## **UNITED STATES**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

+++++

BRIEFING ON HUMAN CAPITAL AND EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

+++++

TUESDAY,

JUNE 18, 2019

+++++

ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

+++++

The Commission met in the Commissioners' Hearing Room at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, at 10:00 a.m., Kristine L. Svinicki, Chairman, presiding.

**COMMISSION MEMBERS:** 

KRISTINE L. SVINICKI, Chairman

JEFF BARAN, Commissioner

ANNIE CAPUTO, Commissioner

DAVID A. WRIGHT, Commissioner

ALSO PRESENT:

ANNETTE VIETTI-COOK, Secretary of the Commission

MARIAN ZOBLER, General Counsel

## NRC STAFF:

SUSAN ABRAHAM, Associate Director for Human

Resources Training and Development, Office of
the Chief Human Capital Officer

PAMELA BAKER, Director, Office of Small Business and Civil Rights

MIRIAM L. COHEN, Chief Human Capital Officer, Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer

MARGARET DOANE, Executive Director for Operations

JASON SHAY, Associate Director for Human Resources

Operations and Policy, Office of the Chief Human Capital Officer

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (10:03 a.m.
---------------

I would ask the Staff Members who are going to be presenting to us today to please take their seats.

And while they're doing that, I will just mention that the Commission convenes this morning for one of our, roughly six months apart, twice a year Commission meetings and updates on significant human capital initiatives and equal employment opportunity programs and various status updates that the Staff provides.

So we will hear from only one Panel this morning, which will be the NRC Staff on a number of topics, as noted in the Commission Scheduling Note.

After that we will hear, Commission will have question and answer period and then we will hear remarks from the Executive Vice President of the National Treasury Employees Union here at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

So, before we begin, let me just say that this is an exciting day, not just because we're having this meeting, which is always really super interesting, but the Agency-wide JAM, and if you don't know what that is, I consider it kind of like a virtual dialogue between employees at NRC looking at our future, looking at the generation of good ideas and topics and vision for us going forward.

So, that will be going on and happening here for three days, so that those of us who are here are not missing the JAM, we will have our opportunities to go back to our desks and participate from there. So that's very exciting.

1	And I would be remiss, I know that she's the type of person who
2	hates being put in the lime light, but today, she already gave me a look, which
3	won't show up on the webcast, but today is Pam Baker's last opportunity, her great
4	pleasure of presenting to the Commission.
5	I'm sure it's one of the highlights of your year, but will be with us
6	longer at NRC, but this is the last time that you will be presenting to the
7	Commission in the kind of capacity that you will do here very shortly.
8	And Pam has a long and impressive career as a federal
9	employee. She's a relative newcomer to NRC, as am I. We both joined the NRC
10	in 2008, and I think I first met you, Pam, down in Region II.
11	That might have been the first time that I had an opportunity to
12	meet you. But I am just very, very grateful for your long federal service. For all
13	the really vast contributions that you have made here.
14	You're one of our, some people, we all have different styles, and
15	some people are just more noisy about what they're doing and some people are
16	very quiet about what they're doing, but you have been just a kind of, one of those
17	people that has quietly been making a tremendous impact and contribution to the
18	NRC, and I've observed that over the course of over ten years now.
19	So, thank you for all of that. And with that, I would offer, if any
20	of my colleagues wanted to make any opening comments?
21	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Well, I would just agree with you,

Chairman, that we really are going to miss you, Pam, and you've just been doing

a spectacular job here and we appreciate everything.

22

23

1	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you. Commissioner Caputo.
2	COMMISSIONER CAPUTO: So, we will have only overlapped
3	for a year or so, but my notice of your efforts and support of diversity and our
4	diversity groups has just been very, very impressive. And I have enjoyed
5	attending those with you and appreciate all of your work in support of those efforts.
6	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Commissioner Wright.
7	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Last but not least. I also want to
8	congratulate you. But also for my aid upstairs, for Kimberly Lora, who thinks
9	highly of you, and just I want to be sure that I let you know that she's thinking of
10	you too.
11	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you very much. Well, with that,
12	we will begin. And leading off the Staff's presentation today will be our executive
13	director for operations, Margie Doane. Please proceed, Margie.
14	MS. DOANE: Okay, good morning, Chairman and
15	Commissioners. I've been here now in this position for nearly a year. Two weeks
16	away.
17	And I've been at many Commission meetings. But of all those
18	meetings, I have to tell you, this is one of our most important.
19	So, today we are going to, we're going to focus on workforce,
20	both now and into the future. Before I continue though, I too would like to
21	recognize Pam Baker, as this is her last time at the Commission meeting, as we
22	have talked about that.
23	And I just want to say that over the course of this year we have

1	just, I've appreciated her support for every issue that has come before us. And
2	she leaves an incredible legacy here. And not just here but in the federal
3	government.
4	So, I want to thank her personally and on behalf of the Staff,
5	Pam. And we're excited to spend the next time that you have here, but at your
6	last Commission meeting. Thank you for everything.
7	Okay, people are our greatest asset. Since NRCs inception we
8	have had the good fortune of attracting and developing some of the brightest and
9	best people to fulfill our mission.
10	This is an achievement that does not happen randomly. It
11	requires a deliberate investment in human capital. As a high performing
12	organization, we also seek to tap into the collective wisdom of our employees to
13	share ideas and uncover new insights, using both traditional and modern feedback
14	mechanisms.
15	We are now, as the Chairman said, in the midst of a JAM, but
16	had the opportunity to give our views into the federal employee viewpoint survey,

And today, as we were discussing, the JAM is an opportunity or all of our workforce to make their opinions known and to share their voice.

which now closes on June 27th.

The JAM is a multi-day collaborative discussion in a virtual environment where everyone can participate and see what's happening as it unfolds. During the JAM, we will be having a conversation about our future. And specifically, how we can be more flexible and resilient while maintaining our focus

1	on our safety and security mission in a dynamic and uncertain future.
2	These are activities to focus our decision making and
3	communication, contribute to the continuous evolution of our Agency and our
4	strong organizational culture.
5	Meanwhile, it is through our human capital programs that we
6	maintain site of the well-being of our employees. During today's meeting, we will
7	focus on the investments we are making to ensure our workforce is positioned to
8	meet the mission demands, both now and in the future.
9	At the table with me on my right is Miriam Cohen, the chief
LO	human capital officer who will provide an overview of the state of the NRCs human
L1	capital program.
L2	The next presenter will be Jason Shay, associate director for HR
L3	operations and policy, who will provide an update on hiring strategies.
L4	Following will be Susan Abraham, associate director for HR
L5	training and development, who will provide an update on learning and
L6	development.
L7	And finally, Pam Baker, director of the office of small business
1.8	and civil rights, who will provide an update on NRCs civil rights and diversity and

inclusion program.

I'll now turn the presentation over to Miriam.

MS. COHEN: Good morning, Chairman, Commissioners and fellow NRC Staff. And I too would like to acknowledge Pam for her contributions and service in the federal government over her long career.

As you know, the NRC has undergone substantial changes over
the past number of years as a result of reduced budgets and workforce to reflect
the changing nuclear power industry. But our human capital programs actually
have remained agile to ensure that we have the right people, with the right skill
sets, at the right time.

Today we're going to focus our presentation on the future and how we can take advantage of what I will call the burgeoning optimism in the organization, as we embark on new initiatives related to transformation, organization restructuring and adoption of new technologies.

This morning you're going to hear about some innovative human, strategic human capital solutions that is going to improve the way we recruit, develop and train our employees, advance a 21st century workforce and ensure our ability to meet our mission now, and into the future.

But before we get there, let me take a few moments to provide a couple of observations about the state of human capital at the NRC. One of the most, on the slide you can see, one of the most significant changes has been the reduction in our onboard numbers, which has decreased roughly 21 percent since 2015.

Despite these reductions, the Agency has continued to meet its safety and security mission and has achieved a high degree of organizational performance.

Next slide. Attrition this year is projected to be slightly higher than six percent. As of March, the NRC had lost approximately 100 staff and is

1	projected to loss another 80 by the end of the fiscal year. We anticipate this trend
2	to be relatively stable or slightly higher over the next few years.
3	Knowing our attrition is a key component of our ability to forecast
4	hiring. And if attrition continues at this level or higher, we will need to adjust our
5	hiring accordingly.
6	We're also monitoring exit survey data with respect to
7	resignations and transfers or staff to determine if there are any developing trends.
8	Thus far in Fiscal Year '19 there seems to be a slight increase in the resignation
9	and transfer of technical staff from the program offices.
10	We have not seen that trend in the corporate offices or in the
11	regions. This is just new information.
12	Next slide. You've seen this slide many years and there's no
13	surprises here. The organization, as it continues to contract, is also continuing to
14	age.
15	As you can see, 26 percent of the Agency's population will be
16	eligible to retire by the end of this fiscal year. Subsequently, retirement eligibility
17	increases by roughly four percent each year thereafter. This is consistent with
18	historical trends over the past several years.
19	But if you go a few years forward, roughly 40 percent of the
20	Agency's current population will be eligible to retire by 2022.
21	Next slide. This situation is further amplified when looking at
22	the age distribution of NRC staff, which is currently out of balance and not
23	unexpected, given the lack of entry level hiring over the past few years.

1	As you can see in this chart, there is a disproportionately small
2	number of staff below the age of 30. Jason will address the implication of this
3	imbalance in our workforce and our plans to reinvigorate our entry level hiring
4	programs.
5	Next slide. If you only look at our current state without

Next slide. If you only look at our current state without understanding our journey, one would think that we've been stagnant and that we have not planned for the future. But that is far from true.

Our human capital programs have continually evolved to meet the changing NRC needs, and in fact, the Office of Personnel Management, in a recent meeting, recognized us for our many innovative human capital initiatives. I'll go through a few of them at this time.

The first one is strategic workforce planning. We're currently in the final stages of Phase 2 of our enhanced process. Which covers about 80 percent of the NRC workforce.

The initial observation of this pilot are similar to what we saw, I'm sorry, let me start again.

The initial observation of the enhanced process are similar to what we experienced with the pilot last year. And that is that we can use information gained from strategic workforce planning to inform hiring decisions, making sure that we are hiring training and retaining individuals in the areas where we expect to have work in the future.

The initial observations of the pilot are that we can use SWP to inform our hiring decisions and where we will have work.

1	Next slide. I'm sorry, not next slide. Go back to competency
2	modeling, my fault. Susan Abraham is going to provide more insight into this area
3	during her presentation, but this is another initiative that's going to be able to tell
4	us where staff can develop in current positions or in new positions in the future.
5	With respect to strengthening leadership development, we
6	should be proud of our efforts to establish a strong and diverse CDP, where in fact
7	several of our candidates have secured rotations with federal agencies to enhance
8	their external awareness and bring back best practices.
9	We have also instituted a branch chief rotational program where
LO	aspiring GG-14 leaders are able to compete for temporary promotions and to
L1	branch chief positions vacated by those who are in the candidate development
L2	program.
L3	We also continue to participate in government-wide
L4	developmental programs, such as the White House leadership development
L5	program and the CXO Fellows Program.
L6	Finally, we continue to support the Agency's organizational
L7	culture initiatives through the implementation of the leadership model, our Speed
L8	of Trust courses. And in Fiscal Year '20, we will be launching our new cultures
L9	and values course.
20	In closing, it's an exciting time to be working in the human capital
21	area within the NRC. It is a time of opportunity and a time of investment.
22	I would now like to turn the presentation over to Jason.
23	MR. SHAY: Thank you, Miriam. Good morning, Chairman,

hoon	morning	Comp	niccior	10
good	morning	COITIII	1155101	15.

Thank you for the opportunity to update you on our entry level hiring strategies. Human capital management has evolved at the NRC and it must continue to evolve if the Agency wants to develop a 21st century workforce to accomplish the work of the future.

While we appreciate the dedicated and highly experienced staff currently with the Agency, prudence dictates that we plan for their inevitable departure.

Consequently, the Agency is pursuing strategies for a demographically balanced workforce, including investing in entry level hires in order to continue to meet our critical regulator and safety mission.

Next slide please. The NRC typically defines and entry level hire as someone who is hired into a professional or technical position at the GG-5 through GG-11 grade level, with a full promotion level to a GG-13.

As in March 2019, the NRC had a total of 14 entry level staff within the Agency. We currently have an additional 12 entry level hires that either in the process of being hired or have onboard subsequently.

While the Agency continues to remain proactive in implementing its hiring strategies, the demographics continue to shift as their workforce ages. The next slide shows the percentages and number of staff by age group.

As you can see in the chart, only 1.8 percent, or 52 of our staff, go back one please. Go back one slide. Thank you.

As you can see in the chart, only 1.8 percent, or 52 of our staff,

are below the age of 30.	While the number of empl	oyees' government-wide,
below the age of 30, is now	approximately six percent.	The lowest it's been in a
decade.		

Compounding this issue, the NRC workforce continues to age and a number of employees at or nearing retirement increases every year. As indicated in the chart, the number of staff under the age of 30 is severely out of proportion with the rest of the age groups.

In addition, the number of staff above the age of 50 represents 56 percent of the NRC's population. The next slide further exhibits the age groups by functional area.

Next slide. The chart on this slide represents age groups by functional area. As the chart indicates, staff under the age of 30 represent a very small percentage of the Agency's population. Most significantly in corporate support offices.

In addition, staff under the age of 40 represent 24 percent of the technical offices and 16 percent of the corporate office respectively. As compared to 45 percent of the legal staff.

While these imbalances certainly present some unique challenges for the Agency, we're embarking on new ways of planning for and investing in a more balanced 21st century workforce.

Next slide please. The Agency's current state of human capital is a result of activities implemented to strategically manage our workforce during a period of right-sizing and declining budgets.

1	While these actions were necessary and have helped us
2	restructure our workforce, they also resulted in a significant number of reduction in
3	the pipeline of entry level hires.
4	Further impacting the pipeline, the nuclear safety professional
5	development program was suspended in FY 2016, with the last participant
6	graduating from the program in FY 2017.
7	In addition, and as of late, hiring managers tend to prefer mid-
8	career level hires and they require less training, in some cases have industry
9	experience and thus are perceived as being immediately more productive upon
10	hiring.
11	Lastly, as Miriam touched upon in her remarks, attrition for the
12	Agency continues to hover around six percent. The next slide shows the attrition
13	by age group for FY 2018 and FY 2019 year to date.
14	Next slide. As depicted in FY 2018, the number of staff under
15	the age of 30 declined by roughly 12 percent or by ten staff. Second only to staff
16	60 and over, which declined by roughly 14 percent.
17	Of the ten individuals under the age of 30 who are either
18	resigned or transferred to another Agency, six were technical staff, two were
19	corporate support staff and two were administrative assistants.
20	When research was conducted as to why they way, via exit
21	survey data, the two items referenced the most were higher pay and career
22	advancements.
23	Next slide please. Federal hiring will likely be challenging over

1	the next couple of years as low unemployment, shortages of highly skilled talent								
2	and longer times to hire put pressure on agencies to hire, locate and retain highly								
3	qualified personnel.								
4	Additionally, the new workforce has certain expectations in the								
5	workplace. For example, while the Generation Z workforce desires high tech								
6	solutions, they also desire more face-to-face communications. Especially when it								
7	comes to supportive leadership and building relationships among co-workers.								
8	The NRC most invest in the softer skills of leadership to ensure								
9	we meet the expectations of our future workforce.								
10	Additionally, work-life balance is critically important to both the								
11	current and new workforce. Supporting telework, flexible work schedules and								
12	promoting health and wellness will go a long way towards the NRC remaining								
13	competitive as a desirable place to work.								
14	It should come as no surprise that the new workforce also values								
15	high tech solutions. Which includes the how they learn and develop.								
16	For example, Millennials and Generation Z's approach to								
17	problem solving and knowledge sharing is quite different than that of previous								
18	generations. They want agile, accessible, instant and collaborative training								
19	development tools.								
20	My colleague, Susan Abraham, will later be discussing a								
21	Wikipage concept that should help the NRC expand its approaches to learning and								

And lastly, and critically important, is a culture of diversity and

development.

22

23

inclusion. In general, today's workforce values both diversity and inclusion as essential elements that drives performance, enhances innovation and are imperative to recruitment and retention.

Initiatives like the diversity dialogue project and participation in equal employment opportunity advisory committees, cultivate and promote the benefits of diverse cultures, demographics, education and experiences, that will help to ensure the NRC is agile and transformative and at the forefront of regulatory change.

Next slide please. The temporary summer student program is used by the Agency to hire college students with various academic disciplines for employments lasting up to 89 days.

These students may be converted into the cooperative education program and ultimately appointed non-competitively to a permanent position within the NRC. In FY 2019, offices requested 75 students. However, we expect to only onboard 34 this summer.

While we've never had a problem recruiting summer hires in the past, recruitment is starting earlier in academic years at colleges and universities.

Resulting in most students selecting internships by December.

Moving forward, we plan to post our vacancy announcements slightly early in the academic year to allow hiring managers to make selections as quickly as possible. Preferably before mid-November.

This strategy aligns with our recruitment schedule and should help the NRC to be more competitive when hiring the best and brightest students.

In addition, the NRCs multi-faceted integrated university program offers funding
for scholarships, fellowships and faculty development grants in nuclear science,
engineering and related trades to colleges and universities.

The NRC has the authority to non-competitively hire students who receive scholarships and fellowships through the IUP. However, since 2007, only 35 grants recipients were permanently hired by the NRC out of approximately 2,300 fellowships and scholarships awarded.

To increase the number of grant recipients hired by the Agency, the Office of the Chief Human Capital officer, in partnership with the Nuclear Regulatory Research, and the Office of Small Business and Civil Rights, plan to more proactively engage in direct outreach with grant recipients to promote the NRC as an employer of choice.

We also anticipate university champions playing a more active role in direct outreach. University champions form alliances with faculty and staff and ensure student awareness of employment opportunities at the NRC.

This academic link helps to create a pipeline of students trained in areas that support the Agency short and long-term goals.

Next slide please. Work has begun on overhauling the current NRC development program. We focus on increasing agility while allowing the program to training the characteristics desired by the new workforce.

Five members of our SES candidate development program have teamed up to develop a modernized developmental program called the Nuclear Regulatory Apprenticeship Network.

As currently outlined, the new apprenticeship network will consist of two phases with the first focused on our regulatory and technical fundamentals. The second and most significant phase will focus on apprenticeships to develop skills with a goal of having new hires perform real work early in their career.

This concept is still in development and is in the process of being socialized with staff and senior Agency leadership. But our intentions to have the new program finalized in time for the 2020 recruitment season, which starts in August.

Correspondingly, the NRCs current marketing campaign is roughly five years old. And as result, we are currently developing the next generation of marketing campaigns and recruitment materials.

Competition to attract highly qualified candidates is fierce. And standing out in a crowded marketplace is crucial if we're going to apply to the best and brightest.

However, a recent focus group session, conducted by our marketing contractors resulted in negative reaction to the IDF federal employment. Sixty-seven percent of the participants felt strongly that federal employment was a mistake, insecure, unreliable and not worth their consideration.

Our solution is to implement an integrated communications and marketing strategy that promotes the Agency strong employer brand. Such a strategy effectively communicates that employees can expect from the NRC as an employer of choice.

1	Lastly, and as plants continue to close prematurely, we see this
2	as a recruitment opportunity to hire impacted employees. Both at the entry and
3	mid-career levels who have industry experience as a potential win-win for both
4	entities.
5	Next slide please. College debt continues to be one of the
6	biggest concerns for the current workforce.
7	Americans currently own an estimated \$1.5 trillion in student
8	loans. So it's no wonder financial stress caused by student loan debt has
9	skyrocketed over the past decade.
10	Expanding the use of the NRC student loan repayment program
11	may help alleviate some of the stress. Which could help attract new applicants,
12	but also retain existing employees who are considering other employment
13	opportunities.
14	Traditionally, the NRC has only used student loan repayments
15	as a retention tool. However, OCHCO is currently exploring the option to use the
16	program as a recruitment tool.
17	The NRC's policy allows for use of student loan repayments to
18	facilitate recruitment and retention of highly or uniquely qualified employees.
19	Under the program, an employee agrees to a specified period of employment with
20	the Agency of not less than three years and return for each payment toward a
21	qualifying student loan previously taken out by the employee.

By using this program as a recruitment tool, we are essentially guaranteeing a certain return on investment in the new employee.

Another way to alleviate stress, financial or otherwise, is through participation and work-life programs. Work-life programs can help employees better harmonize their work and personal lives, which may yield a higher performance, job satisfaction and a commitment to stay with their current employer.

The NRC has several work-life programs that we need to continue to highlight when recruiting new employees. Including telework, flexible work schedules, a health fitness center, employee assistance program and onsite childcare.

Next slide please. The enhanced strategic workforce planning process, mentioned earlier by Miriam, will help the Agency identify what positions we will need in the future based on the type and amount of work projected through the workflow forecast.

As a result, we can better target our entry level hiring and training programs to focus on those areas. This will help to ensure that new hires we bring onboard today will be ready to perform at the skill levels we need, as our more experience staff retire or transfer to other mission critical positions.

In addition, our experience experts will be relied upon to pass along our knowledge and insights through mentorship before departing. However, challenges remain as budgets and workload are projected to continue to decline over the next few years.

Despite a contracting environment, our human capital strategies must consider the importance of a demographically balanced workforce as we

1	strive to hire the best and most qualified staff.
2	If the NRC is going to develop a 21st century workforce to
3	accomplish the work of the future, it must have a health mix of age, race, gender,
4	education experiences if it wants to improve creativity, increase employment
5	engagement, reduce turnover and increase overall organizational performance.
6	That concludes my presentation. I will now turn the
7	presentation over to Susan Abraham, who will be providing an update on learning
8	and development.
9	MS. ABRAHAM: Thank you, Jason. Good morning, Chairman
10	Svinicki and Commissioners.
11	This is my first briefing as the chief learning officer, and it's my
12	pleasure to be here today to present an update on learning and development.
13	The NRC has a proud tradition of investing in our people by
14	providing a strong training program. Our EDOs, including Margie and you, the
15	Commission, ensure that the same people focus and investment on training
16	continues today. Especially in an environment of decreasing budgets and
17	resources.
18	Our technical training, external training, leadership and

quality training program.

19

20

21

22

23

professional development programs continued to be resourced to provide a high-

Next slide please. Go one before that please. Thank you.

The NRC's workforce must have the ability to meet the current mission, be prepared for the future and have the agility to keep up with an evolving

1	workload. Flexibility is needed to meet these overall needs for a workforce
2	composed of individual employees. Each with their talents, learning preferences,
3	work demands and the desire to support, capably support the mission.
4	This presentation focuses on four current workforce
5	investments. Together, the initiatives are modernizing learning and development
6	at the NRC.
7	I will begin with the learning transformation initiative. Next slide
8	please.
9	The learning transformation initiative, LTI, continues to change
LO	the delivery of training from the traditional classroom to online virtual or a blended
L1	solution. LTI addresses the workforce demands for modernization.
L2	With changes in modality, training is more on demand, self-
L3	paced, and when appropriate, prerequisite work is assigned. There is more
L4	autonomy. Employees are better able to balance their training needs and
L5	requirements against their priority, schedules and workload.
L6	LTI saves some classroom training time. The blended solution
L7	for health physics fundamentals is a particularly good example. It's a three week
L8	classroom course that includes one week of lab time before conversion.
L9	With a blended solution, it now takes approximately 20 hours of
20	online training with one week in the lab.
21	LTI also offers significant savings by limiting time away from the
22	job and decreases travel costs.
23	Our team has worked to master the technology and develop and

1	efficient process for course conversions to blended solutions. This experience led
2	us to the insight that technology is not only changing how the students learn, but
3	also changing how the instructors work and teach.
4	Effective instruction is equally important in a blended leaning
5	environment. Our instructors will need to master the new technology and learn to

gauge learn and participation, etcetera.

Essentially, they need the skills to facilitate in a blended learning environment. We built a facilitating blended learning course for our instructors and just piloted it.

be effective with remote learners, conduct virtual training that is still instructor led,

Further conversions, future conversions will integrate the skills and knowledges from the pilot. This was our first insight.

The second insight involves a mindset change. We're shifting out of the traditional training mode where knowledge is pushed to the employee.

With blended learning, employees will have the opportunity to take more ownership of their training. With on demand and self-directed learning, it is up to the employee to complete the courses.

This is a change for the employee who has been in the workforce, particularly NRC. For those entering the workforce, however, there's already a familiarity with the use of technology for the many blended ways of learning.

In summary, as we continue with LTI modernization, we will be better able to provide learning so that each learner can best consume it according

1	to their learning styles and deliver learning when and where the employee needs
2	it.
3	Next slide. NRC's competency models are specific to a job
4	position and are a collection of knowledge, skills and behaviors that describe ar
5	employee's ability to perform the job successfully. Each model is built in
6	collaboration with subject matter experts in the job.
7	Competency models have been built for eight offices. The four
8	regions, NRR, NRO, NMSS and NSIR, that comprise approximately 70 percent of
9	the NRC workforce.
10	Implementation has begun with the rollout of the competency
11	assessment tool to employees and their supervisors. The models match the
12	mission critical core positions that were identified under the strategic workforce
13	planning.
14	Later this summer, a determination will be made on the models
15	to be built for the remaining positions.
16	We're also keeping abreast of competency model development
17	efforts at the federal level. From OPM, the CFO, CIO and acquisition councils
18	and this national initiative for cybersecurity education, to leverage potential use of
19	their models.
20	The models are a tool to improve the job skills of today's
21	workforce. The employees armed with information from the assessment and car
22	plot their learning path to bridge a skill gap or broaden a skill in their current job.

For career mobility, employees will be able to assess themselves

against pertinent models related to their interests in rotations, details and future positions.

The supervisor is similarly armed with information from the assessment to coach, track and develop their team. Supervisors will more easily assess the employee skills and determine how to bridge any skill gaps.

Ownership of talent development resides with the individual employees and his or her supervisor. The assessments enable further communication opportunities between the two and is an example of the face-to-face, high touch expectation mentioned by Jason, that the next generation workforce desires.

In the future, senior managers will be able to use the same assessment information to understand the workforce supply and demand and will shape the competencies for evolving mission requirements and the future workforce.

Senior managers will see trends, training gaps and strengths and forecast training needs when the workload shifts to supposed SWP.

The NRC culture values formal training. However, learning can be provided through other effective means, such as mentoring. Ideally, senior staff, or highly skilled staff with expertise and experience, can provide specific task-based mentoring to an employee in need of developing a competency.

This mentoring mindset focuses on helping the employee to perform successfully in a job role. Setting the mentoring expectation for staff is a major change and challenge for our supervisors and leadership.

In September, OCHCO plans to initiate a pilot of a skill-based mentoring program. In 2020, OCHCO will begin building a competency-based training program, starting with the resident inspector, manual Chapter 1245 qualification program.

With model maturity and usage of the competency models, the assessment data will be used to drive course content and offerings resulting in a more efficient use of training and development resources. This competency-based training program will meet the demand of addressing actual job performance needs and future needs when the workload shifts.

In summary, the modernization that comes with the competencybased training program will help drive productivity and efficiency. At the same time, the workforce will be more empowered, have self-directed career mobility and have more valuable learning.

Next slide please. In 2018, OCHCO strategically undertook the replacement of the learning management system, iLearn, as an opportunity to stretch our tool sets and capabilities for learning and development, by leveraging new technology.

With support from the Office of Administration and Office of the Chief Information Officer, OCHCO required a new solution in January of this year. The new talent management system, TMS, provided through an interagency agreement with OPM, creates an integrated solution for learning management and the competency models and tools.

Beyond that, TMS will introduce additional capabilities with a

new performance appraisal software system that automates the pa	aper process. It
will automate tracking of qualification programs, which is cur	rently a manual
process. And it will house individual development plans.	

In the future, the learning courses and content will also be accessible on mobile devices. A major benefit for the next generation workforce that is attached to their technology.

Implementation will be faced with the first rollout of the learning management system targeted for this summer. The new performance appraisal automation is planned for October, and the remaining capabilities are planned for 2020.

With all these capabilities, the new talent management system will result in a more efficient and cost-effective solution.

Next slide please. Formal training provides one channel for learning. From personal experience, understanding how people learn in real life and the experience of the learning and development industry, we know that there are other valuable methods of learning.

Learning from YouTube with succinct and bite sized how to tutorials, or the Wikipedia, with embedded links for those who have a deep interest in the specific topic are examples of other channels of learning.

NRC is building a collaborative and informal learning channel that also supports knowledge management. Under the auspices of the KM Steering Committee, with Steve West as our current champion, three initiatives were identified to capture critical knowledge and make information and learning

n	$\sim$	r	2	$\sim$	es	CI	n	$\Delta$
- 11	w	_	a	-	53	ы	u	15.

		First, dur	ing Kno	wledge N	Novemb	er, a	new Wiki	tool	will be roll	ed
out	called	Nuclepedia.	We're	excited	about	the	prospect	of	providing	а
colla	aborativ	e platform for le	earning	and knov	wledge.					

In our research, we learned that agencies like NASA started a grassroots effort to collect yearly training information. Eight years later, there are 40,000 pages on their Wiki tool called EVA. It is one of 23 Wiki tools built solely by interested NASA employees to provide valuable information that is easily accessible.

It's a model that we're using today to develop our own Wiki tool.

Second, a collaborative information collection effort has begun with the community at large, the offices.

The knowledge management champions and the offices have been asked to provide information on their critical knowledge topics and topics of interest to their staff.

Third, senior level staff are developing a set of information on the NRC's significant events. The information will be captured as Wiki entries in our Nuclepedia.

After this initial seating of information in the Wiki, we want to see use and adopt of the tool. Like NASA, there may be slow growth in the initial years. The efforts of the KM champions, the SLs and interested staff, will be important.

I will pause now for a video on the NRC's Nuclepedia.

(Video playback started.)
---------------------------

PARTICIPANT: Investing in the future is focusing on one of the agencies most valuable assets, organizational knowledge. And the best way to protect and grow this asset is to have a comprehensive and engaging knowledge management system that gives all staff members access to information when and where they need it, from reliable experts and in the fastest way possible.

While also eliminating barriers such as geography, information silos and outdated information. So, what strategy can be innovative, deliver quick results and be user friendly?

What can provide the Agency with a single source of information? What can be accessible anywhere you need it and be easy to contribute without barriers?

The NRC assembled a team to develop a solution and were inspired by the experience and success of several govern Wiki-based platforms.

All their research and insights went into one clear solution.

Introducing, Nuclepedia, the NRC's Wiki.

Nuclepedia is a new online system for collaborative data sharing.

It facilitates increased communication and collaboration, regardless of geographical location.

Nuclepedia is also a comprehensive database designed to help all NRC staff with their day-to-day activities. So, let's take a look into the future to see Nuclepedia in action.

The commercial grade dedication page was previously

developed through the critical knowledge KM initiative. In order to facilitate the
new DBAI program inspection, by including recent operating experience, NRR
enhanced the page by creating a focus section on generic communications.
The Office of Research, in coordination with NRR, provided the
training to the inspectors. So they logged into Nuclepedia and added those
presentations to the database.
Once the inspections were completed, the inspectors logged into
Nuclepedia and documented the results in a condensed tabulated format.
Experts in the field of commercial dedication, within the Office of New Reactors,
and construction, were invited to the newly established panels.
The results of those panels were included in Nuclepedia. As a
result of the Agency-wide collaboration on this critical knowledge topic, a
comprehensive page is now available to gather information to make informed
decisions.
When will this future start, sooner than you think. Nuclepedia
launches this fall during our annual November celebration.  Nuclepedia, focusing
organizational knowledge, investing in the future.
(Video playback stopped.)
MS. ABRAHAM: That concludes my presentation. I will now
turn it over to Pam Baker.
MS. BAKER: Thank you, Susan. Good morning, Chairman
Svinicki, Commissioners Baran, Caputo, and Wright. And NRC Staff.
I'm delighted to be providing my final commission briefing on the

status of NRC's EEO program and its diversity inclusion efforts. Before jumping into the content, I want to draw attention to the continued excellence of our Small Business Program and the outstanding work of Tony Briggs, Tira Patterson, and Diane Saint.

The commitment of this group is amazing and continues to yield great results as they develop strategic acquisition solutions to meet Agency needs and ease burden on contracting staff, all the while upholding our small business goals.

Two weeks ago, we held our second regional Small Business

Outreach and Matchmaking event in partnership with Region II in Atlanta. Over

350 small businesses registered and heard about opportunities to grow their businesses.

We anticipate similar success from the event as last year, where contacts made then fueled NRC's five out of five, achieving five out of five of its small business goals for FY 2018.

Getting back to human capital. The investment we are making in reskilling our workforce, building competency and reconstituting a viable pipeline, rests on our ability to release the talent we develop and acquire.

An inclusive and empowering workplace enables employees to stay focused on their contributions to the Agency's mission, provide innovative ideas and transform the way we do business.

Next slide. Slide behind. Thank you. Integration occurs when there is awareness of both individual and organizational biases and

deliberate actions are taken to remove exclusionary barriers.

The efforts of our EEO community, which includes our advisory committees, resource and infinity groups, continues to reinforce inclusion. As we adopt the predict behaviors of the leadership model, the Passport Series, collaboratively sponsored by these groups, has been highly effective in demonstrating the workplace application of behaviors such as participative decision making and risk tolerance.

Our Diversity Management Advisory Council and DIALOGUE

Ambassadors have spread the word and encourage involvement in this week's futures JAM.

As Jason already mentioned, our entry level hiring strategy includes the recruitment targeting of those recipients over our continuing integrated university program and the concluding minority serving institution grant program in order to facilitate speeder efforts for employment. Offers for employment.

True inclusion comes when it is part of how we work. Our EEO communities' recent efforts have successfully linked special emphasis program events to mission operations.

Our Women's history month luncheon was held during the RIC and featured the president and CEO of the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission.

In April, our Native American Advisory Committee partnered with the NMSS Tribal Liaison Team in hosting a viewing and discussion of the film, with the filmmaker of Dawnland, a documentary of stolen children and the first truth and reconciliation commission for Native Americans.

1	And just last week, in celebration of Pride month, we held safe
2	spaces training on insights for being a LGBTQ+ ally.
3	As these collaborations continue to fuel the NRC's progress in
4	becoming more inclusive, we still experience misunderstandings that can create
5	discord in the workplace.
6	Next slide. As NRC transforms and prepares for the future by
7	promoting innovative change, our success is highly dependent upon the
8	environment that spawns trust and is inclusive.
9	As we increase our awareness of our differences, we need to
10	recognize that our unfamiliarity with each other can, at times, distract us from our
11	mission.
12	By giving folks outlet to resolve issues early, conflicts don't
13	fester. Which in turn aids in sustaining positive work relationships.
14	Our dialogue, diversity and inclusion awareness, leading
15	organizational growth, understanding an engagement, Ambassadors are our front
16	line. The 200 staff and managers who have completed the program and work
17	throughout headquarters and in Region III, seek and our sought by their colleagues
18	in conversations that help to vent tensions.
19	EEO counselors serve as our second line. These employees
20	are trained and highly committed in helping disagreeing parties achieve better
21	understanding of each other.
22	Recognizing the long tenure of the current counselor cadre,
23	SBCR is in the process of revamping the program by soliciting new members and

focusing	on training	during our	EEO conference	to be held in A	uaust
locusing	on daning	during our	LLO CONTENENCE		lugust.

We employ additional resources with our EEO and antiharassment trainers and speed of trust facilitators. These groups provide skills training and information to work across differences collegially and respectfully.

Through the SBCR civil rights program, we employ mediators to assist parties in finding common ground to resolve differences. The recent executive order precluding the removal of permanent records from personnel files, as terms of settlement agreements, has required greater creativity in resolving complaints.

Changes to our process have also increased activity and effectiveness of our alternative dispute resolution program, ADR.

Next slide. Since the 2017 equal employment opportunity commission technical assistant visit, we have been making significant changes to our ADR program to meet the EEOCs objective of resolving complaints proactively by encouraging the use of ADR.

One major change was communicating the expectations for supervisors and manager participation. In our EEO refresher training for supervisors, we explained that if ADR is requested by a complainant and there are no other conflicts, managers are required to participate.

We also revised our EEO counselor checklist to better clarify that ADR is available and offered.

This chart compares ADR activity between FY 2018 and FY 2019 to date. As you can see, our efforts to clarify and promote the program have

Τ	aiready resulted in a significant increase in activity and subsequent settlements.
2	In fact, for this fiscal year, we have already exceeded the
3	EEOC's goal of 50 percent participation.
4	With additional communications and program enhancements,
5	including a yellow announcement and a revised ADR manual, we will keep
6	monitoring the program for effectiveness via feedback. Unfortunately, however,
7	ADR remediation does not resolve every complaint.
8	Next slide. As you view this familiar chart from year-to-year, I
9	think it's important to point out what it is exactly depicted here.
LO	In our process, complaints must first go through the informal
L1	stage. This is the stage where our counselors strive to resolve the issues and we
L2	encourage mediation, including ADR.
L3	If there is no resolution, the complainant can choose to pursue a
L4	formal complaint. Thus, these numbers reflect the influx of complaints into our
L5	process rather than how many complaints we are currently working.
L6	An important to thing to understand is, informal complaints may
L7	transition to formal complaints based on the filing time frame requirements. Some
L8	of the 13 informal complaints received thus far this year are also counted in part
L9	with the ten formal complaints listed.
20	Also included in that ten are FY 2018 informal complaints that
21	were filed as formal complaints in 2019.
22	In addition to monitoring the intake of complaints, we also exam
23	the nature of the complaints when assessing our programs effectiveness.

Next slide please. In examining complaint activity more closely,
NRC's overall activity remains low in comparison with other federal agencies of
similar size.

However, as our staffing levels have decreased through the years, complaint activity has not trended in the same proportion. This is to be expected as our organization goes through some major changes.

The top three bases for complaints, age, gender and retaliation, have remained the same through the past few years. We are seeing an increasing trend in race-based complaints, while complaints alleging discrimination based on a disability are declining.

The top three issues of complaints are also consistent with previous years and reflective of the impact of organizational changes as offices and regions reduce staffing levels, reorganize and retool skills.

In going through these changes, it is important to be transparent, fair, respectful and supportive, so that employees do not perceive or realize inequity in assuming new duties or in how their performance is appraised.

The extent to which we collectively practice and demonstrate these traits in the workplace determines the inclusiveness of our organization.

Next slide. The above model depicts the evolution of an inclusion as cultural competency develops. In emphasizing the importance of being proactive and resolving misunderstandings and conflicts, I find this helpful in understanding both the progress the NRC has made along with identifying where more work is required.

Although my earlier examples highlight our EEO's communities
efforts to integrate with mission work and organizational initiatives, EEO complain
activity indicates that pockets in the NRC remain along the left side of this
continuum

It is at the ambivalent stage where more work is needed to encourage attitude changes and knowledge in order to progress to the stages on the right side of the slide.

In preparing for the futures JAM this week, the team pointed to how our stories create our culture. During an executive leadership seminar earlier this month on influence, the facilitator explained that individuals rarely change just by being told. Rather, they are more influenced vicariously through the experience of others, and most influenced by direct experience.

Sharing our stories enables others to see things from different perspectives and encourage new experiences for ourselves. The leadership model cites behaviors to expand our capacity for diversity of thought, which enables us to adjust and reconcile individual beliefs as we seek greater knowledge and reassess risk.

Where we find those who are actively inclusive and demonstrate leadership at all levels, we need to recognize and showcase their actions for others to see and model.

For example, instead of passively forwarding your branch chief an email about your advisory committee's event, personally invite them to attend with you. In continuing with dialogue cohorts, our Ambassadors can share their

own personal experier	ce i	in the	program	to	solicit	new	membership.	Or no	ЭW
participants in the prog	am.								

As the NRC embarks on its journey towards the future by transforming how we accomplish our mission through innovation, we must also be deliberate in our actions to be inclusive.

Next slide please. Changing tone. While there's only been three years since I first came onboard as the SBCR director, it has been quite a ride, as depicted here in this metaphorical cartoon.

I want to first extend my true appreciation to the NRC's leadership in taking a risk and affording me the opportunity to serve this Agency in the role of the SBCR director. I've experienced both highs and lows, unexpected twist and turns but survived it all.

I will soon exit my last position after more than 38 years in federal service with an afterglow of exhilaration from the experience, just like the end of a coaster ride.

I came to this position with a personal intent to have a seat at the table, be influential and most, mostly importantly, make a difference. I never lost my focus to work collaboratively while also having the courage to be that one lone or first voice offering a different perspective.

Having recently placed my parent's house on the market the phrase, good bones, comes to mind when I reflect on the changes that I've seen, help facilitate, or actually steered into happening. I was fortunate to build upon a strong foundation of value driven principles that permeate throughout this Agency.

1	In building upon these good bones, I strive to lead the SBCR
2	office and our EEO community through several unexpected challenges while
3	celebrating outstanding success.
4	Things I am most thankful for and proud to be a part of include,
5	the powerful success of DIALOGUE and its impact on individuals in the workplace.
6	I ask you to continue to hone empathy for each other.
7	I'm proud of the Agency achieving superior excellence in its
8	small business program through its partnering and long-range mission. Vision.
9	The empowerment, energy, ingenuity, collaboration of the
10	advisory committees, affinity, and resource groups has been amazing. It has
11	been an honor to attend their inspirational and highly relevant programs.
12	These committed employees redefined our special emphasis
13	program, beyond just cultural awareness and serve as our innovators and opinion
14	leaders. Keep listening to what they have to tell you.
15	I'm encouraged and believe the changes made to have greater
16	representation in the SES is so important in how we shape the Agency's future,
17	and I hope it continues to cascade to our development of our feeder group into
18	leadership.
19	There's so many smiles, tears, laughs and exchanges I have had
20	with so many that my briefing time does not permit me to name them all. So I'll
21	just thanks again for a great ride.
22	I'll now turn things over, back to Margie Doane, our executive
23	director. Thank you.

1	MS. DOANE: Thanks, Pam. So, take a second here, that was
2	beautiful.
3	The Staff today provided you with an overview of our plans to
4	attract and retain topnotch workforce that will enable us to sustain our Agency now
5	and into the future.
6	We believe that through the reinvigoration of our entry leve
7	hiring programs, our innovative learning approaches and by using modern
8	development tools, we can attract new professionals into the NRC.
9	Throughout our transformation, we will continue a strong focus
10	on equal employment opportunity and diversity and inclusion. To ensure that our
11	workforce is valued and fully engaged.
12	This concludes our presentation and we welcome you
13	questions.
14	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you very much, Margie, and to
15	all of you who presented. And to, Pam, I agree, that was very thoughtful and
16	moving. Thank you very much for that.
17	I hope there weren't a whole lot of tears. I'm like, that only go
18	me a couple of But we all have our challenging days here, so I appreciate you
19	being so candid about that.
20	And I know a lot of folks helped you all develop the
21	presentations, and they help you do all the work that you talked about today, so
22	want to offer my thanks to all of them as well. Some of whom are probably of
23	Jamming and some who are here in the room. So thank you for the work that you

1	do.
2	It's easy to figure out the order of recognition today because I go
3	first. So, let me dive right in.
4	I do want to note, because Pam mentioned it, and sometimes we
5	do specifically present on the Small Business Program, here we didn't, wasn't one
6	of the topics today, but I just want to continue to call out the outstanding exceptional
7	performance of the NRC in that program, which is recognized throughout the
8	government. So thank you, Pam, for highlighting that, although it wasn't one of
9	our briefing topics today.
10	I'm going to turn to kind of this Slide 7. I'm sure my colleagues
11	probably also have a strong interest in kind of the demographic spread of the
12	Agency.
13	Miriam, you talked about this, Jason built on it a bit. And Susan
14	also talked about kind of what are the emphasis of the, perhaps rising generation
15	of professionals and what's most meaningful to them in terms of their retention and
16	the gratification they derive from their work and their career.
17	So, let me just start with the numbers though. We're looking at

I guess when the numbers are small it just takes a very small change in order to drive the percentage down again.

it and, again, very noteworthy is the small slice of under 30 employees, which is, I

think, now every 1.8 percent. Every time I ask about it, it was like 2, then it was

18

19

20

21

22

23

1.9, 1.8.

But we make a presumption. I think, Miriam, you said that

1	attrition is at about six percent overall and our forecasts are that it will be
2	consistent. Can you talk a little bit about, are we just saying that's the recent trend
3	so we're pushing that into the forecast or is there something in HR space that you
4	based that on?
5	MS. COHEN: So, I'll start and then Jason can correct me,
6	which I'm sure he will. So, we tried to look at attrition in non-early out buyout years
7	to see what the trends are.
8	And so, clearly as the workforce ages, the retirement eligibility
9	increases and therefore the average age increases. But I think we're looking
10	generally at consistency in terms of attrition over the years.
11	So I think attrition is a little bit higher. I think we forecasted
12	around six percent, and I think it's a little bit higher.
13	We've looked at how long people stay after they're eligible,
14	because people look at the eligibility date and they get alarmed, oh my gosh,
15	everyone can leave. But people generally stay here maybe up to five years after
16	their retirement eligibility.
17	And in fact, later on we're going to be talking with senior
18	management in the Agency about how we can look at actual attrition in the offices
19	to see how that impacts the Agency in terms of where you can actually hire.
20	Because not every office has the same attrition, right?
21	So we know that the lawyers, there tends to be more attrition in
22	OGC. Other offices may be different.
23	And so, I think when you're looking to project attrition, it's not a

1	one size fits all. So we're trying to actually employee more use of data analytics
2	to fine tune where attrition may be in different parts of the Agency. Jason, I'll let
3	you
4	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: And if I can jump in there, because
5	you've keyed on the exact kind of train of dominos that I was thinking about here
6	in my questions. Because the other chunk, if you add kind of 50 to 59 and over
7	60, you've got over 50 percent of the NRC employees.
8	I know that in senior leadership positions, leaders here are
9	requested to give a somewhat high-fidelity forecast. Not on their eligibility for
10	retirement but what is their actual life planning and what are they thinking about.
11	But for the broader NRC employee, how would you characterize
12	our understanding of the general breakdown of how long after eligibility people
13	stay?
14	You mentioned five years but then you're indicating it varies kind
15	of by whatever subject matter, if they're technical people we might have a different
16	number. So, could you build on that?
17	You were already heading that direction but I'm kind of getting
18	to, if that's 50 percent of our employees, if we had a better forecasting tool of when
19	they might leave, that would be something that you could feedback into the hiring
20	and the development of any skills gaps.
21	MR. SHAY: Yes. So, we're currently in development of a new
22	model that takes attrition back five years, per office, per pay period, to kind of help

us forecast what attrition would look like in the future.

And so, that provides good insights into what we're forecasting.
We're also taking look at the impacts of budget reductions as a model. Because
really, what the two variable show is it gives us some insights in how we can hire
per office.

And to your point, it's generally roughly five years is about the average across the organization. There are pockets individually within the organization, within each individual offices. But we try to highlight the five years as a mechanism that we can measure against.

But that model is something that is going to help us, I think, tremendously in the future in terms of where, informing offices of where they can hire, informing the organization holistically, how many we can hire per organization. And we can tweak the variables to, if the budget changes or attrition starts to spike, we can change those things and see how the model runs through and update on an actual biweekly basis.

CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Well, and ideally, just to take that to the next step then, if you had good analytics on that, in areas where we might not have more than three or four individuals in a competency that we really need, I always use technical examples, so I'll use one in a legal example.

We do have certain labor law issues that we need someone in OGC who is kind of coming along with a great depth of knowledge, maybe even corporate history of how NRC's approaches and polices have been developed over the years.

And so, if you have a better sense of where the one or two

1	people who might have built that core competency, what their planning was, you
2	could begin to make even reskilling and retraining of current OGC staff and you
3	could say, five years out I need to start having someone mentor or shadow that
4	other really knowledgeable individual.
5	MR. SHAY: And it's not just the data also, it's the critical
6	conversations that our staff need to have with the hiring managers within the
7	organization. So, the quick, the more quickly they can let us know that someone
8	is planning to exit or if there any assumptions regarding that, we can help them
9	mitigate that issue that you're referring to as quickly as possible.
LO	It's not ideal, but sometimes people just, I'm retiring. You know
L1	
L2	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Yes, suddenly.
L3	MR. SHAY: it's kind of one of those.
L4	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you.
L5	MR. SHAY: Catch 22s.
L6	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: As I sometimes say, this isn't kind of
L7	the closed cities of the Soviet Union where nuclear workers were kept kind of and
L8	told when they could come and go. So, we're not like that here.
L9	All right, Margie, go ahead.
20	MS. DOANE: No, no. On that note, no, we're not like that. So
21	I know you probably want to go
22	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Everyone is watching Chernobyl on
23	HBO, so these things are front of mind, aren't they?

1	(Laughter.)
2	MS. DOANE: Right. So, I just wanted to say that the offices,
3	the program offices and other offices, like you were talking about OGC, are pairing
4	with the, our human resource offices, and also with SBCR and others, to ensure
5	that we are tackling the really tough questions.

Because, a lot of things that might spur attrition in this time would be a lot of change. So we have things that are different.

But we've had change going on for several years. So, you have those age demographics, but you also have change. So there's a lot of pairing with offices to make sure that when we do through, say strategic workforce planning, identify a gap that we can put in place all of these different strategies.

So, there may not be, I think what Jason and Miriam are emphasizing is that we can't have necessarily a one size fits all for every problem that arises, we need to really have this team approach.

CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Well, and let me turn to Susan's presentation then. I referenced that earlier.

I see that as being a foundational pillar to what we're trying to do in workforce shaping, going forward, is the training. People being much more empowered over their own career trajectory.

I don't like to stereotype about the kind of entering or rising generation of professionals, but I think owning their own, building a resume and owning the competencies and having the training and development opportunities is something of emphasis, I think, to that generation.

1	And as we all, it's very visible to all of us, the retirement of iLearn
2	and things that are pending here. But I was learning a little bit more about the
3	talent management system, and as you build in, you know, both development
4	plans.
5	So it really takes all the pieces together. It's kind of my
6	individual development plan of where I want to go, the training and developmental
7	opportunities that would get me there.
8	And then the supervisor having the ability to have knowledge of
9	what the desire is, the direction people want to go. Building that in to having really
10	meaningful conversations at mid-year and performance review time.
11	I see all of that potential in that tool. And I think it's exciting that
12	these things, as you mentioned, Susan, were contemplated and in development
13	for a while.
14	But I think part of the energy I sense her at NRC is that they're
15	becoming more visible and more real. And is there anything you would want to
16	add on that notion of kind of this overall empowerment through what you're
17	developing?
18	MS. ABRAHAM: I do want to make one point, which is, the
19	point about making training accessible in the time of need. And that's where I
20	think productivity and efficiency will be realized.
21	So, with LTI and the development of the competency models,
22	having the right knowledge when we need it through blended learning, that's going

to be also a great added benefit.

1	So, making training accessible in the time that we need, that's
2	where we want to go with it.
3	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Well, thank you for that, I think it's an
4	important piece. And, again, my thanks.
5	I think all of the topics today just really are integrated and build
6	on each other. It's a very thoughtful and comprehensive direction that all of your
7	programs are setting, so thank you for that. And with that, I'll turn it over to
8	Commissioner Baran.
9	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Thanks. Well, I appreciate the
10	Chairman's questions and the Staff's focus on the entry level hiring problem.
11	This is a major challenge for the Agency. When I look at a
12	graph of new hires, it's one of the documents that was in the background briefing
13	book. There it is. That's our hiring trends.
14	It shows that the total number of new hires is minimal. And it
15	has been for years. And as a result, only two percent, or I guess 1.8 percent
16	employees, of NRC employees are younger than 30 years old.
17	That is way too low. And it is a clear indication that we are not
18	doing enough entry level hiring.
19	For the long-term health of the Agency, we need to bring new
20	talent into NRC through increased external hiring. This Agency is going to
21	continue to have an important health and safety mission in 2030, 2040, 2050 and
22	beyond. And we're going to need a skilled and vibrant workforce in that future.
23	And we are not doing nearly enough hiring today to get where

1	we need to be. This is a big deal. It is, I think, probably our number one
2	enterprise risk.
3	At the same time, we are currently under running on FTEs by
4	186 FTE. Congress provided funds for these FTEs. We're just not using those
5	available funds.
6	That's a big problem because there is important mission related
7	work that is not getting done or is moving much more slowly than it should.  There
8	are examples from all across the Agency.
9	Because we're short staffed, reviews of spent fuel criticality
LO	license amendment requests are taking 20 months to complete instead of 12
L1	months.
L2	Reviews of alternate source term licensing requests are taking
L3	18 months instead of 12 months. Because we don't have sufficient staffing, the
L4	metrics for fuel cycle facility license amendment acceptance reviews have gone
L5	red.
L6	Tribal policy guidance have been delayed. So have MPEP
L7	guidance updates. Updates to fuel, neutronics and thermal hydraulic computer
L8	codes have been delayed, as have updates to SPAR models.
L9	We used to have a pool of potential future resident inspectors in
20	the region, now we don't. We don't have enough cyber or physical security
21	specialists.
22	The IG just did a report on the cyber aspect of that, it's right here,

and the IG found, "if staffing levels and skill sets do not align with cybersecurity

1	inspection workload requirements, NRC's ability to adapt to a dynamic threat
2	environment and detect problems with licensee cybersecurity programs could be
3	compromised."
4	The Office of Research doesn't have enough material engineers,
5	fire protection engineers or experts in the areas of nuclear fuels, neutronics and
6	internal dosimetry.
7	Region I is apparently so short staffed that instead of holding a
8	separate in person annual assessment meeting that each of the five Pennsylvania
9	nuclear power plants, they decided to hold just one online meeting for the whole
10	state.
11	This is by no means an exhausted list of cases where our current
12	staffing is not sufficient to meet our current workload. So, in a lot of cases we're
13	triaging rather than meeting the workload.
14	And as you can probably tell, I'm very concerned about this. I
15	think every office should be figuring out what work we have been deferring that we
16	should be doing or what projects could be completed more quickly if sufficient
17	resources were devoting to them.
18	It requires a change in mindset. NRC has reduced its workforce
19	by 21 percent during the last five years a cut, cut, cut mentality. But we need to
20	do the work we have. We need to do the work Congress funded us to do.
21	So, I've been talking a lot. Margie, what do you think about this
22	and do you share these concerns?
23	MS. DOANE: Yes, I share the concerns.

1	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Good answer.
2	(Laughter.)
3	MS. DOANE: I share the concerns that we have to build the
4	workforce for the future. I do believe that we are getting our mission done today
5	and that we have the skills that are necessary to do the work today. I understand
6	the things that you've listed, and much of that has been a conscious re-prioritization
7	to utilize the staff that we have in the best way that we think we should.
8	But we are maintaining public health and safety common
9	defense and security. So, I don't, but that is
10	COMMISSIONER BARAN: That's, I mean, that's the question
11	I'm asking here. When we're under running by 186 FTE, from what Congress
12	funded us, why are we deferring this work? Why are we delaying this work?
13	This is actual workload. The whole enterprise over the last
14	several years has been about matching resources to workload. The resources
15	are there, we don't have the FTEs to do the workload in front of us.
16	How is the Staff going to identify the full list of funded work that
17	is being unnecessarily deferred or delayed?
18	MS. DOANE: So, I think we actually have, and we've continued
19	to improve this, I think we have actually an outstanding way of addressing the work
20	and making sure that we have the resources that are necessary to complete it.
21	And there really are conscious decisions to put off certain work that isn't as high or
22	prioritization, as high of priority.
23	So, the high priority work, even the medium priority work, is

Τ	getting done. There are things that are put off as you, when you don't have
2	resources, and that has been a conscious choice
3	COMMISSIONER BARAN: But we do have resources. We're
4	under running by 186 FTE.
5	MS. DOANE: You're right.
6	COMMISSIONER BARAN: The resources are there. Are you
7	making a conscious decision not to use the resources Congress has appropriated
8	to do the work?
9	MS. DOANE: In some ways. I want to be open about this.
10	In some ways we are not hiring as quickly as we had in the past because we were
11	being very austere knowing that the work of the Agency would be coming down
12	because plants were closing.
13	And so, we were very careful about how to do out hiring. And
14	that's how we ended up not doing any new hiring. And now we are turning that
15	around.
16	So those numbers, that gap, will be closed. Will it be closed
17	down to zero, no. We will always have this attrition that we're working with
18	because of the demographics, and we'll always have then the time it takes to hire.
19	But yes, we are focused on it. We're focused on closing that
20	gap, definitely with respect to new hires. And also, looking at the gaps that we
21	have through strategic workforce planning, looking at the skill gaps that we'll have
22	in the future, making sure that we're getting those skills in or retraining and
23	reskilling.

So, yes, we know that this is an issue, yes	, we are concentrating
on it. We have new hiring that will be coming up in the fall.	And we're continuing
to hire as we speak.	

COMMISSIONER BARAN: Well, I know this a focus of yours, and I appreciate that. And, Miriam, if you have thoughts, I'm really very interested in hearing them too.

The Chairman was asking about attrition, and six percent attrition is a little higher than it's been, but I think that's pretty typical. But if we're under running an FTE now by 186 of what Congress has funded us and we're looking at six percent attrition for the year, if the hiring on the other side is ten, 15, 20, then the Agency shrunk by another five percent this year, right, and we're going to be under running by even more going forward.

So, I am concerned that the level of, I like the focus that you now have on entry level hiring, I appreciate that, I know it's been a certain focus for the last few years and as a recognition we got to start thinking about it a little differently. I'm worry about the magnitude of the hiring and whether it's anywhere near adequate.

And whether we are able to quickly get to an appropriate level of hiring. If six percent of people are leaving every year and we're hiring far fewer than that, we're just going to keep this decline going.

And the work is there. I mean, I think it's become a mantra, oh, the work is drying up. I think if I talked to a few more people I could give you a list twice as long as the list I just gave you, of work that is here that we're not doing.

\//o!ro	inat	nat	daina	:4
We're	Just	HOL	uonig	Iι.

The resources are there and we're not doing the work. And so,

I think that's a problem. And when you pair that with a big gap in entry level hiring,

it's kind of a mystery why it's a problem.

I don't know, Miriam, do you have thoughts or, Margie, on that, on how are we going to get to the right level of entry level hiring? We can't be too timid about it or we're going to shrink to the point we can't do the work.

MS. COHEN: So, I can't speak to the workload issue, I think that Margie tackled that as best she knows based on what she's hearing.

The work, so, we're in the beginning stages of strategic workforce planning and we know that we have received some external criticism for our ability to adequately forecast our workload. So, when the 20, Fiscal Year '19 budget was done in Fiscal Year '17, maybe the world was a little bit different.

And I can't speak to what happened then versus where we are now, but everything that we're seeing based on Agency budgets now and into the future, said that maybe the workload is declining. And, again, where we work, people aren't coming to us and saying, I need people to do this work.

But we do know that we have a demographic imbalance. So I'm, from my perspective, I'm concerned with the numbers that show in 2022 we really could reach a problem if all the people that are eligible to retire, retire.

I'm looking at decreased workload, based on what we're seeing in workload forecasts that are coming in from the offices. I'm also mindful of, and this is not my area of expertise, is we have NEMA, we have control points, which

are	aoina	to	constrain	our	ability	/ to	hire	as	well	
alc	quiliq	w	COHSHAIL	Oui	aviiity	, 10	11111	as	WCII	

So, I think we have to be mindful of that environment. And so, we want to make sure that we have the people to do the work that we think is going to be there in the future.

And addressing the imbalance in the age is a huge deal. I think the question is, is how quickly do you turn on the entry level hiring spigot and for how many given where the Agency is going. So, I don't know if that helps a little bit.

COMMISSIONER BARAN: No, I appreciate that. And there's no question that in certain areas our workload has gone down, but that's why the appropriations have gone down.

And the concern I'm raising is a little separate which is, we're way under running our appropriation. The appropriate reflects the reduced workload, now we're dramatically under running it.

And there's work left on the table we're not doing, and it's not a lack of resources, the resources are there, it's not an absence of workload, the works there, we're just not doing it. And we're not doing it because we don't have enough people in a lot of cases to do it.

Or, in some of these examples, why is it taking 20 months to do a review instead of 12 months, we've got one person with a skill set there. And that person may be fabulous, but is basically the pinch point on that.

Why do we have one person, why haven't we hired a second person. And so, I think we've got to be thinking, you know, some of what you're

1	talking about is all cooked into the appropriation. And now we're just way below
2	the appropriation utilization of FTE.
3	MS. DOANE: Yes, we
4	COMMISSIONER BARAN: So, but, Margie, if you wanted to
5	say something, I don't want to cut you off.
6	MS. DOANE: No, you're right, we are below. We know the
7	numbers, we know exactly what you're seeing, we know those areas.
8	And some of it is we're trying to also change to work smarter and
9	to do this work differently. And even to look at whether work should be done.
10	But you're absolutely right, there is a gap. We have resources,
11	we haven't hired into it. Part of it is because we are facing, in 2021, these caps.
12	And so we are anticipating that we'll have to go down even further.
13	And so we're being very careful about hiring. Differently than
14	we had done in the past. Some of that's a good thing because we don't want to
15	then all of a sudden have a sharp decline in workload like we had had over, that
16	had been the pattern. And then we have to face an excess of resources.
17	So
18	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Right. And I appreciate
19	MS. DOANE: we're in a dynamic
20	COMMISSIONER BARAN: that smoothing concern you have
21	there.
22	MS. DOANE: But I don't want to suggest that that's the answer.
23	So the answer is, you see this team here, we are very focused on this issue.

1	We have to continue to work with it, we have to continue to work
2	with the offices. Strategic Workforce Planning does identify one person left in a
3	particular skill. I think the Chairman was mentioning that.
4	But office directors already know that. We are focused on this.
5	And we can move people from other offices to help as well.
6	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Right.
7	MS. DOANE: But we're prioritizing our work
8	COMMISSIONER BARAN: But I just want to make sure that
9	message to that office director who knows it is, oh, don't ever ask for a second
10	person. I mean, if in fact we're at a pinch point with one person, we should be
11	going to a second person if we're under running by 186 FTE.
12	But anyway, my time is up. I'm looking forward to working with
13	you on this. I appreciate that you're focused on it. I think it's a big deal for the
14	Agency.
15	And it's really, in a way it's nothing new than what we've all been
16	talking about for the last several years, which is matching resources to workload.
17	And I think in some areas now we've overshot, and we're not, actually don't have
18	the hires we need to do the work that's there. Thanks.
19	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you, Commissioner Baran.
20	Next, we'll hear from Commission Caputo. Please proceed.
21	COMMISSIONER CAPUTO: So, thank you for this impressive
22	set of presentations this morning. I agree with the Chairman, I think they were
23	very thoughtful and very well integrated.

And I particularly enjoyed Jason's work on retention and Susan's
on some of the innovative, talent development work that's going on. I really
appreciate all of the forward-looking thoughts that have gone into your
presentations today.

I share some of Commission Baran's concern about entry level hiring and how to assess an appropriate level of entry level hiring. But I think I have an opposite take on where we stand today.

And given the considerable amount of data that we were given to digest today, which I thoroughly appreciated, I'd like to ask to bring up a slide on full-time equivalent allocations.

As Miriam noted, we're down 21 percent since 2015. But if you look back in our history toward 2000, the NRC operated with 2,801 employees and managed a workload of roughly eight percent more reactors, 157 percent more licensing actions and 47 percent more materials licensees.

So, my question is this. Between our innovative talent development, harnessing modern technologies and the other assets that we now have 19 years later, Margie and Miriam, do you see reasons why our current workforce couldn't be capable of the same level of performance and efficiency as we saw in 2000?

MS. DOANE: So, a lot has changed in 2000. Because we had to, I can tell you just from, I mean, we've put a significant number of programs in place that weren't there in 2000, so it's a little bit of apples and oranges, because after 2000 of course we had the Energy Policy Act, and so we now have Category

1	1 and Category 2 sources, for example, and everything that goes with that that
2	wasn't there before in the same way.
3	Not anywhere near. We have many different legislative acts
4	that have come into play. So we have a significant amount of work that we didn't
5	have there.
6	The TARA and all kinds of different things. So, it's hard to
7	compare apples and oranges in that way.
8	So let me just turn to what we are doing now. We are looking
9	at the workload. We are being very focused on what is the workload that we have
10	now and into the future, to the best that we can predict it.
11	We are looking at the resources that we need to do it, and we
12	are looking at modernizing the way that we're doing work and making decisions in
13	a way that should have us making decisions in a more timely way, a more efficient
14	way, so that we're not back tracking so much and redoing a lot of what we're doing
15	as we're turning and turning.
16	A lot of what Pam has been doing for inclusivity and participative
17	decision making, all of this is going to pay off and we're going to make better
18	decisions and we're going to be more timely and we're going to be more efficient.
19	What you're hearing today is, we are trying to balance, we know
20	that we are continuing to come down, but I can't do any kind of comparison to 2000
21	because the workload is completely different and a lot has been added on since

2000.

22

23

Yes, we have a few plants that are closing, that's true, and so

1	we have that work declining, but we have, for the first time, we are seriously looking
2	at non-lightwater reactors and we are doing a tremendous amount of work in that
3	area with the licensing modernization project and things like that.
4	So, but your question is well taken. And I know it's directed at
5	the same issue, which is, are you focused on the resources that you need to do
6	the work that you're going to have now, in the short-term and in the long-term.
7	And I can assure you, we are.
8	We need to look at entry level hiring, there's no question about
9	that. And we are doing it. You've heard from Jason, we're using data analytics
10	to try to look at attrition. Right? To try to understand.
11	We're doing strategic workforce planning to identify gaps,
12	identify those places where we have one person deep. So we have very good
13	strategies, we have very good planning, but we have these number gaps that
14	you're identifying.
15	COMMISSIONER CAPUTO: Well, I will offer just a slightly
16	different view. We had a lot of post-9/11 work that waxed and waned. A lot of
17	new reactors that waxed and waned.  And Fukushima work, which has waxed and
18	waned also.
19	And, it's not just a few reactors, it will be 19 by 2025. Which is
20	nearly 20 percent of the fleet. So, it's a pretty significant decline.
21	Similar to Commissioner Baran's concern, when I look back over

the last few fiscal years, we ended 2017 with 164 fewer than we budgeted. In

2018, 124 fewer FTE, and this year we'll end with 192 fewer FTE than we budgeted

22

1	for.
2	So, at an average cost of \$185,000 per FTE, this is
3	approximately, for 2019, it's approximately \$35 million.
4	Under the 2019 fee rule, operating reactors only received a 7.8
5	percent fee increase equally \$336,000 for each reactor. Times 98 plants, that's
6	\$33 million.
7	So, it looks to me that if we had budgeted our FTE more
8	accurately, we wouldn't have forced such a fee increase on existing reactors.
9	We're already projecting to end 2020 with 129 fewer FTE than in
10	our proposed budget. That means if Congress approves our proposed budget
11	we'll end up billing licensees about \$24 million for FTE that we don't have.
12	So, Margie, my question for you, in keeping with this same of
13	how are you balancing, are you using the strategic workforce planning to more
14	accurately project our FTE needs with an eye toward fixing this patter?
15	MS. DOANE: Yes. So, the first thing you do in strategic
16	workforce planning is do an environmental scan. Where you try to predict based
17	on historical data and what we're seeing coming up in the future.
18	You try to predict what will be the work coming in. But we're
19	also trying to build into those models a thinking that we're going to be doing our
20	work better and more efficiently.
21	So, the short answer is yes, we are looking at these issues, we
22	are trying to predict better. But as Miriam and I have said, and Miriam, please add

to this, we understand that there will be a decline. And we understand a

significant number of reactors by 2025.

And so, we know that the workload in that area will be going down. And that is part of the reason why the measures that we're taking in hiring are moving more slowly than they had in the past. Because we don't want to be in a situation where we over hire.

So, what we have to do is we have to turn that a bit. Because we've been too slow. Look where we've ended up with under 30 hiring.

So, we are turning that around and we will close that gap. But it is a continuous effort and we are focused on it. Did you?

MS. COHEN: I think that we're still in the second year of doing strategic workforce planning. And we are going to be probably putting together a report that summarizes what we've learned so far after the expansion of the pilot.

And we do know that we still need to improve in our ability to do workload forecasting out five years. I think what we're seeing so far is that we can use strategic workforce planning as a data point, but not the only data point. I think we can get trend data, we can see sort of where the Agency is going.

But I don't think we can use strategic workforce planning to come up with an exact number. I think it's a data point along with other data points. And we're only a couple of years into it, and so I think we just have to see where this goes. I think it's too early to say that, you know, it's a resounding success or it's not.

MS. COHEN: Okay. This next question sort of brings together a couple of concerns. Given how hiring activities have been limited, and given

the requirement by NEMA to get our corporate support costs down from 32 percent this year to 30 percent next year, are we looking at retraining our internal corporate support folks rather than looking at external hires?

COMMISSIONER CAPUTO: So let me take that. So corporate support offices, I don't want to say are unique or special, but I think you have to understand where we were to know where we are now. And I think the fact that we actually had to implement a reduction in force, even though we did not separate any individuals in corporate, put us in a very different position.

And going back to your earlier question about, you know, what was the work in 2000 versus what it is now, it is very different. And I think what we're seeing is that the skill sets required across the corporate offices are not like they were 20 or 30 years ago when you could just, you know, take a generalist and put them in these jobs.

So I think if you talk to Mary Muessle, you know, you need people that are qualified and capable to be contract officers. You need a business degree. I mean, you could certainly train people internally to move into those roles if they have that background.

I don't have to tell you, in IT, IT today is not like it was 20 years ago. You actually need qualified, credentialed people. And we're even seeing in my office that the HR function is not what it used to be as well, that you need people that actually know data analytics. It's not your traditional HR role.

Similarly, you could see in CFO, you need trained accountants.

Again, they're using data analytics there. And so while I think that you will need

1	to do some internal training and redirection where appropriate, the corporate
2	offices really took a big hit.
3	And so I see our ability to hit the NEMA targets, at least as far
4	as the corporate capacity in FTE space, actually is requiring us to go externally in
5	some cases where the skill set can't be met in-house.
6	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you. Thank you very much,
7	Commissioner. Next we'll hear from Commissioner Wright. Please
8	proceed.
9	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Thank you. Thank you very
LO	much. Before I get started again, Pam, congratulations. We're going to miss
L1	you. And thank you for your work to your country.
L2	So today, I really appreciate everything I've heard here. And
L3	I've really appreciated the give and take here on the questions that the
L4	Commissioners have asked too. And being last, you know, a lot of stuff's been
L5	kind of asked and addressed, maybe not completely answered but at least
L6	addressed.
L7	You know, we have a very good Agency to work at. People are
L8	generally very happy here. We get pretty good marks. But we also have had
L9	some things that, when people leave, I appreciated your comments earlier, one of
20	the factors that influences a decision to leave the Agency was the environment for
21	maybe raising concerns, including the fear of reprisal that you brought up earlier.
22	And I know it's something that we take very serious here at the
23	Agency. And it's being looked at.

1	So, Miriam, does this concern generally appear on the list of
2	frequent responses to exit surveys? Is this one of the -
3	MS. COHEN: I think it's a combination of factors. I mean, the
4	exit survey data, they usually lump into particular categories of people fell back of
5	career advancement, or commute, or those kinds of things.
6	But what's fascinating about the responses to the exit surveys is
7	almost everyone recommends that NRC as a great place to work.
8	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Right.
9	MS. COHEN: And so I think all politics is local. It depends on
LO	who your supervisor is, what's going on in your work unit. And so I think it's the
L1	typical things that we've seen. There's nothing that I'm seeing out of the ordinary
L2	on the exit survey results.
13	But I really think we need to be mindful of people that are exiting
L4	via resignations and transfers. Because most of the people leave through
L5	retirements.
L6	But as we see an uptick, especially in employees under the age
L7	of 30 that are leaving to just resign, or transfer to other agencies, I think we need
L8	to be mindful of that, especially when we look at the imbalance in the workforce.
L9	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: And so what you just ended with
20	right there is my concern. That's very, to me that would be red flag for me, when
21	you've got good people, talented people leaving here, going to other agencies,
22	other sectors even. That's concerning, because that speaks to an internal

problem that we have.

1	And we're going through transformation which hasn't, by name,
2	been brought up, although we talked about JAM. So one of my concerns, and I
3	share what I've heard from my fellow Commissioners here, but my concern is
4	mission critical hiring.
5	You know, are we focusing more on that than we are on just
6	hiring low entry employees who we can bring in and train? I mean, how are we
7	focused if we're trying to get - I appreciate Jeff's concern on, you know, we're not
8	getting the workload, blah, blah, blah, done, the focus on that.
9	But if we're, you mentioned the word re-skilling, I think, Jason.
LO	How are you -
L1	MR. SHAY: That has been our practice over the past couple of
L2	years, is to focus on those mission critical positions. That's why you see the chart
L3	that you saw, Commissioner Baran.
L4	But what's not on that chart is what's in process right now. So
L5	in 2019, we've approved basic – it's a little bit more than 50 external hires. So
L6	you're seeing that number because they haven't onboarded yet. So we are
L7	progressing.
L8	And of those 50, I want to say 12 were entry level hires. So
L9	we're working with the offices through the staffing request forms that we get for
20	them on mission critical positions.
21	But we're also engaging them on backfilling more than entry
22	level hires, especially if they need the position later on to be mid-career level,

maybe in two or three years. We can hire an entry level hire now and then grow

Τ	them internally to meet that need.
2	But to your point, yes, we have been focusing on the mission
3	critical positions lately because of the hiring restrictions and the resources that
4	were mentioned by Miriam.
5	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: So I'm focused - oh, go ahead.
6	MS. DOANE: But we need all levels -
7	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Oh, yeah.
8	MS. DOANE: in the Agency. I mean, the work spans not just
9	mission critical. And that's funny the way we say it, right? All of us are working
10	towards the mission. But there are some things that are specific to a particular
11	skill.
12	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Well, and I hear that. But we
13	have people leaving. If we've got a mission critical thing, you can't just get an
14	entry level hire to do some of that stuff. You've got to either recruit them, or you've
15	got to attract them from another agency. I mean, if we've got people leaving going
16	to FDA or something, are we getting people over here from other agencies? And
17	are we actively recruiting those people?
18	MS. DOANE: Yes. I think Jason answered those questions
19	about actively recruiting.
20	MR. SHAY: Yeah, and again we're working
21	with the offices to understand the impacts of that person leaving. So yes, we are
22	trying to preemptively work with them to do that as strategic business partners.
23	But, you know, when we're going out and recruiting, and we're

1	targeting those positions, I think it's extremely important to utilize the mechanisms
2	that we have. And I mentioned the grants. I mean, we've had 260 grants
3	recipients graduate in 2019. And we have 159 that are going to graduate in 2020.
4	We need to really focus in on that, because that's the best way
5	and the quickest way to get people onboard into the organization and then target
6	externally those positions that you're talking about that may be hard to find, you
7	know, maybe even mid-career level, and focus on those areas that we can recruit
8	in.
9	So it's a holistic human capital strategy in working with the hiring
10	managers. It's important that we have those discussions up front and working
11	with my HR specialist and the branch chiefs ahead of time so that we can
12	collectively look at a human capital strategy together.
13	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Right.
14	MS. DOANE: I will add, Commissioner, because I'm not sure.
15	I think we discussed this, but where we identify an area that we don't think we will
16	be able to recruit, or we've had difficulty recruiting in the past, like for PRA, we
17	have had our own fellowship programs
18	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Right.
19	MS. DOANE: where we've developed resources. But it
20	takes time to do that. So we're focused on it, and so we have the strategies in the
21	planning. But so far, we have the people that we need to do the work that we

have. But for the future, we need to put a real focus on these areas.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Right. So, Jason?

22

MR. SHAY: And I will say, y	ou know, we get a lot of applications
when we go externally. It's not that we just do	on't have, you know, enough people
who are trying to come into the organization.	It's just the inflow and outflow of
people.	

And I think that may be the new norm. And, you know, as some people like to say, like the millennial hopping or the people leaving the organization, but that may be a good thing. They're bringing back experiences from other organizations, bringing them back to the organization. What we have to do is make the experience here one that they want to come back to the organization.

And that's, I think, most critically important. So I think that's a decent strategy, to be honest with you.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Right. So I know it's been a few years since we've done the - really gone after a real aggressive external program to hire new hires, or whatever. And, you know, full disclosure, I met last week or the week before with about four of the summer hires that we've got. A couple of them are here for the second year.

It was a great meeting, great dialogue. And I was trying to learn from them, okay, so how do we go about, how does the NRC and the federal government, how do they go out and, you know, attract the talent like you? How did you get here?

And a couple of concerns that I heard were that, when we go out and we go to the job fairs, or whatever it is they call them, and they register on this

1	jobs website portal or whatever, that they're not hearing back timely.  That they're
2	not, you know, they may meet in the fall at the job fair, but they may not be hearing
3	back until April.
4	And we're losing a lot of good talent to other people because
5	we're getting back too late. And the cream's being, you know, skimmed off the
6	top. Are we focusing more on that?
7	MR. SHAY: Yes. That was part of my presentation to kind of
8	move that process back in the recruitment schedule. You know, it did take time,
9	you know, for us to make hiring decisions and get the hiring managers to make

But we are moving it back to mid-August timeframe, hopefully closing in mid-September. We did hear that we may be losing some of the cream of the crop in the December timeframe. That was feedback that we got.

decisions. And we had over 500 applications. It takes a lot of time to vet and

return to my office.

But we also have to do some, you know, care and feeding along that process. Because again, they're not going to onboard until May. You know, the 75 requests that we got from offices in the 34 that were actually onboarding, there was a lot of declinations that came from those students also that they found jobs closer to their respective area, they got private sector offers which we probably couldn't, you know, equal. And sorry to say, some of them didn't pass the 145b security process.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Sure.

MR. SHAY: So they kind of fell off through natural kind of, I

1	guess, declinations or attrition. But we heard that. And we're going to try to
2	make a quicker selection so that we can, you know, show that we are dedicated to
3	entry level hiring and dedicated to them as a resource for the organization itself.
4	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Yeah. And I appreciate it,
5	because you did mention that there's a lot of benefits to being here, you know.
6	And one of the big things I heard right off was the child care.
7	So anyway, I'm really grateful you're doing the work and not me
8	on that. Having been in the private sector for a while, having to hire and train,
9	man, I don't envy what you have to do. So thank you for what you're doing for the
10	Agency.
11	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Well, thank you very much. I'm going
12	to just make some observations in closing. And out of fairness I'll offer my
13	colleagues an opportunity, because we've had such a wonderful discussion here
14	today.
15	My questions earlier focused on entry level hires and then folks
16	that are retirement eligible. I just want to have a little kind of bumper sticker in
17	favor of mid-career people.
18	I feel like I came here as did, I know, Miriam, and Pam with other
19	government experience. But I feel like, at this point, the day to day contribution I
20	make is more what I learned here than anything I brought with me. I mean, I'm
21	sure what I do today is rooted in those experiences that I had from other
22	government service, but a lot of what we do here is we learn it once we come here.

And so I just wanted to say that I wasn't in any way neglectful.

And as a matter of fact, Jason acknowledged that there is somewhat of an organizational preference for mid-careers. Because you know that people are so valuable from the moment that they come onboard. So I didn't want the staff to interpret my remarks to mean that I wasn't focused on that.

And then the other thing that I was thinking about is, like, a lot of the things we've talked about sound in conflict, but they can all be true at the same time. Because we're talking about people, and we're talking about, you know, people have free will, and free choice, and they're going to, you know, say well, I wanted to be in this expertise, but now I want to do something else.

And so maybe they share with us the best they understand their own career desires or their own retirement planning. But we will have to be - I guess we're tired of agile. We don't say that anymore now. But I'm using fluid these days, we're going to have to be really, really fluid.

So I think, you know, as Forrest Gump said, you know, the two can coexist at the same time. The two can be true, two things that sound different. We need to be less conservative on using the FTE that we have when we try to get ahead of a need. But we can also, at the same time, it's true that we need to get better fidelity in the budgets that we're asking for. So I feel like those things can be true.

At the same time, you know, in my observation here I've worked with a number of CFOs, including the current CFO, that say in this organization we're cautious by nature. So we kind of under-execute the budget in the first quarter. And CFOs start working in that capacity here.

	And they're so frustrated at firs	t, but then they come to find out,
oh, okay.	So you just push on people, and they	start executing the budget more
according	to plan starting in the second quarter.	And you just kind of accept that
that's the	behavioral mindset here.	

So I think it is true that we have to remember that the least cautious thing to do is to imperil the ability to do this important mission. Because we're the only people that do it.

So it's not really cautious if we put ourselves so close to the margins on the human capital part of it. So we need to remember that. So those were -- I just wanted to share that, reflecting on the great conversation we had. I don't know if anyone else wanted to chime in.

COMMISSIONER BARAN: I would just say I really appreciate your remarks on mid-career hiring too. Because we did talk a lot about entry level hiring. But, you know, I absolutely agree that, you know, as we're trying to get to an adequate level of hiring to make sure we can do the workload, a lot of that probably should be mid-career. It's not going to be 100 percent entry level. And that's absolutely right.

CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Okay, thank you. Any other remarks?

COMMISSIONER CAPUTO: I guess in that spirit, I bring up one observation I made from the childcare slide. There's a childcare slide about the number of employees that we have under the salary threshold of \$73,000 and another slide that we had staff by grade level. So ten percent of our workforce is in pay grades under \$73,000.

1	But it seems like in the last three years they've made up over 30
2	percent of the staffing decreases. So I guess I would just caution as we're looking
3	at whether these are entry level or so on. Like Margie said, everyone we have
4	contributes to the mission.
5	And I am a little concerned that we need to be very strategic in
6	our strategic workforce planning and not neglect the value that's contributed by
7	folks at that level and maybe look at whether or not our retention of folks in those
8	positions is adequate as well. Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you. Anything else?
10	(No audible response)
11	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Okay. Well, again, I want to thank you
12	all for a really great meeting and discussion. And with that, we are adjourned.
13	Oh, I'm so sorry. Goodness sakes, it was such a great
14	discussion that I lost the thread on the fact that I even announced it earlier on.
15	So we will now recognize and thank you so much, and my apologies, Ms.
16	Sanders - we will recognize Serita Sanders, who is the executive vice president of
17	the National Treasury Employees Union, to provide remarks to us. Thank you so
18	much.
19	MS. SANDERS: Thank you. I wasn't upset really.
20	(Laughter)
21	MS. SANDERS: Okay, good morning, Chairman Svinicki,
22	Commissioners Baran, Caputo, and Wright. Good morning to EDO Doane, and
23	our leadership and managers as well. And from my perspective, as the executive

vice president of Chapter 208, our most important audience this morning, our bargaining unit employees, our greatest resources, good morning to you too.

I would like to start off with the topic of change and transformation. And I promise I didn't see the slides first, okay. So coincidentally, this is also where I started at the last EEO briefing. What a difference six months makes. Are we there yet? No. But I must say, we are well on our way.

Furthermore, even from one year ago, significant progress has been made. I personally didn't believe that such a paradigm shift and achievements could be made in such a short duration. Last week, I went to Region 3 to speak to the bargaining unit employees and I -- at the inspector seminar, pardon me. Well, guess who I saw? The EDO and the NRR Office director.

Your efforts to transform the Agency thus far should be applauded and commended. Messages were on point. Presentations were honest and candid. This duo is making their rounds to all the regions and engaging all management for this unified message of change. Look at what the Agency can do when focused, cooperative, sending clear messages, applying resources, communicating, and the like.

As a practice, I solicit feedback from every NTEU venue. When I spoke with the bargaining unit employees and managers about this duo's respective presentations and their thoughts on it, they were excited and exhilarated.

1	Our most senior management was so well received, favorable
2	comments were made on the EDO's presentations regarding the JAM. Why do
3	you think this was so? I believe they felt connected to the transitioning agency
4	and to be included in the change.
5	It's not easy to impress a crowd of inspectors. So we get it.
6	These significant changes to the nuclear industry, plant closures, lack of
7	anticipated new reactors being built, and such, is requiring the NRC to change
8	along with the nuclear industry that we regulate.
9	The current work environment is starting to embrace change
10	which is a huge step forward from six months ago. The union keeps its focus on
11	the best interest of all bargaining unit employees during the evolution of this
12	transforming Agency.
13	I do think the Agency is on its way to retooling and making a
14	comeback, although we are seeing just the beginning of this change. The jury's
15	still out on the culture change though.
16	So let me focus my attention to our most vulnerable workforce,
17	bargaining unit employees, 30 and under, and the more senior staff. The
18	bargaining unit employees, ages 30 to 32, this is the two percent, is the future of
19	this Agency.
20	I kind of skew my numbers a little bit from you guys. Because
21	when I went to Region I, the 30 to 32 told me include us with those Zers, okay.

Because our plight is the same. So the Union considers our two percent 30 to 32.

How will you retain them? There must be more flexibility and

22

opportunities for them and their managers supporting their work/life balance. This is so key. This should be for all employees, but with this group it is essential. We are losing them fast.

I recently had a conversation with an employee that indicated that when he hears that the two percent or Generation Zers are leaving, it's either lack of opportunity or management.

Conversely, at least 50 percent of our workforce is 50 and over, and 25 percent of our workforce is retirement eligible. Where's this slice of the pie in the new - I mean, starting a new organization?

One older employee approached me and stated that in this new organization there didn't seem to be room for a person like him. He is brilliant. And he has lots to offer in a mentoring or supervision role. But he was overlooked. Equitable diversity and inclusion must always be a part of the equation to solve any problem.

So before I go into my next script, I'd just like to make a comment to address what Commissioner Wright has said about losing employees. We actually have a couple examples of those mid-career, so smart, skilled. NRC put a lot of money in them. They left because their management wouldn't be flexible.

lt's a new day in America, okay, really. Opportunities are abundant. I went to the RIC, three women, very diverse, I had a conversation with them. And I said are you coming back? They used to work here. They're Zers and maybe hitting 32 at most. They're, like, no, opportunity, liked NRC. And so any time you see me talking and laughing with people, I'm getting information,

okay. I'm not just friendly.

So it's really real what's going on with losing people in the midcareer. Even though we didn't focus on it, when I heard your presentation, it's there.

And you have to be more flexible with these mid-career as well. They'll stay, very loyal, but take away work/life balance. They wanted a rotation. One guy's serving his country. His supervisor said you've got to come back. He says but I'm skilled. They don't have anyone to replace me. Yeah, he came back and quit. And he was in the inspection staff.

But anyway, now to my favorite subject in which to draw parallels from for the topic of transformation, it's the auto industry. You see I like cars, right. In the last six months, the auto industry has also drastically changed. At the 2019 Auto Show, SUV inventory showcased 50 percent of SUVs which is way up from past years.

The 20/20 Kia Telluride, never heard of it, SUV emerged as the favorite of the auto show. Why, you might ask? They listened to the customers and kept pace and in sync with the needs of the evolving family and individuals' need for space in our multi-faceted lives.

Additionally, Ford has joined GM in cutting jobs and costs. It's cutting 7,000 white color jobs, or ten percent of its salaried staff worldwide, as part of a cost-cutting effort it says will save the company about \$6 million a year. SUVs made an impact on the auto industry long before actions were taken to address the decline in the sedan.

NRC should be the Kia Telluride and take a page from their play
book, taking that same 50,000 foot approach to listen to the staff and make
changes for the best organization and be the darling of the federal government as
it reigned for so many years.

The lens of the Union's eye sees far and wide throughout the Agency. As you can see, we value our most valuable resources. We listen. We visit the regions to talk to them personally, host many events with the bargaining unit employees, and talk to as many bargaining unit employees as we can, and occasionally some managers.

While we don't represent them, they are integral to the work life of the bargaining unit employees and a measure of success for NRC's vision if the NRC employees and managers are unifying.

What is it that we constantly see, that which leaves a glare in our eye? The need to improve culture and relationships with management and staff.

I believe that some of the smartest employees in the federal government work at the NRC. Create a culture that would allow them to be smart in their areas of expertise and be recognized and continue to grow.

We have witnessed a lot of good staff being promoted into management. Does this mean that this smart employee is good management material? Don't promote a manager to a higher position that would build their career when there are signs that this individual might not have been the best choice just because you like him or her.

And/or there are repeated complaints from the staff about this

1	bad manager. One bad apple can spoil the bunch. Management is a skill and
2	not a mindset to be learned. Good managers cannot be manufactured.
3	One cannot fake caring about people. Because when you care,
4	you automatically support a good work/life balance scenario for the employee.
5	Instead, promote employees that possess innate managerial abilities and skills and
6	provide them with the training needed for development.
7	The one change will be a lasting one that will transform the
8	Agency, that unexpectedly reigned as the best place to work in the federal
9	government for many years. We were not competing or studying the FEVS
10	scores on how to improve. We simply had good management and staff, and a
11	mutual respect for one another. Let's return to those days. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Thank you so much, Ms. Sanders.
13	That was a wonderful note to end on. And with that, I believe we are now actually
14	well and truly adjourned. Thank you.
15	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at
16	12:00 p.m.)