
0C. Sealed Sources Safety Evaluation - Broad	0	31	0	31.0	5,300	5	184300	0	7038	0	7,038	-1	7,034	218	218	12	12		
0D. Sealed Sources Safety Evaluation - Other	0	8	0	8.0	1,100	5	8000	0	1480	0	1,480	0	1,480	13	13	0	0		
OTHER LICENSES:																			
17. Member Material License	0	3	0	3.0	110,400	123,000	2	517050	185850	228787	11047	89378	320,222	491	-40	320,867	888	888	
TOTAL	0.0	2594.0	0.0	2594.0			21568040	6208840			1432908			38348	38544	658	258	8,021,100	
FTE RATE:	\$418,787																	8,021,100	Med
																		49,000	Uranium recovery 2A2b
																		8,087,100	
																		816	
																		31.46%	% of total Materials Users Licenses
Calculation of UNIQUE (generic activities related to specific fee categories):																			
UNIQUE ACTIVITIES IDENTIFIED FOR FY 2019																			
Total budgeted resources (FY 2019 unique activities Part 95 Implementation)	4.8 (FTE) \$0.00 (CONTRACT COSTS)																		
Total cost (FTE/FTE rate + any contract costs)	\$2,014,881																		
Percent of NRC materials licenses to the total materials licenses	11%																		
Amount allocated to NRC materials licenses (% x total cost)	\$220,881																		
No. of affected NRC licenses (for FY 2018, Cols. 7A, 7B, & 7C, + those medical under Member Matri Licenses)	620.0																		
Unique per license:	\$251																		
Total Part 171 (annual fee) amount, excluding fee-rate costs:	\$38,348,893																		
Inspection Amount (budgeted costs for materials inspections):	FTE	FTE Rate														Total			
	17.8	\$418,787	= \$7,513,827													\$1,200			
			= \$7,515,027																
LLW Surcharge Amount (see FEE-RELIEF ACTIVITIES Sheet for further details):																			
Total LLW surcharge to be recovered:	\$3,797,778																		
Percentage to be recovered from materials licenses:	6.3%																		
Amount to be recovered from materials licenses:	\$201,282																		
No. of affected licenses:	410.0																		
LLW Surcharge per license:	\$491																		
Other Fee-Relief Amount (see FEE-RELIEF ACTIVITIES Sheet for further details):																			
Total other fee-relief to be recovered:	-\$162,833																		
Percentage to be recovered from materials licenses:	3.6%																		
Amount to be recovered from materials licenses:	-\$5,771																		
	\$K	\$K	\$K	\$K															
TOTAL GENERAL = TOTAL Part 171 amount less INSPECTION less UNIQUE:	38,348	-7,515	-201	=	28,803														
ANNUAL FEE MULTIPLIER = TOTAL GENERAL / Total of Col of Gen. Multiple col:	28,803 /	21,548	=	1.33															
INSPECTION MULTIPLIER = INSPECTION AMOUNT / Total Col of Insp. Multiple col:	\$7,515,027 /	5,209	=	1.44															
FEE-RELIEF MULTIPLIER = Fee-Relief amount to be adjusted for materials licenses / total of Col of Gen. Multiple col:	-\$5,771 /	21,548	=	-0.0003															
COL (5) = COL (1) * (COL (2) + COL (3) / COL (4))																			
COL (6) = COL (1) * (COL (3) / COL (4))																			
COL (7) = GENERAL MULTIPLIER * (COL (2) + COL (3) / COL (4))																			
COL (8) = (UNIQUE COSTS) / (NO. OF APPLICABLE LICENSES)																			
COL (9) = INSPECTION MULTIPLIER * (COL 3) / COL (4)																			
COL (10) = COL (7) + COL (8) * COL (9)																			
COL (11) = LLW SURCHARGE = % Affected * LLW Costs / # affected Licenses																			
COL (12) = FEE-RELIEF MULTIPLIER * (COL (2) + (COL (3) / COL (4)))																			
COL (13) = COL (10) + COL (11) * COL (12)																			
COL (14) = [COL (1) * COL (10)] / 1000																			
COL (15) = [COL (1) * COL (13)] / 1000																			

ANNUAL FEE CALCULATION FOR AGREEMENT STATE USE ONLY

**FY 2019
Annual Fee
(Rounded)**

License Fee Category	Part 170 Fees(\$)			Calc. of General	Calc. of Insp.	Part 171 Base Fee Per License (\$)							Total Exact Annual		
	Insp.	Insp.	Prior.			Multiple	Multiple	General	Inspection	Base Fee per license	Adjustment per License			Fee per license	
											Appl.	LLW Surcharge			Fee-Relief
				(No. of licenses x (Appl fee + insp fee/insp priority))	(No. of licenses x insp fee/insp priority)	Annual fee multiplier*(Appl fee + insp fee/insp priority) annual fee multiplier of 1.33	Inspection multiplier*(Insp fee/insp priority)/insp. multiplier of 1.44	(General+ Inspection)	(Total Materials LLW Surcharge/ no. of affected licenses)	(Fee-Relief multiplier x (appl fee+insp fee/insp priority)See below for calculation of fee-relief multi.)	(Total Base Fee+ LLW Surcharge + Fee-Relief)				
NUCLEAR LAUNDRY:															
6A. Nuclear Laundry	22,200	6,000	3	24200	2000	32,164	2888	35,052	510	-12	35550	35,550	35,600		

Part 171 Annual Fees

Transportation

Section III.B.2.h

Table XVII

Table XVIII

Consistent with the policy established in the NRC's FY 2006 final fee rule, the NRC will recover generic transportation costs unrelated to DOE as part of existing annual fees for license fee classes. NRC will continue to assess a separate annual fee under §171.16, fee category 18.A., for DOE transportation activities.

The resources associated with generic transportation activities are distributed to the license fee classes based on the number of Certificates of Compliance (CoCs) benefiting (used by) that fee class, as a proxy for the generic transportation resources expended for each fee class. The amount of the generic resources allocated is calculated by multiplying the percentage of total CoCs used by each fee class (and DOE) by the total generic transportation resources to be recovered.

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES				
	TOTAL		TRANSPORTATION ALLOCATIONS	
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	113,923.0	1,863.0	2.1	0.2
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	18,921.0	464.0	463.5	17.8
CORPORATE	183,545.0	609.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,414.0	58.0		
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	317,803.0	2,994.0	465.6	18.0
Figures below in \$, M (unless otherwise indicated)				
(1) FY 2019 ALLOCATIONS: equals \$, K + FTE*FTE rate (shown below)				8.0
(2) LESS ESTIMATED PART 170 FEE COLLECTIONS				3.3
(3) PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (equals 1 - 2)				4.7
(4) GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES (allocated)				-3.6
(5) NET PART 171 ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocated)(equals 3+4)				1.2
(6) FY 2019 TOTAL ALLOCATIONS (after transportation allocation) (equals 2+5)				4.5
(7) % OF BUDGET (% total allocations, excl. fee-relief activities, import/export alloc, small entity)				0.575%
(8) Fee-Relief Adjustment (includes small entity) + LLW Surcharge				0.0
(9) Fee-Relief Adjustment and LLW Surcharge per licensee				
(10) Part 171 billing adjustments				0.0
(11) Adjustments				0.000
(12) TOTAL FY 2019 ANNUAL FEE (equals 5+8+10+11)				1.2
(13) Number of Licensees				1
(14) Fee Per License (equals 12/13)				1.169001
				(DOE's fee)
unrounded annual fee amount per license, actual \$				1,169,001
rounded annual fee, actual \$				1,169,000
FTE FULLY COSTED RATE (average based on budget data, actual \$): See Determination of Hourly Rate for calculations	419,767			

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for
Transportation Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NEW REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Oversight						
Enforcement						
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: OPERATING REACTORS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Business Process Improvements	0	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	1	0.1	1	0.2	0	(0.1)
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	1	0.0	1	0.0	(0)	0.0
Research & Test Reactor Insp.	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0.0
	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Reactor Safety						
	2	0.2	2	0.2	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: FUEL FACILITIES						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Training						
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NSDP Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: NUCLEAR MATERIALS USERS						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Oversight						
Allegations & Investigations	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Enforcement	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
Event Evaluation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Inspection	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Mission IT	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Rulemaking	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
State Tribal and Federal Programs	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Agreement States	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Liaison	0	0.4	0	0.5	0	(0.1)
Training	19	0.2	24	0.2	(5)	0.0
Mission Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Business Process Improvements	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	20	0.8	25	0.9	(5)	(0.1)
	20	0.8	25	0.9	(5)	(0.1)
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: DECOMMISSIONING AND LOW LEVEL WASTE						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
Mission Training						
Training	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND WASTE SAFETY						
BUSINESS LINE: SPENT FUEL STORAGE AND TRANSPORTATION						
PRODUCT LINE/PRODUCTS:						
International						
International Cooperation	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Licensing						
Emergency Preparedness	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Environmental Reviews	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Fukushima NTTF	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
IT Infrastructure	183	0.0	0	0.0	183	0.0
Licensing Support	0	2.2	0	3.0	0	(0.8)
Mission IT	219	0.4	293	0.4	(74)	0.0
Policy Outreach	0	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Storage Licensing	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

**Mission Direct Budgeted Resources for
Transportation Fee Class**

	FY19		FY18		Difference	
	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE	Contract (\$,K)	FTE
PROGRAM: NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY						
Transportation Certification						
Oversight	5	10.7	0	10.7	5	0.0
Inspection	0	1.5	0	1.5	0	0.0
Rulemaking						
Rulemaking (PL)	0	1.2	0	1.4	0	(0.2)
Security	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Training						
Mission Training	37	0.0	26	0.0	11	0.0
NSPDP Training	0	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.5
Travel						
Mission Travel	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total Direct Resources	444	17.0	319	17.0	125	0.0
Grand Total Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety	463.5	17.8	344	17.9	120	(0.1)
TOTAL TRANSPORTATION	465.6	18.0	346	18.1	120	(0.1)
Total value of budgeted resources for fee class(mission direct FTE x full cost of FTE + mission direct contract \$)	\$8,021		\$7,864		\$157	

TRANSPORTATION ANNUAL FEES

FY 2019

The total transportation budgeted costs of \$4,734,403 to be recovered from annual fees (not including fee-relief adjustments) is to be obtained from two sources:

1. Department of Energy (DOE)—has own annual fee (fee category 18A)
2. Other licensees (included in their annual fees)

Distribute these costs to DOE and the fee classes based on the percentage of CoCs benefitting (used) per fee class:

Fee Class	# CoCs	% CoCs	Transportation Resources to be included in annual fees	Resources in Millions
DOE	22.00	24.6%	\$1,163,554	\$1.2
Operating Reactors	5.00	5.6%	\$264,444	\$0.3
Spent fuel/reactor decom	14.00	15.6%	\$740,444	\$0.7
T&R reactors	0.52	0.6%	\$27,297	\$0.0
Fuel Facilities	24.00	26.8%	\$1,269,332	\$1.3
Materials Users	24.00	26.8%	\$1,269,332	\$1.3
Total	89.52	100.0%	\$4,734,403	\$4.7

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Section IV.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), as amended 5 U.S.C. § 601 *et seq.*, requires that agencies consider the impact of their rulemakings on small entities and, consistent with applicable statutes, consider alternatives to minimize these impacts on the businesses, organizations, and government jurisdictions to which they apply.

Additionally, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) requires all Federal agencies to prepare a written compliance guide for each rule for which the agency is required to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis. Therefore, in compliance with the law, the NRC has made publicly available via ADAMS the "FY 2019 Small Entity Compliance Guide".

Licensees may use this guide to determine whether they qualify as a small entity under NRC regulations and are eligible to pay reduced FY 2019 annual fees assessed under 10 CFR part 171. The NRC has established two tiers of annual fees for those materials licensees who qualify as small entities under the NRC's size standards.

Note: Using the FY 2009 calculation method Implemented to Determine Upper Tier Small Entity Fee Each Biennial Year To Be 39 % Of The Prior Two-year Weighted Average Of Small Materials Users Fees.

	1D	2B	2C	2E	2F	3A	3B	3C	3E	3G	3H	3I	3J	3K	3M
2016 small entities	7	1	4	1	2	0	7	13	0	0	10	17	1	0	16
2017 small entities	6	1	4	1	4	0	7	12	0	0	7	11	1	0	13
2017 Total # of Licensees	43	10	18	1	39	3	32	37	59	7	33	69	6	3	85
	13.95%	10.00%	22.22%	100.00%	10.26%	0.00%	21.88%	32.43%	0.00%	0.00%	21.21%	15.94%	16.67%	0.00%	15.29%
2016 Fee	\$8,100	\$3,600	\$6,800	\$8,300	\$7,700	\$30,500	\$12,800	\$13,500	\$10,000	\$107,900	\$12,300	\$18,200	\$4,700	\$3,500	\$12,300
2017 Fee	\$8,600	\$3,400	\$5,500	\$8,000	\$9,400	\$30,500	\$11,600	\$12,900	\$10,800	\$95,700	\$11,800	\$16,300	\$4,600	\$3,300	\$14,800

Implementing this method in FY 2019 resulted in a 13 percent and 6% increase from the previous year small entity.

	Prior Year	21% ceiling	Increase	Rounded Fee											
Top	\$ 4,100	21%	\$861	\$5,000											
Lower	\$ 850	21%	179	\$1,000											
	\$56,700	\$3,600	\$27,200	\$8,300	\$15,400	\$0	\$89,600	\$175,500	\$0	\$0	\$123,000	\$309,400	\$4,700	\$0	\$196,800
	\$51,600	\$3,400	\$22,000	\$8,000	\$37,600	\$0	\$81,200	\$154,800	\$0	\$0	\$82,600	\$179,300	\$4,600	\$0	\$192,400

3N	3O	3P	3S	4B	4C	5A	7A	7C	9A	9C	Total	Weighted Average	2-year Weighted Average	39% of 2-year weighted average
19	29	272	2	5	0	6	1	198	19	7	637			
16	26	227	2	4	1	4	1	167	18	9	542			
61	74	992	18	14	1	25	12	809	73	26	2550			
26.23%	35.14%	22.88%	11.11%	28.57%	100.00%	16.00%	8.33%	20.64%	24.66%	34.62%	21.25%			
\$21,100	\$26,000	\$7,900	\$30,800	\$21,900	\$14,800	\$14,500	\$24,700	\$13,200	\$7,900	\$7,600		\$11,638		
\$21,200	\$26,000	\$7,900	\$30,900	\$22,000	\$14,800	\$14,500	\$24,700	\$13,300	\$7,900	\$7,600		\$11,633	\$11,636	\$4,538

Rounded Prior Year

\$4,500	4100	13%
\$900	850	6%

\$400,900	\$754,000	\$2,148,800	\$61,600	\$109,500	\$0	\$87,000	\$24,700	\$2,613,600	\$150,100	\$53,200	\$7,413,600	\$11,638
\$339,200	\$676,000	\$1,793,300	\$61,800	\$88,000	\$14,800	\$58,000	\$24,700	\$2,221,100	\$142,200	\$68,400	\$6,305,000	\$11,632.84

Budget Authority (FY 2019)

The table below delineates where the *major* portion of a Business Line's direct budgetary resources are allocated when calculating 10 CFR Part 171 fees for a license fee class. The indirect portion of a Business Line (e.g. Training, Travel, Mission Support and Supervisors), as well as Corporate Support and Inspector General budgetary resources, are distributed among all license fee classes.

CROSSWALK OF BUSINESS LINES' ALLOCATION TO FEE CLASSES*

Business Line	License Fee Class
Operating Reactors	Power Reactors, Test and Research Reactors, Import/Export
New Reactors	Power Reactors
Fuel Facilities	Fuel Facilities
Nuclear Materials Users	Materials Users, Import/Export
Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning, Transportation
Decommissioning and Low-level Waste	Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning, Uranium Recovery

**Delineates where the major portion of a Business Line's direct budgetary resources are allocated for a license fee class. Does not include fee-relief allocation. NRC does not have licensees under the Rare Earth fee class.*

More information about 10 CFR Part 170 and 10 CFR Part 171 can be found at NRC's public website: <http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/regulatory/licensing/fees.html>.

Budget Authority (FY 2019)

FY 2019 Budget Summary by Program

This report is provided as supplemental information. It provides a summary of the FY 2019 budgeted FTE and contract dollars allocated to each fee class and fee-relief/surcharge activities at the Program level. The Programs include: 1) Nuclear Reactor Safety, 2) Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety, 3) Corporate Support, and 4) Inspector General.

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES

	TOTAL		POWER REACTORS ALLOCATIONS		SPENT FUEL STORAGE/ REACTOR DECOMM. ALLOCATIONS		TEST AND RESEARCH REACTORS ALLOCATIONS		FUEL FACILITY ALLOCATIONS		MATERIALS ALLOCATIONS	
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	113,023.0	1,683.0	78,044.9	1,408.9	8.1	0.4	285.2	2.4	8.0	0.1	39.0	0.1
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	18,921.0	484.0	121.7	3.4	2,767.2	77.8	0.5	0.0	2,000.7	68.6	838.4	84.1
CORPORATE	183,545.0	609.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
INSPECTOR GENERAL (no DNSFB)	1,414.0	58.0										
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	317,803.0	2,994.0	78,166.6	1,410.3	2,765.3	78.2	285.7	2.4	2,008.7	68.7	677.4	84.2

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES

	TOTAL		TRANSPORTATION ALLOCATIONS		URANIUM RECOVERY ALLOCATIONS		RARE EARTH ALLOCATIONS		IMPORT/EXPORT ALLOCATIONS		INCLUDED IN FEE-RELIEF ACTIVITIES	
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	113,023.0	1,863.0	2.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	18,921.0	464.0	463.5	17.8	160.8	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16,732.7	27.0
CORPORATE	183,545.0	609.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7,550.2	120.1
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,414.0	58.0									0.0	0.0
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	317,803.0	2,994.0	465.6	18.0	160.8	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23,282.9	148.0

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES

	TOTAL		INCLUDED IN PROFESSIONAL HOURLY & FTE RATE (overhead)		NONPROFIT ED. EXEMPTION		INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES		AGREEMENT STATE OVERSIGHT		AGREEMENT STATE REG SUPPORT	
	CONTRACT	FTE	CONTRACT	FTE	CONTRACT	FTE	CONTRACT	FTE	CONTRACT	FTE	CONTRACT	FTE
	\$,K		\$,K		\$,K		\$,K		\$,K		\$,K	
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	113,923.0	1,863.0	19,803.0	425.0								
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	18,921.0	484.0	5,228.0	92.0	615.7	16.0	0.0	0.0	46.0	0.2	0.0	0.0
CORPORATE	183,545.0	609.0	183,545.0	609.0	20.8	4.2	0.0	0.0	1,838.0	22.7	2,888.2	28.1
INSPECTOR GENERAL(no DNSFB)	1,414.0	58.0	1,414.0	58.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	317,803.0	2,994.0	209,690.0	1,184.0	636.5	20.2	0.0	0.0	1,884.0	22.9	2,888.2	28.1

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES

	TOTAL		ISL RULE/ GEN LICENSEES/ FELLOWSHIPS		GENERIC DECOMMISS/ RECLAMATION		MILITARY RADIUM 220		PUBLIC RADIUM 220		GENERIC LLW		BUDGET SUM		ARI & WIR	
	CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT		CONTRACT	
	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE	\$,K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	113,923.0	1,883.0	16,053.0	11.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	113,923.0	1,883.0	5802.0	24
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	18,921.0	484.0	891.2	14.7	1,301.0	35.2	400.0	4.0	0.0	2.7	211.0	8.5	18,921.0	484.0	550.0	4
CORPORATE	183,545.0	809.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	183,545.0	809.0	0.0	0
INSPECTOR GENERAL (no DNSFB)	1,414.0	58.0											1,414.0	58.0		
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	317,803.0	2,994.0	15,944.2	26.4	1,301.0	35.2	400.0	4.0	0.0	2.7	229.0	8.5	317,803.0	2,994.0	6,352.0	28.0

FY 2019 MISSION DIRECT BUDGETED RESOURCES						
	TOTAL		Generic HLS BFS		International Activities	
	CONTRACT \$K	FTE	CONTRACT \$K	FTE	CONTRACT \$K	FTE
NUCLEAR REACTOR SAFETY	113,023.0	1,663.0	150.0	8		
NUCLEAR MATERIALS & WASTE SAFETY (no HLW/Gen Fund)	18,021.0	464.0	6648.0	18	120.0	24
CORPORATE	183,545.0	608.0	0.0	0	8155.0	29
INSPECTOR GENERAL (no DNSFB)	1,414.0	58.0				
SUBTOTAL - FEE BASE RESOURCE	317,803.0	2,994.0	9,798.0	26.0	8275.0	63

Budget Authority (FY 2019)

FY 2019 Budget by Product Line

These reports are provided as supplemental information. They provide a summary of the FY 2019 budgeted FTE and contract dollars by Product Line and allocated by: 1) the Nuclear Reactor Safety Program and the Nuclear Materials & Waste Safety Program, 2) Corporate Support, 3) Inspector General, by each office with mission direct budgeted resources.

The offices include:

- Office of Inspector General
- Office of Research
- Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations
- Office of New Reactors
- Regional Offices
- Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
- Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response
- Office of General Counsel
- Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards
- Office of International Programs
- Office of Enforcement
- Office of Investigations
- Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
- Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer
- Office of Administration

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

			Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes			
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE
Inspector General	Inspector General (IG)	Inspector General (PL)	1,414	58	1,414	58
Grand Total			1,414	58	1,414	58

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF RESEARCH

OFFICE		RES	Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes		Power Reactors Contract (\$K)	Power Reactors FTE	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. Contract (\$K)	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. FTE	Fee Relief Contract (\$K)	Fee Relief FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$K)	Total FTE								
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Nuclear Materials Users	Research	0	1					0	0.7		
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Research	615	2			615	2	0	0		
		Travel (PL)	15	0					0	0	15	
Nuclear Reactor Safety	Decommissioning and LLW	Research	300	1					0	0		
	New Reactors	Licensing	50	1	50	1			300	1		
		Research	2685	11	2,685	11			0	0		
		Travel (PL)	25	0					0	0		25
		PL-M - Support Staff	0	1					0	0		
	New Reactors Total		2760	13	2,735	12			0	0	25	1
Operating Reactors	International Activities		0	3					0	0		1
	Research		22141	132	22,141	132			0	0		
	Travel (PL)		888	0					0	0		
	Operating Reactors Total		23365	183	22,241	144			0	0	888	36
	Integrated University Program (BL)	Integrated University Program (PL)	15000	0					15,000	0	1074	
	Integrated University Program (BL) Total		15000	0					15,000	0		
Advanced Reactors	Research		3773	7					15,000	0		
	PL-M - Mission Support & Supervisors		0	1					0	0		
	Advanced Reactors Total		3773	8					0	0		
Nuclear Reactor Safety Total			44898	204	24,976	156			0	0		
Grand Total			45828	208	24,976	156	615	2	15,300	1.7	1114	37

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATIONS

OFFICE		FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATIONS											
NRR													
		Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes											
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Test & Research Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Test & Research Reactors FTE	Fee Relief Contract (\$,K)	Fee Relief FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE	
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Licensing	0	0					0.0	0			
		International Activities	0	1					0.0	0			
	Nuclear Materials Users Total		0	1					0.0	0			
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation Total		0	1		1			0.0	0			
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety Total			0	3		2		0.0	0				
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Licensing	0	4		4			0.0	0			
		Oversight	0	3		3			0.0	0			
		Travel (PL)	5	0					0.0	0		5	
		Rulemaking (PL)	0	1		1			0.0	0			
		New Reactors Total		5	9		8			0.0	0		5
	Operating Reactors	International Activities	0	7					0.0	0		5	1
		Licensing	12458	294	11,548	272	260	2	650.0	20			
		Oversight	6368	407	6,368	403		0.4	0.0	3.6			
		PL-M Support Staff	936	80					0.0	0		936	80
		Operating Reactors Total		22180	796	17,916	683	260	2.4	650.0	23.6	3354	80
Advanced Reactors		0	1					0.0	0				
Advanced Reactors Total		0	1					0.0	0				
Nuclear Reactor Safety Total			22185	806	17,916	691	260	2.4	650.0	23.6	3359	81	
Grand Total			22185	809	17,916	693	260	2.4	650.0	23.6	3359	81	

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF NEW REACTORS

OFFICE		NRO		Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes							
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Fee Relief Contract (\$,K)	Fee Relief FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE	
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Nuclear Materials	International Activities	0	1			0	0			
		Nuclear Reactor Safety									
	New Reactors	International Activities	60	3			0	0			
		Licensing	6479	138	6,479	138	0	0			
		Oversight	60	57	60	57	0	0			
		Travel (PL)	1267	0			0	0			
		Rulemaking (PL)	0	3		3	0	0	1267		
		PL-M - Support Staff	550	60			0	0			
	Operating Reactors	Licensing	540	12	540	12	0	0			
		Travel (PL)	80	0			0	0			
		Rulemaking (PL)	0	1		1	0	0	80		
		PL-M - Mission Support & Supervisors	0	1			0	0			
Nuclear Reactor Safety Total			11065	289	7,079	213	0	0	1897	61	
Grand Total			11065	290	7,079	213	0	0	1897	61	

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR REGIONAL OFFICES

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR REGIONAL OFFICES											
				Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes							
Program	Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Fuel Facility FTE	Materials FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE
REG1	Corporate Support	Support		4586	5					4586	5
	Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Nuclear Materials Users	Licensing	0	1				1		
			Oversight	0	0						
			Travel (PL)	325	0						
			PL-M - Support Staff	0	6					325	
		Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Travel (PL)	50	0					50	
			PL-M - Support Staff	0	2						2
		Decommissioning and LLW	Travel (PL)	84	0					84	
			PL-M - Support Staff	0	0						
	Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Travel (PL)	8	0					8	
		Operating Reactors	Event Response	1097	0	1,097					
			Oversight	0	1		1				
			Training	0	0						
			PL-M Support Staff	364	39						
			Travel (PL)	2256	0					364	39
REG1 Total				8770	54	1,097	1		1	7673	52

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR REGIONAL OFFICES

				Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes							
Program	Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Fuel Facility FTE	Materials FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE
REG2	Corporate Support	Support		4880	2					4880	2
	Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Oversight	0	0						
			Travel (PL)	543	0					543	
			PL-M - Support Staff	0	6						6
		Fuel Facilities Total		543	6						
		Users	Travel (PL)	0	0					543	6
		Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Travel (PL)	16	0					16	
	Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Oversight	210	1	210	1				
			Training	0	0						
			Travel (PL)	691	0					691	
			PL-M - Support Staff	0	8						8
		Operating Reactors	Event Response	200	1	200	1				
			Oversight	0	1		1				
			PL-M Support Staff	380	42						
			Travel (PL)	2081	0					380	42
REG2 Total				9001	61	410	3			2081	58
Grand Total				32478	219	2,090	9		3	8591	58
										30388	207

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR REGIONAL OFFICES

				Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes							
Program	Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Fuel Facility FTE	Materials FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE
REG4	Corporate Support	Support		4230	4					4230	4
	Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Travel (PL)	10	0					10	
		Nuclear Materials Users	Licensing	0	1				1		
			Travel (PL)	275	0					275	
			PL-M - Support Staff	0	7						7
		Nuclear Materials Users Total		275	8				1	275	7
		Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Travel (PL)	32	0					32	
		Decommissioning and LLW	Travel (PL)	178	0					178	
			PL-M - Support Staff	0	1						1
	Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Travel (PL)	7	0					7	
		Operating Reactors	Event Response	484	1	484	1				
			Licensing	0	0						
			Oversight	0	1		1				
			PL-M Support Staff	180	36					180	36
			Travel (PL)	2384	0					2384	
REG4 Total				7780	51	484	2		1	7296	48

FY 2018 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF NUCLEAR MATERIAL SAFETY AND SAFEGUARDS

Program		Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$K)	Power Reactors FTE	Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning Contract (\$K)	Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning FTE	Fuel Facility Contract (\$K)	Fuel Facility FTE	Test & Research Reactor Contract (\$K)	Materials Contract (\$K)	Materials FTE	Transportation Contract (\$K)	Transportation FTE	Uranium Recovery Contract (\$K)	Uranium Recovery FTE	Fee Relief Contract (\$K)	Fee Relief FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE		
Nuclear Materials and	Fuel Facilities	Event Response		30	0																			
		International Activities		0	5																			
			Licensing		950	19					30									0	0			
			Oversight		9	25					950	19								0	0			
			Travel (PL)		412	0					9	25								0	0			
			Rulemaking (PL)		0	1														0	0			
			Fuel Facilities Total		3532	62					989	45								0	0			412
		Nuclear Materials Users	International Activities		0	1															0	0	782	11
			Licensing		721	37															0	0		
			Oversight		1030	38	6		6		6		0.5	65.0	26.8					656	10.2			
			State, Tribal and Federal Prgms		262	25		0.8													871	7.5		
			Rulemaking (PL)		0	9						0.4												
			PL-M - Support Staff		497	18										1.4		0.4			262	23.4		
		Nuclear Materials Users Total		11750	135	6	0.8	6		6	0.4	0.5	206.2	56.7			0.4			0	7.6		497	16
		Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	International Activities		180	1															2,879	48.7	780	16
			Oversight		0	10															0	0		
			Travel (PL)		381	0					8.5										0	0		
			Rulemaking		0	4		0.4			2.4							1.5			0	0		381
		Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation Total		2151	76	0.4	1,352	45.8		6.4							224	16.5		0	0.3		395	12
		Decommissioning and LLW Total	Oversight		111	21														0.4	111	14.2		
			Travel (PL)		535	0															0	0		535
		Waste	Incidental to	Oversight		550	4			0	11.4								100.0	2	2,112	55.6	547	11
Travel (PL)				5	0														0	0				
Rulemaking (PL)				0	4		4												0	0				
Oversight				0	8		8												0	0		5		
PL-M Support Staff				0	1															0	0			
Rulemaking (PL)				605	16	605	16													0	0		0	
Operating Reactors Total		605	28	605	24													0	0		0			
Advanced Reactors	Research	Oversight		0	1														0	3		0		
		Rulemaking (PL)		0	1														0	0				
Advanced Reactors Total		0	1															0	0					
Nuclear Reactor Safety Total			510	33	505	28													0	0				
Grand Total			21927	394	511	29.2	1,358	57.2	695	45.4	0.6	206.2	56.7	224	16.8	100.0	2	4,981	107.6	5	2489			

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF NUCLEAR SECURITY AND INCIDENT RESPONSE

OFFICE	NSIR														
			Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes												
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. FTE	Fuel Facility Contract (\$,K)	Fuel Facility FTE	Materials FTE	Fee Relief FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE		
Corporate Support Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Corporate Support	Information Technology	0	0											
		Fuel Facilities	Event Response	0	2								0		
	International Activities		0	1						2		0			
	Licensing		0	3								0			
	Oversight		312	6						3		0			
	Travel (PL)		126	0					312	6		0			
	Rulemaking (PL)		0	2								0	126		
	Fuel Facilities Total			438	18					2		0			
	Nuclear Materials Users		Event Response	0	3					312	13		0		
			International Activities	0	0							0.6	2.4		
			Travel (PL)	30	0								0		
		Generic HLS (PL)	0	3								0	30		
	Nuclear Materials Users Total		30	8							0				
	Decommissioning and LLW	Oversight	0	3							2.6	2.4	30		
		Total		3	0			3				0			
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety Total		471	35								0		3		
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Licensing	175	6	175	6	8	312	13	2.6	2.4	159	3		
		Travel (PL)	47	0								0			
		PL-M - Support Staff	0	2								0	47		
	New Reactors Total		822	14	775	12					0		2		
	Operating Reactors	Event Response	7337	44	7,337	44						0	47	2	
		International Activities	0	2								0			
		PL-M Support Staff	0	27								0			
		Generic HLS (PL)	100	7								0	0	27	
	Operating Reactors Total		12734	177	11,667	140					0		27		
	Advanced Reactors	Research	0	1							1	967	27		
Advanced Reactors Total		0	1							0		27			
Nuclear Reactor Safety Total		13556	192	12,442	152					0					
Grand Total		14027	227	12,442	152	8	312	13	2.6	3.4	1014	29	32		

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

OFFICE		OGC		Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes												
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. FTE	Fuel Facility FTE	Materials Contract (\$,K)	Materials FTE	Uranium Recovery FTE	Fee Relief Contract (\$,K)	Fee Relief FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE	
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Administrative Services	0	1												
		Policy Support	858	18								0	0		1	
	Corporate Support Total		858	19								0	0	858	18	
	Fuel Facilities Total		6	0								0	0	6	0	
	Nuclear Materials Users		6	4				4				0	0	6	0	
		International Activities	0	1								0	0			
		Licensing	0	5								0	0			
		State, Tribal and Federal Pgms	0	1						4.9		0	0.1			
		Travel (PL)	14	0								0	1		14	
		Rulemaking (PL)	0	1								0	0			
		PL-M - Support Staff	0	1						1		0	0			
	Nuclear Materials Users Total		14	10						5.9		0	0		1	
		Travel (PL)	13									0	1.1		14	
		Rulemaking	0	1			1					0	0		13	
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation Total		13	6			5.5					0	0			
	Decommissioning and LLW		0	5								0	0		13	
		Licensing	0	5								0	0			
		PL-M - Support Staff	0	1							0.1	0	4.9			
	Decommissioning and LLW Total		11	7							0.1	0	5.9		1	
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety Total			44	27			5.5	4		5.9	0.1	0	7	44	2	
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors		0	10		10						0	0			
		Licensing	0	10		10						0	0			
		Oversight	0	2		2						0	0			
		Rulemaking (PL)	0	1		1						0	0			
		PL-M - Support Staff	0	8		8						0	0			
	New Reactors Total		20	21		13						0	0		8	
	Operating Reactors		0	17		17						0	0	20	8	
		Licensing	0	17		17						0	0			
		Oversight	0	2		2						0	0			
		Training	50	0	48	48						0	0			
		Rulemaking (PL)	0	4		4			2			0	0			
		Generic HLS (PL)	0	1		1						0	0			
	Operating Reactors Total		146	35	48	23			2			0	0	96	11	
Nuclear Reactor Safety Total			166	56	48	36			2			0	0	116	19	
Grand Total			1068	102	48	36	5.5	4	2	5.9	0.1	0	7	1018	40	

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS

OFFICE		ACRS		Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes							
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. FTE	Fuel Facility FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE	
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Licensing	0	1							
	Decommissioning and LLW	Licensing	0	1				1			
		Travel (PL)	6	0			1				
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Licensing	50	3	50	3				6	
		Travel (PL)	80	0							
		PL-M - Support Staff	0	2					80		
	Operating Reactors	Licensing	134	16	134	16					
		PL-M Support Staff	30	2							
		Travel (PL)	375	0					30	2	
	Advanced Reactors	Research	0	1					375		
Grand Total:			675	26	184	19	1	1	491	4	

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS

OFFICE	OIP							
			Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes					
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Fee Relief Contract (\$,K)	Fee Relief FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Policy Support	335	3	0	0	335	3
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	International Activities	0	1	0	0		
	Fuel Facilities Total		0	1	0	0		
		Travel (PL)	350	0	0	0	350	
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	International Activities	0	1	0	0		
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation Total		0	1	0	0		
	Decommissioning and LLW Total		0	2	0	0		
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety Total			6250	18	0	0	350	4
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	International Activities	0	2	0	0		
	New Reactors Total		0	2	0	0		
	Operating Reactors	International Activities	60	7	0	0		
		PL-M Support Staff	0	6	0	0	0	6
		Travel (PL)	288	0	0	0	288	
	Operating Reactors Total		348	13	0	0	288	6
Nuclear Reactor Safety Total			348	15	0	0	288	6
Grand Total			6933	36	0	0	973	13

FY 2010 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT

Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$K)	Power Reactors FTE	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. Contract (\$K)	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. FTE	Fuel Facility Contract (\$K)	Fuel Facility FTE	Materials Contract (\$K)	Materials FTE	Transportation Contract (\$K)	Transportation FTE	Fee Rolloff Contract (\$K)	Fee Rolloff FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Human Resource Mgmt.	0	0														
		Information Technology	0	0												0.0	0	
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities	Oversight	10	2											0.0	0		
		Travel (PL)	4	0					10.0	2					0.0	0		
		PL-M - Support Staff	0	1											0.0	0		4
		Nuclear Materials	Oversight	47	10			2.0	0.4			41	9	1	0.2	0.0	0	
		Travel (PL)	33	0										2.9	0.4			
		PL-M - Support Staff	0	1										0.0	0		33	
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Oversight	6	4	6.0	3.9									0.0	0		
		Travel (PL)	5	0				0.1							0.0	0		1
		PL-M - Support Staff	0	0											0.0	0		5
		Operating Reactors	Oversight	205	18	198.9	17.6	2.1	0.2					2.1	0.1	0.0	0	
		PL-M Support Staff	0	5										1.7	0.1			
		Travel (PL)	42	0										0.0	0		42	
Grand Total			352	41	204.9	21.5	4.1	0.7	10.0	2	41	9	3.1	0.3	4.6	0.5	84	7

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS

OFFICE		OI		Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes							
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Materials FTE	Fee Relief FTE	Professional Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Professional Hourly Rate FTE	
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Nuclear Materials Users	Oversight	0	5							
		Travel (PL)	131	0			4.6	0.4			
		PL-M - Support Staff	0	1					0	131	
Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Oversight	0	2		2		0		1	
		Travel (PL)	50	0				0	50		
		Oversight	93	26	93	26			0		
	Operating Reactors	Training	30	0	30			0			
		PL-M Support Staff	0	8					0		
		Travel (PL)	401	0					0	0	
Grand Total			705	42	123	28	4.6	0.4	401	9	
									582	9	

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

OFFICE		ASLBP	FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD																	
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes	Total Contract (\$,K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$,K)	Power Reactors FTE	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. Contract (\$,K)	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. FTE	Fuel Facility Contract (\$,K)	Fuel Facility FTE	Materials Contract (\$,K)	Materials FTE	Uranium Recovery Contract (\$,K)	Uranium Recovery FTE	Fee Relief Contract (\$,K)	Fee Relief FTE	Hourly Rate Contract (\$,K)	Hourly Rate FTE	
Corporate Support Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Corporate Support	Administrative Services		890	1															
	Fuel Facilities			5	1					5	1					0.0	0	890	1	
	Nuclear Materials Users	Licensing		13	1											0.0	0			
			Travel (PL)		17	0							13.0	1			0.0	0		17
	Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation	Licensing		30	3			30	3							0.0	0			
			Travel (PL)		40	0										0.0	0			
	Decommissioning and LLW	Licensing		60	2										60	0.2	0.0	1.8		40
			Travel (PL)		22	0										0.0	0			
	Nuclear Reactor Safety	New Reactors	Licensing		245	4	245	4									0.0	0		22
			Oversight		0	1		1									0.0	0		
		Training		3	0	3										0.0	0			
		Travel (PL)		27	0											0.0	0			
	New Reactors Total			275	7	248	5									0.0	0			
	Operating Reactors	Licensing		85	9	85	9									0.0	0		27	
		Training		7	0	7										0.0	0		27	
		PL-M Support Staff		0	4											0.0	0			
Nuclear Reactor Safety Total				388	20	340	14									0.0	0		4	
Grand Total				1465	28	340	14	30	3	5	1	13.0	1	60	0.2	0.0	1.8	48	6	
																		1017	7	

FY 2010 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF THE CHIEF HUMAN CAPITAL OFFICER

OFFICE	OCRCO																			
		Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes																		
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$K)	Total FTE	Power Reactors Contract (\$K)	Power Reactors FTE	Spent Fuel Stor/Reactor Decomm. Contract (\$K)	Fuel Facility Contract (\$K)	Fuel Facility FTE	Test & Research Reactors Contract (\$K)	Materials Contract (\$K)	Materials FTE	Transportation Contract (\$K)	Transportation FTE	Uranium Recovery Contract (\$K)	Fee Relief Contract (\$K)	Fee Relief FTE	Hourly Rate Contract (\$K)	Hourly Rate FTE	
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Human Resource	4206	44																
		Outreach	0	0													0.0	0	4206	44
		Training	1864	13													0.0	0		
Nuclear Materials and Waste Safety	Fuel Facilities Nuclear Materials Users	Training	619	0				253			38.0									
		International Activities	0	0													328.0	0		
		Training	1057	3	116	0.2	24	43	0.2		167.0	0.5	19	0.2			0.0	0		
Nuclear Reactor Safety	Spent Fuel Storage and Decommissioning and LLW	Training	138	0			51				10.0		37							
		Training	812	0			183	16			64.0				1		40.0	0		
		Travel (PL)	43	0													548.2	0		
Operating	PL-M - Support Staff	PL-M - Support Staff	0	1												10.0	0			
		Training	4046	26	3,954	25.4				0.1	25	24.0	0.1		0.1		0.0	0		43
		PL-M Support	0	3													43.0	0.2		
Grand Total		Travel (PL)	130	0												0.0	0			
			13997	99	5,142	34.6	258	312	0.3	25	303.0	0.6	56	0.3	1	1,657.2	1.9	6243	61	

FY 2019 BUDGET RESOURCES FOR OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION

OFFICE		ADM	Budget Resources Allocated to Fee Classes			
Program	Business Lines	Product Lines	Total Contract (\$K)	Total FTE	Hourly Rate Contract (\$K)	Hourly Rate FTE
Corporate Support	Corporate Support	Administrative Services	57463	74	57463	74
		Mgmt.	150	0	150	
		Information Technology	1269	1	1269	1
		Acquisitions	6136	54	6136	54
Nuclear Reactor Safety	Operating Reactors	Oversight	179	0		
Grand Total			65197	129	65018	129

Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 (OBRA-90)

Referenced throughout the proposed rule

This document is provided as supplemental information. The proposed amendments to 10 CFR Parts 170 and 171 are necessary to implement the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 (OBRA-90), as amended. The OBRA-90, as amended, requires that the NRC recover approximately 90 percent of its budget authority in fiscal year 2019, less the amounts appropriated for Waste Incidental to Reprocessing, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, Nuclear Waste Fund, and amounts appropriated for generic homeland security activities.

Court Decision, 1993

Allied Signal, Inc. v. NRC and Combustion Engineering v. NRC

This document is provided as supplemental information. In 1990 Congress required the NRC to collect annual charges and user fees approximating 100 percent of the agency's budget, effective for fiscal year 1991. NRC's FY 1991 fee rule imposed annual charges against virtually all of the agency's licensees in an effort to be more fair and equitable. Previously, it had levied annual charges only on operating nuclear power reactors, which constitute the most significant group of NRC licensees.

On July 10, 1991 (56 FR 31472), the NRC published a final rule in the *Federal Register* that established the Part 170 professional hourly rate and the materials licensing and inspection fees, as well as the Part 171 annual fees, to be assessed to recover approximately 100 percent of the FY 1991 budget. In addition to establishing the FY 1991 fees, the final rule established the underlying basis and methodology for determining both the Part 170 hourly rate and fees and the Part 171 annual fees. The FY 1991 rule was challenged in Federal court by *Allied Signal, Inc. v. NRC and Combustion Engineering v. NRC*.

The court remanded two issues to the NRC for further consideration. Despite the remand, the court did not vacate the rule. One of the remanded issues related to the exemption from annual fees for nonprofit educational institutions. The second remand issue dealt with LLW disposal costs.

2 of 13 DOCUMENTS

Allied-Signal, Inc., Petitioner v. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the United States of America, Respondents
Combustion Engineering, Inc., Petitioner v. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the United States of America, Respondents
Combustion Engineering, Inc., Petitioner v. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the United States of America, Respondent
Allied-Signal, Inc., Petitioner v. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Respondent

No. 91-1407, No. 91-1435, No. 92-1001, No. 92-1019

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
 CIRCUIT

300 U.S. App. D.C. 194; 983 F.2d 146; 1993 U.S. App. LEXIS 4684

November 5, 1992, Argued
 March 16, 1993, Decided

PRIOR HISTORY: [*1] Petitions for Review of An Order of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

COUNSEL: John Hoff, with whom Leonard A. Miller was on the brief, for petitioner Allied Signal, Inc. in Nos. 91-1407 and 92-1019.

Harold F. Reik, with whom Michael F. Healy was on the brief, for petitioner Combustion Engineering, Inc. in Nos. 91-1435 and 92-1001.

L. Michael Ruffly, with whom William C. Parier, General Counsel, John F. Cordes, Sr., Solicitor, and E. Leo Stagle, Deputy Solicitor, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and Katherine Adams, Attorney, Department of Justice, were on the brief, for respondents.

JUDGES: Before: Silberman, Williams and D.H. Ginsburg, Circuit Judges. Opinion for the Court filed by Circuit Judge Williams.

OPINION BY: WILLIAMS

OPINION:

[*148] Williams, Circuit Judge:

Congress has directed the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to recover 100% of its costs from those who

receive its regulatory "services" and to allocate the costs "fairly and equitably" among those recipients. Petitioners Allied Signal and Combustion Engineering challenge an NRC rule making that allocation; they also attack the NRC's denial of various requested exemptions from the fees. They allege that the Commission's [*2] actions did not satisfy Congress's "fair[] and equitable" standard and also were arbitrary and capricious. We agree in part and remand the case to the Commission.

Under authority granted in the Independent Offices Appropriation Act of 1952 ("IOAA"), 51 U.S.C. § 9701, the Commission has long charged fees to any person who received a "service or thing of value" from the Commission. (That term includes, perhaps synonymously, "regulatory services" such as permit processing.) In 1984, Congress expanded the NRC's recovery authority in the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 ("COBRA"), Pub. L. No. 99-272, 100 Stat. 147, and authorized it to recover 33% of its total annual budget through fees. Because IOAA fees could not generate that sum, Congress allowed the NRC to assess fees not only for the service-specific costs covered by IOAA but also for the Commission's generic costs of operation (e.g., costs associated with rulemaking proceedings or safety research). Later acts raised the budget recovery level to 45% for the years 1988 through 1990. In carrying out the 33% and 45% recovery mandates, the Commission imposed fees for [*3] generic costs only on licensees who operated under

power factors, reasoning that they absorbed the most regulatory resources. See *Florida Power and Light Co. v. United States*, 269 U.S. App. D.C. 377, 840 F.2d 763 (D.C. Cir. 1988).

ⁿ¹ See *Omaha Budget Reconciliation Act of 1987*, Pub. L. No. 100-202, 101 Stat. 1330-273; *Omaha Reconciliation Act of 1989*, Pub. L. No. 101-239, 103 Stat. 2132.

In the 1990 Omaha Reconciliation Act ("1990 OBRA"), Pub. L. No. 101-508, 104 Stat. 1534-299, Congress raised the recovery mandate for 1991-95 to 100% of the Commission's budget, see Pub. L. No. 101-508, § 6101 (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2214), and told the Commission to promulgate a rule apportioning the generic fees "fairly and equitably" among licensees. *Id.* at § 6101(c)(3) (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2214(c)(3)). The legislation further said that "to the maximum extent practicable, the charges [imposed by the rule] shall have a reasonable [*4] relationship to the cost of providing regulatory services and may be based on the allocation of the Commission's resources among licensees or classes of licensees." *Id.* After notice and comment, the Commission issued a rule purporting to carry out these directions. In doing so, it imposed fees on virtually all licensees. See *Revision of Fee Schedules, 100% Fee Recovery* (the "Final Rule"), 56 Fed. Reg. 31472 (July 10, 1991) (codified at 10 CFR §§ 52, 71, 170, and 171).

[*14c] 1

Allied, a uranium hexafluoride (UF) converter, first complains about the Commission's failure to consider the inability of UF converters to "pass through" OBRA fees to customers—i.e., to recoup them in whole or in part by raising prices. Allied asserts that the Commission's treatment of the issue was inconsistent with OBRA and also with the NRC's treatment of other licensees' pass-through capability.

Allied's claim rests on simple facts. It explains that domestic UF converters compete with foreign UF converters who are not subject to NRC licensing and thus are not required to pay NRC fees. Competition, it says, is stiff, success in bidding on UF conversion contracts often turns on [*5] differentials as small as one cent per pound. Fees imposed under the Final Rule, however, add up to almost five cents per pound of UF. Because adding

the fee to their prices will drive customers to foreign converters, domestic UF converters cannot pass the cost forward. Allied draws a sharp contrast between UF converters and other NRC licensees such as electric utilities, which it says are readily able to pass the cost on to customers. The Commission disputes none of these assertions.

Allied's statutory theory rests both on the 1990 OBRA and on the legislative history of 1986 COBRA—the latter being explicitly linked to the 1990 OBRA via its legislative history. Section 6201(c)(3) of the 1990 OBRA (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2214(c)(3)) provides that

the Commission shall establish, by rule, a schedule of charges fairly and equitably allocating the aggregate amount of charges — [necessary to recoup 100% of the Commission's budget].

(Emphasis added.) The Conference Report to the 1990 OBRA states that the Commission has "the discretion — to assess actual charges against all of its licensees." H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 964, 101st Cong., [*6] 21 Sent. (1990), at 961. At the same time, however, the Report expressly "reaffirms the statement of the [floor] managers [of 1986 COBRA] on the present authority" of the NRC to assess fees. *Id.* That statement in turn declared that it was the "intention of the conferees that licensees, certain Commission licensees, such as universities, hospitals, research and medical institutions, and uranium producers have limited ability to pass through the cost of these charges to the ultimate consumer; the Commission should take this factor into account in determining whether to modify [its] current fee schedule for such licensees." 132 Cong. Rec. H51913 (March 6, 1986) (emphases added).

The statutory language and legislative history do not, in our view, add up to an inescapable mandate to protect classes of licensees with limited ability to pass fees forward. Even the 1986 legislative history, written in the context of COBRA's less-demanding 33% recovery mandate, only directed the Commission to "take — account" of pass-through considerations, which would not necessarily entail that those considerations control. Moreover, the 1990 Conference Report explicitly said that Congress preserved [*7] NRC's discretion to impose fees on "one or more classes of

non-power-reactor licenses if the Commission believes it can fairly, equitably, and practically do so." H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 954, 101st Cong., 2d Sess. (1990), at 961. Even if we were to give the legislative history great weight, we could not conclude that Congress has "directly spoken" to whether the Commission must open licenses that cannot pass the fees forward. See *Chevron v. Natural Resources Defense Council*, 467 U.S. 837, 842, 81 L. Ed. 2d 694, 104 S. Ct. 2778 (1984). The question therefore is whether the Commission's interpretation is reasonable. See *id.*, at 845; *Chemical Manufacturers Ass'n v. EPA*, 287 U.S. App. D.C. (9), 919 F.2d 154, 167-68 (D.C. Cir. 1990).

The Commission offered two justifications for its decision to disregard the pass-through concerns of UF converters. First, it argued that it could not adjust fees based on competitive impact because the 100% recovery mandate of 1990 CBRA, [**150] would require any abatement of fees for one class of licenses to be recouped from others. See Final Rule, 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,476; Letter of NRC Denying Allied Exemption Request at 3-4. However, while one could argue that it is unfair to charge any licensee more than its pro rata share of generic costs (and not unfair to excuse some regulated from paying all of their pro rata share when less than 100 percent must be recovered), that potential explanation does not carry the day here. The Commission's willingness to make an exemption for nonprofit educational institutions belies the assertion that it will not charge any licensee more than its pro rata share.

Nonetheless, the Commission also pointed to an entirely legitimate concern—the difficulty of assessing the ability of its 9000 licensees to pass through costs. See NRC Denial of Allied Exemption Request at 4. A firm's ability to pass through a burden to its customers depends on the price elasticities of supply and demand. "Inelastic suppliers and demanders pay taxes." Donald R. McCloskey, *The Applied Theory of Price* 324 (1982). (While the fees are technically not taxes, the same principle applies to costs generally.) Because these elasticities are typically hard to discover with much confidence, the Commission's refusal to read the statute as a rigid mandate to do so is not only understandable [**9] but reasonable.

It does not follow, however, that the Commission's application of the statute was in every respect reasonable. If capacity to pass the fees through can be determined with reasonable accuracy and at reasonable cost for

specific classes of licensees, there appears no reason why the Commission should not do so. In fact, the Commission *has* made such a determination for another class of licensees, even though that class's claim seems (1) better founded than the claim of the domestic UF converters.

Specifically, in the Final Rule the Commission exempted nonprofit educational institutions from payment of certain 1990 CBRA fees. See 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,487-2, 31,491-2; 10 CFR § 171.11(a). This appears to be based at least in part on the rationale that such institutions "have a limited ability to pass the[] costs on to others." Final Rule, 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,477-2 (1991).¹² See also 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,487-2 (speaking of educational institutions' "limited ability to pass regulatory costs through to their clients").

¹² This passage refers to the service-specific fees, but no independent justification for the exemption from generic costs appears, and the Commission here seems to assume that the explanation extends to the generic. See Commission Brief at 8, 19-20.

[**10]

The Commission nowhere explains how it was able to make this finding for non-profits but is not able to resolve the elasticity claim one way or the other for domestic UF converters. The Commission does not so much as hint at data relating to the markets in which educational institutions serve their "clients."¹³ Neither does the Commission explain why a demand elasticity calculation was any easier or less costly to complete for educational institutions than for UF converters. Thus the Commission's denial of relief for UF converters, both as to rate-making and the exemption sought, cannot be viewed as reasoned decision-making.

¹³ We note that for educational institutions with certain types of licenses, the exemption is unavailable with respect to activities such as "recreational services — [performed for] other persons" and "activities performed under a Government contract." See 10 CFR § 171.13(a)(2) & (4). This exclusion from the exemption, however, is limited to specific types of licenses, namely "byproduct, scout or special

nuclear material licenses."

[*11]

An inadequately supported rule, however, need not necessarily be vacated. See, e.g., *International Union, UMW v. FASFA*, 287 U.S. App. D.C. 168, 920 F.2d 960, 966-67 (D.C. Cir. 1990); *Maryland People's Council v. FEC*, 247 U.S. App. D.C. 333, 753 F.2d 651, 65 (D.C. Cir. 1985); *ICORE, Inc. v. FCC*, 985 F.2d 1075, Slip Op. at 12 (D.C. Cir. 1993). The decision whether to vacate depends on "the instances of the order's deficiencies (and thus the extent of doubt whether the agency chose correctly) and the disruptive consequences of an interim [*15] change that may itself be changed." *International Union*, 920 F.2d at 967.

It is conceivable that the Commission may be able to explain how the principles supporting an exemption for educational institutions do not justify a similar exemption for domestic UF converters. For example, the Commission may develop a reasoned explanation based on an alternative justification that it offered for the non-profit educational institutions' exemption—that "educational research provides an important benefit to the nuclear industry and the public at large and should not be discouraged." 50 Fed. Reg. at 31,477 [*12] *ff.* While this reference is quite vague—the benefits of UF conversion can hardly be determined merely because the converter operates in a conventional market—perhaps the Commission's focus is on education, with the idea that education yields exceptionally large externalized benefits that cannot be captured in tuition or other market prices. We cannot tell at this point whether the exemption for educational institutions could be reasonably rooted in such a theory, but there is at least a serious possibility that the Commission will be able to substantiate its decision on remand.

At the same time, the consequences of vacating may be quite disruptive. Even assuming that we could merely vacate the rule insofar as it denies an exemption for UF converters, the Commission would need to refund all 1990 OBRA fees collected from those converters; in addition it evidently would be unable to recover those fees under a later-enacted rule. See *Boysen v. Georgetown University Hospital*, 488 U.S. 204, 208-09, 102 L. Ed. 2d 493, 109 S. Ct. 468 (1988), (rejecting retroactive application of rules even if operating only to cure defects in previously enacted rule). Therefore, because of the

possibility [*15] that the Commission may be able to justify the Rule, and the disruptive consequences of vacating, we remand to the Commission for it to develop a reasoned treatment of exemption claims based on pass-through limitations.

Combustion Engineering also raised a related pass-through argument—that long-term fixed price contracts in its sector of the industry constrain its ability to pass through costs and therefore require some sort of gradual phase-in. See Comments of Combustion Engineering, May 13, 1991 at 2. On remand, the Commission must address this claim as well.

II

Allied also argues that the Commission's apportionment of fees within the class of domestic UF converters violated the 1990 OBRA. Allied argues (again without dispute by the Commission) that it has required much less regulatory attention than the only other member of the UF converter class, the Semcoyah Fleck Corporation, because of the latter's environmental problems. See NRC Denial of Allied Exemption Request at 7. Thus, Allied says, allocation of the fees equally between the two UF converters violated the 1990 OBRA's directive that OBRA charges be apportioned "fairly and equitably" and that "to the maximum extent [*14] practicable, the charges shall have a reasonable relationship to the cost of providing regulatory services." Pub. L. No. 101-508, § 6101(c)(3) (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2214(c)(3)). Allied contends that the Commission instead ought to have divided the class's fees either in proportion to the amount of NRC attention required by each converter or in proportion to the service-specific (IOAA) fees paid by the two converters.

Allied's argument fails because it disregards the premise that 1990 OBRA fees are not service-specific: they do not relate to identifiable services but rather constitute generic costs. See Final Rule, 50 Fed. Reg. at 31,472. Assuming that the Commission correctly classified the costs in question (and Allied does not contest the classification), there is a presumption that even regulatory effort precipitated by the circumstances of a single licensee of a given class will yield results, such as research findings or regulations, of roughly equal importance for all members of the same class.

[*15] This conclusion is not undermined by the Commission's willingness to apportion 1990 OBRA fees

between groups [*15] of licensees on the basis of the surcharge required by each group. See Final Rule, 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,476; Letter of NRC Denying Allied Exemption Request at 2, 4-5. First, the spillover of benefits seems far greater within a group of licensees than between groups. See *id.* at 5. Second, the administrative costs of group-level apportionment are obviously much lower than licensee-level apportionment because the number of licensees greatly exceeds the number of groups.

Here, neither of the measuring devices proposed by Allied was workable or accurate enough to warrant our holding the Commission's rejection of them arbitrary or capricious. Any correlation between a licensee's IOAA (licensee-specific) costs and its benefits from generic costs seems purely coincidental. And to use as a yardstick each licensee's tendency to precipitate regulatory effort would not only disregard spillover effects but would raise exceptional measurement problems. See NRC Denial of Allied Exemption Request at 4-8.

III

Allied makes a narrower attack on the Commission's rejection of intra-group apportionment, namely that the Commission was arbitrary and capricious in failing [*16] to apportion the generic costs associated with the disposal of low level radioactive waste ("LLW") on the basis of each licensee's actual waste. See Final Rule, 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,497; 10 CFR § 171.16(c). At the class level, the Commission allocated costs in accordance with each class's contribution to the total quantity of LLW. Because materials licensees (a group that includes uranium converters) collectively generate 40% of the nation's LLW, the Commission allocated 40% of its LLW costs to that class. See *id.* When it turned to apportionment of those fees among the materials licensees, however, the Commission abandoned that approach and simply assessed each large fuel facility (of which Allied is one) an identical charge of \$ 143,500. For explanation, the NRC offered only the conclusory statement that "the Commission -- believes -- the surcharge should be the same for all large fuel facility licensees." See Final Rule, 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,481.

The Commission provides no rationale for apportioning costs among classes of LLW producers on the basis of LLW output but refusing to apply that same yardstick in apportioning generic costs [*17] within

classes, and no rationale is readily apparent. While it is conceivable that the real benefit of LLW disposal services is merely the availability of such services--in which case a flat fee would make sense--any such idea is inconsistent with the Commission's method of apportioning LLW fees among classes of licensees, which appears to assume that benefit is proportional to LLW quantity. If, on the other hand, say licensees' benefit from LLW disposal is directly proportional to its LLW disposal, apportioning even generic costs on the basis of output seems to make sense--not only as to classes but also as to individual licensees. Finally, assuming that the Commission calculated each class's quantity of LLW waste from data supplied by each licensee (as seems necessarily true), it is hard to see any administrative problem with apportioning the fees within the class on the basis of output; the data are available and the required computations would be rudimentary.

.... In applying the balancing of *International Union* and *Hike* cases, we here give little weight to the possibility that the Commission could pull a reasonable explanation out of the hat. Nonetheless, vacating the intra-class [*18] apportionment of LLW costs would give licensees a peculiar windfall: even costs that benefited from the Commission's choice would presumably be entitled to a refund, and, under *Georgetown University Hospital*, the LLW costs could be recovered from no one. To be sure, the costs are not great, absolutely or as a percentage of the Commission's \$ 463 [*19] million budget for FY 1993--\$ 3.8 million. See 56 Fed. Reg. at 31,486, 31,497. But that alone is hardly a reason to create such a windfall. Accordingly, we refrain from vacating the rule. If or when the Commission concludes that the apportionment must be in accordance with usage, then those firms whose burden is lower under a new, non-arbitrary, rule should be entitled to refunds of the difference.

If indeed the refund leads to replacement of the per-licensee allocation, and licensees enjoy only refunds for the difference between liability under the old rule and liability under the new (rather than total refunds), it might be argued that such a result allows the new rule to have "retroactive effect", in violation of *Georgetown University Hospital*. See 498 U.S. at 208. That [*19] is, plainly, some retroactive effect. The effect, however, is only to define that aspect of the old rule that must be cut away as legally excessive. We do not read *Georgetown* as having so limited a retroactive impact.

IV

Finally, Combustion Engineering challenges the Commission's decision to allocate OBRA fees equally to each low enriched uranium (LEU) manufacturing license instead of dividing the fees equally among the LEU manufacturing facilities. Combustion owns and operates two LEU facilities, each separately licensed, and Combustion asserts that in the aggregate the two are operationally equivalent to the single-plant, single-license facilities of the other LEU manufacturers. At oral argument Combustion explained that it has two licenses for the facilities only because of historical chance; it bought a company with a separate license almost 20 years ago and until the Commission implemented the current OBRA fee schedule there has never been any reason to consolidate the licenses. As before, the Commission disputes none of these contentions.

Combustion attacks both the regulation imposing the "equal fee per license" rule and the Commission's denial of an exemption. [*20] Both claims rest ultimately on the 1990 OBRA's direction that fees must be apportioned "fairly and equitably" and that "to the maximum extent practicable... charges shall have a reasonable relationship to the cost of providing regulatory services." Pub. L. No. 101-508, § 6101(c)(3) (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 2214(c)(3)). Although we find the first claim unconvincing, we agree that the Commission has not justified its refusal to give the requested exemption.

The argument that the "equal fee per license" rule is "unfair and inequitable" is persuasive only on the ground that the rule produced troubling results when applied to Combustion's circumstances—which Combustion itself asserts are unusual. We see no reason for requiring the Commission to attend to that rather rare situation in the rule itself. cf. *NLRB v. Bell Aerospace Co.*, 416 U.S. 267, 40 L. Ed. 2d 134, 94 S. Ct. 1757 (1974), especially as the generic rule allowed (generically) for exemption. n4

n4 Insofar as Combustion argues, in parallel with Allied, that § 6101(c)(3) of OBRA generally requires intra-group apportionment on the basis of factors such as the amount of attention a licensee requires, the competitive position of the licensee, and the safety risks posed by the licensee's

activities, we reject it for the reasons stated as to Allied.

[**21]

Combustion's exemption argument, however, has merit. The Commission's own criteria call for an exemption if the licensee can show that "the assessment of the annual fee would result in a significantly disproportionate allocation of costs to the licensee." 10 CFR § 171.11(d). The double assessment against Combustion's two licenses increased its OBRA fees by \$ 836,500. Against this, the Commission is able to point to almost nothing by way of greater cost. Speaking to the issue in unusually murky, offensive language, the NRC in substance could point to only two additional burdens—the need to mail an extra copy of certain NRC publications to the second facility and the need for two different NRC regional offices to monitor and respond to [*154] allegations about the two plants. See NRC Denial of Combustion Exemption Request at 5-6.

The double burden for Combustion, measured against *de minimis* additional burdens for the Commission, simply overcomes the hurdle established by 10 CFR § 171.11(d). n5 Thus the exemption denial is arbitrary and capricious. We therefore direct the Commission to grant an exemption for Combustion on the additional fees collected as a result of the double-licensing [*22] of its operation. n6

n5 10 CFR § 171.11(d) also contains two other factors that the Commission shall consider when evaluating an exemption request. Although parts of § 171.11(d) are ambiguous regarding whether an applicant must fulfill all, or only one, of the factors, the fact that an applicant could not "fulfill" the criterion listed in § 171.11(d)(3)—"any other relevant matter that the licensee believes shows that the annual fee was not based on a fair and equitable allocation of NRC costs"—reveals that the "factor" should not be read as conjunctive requirement. The focus instead seems to be best understood as independent considerations which can support an exemption.

n6 We are not required to address Allied's fee exemption request because of our previous disposition of Allied's other claims. The aspects of Allied's request dealing with postthrough

ability and LLW fees are almost certain to stand or fall along with the remanded claims; and the aspect claiming that OBRA requires licensee-specific calibration of fees fails.

reasoned and coherent treatment of (1) licensees' claim for special treatment on the basis of inability to pass the burden of the fees through to customers; and (2) the method of apportioning generic LLW disposal cost among materials licensees. In addition, we direct the Commission to grant an exemption to Combustion for the generic fees attributable to the double-licensing of its LEU operation.

[**23]

We remand the case to the Commission for a

So ordered.