

MINUTES: MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING OF WISCONSIN  
SEPTEMBER 24, 2019

THE MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING ATTENDEES WERE AS FOLLOWS:

**Management Review Board**

K. Steven West, MRB Chair, OEDO  
John Lubinski, MRB Member, NMSS  
Mary Spencer, MRB Member, OGC

David Lew, Region I  
Earl Fordham, OAS Liaison, WA

**IMPEP Team**

Stephen Poy, Team Member in Training, NMSS  
Robert Elliott, Region I  
Darren Piccirillo, Region III

Randy Erickson, Team Leader, Region IV  
Michael Reichard, Region I  
Leo Bakersmith, FL

**State of Wisconsin**

Paul Schmidt  
Joe Elliott  
Megan Shober

Mark Paulson  
Reggie Roberts  
Mike Stevens

**Staff**

Andrea Kock, NMSS/MSST  
Lizette Roldan-Otero, NMSS/MSST  
Kathy Modes, NMSS/MSST  
Jazel Parks, NMSS/MSST  
Stephanie Dingbaum, OIG

Paul Michalak, NMSS/MSST  
Robert Johnson, NMSS/MSST  
Joe O'Hara, NMSS/MSST  
Dave Pelton, Region III

**Members of the Public**

Jennifer Opila, Colorado  
Jeff Dauzat, Louisiana

Angela Leek, Iowa  
Morgan Bullock, Washington

TOPICS DISCUSSED DURING THE MEETING INCLUDED:

1. Convention. Mr. Robert Johnson convened the meeting at approximately 1:00 p.m. (ET). He noted that this Management Review Board (MRB) meeting was open to the public. Introductions of the attendees were conducted.
2. Wisconsin IMPEP Review. Mr. Stephen Poy, Team Leader in Training, led the presentation of the Wisconsin Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) review results to the MRB. He summarized the review and the team's findings for the indicators reviewed. The on-site review was conducted by a team composed of technical staff members from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the State of Florida during the period of July 8-12, 2019. A draft report was issued to Wisconsin for factual comment on August 7, 2019. Mr. Poy reported that the team found Wisconsin's performance was satisfactory on all indicators reviewed.

### 3. Performance Indicators.

- a) Mr. Darren Piccirillo reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Staffing and Training**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin representatives briefly discussed the status of the staff hired during the review period and the impact of vacancies on the Agreement State Program.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- b) Mr. Stephen Poy reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Status of Materials Inspection Program**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.2 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin representatives briefly discussed inspection findings.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- c) Mr. Michael Reichard reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Inspections**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.3 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin representatives briefly discussed the status of inspection actions.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- d) Robin Elliot reviewed the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Licensing Actions**. Ms. Elliot presented the teams findings. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.4 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin's representatives discussed the team's findings, as well as the actions the program had taken to address them.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed. The MRB also agreed with the team's recommendation.

- e) Mr. Leo Bakersmith reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.5 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin representatives discussed incidents of "high risk" and protecting allegeders' identities.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- f) Mr. Randy Erickson reviewed and presented the non-common performance indicator, **Compatibility Requirements**. His presentation corresponded to Section 4.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Wisconsin representatives discussed the State's overdue regulations, and

program elements, as well as the actions the program has taken to address them.

The team found Wisconsin's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

4. MRB Consultation/Comments on Issuance of Report. The team recommended, and the MRB agreed, that the Wisconsin Agreement State Program be found adequate to protect public health and compatible with the NRC's program. The team recommended that the next IMPEP review take place in approximately 5 years with a periodic meeting in approximately 2.5 years. The final report may be found in the ADAMS using the Accession Number ML.
5. Precedents/Lessons Learned. None
6. Comments from Members of the Public. None
7. Adjournment. The meeting was adjourned at approximately 1:56 p.m. (ET)

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2019 WISCONSIN MRB MEETING

**ML19274C589**

<b>OFFICE</b>	NMSS/SALB	MSST/SALB	MSST/SALB
<b>NAME</b>	JParks	SPoy	RJohnson
<b>DATE</b>	10/10/19	10/10/19	10/10/19

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**