UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+++++

BRIEFING ON REGULATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM ACTIVITIES

+++++

THURSDAY,

FEBRUARY 24, 2022

+++++

The Commission met via webcast, Christopher T. Hanson, Chairman, presiding.

COMMISSION MEMBERS:

CHRISTOPHER T. HANSON, Chairman

JEFF BARAN, Commissioner

DAVID A. WRIGHT, Commissioner

ALSO PRESENT:

ANNETTE VIETTI-COOK, Secretary of the Commission

MARIAN ZOBLER, General Counsel

NRC STAFF:

DANIEL DORMAN, Executive Director for Operations

RAY FURSTENAU, Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research (RES)

THERESA LALAIN, Deputy Director for Division of Safety Analysis, RES

KENNETH ARMSTRONG, Chief, Code and Reactor Analysis Branch II, RES

NANCY HEBRON-ISREAL, Senior Grants Specialists, University Nuclear

Leadership Program, RES

ROBERT TREGONING, Senior Level Advisor, Division of Engineering, RES

PROCEEDINGS

1

2 10:02 a.m.

3 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Good morning, everyone, we'll

1	now begin our briefing on regulatory research activities here at the NRC.
2	I think it's important to keep the public informed of the NRC's
3	regulatory research activities that are essential for the Agency in its
4	preparedness to evaluate novel nuclear technologies.
5	I've been impressed with our research program for some
6	time.
7	We have a relatively modest budget and I think the way it
8	collaborates with industry organizations such as EPRI as well as licensees
9	directly, as well as the Department of Energy and the National Labs, in my
10	view, does a remarkable job of leveraging the significant amounts of data out
11	in the world as well as generating new data that directly benefit our regulatory
12	activities here at the NRC. So, it's a real pleasure for me to have this meeting.
13	It's been almost three years since we've heard from our research program and
14	I'm excited about the presentations that we have before us this morning.
15	But before we begin, I'll ask my colleagues if they have any remarks
16	they'd like to make? Great, we're going to hear first from Dan Dorman and
17	then onto our Director of Research Activities, Ray Furstenau.
18	We're going to hear from Terri Lalain and Ken Armstrong
19	and Nancy Hebron-Isreal and finally from Rob Tregoning. And I look forward
20	to it, and Dan, I'll hand it off to you.
21	MR. DORMAN: Thank you, Chairman, good morning
22	Chairman Hanson, Commissioner Baran, Commissioner Wright.
23	The Staff are pleased to be here today to provide an update

on the Agency's regulatory research activities, which provide essential support

- to agency preparedness across our business lines from the reactor to the
- 2 materials programs.
- The office is uniquely positioned to deliver on our agency
- 4 vision as a modern risk-informed regulator. We achieve mission excellence
- 5 in a diverse, inclusive and innovative environment with a highly skilled,
- 6 adaptable, and engaged workforce.
- 7 The Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research is a powerhouse
- 8 of deep technical expertise and they apply their knowledge and analytical tools
- 9 to support programs across the Agency.
- They help fuel Agency innovation through research.
- Additionally, they lead many collaborative activities with both domestic and
- 12 international partners to smartly leverage resources to essential agency
- readiness for a variety of new nuclear technologies.
- 14 Next slide, please. I'd like to now follow up on the
- 15 Chairman and introduce the panelists who will talk today about the Agency's
- research activities. First will be, as the Chairman indicated, Ray Furstenau,
- the Director of the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.
- Ray will provide an overview of the Agency's research
- 19 activities, program, and external engagement that plays a key role in
- 20 supporting NRC's safety and security missions.
- 21 After Ray, Terri Lalain, the Deputy Director in the Division of
- 22 Systems Analysis will talk about how the research program technical activities
- 23 achieve results that support mission readiness and efforts that are underway
- to foster innovation.

Kenneth Armstrong is a Branch Chief in Research for the
Code and Reactors Analysis Branch and Ken has led Research's reviews of
our scientific computer codes and has developed with peers a code
investment plan to support Agency budgeting and planning to ensure
readiness of state of the practice codes to support advanced nuclear
technologies.
Then Nancy Hebron-Isreal, a senior grants specialist who
leads the Agency's University Nuclear Leadership Program, will talk about the
benefits this program provides in advancing our research program and
developing support to nuclear talent and leadership.
Finally, Rob Tregoning, senior level advisor in Research for
the Division of Engineering, will discuss the focused research program and
how it supports agency readiness for emerging technologies.
Rob will also discuss how the research program supports
and strengthens the Agency as it conducts its mission. This concludes my
opening remarks so without further ado, I'd like to hand the presentation over
to Ray Furstenau.
MR. FURSTENAU: Thank you, Dan, and good morning,
Chairman and Commissioners, it's a real pleasure to be here today to talk
about our research activities and how we are helping the Agency be ready for
innovative nuclear technologies.
It's hard to believe I've been with the NRC over three and a
half years now and I've been so fortunate in these past three and a half years

to be able to lead such an extraordinary group of people in the Office of

1 Regulatory Research.

I think to best summarize the progress and direction of the

Office of Research over these past few years, I'd like to quote from a

December 13, 2021 ACRS biannual review report on our safety research

program, quote, We, the ACRS, note that RES is evolving from what was a

static reactive organization to a more dynamic, forward-looking one.

Also from the summary of their biannual report, quote, RES programs, position the Agency well for the changing environment, as illustrated by improving ongoing processes, prioritizing projects, finding new ways to develop and maintain core competencies and exploring ways to apply existing capabilities.

These activities are all signs of a healthy research organization and should support the Agency's broader efforts to transform itself into a modern risk-informed regulator.

Next slide, please.

I'd like to touch briefly on how we're maintaining and building our capabilities in the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research. I'll touch a little bit on people, scientific computer codes and tools and partnerships.

Starting with people, you'll see on the left there a little montage of some of our recent hires in the Office of Research over the past 18 months or so, some external and some within the NRC.

I've been really most impressed by the competence and the credibility and dedication of our Staff to our nuclear safety and security missions.

1	As a support organization, I think it's important for Staff to				
2	be able to articulate the relevance and impact of our research activities to the				
3	Agency. That can be difficult sometimes but I think our Staff is doing a really				
4	good job of that.				
5	We need to be adaptive in our office and ready for the				
6	application of advanced nuclear technologies to the current reactor fleet as				
7	well as to new and advanced reactors.				
8	I wanted to note our full-time equivalent utilization last year				
9	was slightly over 100 percent and since we're engineers, I'll give you a more				
10	precise number. We had a 197.2 actual utilization versus 197 as our target.				
11	But I bring that number up because it's important that we				
12	maintain our FTE utilization numbers up in order to support or adequately				
13	support our business line partners. And the strategic workforce planning				
14	process I think has really helped us anticipate our workforce needs to be ready				
15	for the future.				
16	Regarding scientific computer codes and tools, a key				
17	element of our capabilities is a suite of validated modeling and simulation				
18	codes to perform our confirmatory analysis for a wide variety of safety				
19	applications, for example source-term calculations, thermal hydraulics, fuel				
20	performance, and accident analysis.				
21	You'll hear more from Kenneth Armstrong later in the				
22	briefing about our computer code investment plan that will help us ensure our				
23	readiness to review emerging issues and new technologies.				

And lastly, I'd like to touch on partnerships. The Office of

	9
1	Nuclear Regulatory Research relies heavily on strong partnerships within the
2	Agency and externally.
3	Externally, we use those partnerships to leverage research
4	and resources being supported by other organizations to address safety topics
5	and anticipate future needs.
6	Briefly, I'll mention some examples, our partnerships with
7	DOE for example. Our partnership expansion with DOE was enabled by the
8	Nuclear Energy Innovation Capabilities Act of 2017, also known as NEICA.
9	An MOU was created out of that Act and it was signed by
LO	the Chairman and the Deputy Secretary of Energy in 2019.
L1	And then we had subsequent addenda to that MOU that
L2	provide for coordination between DOE and NRC for technical readiness and
L3	sharing of technical expertise on advanced reactor technologies and nuclear
L4	energy innovation, including through the DOE's National Reactor Innovation
L5	Center, also known as NRIC, that's located at the Idaho National Engineering
16	Lab.

In fact, we're taking advantage of the opportunity to observe and learn about technologies developed through NRIC by having one of our Office of Research engineers assigned to a one-year detail to NRIC to learn about new and advanced construction techniques.

Internationally with the Nuclear Energy Agency, we're participating in joint projects using irradiation testing and post-irradiation examination facilities worldwide to gain knowledge and fill data gaps for new and existing materials and fuels.

1	The NEA recently established a Framework for Irradiation of			
2	Experiments, also known as FIDES, to help fill the gap left by the 2018			
3	shutdown of the Halden test reactor in Norway. And I'm happy to be the			
4	Chairman of the governing board for FIDES.			
5	We also partner with DOE on some of the joint projects			
6	coming out of the FIDES framework. We have a separate agreement with			
7	DOE on how we partner on FIDES to best benefit both agencies.			
8	And with EPRI just recently in September of 2021, we			
9	renewed our MOU with the Electronic Power Research Institute for our			
10	cooperative nuclear safety research programs, which include six addenda that			
11	cover research areas such as advanced nuclear technologies, data science,			
12	management of materials and aging and degradation of long-term operations.			
13	The NRC and EPRI first established this cooperative			
14	research agreement in 1997 and I think it's really a good working relationship			
15	in sharing opportunities we have with EPRI.			
16	And of course, internally we work closely with our partners			
17	in NRR, NMSS, and NSIR to make sure we're performing timely research			
18	that's relevant to the mission.			
19	With that, I'll turn it over Terri Lalain to provide more details			
20	on our research activities.			
21	Terri?			
22	MS. LALAIN: Thank you, Ray. Good morning, Chairman			
23	Hansen and the Commissioners.			
24	Today it is my have pleasure to walk you through our			

years of experience with the Army in assessing new technologies being deployed in the field. From 2018 to 2020, I participated in the NRC's Senior Executive Service Candidate Development Program where I shared bese practices between the Army and the NRC. Since joining Research last March, I've been using my Army experience enhancing NRC processes such as the code investment plan which you'll hear later today. I'm enjoying my time at the NRC and one thing I particularly appreciate is the Agency's focus on its people, including how we make decisions, interact, innovate, and collaborate, and I look forward to the discussion today. Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation		
deployed in the field. From 2018 to 2020, I participated in the NRC's Senior Executive Service Candidate Development Program where I shared bes practices between the Army and the NRC. Since joining Research last March, I've been using my Army experience enhancing NRC processes such as the code investment plan which you'll hear later today. I'm enjoying my time at the NRC and one thing I particularly appreciate is the Agency's focus on its people, including how we make decisions, interact, innovate, and collaborate, and I look forward to the discussion today. Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to	1	research innovation activities and share how the NRC is leveraging my 18
From 2018 to 2020, I participated in the NRC's Senior Executive Service Candidate Development Program where I shared bes practices between the Army and the NRC. Since joining Research last March, I've been using my Army experience enhancing NRC processes such as the code investment plan which you'll hear later today. I'm enjoying my time at the NRC and one thing I particularly appreciate is the Agency's focus on its people, including how we make decisions, interact, innovate, and collaborate, and I look forward to the discussion today. Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to	2	years of experience with the Army in assessing new technologies being
Executive Service Candidate Development Program where I shared best practices between the Army and the NRC. Since joining Research last March, I've been using my Army experience enhancing NRC processes such as the code investment plan which you'll hear later today. I'm enjoying my time at the NRC and one thing I particularly appreciate is the Agency's focus on its people, including how we make decisions, interact, innovate, and collaborate, and I look forward to the discussion today. Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	3	deployed in the field.
practices between the Army and the NRC. Since joining Research last March, I've been using my Army experience enhancing NRC processes such as the code investment plan which you'll hear later today. I'm enjoying my time at the NRC and one thing I particularly appreciate is the Agency's focus on its people, including how we make decisions, interact, innovate, and collaborate, and I look forward to the discussion today. Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	4	From 2018 to 2020, I participated in the NRC's Senior
Since joining Research last March, I've been using my Army experience enhancing NRC processes such as the code investment plan which you'll hear later today. I'm enjoying my time at the NRC and one thing I particularly appreciate is the Agency's focus on its people, including how we make decisions, interact, innovate, and collaborate, and I look forward to the discussion today. Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to	5	Executive Service Candidate Development Program where I shared best
experience enhancing NRC processes such as the code investment plan which you'll hear later today. I'm enjoying my time at the NRC and one thing I particularly appreciate is the Agency's focus on its people, including how we make decisions, interact, innovate, and collaborate, and I look forward to the discussion today. Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to	6	practices between the Army and the NRC.
provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	7	Since joining Research last March, I've been using my Army
10 I'm enjoying my time at the NRC and one thing I particularly appreciate is the Agency's focus on its people, including how we make decisions, interact, innovate, and collaborate, and I look forward to the discussion today. Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	8	experience enhancing NRC processes such as the code investment plan
appreciate is the Agency's focus on its people, including how we make decisions, interact, innovate, and collaborate, and I look forward to the discussion today. Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	9	which you'll hear later today.
decisions, interact, innovate, and collaborate, and I look forward to the discussion today. Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	10	I'm enjoying my time at the NRC and one thing I particularly
13 discussion today. Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	11	appreciate is the Agency's focus on its people, including how we make
Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	12	decisions, interact, innovate, and collaborate, and I look forward to the
nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	13	discussion today.
research cooperation. We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	14	Next slide, please. The NRC Staff is committed to enabling
We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	15	nuclear innovation in the existing fleet through regulatory engagement and
burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	16	research cooperation.
adoption of these technologies in operating reactors. We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	17	We are supporting nuclear innovations in the areas of high
We recently published a Research Information Letter to provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	18	burnup fuels, wireless technologies, and risk tools to better enable the
provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation	19	adoption of these technologies in operating reactors.
	20	We recently published a Research Information Letter to
and dispersal, or FFRD, phenomena for high burnup fuel under LOCA	21	provide NRR with technical interpretations of fuel fragmentation, relocation
	22	and dispersal, or FFRD, phenomena for high burnup fuel under LOCA

The RIL is one piece of information that can be used to

23

conditions.

1	evaluate the safety of FFRD. Research will work with NRR and follow the
2	progress of risk-informed FFRD efforts through early interactions with vendors
3	and licensees.
4	Our electronic engineers and cybersecurity specialists are
5	performing several research efforts to enable the safe and secure use of
6	advanced wireless communications.
7	With NSIR, we are collaboratively studying the secure use
8	of wireless by leveraging insights from other organizations who have
9	implemented this technology in safety-critical facilities.
10	With NRR, we are researching the potential safety hazards
11	associated with the increase use of wireless communication technologies and
12	the considerations for enabling safe use at nuclear facilities.
13	Our engineers and cybersecurity specialists also participate
14	in the external standards development organizations and intergovernmental
15	working groups to maintain awareness of these developments.
16	The SPAR models are the Agency's independent risk
17	assessment models used in several risk-informed regulatory programs. The
18	SPAR-DASH project aims to make the SPAR model risk results easily
19	accessible to the Staff for incorporating risk insights into their work.
20	SPAR-DASH uses complex data from SPAR models to
21	develop simple dashboards for inspectors and technical reviewers to gain
22	quick risk insights to inform licensing and regulatory activities.

The research project team collaborated with Staff in NRR and the regional offices to capture their insights and leverage modern data

1	science tools to automate extracting key risk results from the models and					
2	display them in a user-friendly dashboard.					
3	The dashboard supports ranking important contributors to					
4	plant risk, prioritizing tasks and resources, and allows for quick assessment of					
5	potential degraded or off-normal plant conditions.					
6	Next slide, please. Advanced reactor readiness is a priority					
7	for the NRC safety and security mission.					
8	Our ongoing readiness efforts will require forward thinking					
9	and planning to use our risk-informed insights as we identify and resolve					
10	challenges associated with industry proposals to use new and emerging					
11	technologies.					
12	A key element of our readiness strategy is the development					
13	of codes and analytic tools to support confirmatory analyses that may be used					
14	in advanced reactor licensing actions.					
15	Our code investment plan, which you'll hear from Ken					
16	Armstrong today, is aiding us by long-range planning for the necessary					
17	investments to be ready to support the regulation of new and advanced					
18	technology.					
19	Research is able to rapidly respond to NRR's request for					
20	assistance in non-LWR applications due to the successful execution of the					
21	SCALE neutronics and MELCOR severe accident code readiness planning.					
22	Research is leveraging the fluoride salt-cooled high					
23	temperature reactor reference model to significantly reduce the lead time in					
24	performing design-specific analyses to support NRR's review of the HERMES					

1	construction permit to develop safety-focused request for additional					
2	information.					
3	The NRC Staff is developing guidance to facilitate licensing					
4	reviews for advanced reactors in support of the Part 53 rulemaking including					
5	scalable human factors engineering reviews, flexible staffing approaches, and					
6	tailored operating licensing requirements.					
7	Given the wide variety of advanced reactors designs and					
8	concepts of operations, the new guidance aims to be risk-informed,					
9	performance-based and technology-inclusive to enable more flexibility in how					
10	the NRC Staff performs reviews based on the safety significance of human					
11	actions.					
12	The Staff has developed a new regulatory guide, 1.247, for					
13	determining the acceptability of PRAs used in non-LWR regulatory activities.					
14	The guidance benefits the 20-plus years of experience the					
15	NRC has gained related to the implementation of Reg Guide 1.2, the					
16	analogous light-water reactor guidance document.					
17	Research is preparing for the review of advanced non-LWR					
18	designs related to high-temperature materials, component performance,					
19	molten salt fuel cycle, and consensus standard improvements from both					
20	technology-inclusive and design-specific considerations.					
21	Our Staff issued a significant number of reports on molten					
22	salt compatibility, high-temperature materials and component integrity, and					

Staff completed Reg Guides to endorse ASME Section III

graphite aging and degradation.

23

1	Division 5 high-temperature materials, paving the way for Applicants to use
2	code-qualified materials.

Staff is engaged with NMSS to address technical and regulatory considerations on the front and back end of the MSR fuel cycle and potential safety impacts to advanced fuel cycle facilities. Next slide, please.

Research is well respected across the nation and worldwide in nuclear safety and security research. Our research activities are performed by professionals who are experts in their field and their work directly supports the NRC mission.

In addition, Research supports the program offices by conducting in-depth confirmatory research on a breadth of safety and security topics. Central to our mission, our priorities are retaining our core technical capabilities, maintaining our worldwide recognition, and developing skills in emerging areas.

We are committed to ensuring our people have the right training, skills and abilities to accomplish the important work in front of us. We use strategic workforce planning to better identify skill gaps and workload trends.

To recruit the workforce of tomorrow, we are leveraging the NRAN, intern, and co-op programs to meet our priorities and support the NRC needs. Given the competitive marketplace for talent, recruiting experienced staff continues to be a challenge.

To attract this talent, we are utilizing recruitment incentives to the maximum extent possible. We are also cultivating the talent of our highly

- skilled workforce by investing in comprehensive training and developmental opportunities.
- Our primary product is knowledge. We are committed to
 establishing a self-sustaining knowledge management program which
 includes use of our wiki-type online encyclopedia called Nuclepedia.

- We also provide formal transfer training sessions to strengthen our Staff's skills across the Agency. Lastly, as shown by the testimonials on this slide, Research Staff are dedicated to offer critical expertise and perspectives on nuclear safety and security research across the nation and the world.
- Next slide, please. Strong partnerships across the Federal
 Government, industry, and international counterparts are essential for meeting
 our mission.
 - External awareness through these engagements is critical to our readiness to support future licensing actions and ensure we share experience, knowledge, and collaborate where possible.
 - The Halden Human Technology Organization Project has been conducting innovative research with cutting-edge simulation technologies. The research results support the NRC's review of innovations in the nuclear industry.
 - For example, Halden's research of evaluating operator performance in digital control rooms focused on teamwork, workload, and procedure use.
- This research provided technical basis for the NRC's review

- of innovative control room staffing strategy in advanced reactor design.
- 2 Halden's future automation lab develops various innovative
- automation prototypes and studies how adaptive automation works with
- 4 human operators as a cohesive team.
- 5 Advanced manufacturing technologies, AMTs, offer
- 6 innovative opportunities to produce optimal reactor components for improved
- 7 performance. Research supported an Agency-wide AMT action plan to
- 8 address technical and regulatory preparedness, expand external stakeholder
- 9 engagement and knowledge management.
- The Staff issued several reports on the assessment of near-
- term technologies, generic technical information and technology-specific
- guidelines to be addressed in AMT submissions and workshops.
- Staff formed partnerships with research organizations in the
- 14 international community to continue to develop the expertise and capabilities
- to enable future applications of AMTs in the nuclear industry.
- 16 Artificial intelligence is one of the fastest-growing
- technologies globally and is the next frontier of technical adoption for the
- 18 nuclear industry.
- 19 Research is leading the Agency's efforts to ensure Al
- 20 regulatory readiness and utilization of AI tools in our business processes.
- To increase awareness of Al's technical adoption in the
- 22 nuclear industry, we held three public workshops during 2021, bringing
- together the nuclear community through the current and future state of Al.
- Finally, the NRC is not alone when it comes to overseeing the safe and secure

- 1 deployment of Al.
- We are meeting with other government agencies and my
- colleagues in the Army to identify new partnerships to leverage their expertise
- 4 and experience in Al.
- 5 Next slide, please. Research has a be-ready mantra and
- 6 is well positioned in supporting regulatory readiness for future technological
- 7 innovations as we look to the next five to ten years now to be ready for that
- 8 future.
- 9 Recognizing the unique worldwide situation with several
- 10 nuclear power plants simultaneously decommissioning, Research has
- developed a novel strategy to assess harvested material, components, and
- concrete from previously operating reactors.
- The strategy matches the component attributes with the
- 14 Agency's priorities to reduce uncertainties associated with the long-term
- operation of safety-significant components in challenging nuclear
- environments and potentially provide a basis for updating regulatory positions.
- 17 Research participates in multiple cooperative research
- projects such as SMILE and FIDES that have enabled opportunities for the
- 19 harvesting and testing of materials.
- 20 Research will pursue harvesting opportunities as they arise
- 21 through domestic and international partnerships. As I mentioned earlier, I
- joined the NRC from the Army where one of the areas in my portfolio was to
- test infrastructure to assess the safety and performance of Al systems.
- 24 Coming to the NRC, the NRC and the nuclear industry have

- a similar interest in the potential of these capabilities.
- 2 As a modern risk-informed regulator, we must keep pace
- with technological innovations and reduce barriers while ensuring the safety
- 4 and security of AI in nuclear facilities.
- 5 To prepare the Agency for this future, Research has initiated
- 6 development of an Al strategic plan that includes goals for Al partnerships
- 7 cultivating the NRC Al-proficient workforce, utilizing tools to enhance NRC
- 8 processes and ensuring NRC readiness for future AI decision-making.
- 9 In Summer 2022, Research will engage with Agency
- stakeholders in soliciting feedback on our Al strategic plan and we expect to
- finalize this plan in the fall of 2022. As we look further down the horizon, the
- 12 future-focused research program supports the exploration of potential
- regulatory challenges three or more years out.
- These projects enable Staff to explore these challenging
- areas as a first step towards readiness for future program office needs. Rob
- 16 Tregoning will provide specific examples of these exciting projects in his
- 17 briefing.
- 18 With our expert Staff, modern and adaptable analytical
- capabilities, and expensive external partnerships, Research is well positioned
- to support nuclear innovations for the existing fleet and advanced reactor
- 21 licensing.
- This concludes my presentation and I will turn it over Ken
- 23 Armstrong. Thank you.
- MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Terri, and good morning,

- 1 Chairman and Commissioners.
- 2 Scientific computer codes are used by the NRC, industry,
- academia, and the international community to understand advances in
- 4 technology and support regulatory decision-making.
- 5 The NRC generally uses these codes to support the
- 6 development of independent technical basis along with any needed analysis
- 7 to confirm the safety of the operating fleet, fuel cycle facilities, spent fuel
- 8 storage and transportation packages, and new application and amendment
- 9 requests.
- Next slide, please. In 2019, as part of the FY2021 budget
- review, the Commission requested a long-term investment plan to ensure the
- 12 NRC's inventory of computer codes were appropriately resourced.
- In response, the Staff has developed a new process and
- 14 implemented a comprehensive review and identification of long-term needs
- 15 for our codes.
- This process provides the NRC with an integrated
- management tool for its codes, accounts for and stabilizes annual resource
- requirements, informs future budget formulations and helps to identify Staff
- 19 expertise requirements.
- This plan is a living document with formal updates on an
- annual basis. This is our first year of formally using the investment strategy
- and we expect to continue to refine this process over the coming years as we
- gain experience using it.
- Next slide, please. RES surveyed the NRC offices and

Τ	identified 40 scientific codes which the agency was supporting for current and				
2	future development activities.				
3	Most of these codes are led by Research, though several				
4	fall under NMSS.				
5	The table shown in this slide groups these codes by				
6	technical analysis area. These range from complex integrated codes with				
7	close to a million lines of text that often take days or weeks to run to much				
8	simpler ones that can run in seconds.				
9	Nine of these codes are not expected to support				
10	decision-making activities within the next seven years and were placed in an				
11	archival state.				
12	31 of the codes require ongoing investments to support				
13	expected regulatory decision-making. These codes require ongoing				
14	maintenance and the development that represent the current pace of				
15	advancements made by industry.				
16	4 codes are currently undergoing code modernization and 7				
17	codes are being consolidated into 2 codes in the radiation protection area.				
18	Most of these computer codes are supported by				
19	international code-sharing programs, where resources provided by the				
20	participants assist the NRC in development, assessment, and training				
21	activities.				
22	We also share codes with domestic users and other federal				
23	agencies like the Department of Energy. Next slide, please.				

As mentioned, computer code investment plan provides a

1	proactive approach	for identifying	funding over a	a seven-year timeframe.	For
---	--------------------	-----------------	----------------	-------------------------	-----

- 2 our active computer codes, major resource investments are depicted in this
- 3 pyramid and looking at it from the ground up.
- 4 First, we need to maintain our computer codes to ensure
- 5 usability and distribute updated versions to our user groups. We do this by
- fixing bugs identified by the user groups and making sure that we are ready
- 7 for the latest operating system and IT security requirements.
- 8 Next, we develop these codes in line with regulatory drivers
- 9 from industry and needs from the regulatory offices. Examples of this would
- be in ensuring that we are able to model accident-tolerant fuel, high burnup
- 11 fuel, and advanced reactors.
- 12 These efforts continue to be closely aligned with the NRC's
- licensing offices as future needs continue to evolve. We also enhance our
- codes through new features to improve analysis run time and confidence in
- the models.
- 16 Finally, this approach allows us to plan large resource needs
- over time, like computer code modernization where the code is fundamentally
- 18 updated to take advantage of modern programming practices, and co-
- consolidation, where multiple codes are combined into one.
- Next slide, please. We plan to initiate the investment
- 21 planning process each summer, in advance, to help inform future budget
- 22 planning.
- The investment process at a high level starts with justifying
- the need for a computer code and being aware of options that exist to meet

- 1 the intended purpose.
- 2 Then one will identify ongoing maintenance and distribution
- 3 resources needed as well as any developments to the code to ensure utility
- 4 for an intended application.
- 5 Finally, all this gets planned out over time using the intake
- 6 chart shown on the right.
- 7 For each code this chart includes a description of the code's
- 8 current state, if it meets requirements for that code, the impacts for not funding
- 9 those activities, deliverables such as new code releases and a resource table
- that consolidates those needs over time.
- These resource numbers are entered into the annual
- 12 research prioritization list in support of future budget formulation. This
- concludes my presentation and I'll turn the presentation over to Nancy.
- 14 MS. HEBRON-ISRAEL: Thank you, Ken. Good morning,
- 15 Chairman and Commissioners, my name is Nancy Hebron-Isreal and I am a
- senior grant specialist within the Office of Research.
- I have a wealth of experience and knowledge with grant
- management and implementation. This morning I'll be providing some
- information about how the University Nuclear Leadership Program, UNLP,
- 20 encourages researchers at U.S. institutions to bring innovative ideas to the
- NRC, as well as the program's benefits to the workforce needs of the Agency
- and the nuclear industry broadly.
- Next slide, please. The UNLP began in fiscal year 2020.
- Formally, it was known as the Integrated University Program.

1	This program traditionally supported educational grants for
2	students and faculty through scholarships, fellowships, and faculty
3	development.
4	In 2020, the program was broadened to support research
5	projects relevant to the programmatic mission of the Agency. As a result,
6	RES invited the submission of university-led R&D projects to complement
7	current and future research needs.
8	RES seeks to leverage universities' capabilities through
9	these R&D grants. Next slide, please. We recently completed the second
10	year of the UNLP.
11	We're excited at the overwhelming response to the R&D
12	funding announcement where we received and reviewed over 200 proposals
13	for Fiscal Years '20 and '21.
14	Our Fiscal Year '22 research funding opportunity
15	announcement is now open and will close on April 5th. We also continue to
16	coordinate with our UNLP partners, DOE, and NNSA.
17	This coordination ensures that our programs are
18	complementary and provide adequate coverage of technical areas.
19	Additionally, RES began hosting research recipient presentations to learn
20	more about the research institutions are conducting under the program.
21	To date, four recipients presented to NRC Staff. Last
22	month, Oregon State presented on its research project related to nuclear

cybersecurity. This event was announced to NRC Staff and resulted in nearly

90 Staff attending and participating.

23

Τ	This project directly addresses the objectives of the NRC,
2	providing risk-informed security through understanding of cyber risk and
3	vulnerabilities associated with nuclear plant instrumentation and control
4	systems.
5	An area where we've recognized where the program is
6	lacking is in the participation of minority-serving institutions. To incentivize
7	this, our recent funding announcement for research projects encourages
8	institutions to include partnerships with MSIs.
9	We are also exploring how the UNLP funds can be utilized
10	to support the reinstatement of the MSI grant program managed by the Office
11	of SBCR. These R&D grants complement our research portfolio.
12	As an added benefit, these grants directly engage students
13	in work of relevance to the Agency, and thus, they also provide a pipeline of
14	capable and experienced university graduates.
15	Next slide, please.
16	Turning now to educational grants, under the UNLP, student
17	recipients are required to obtain nuclear-related employment. This
18	employment may be with nuclear-related industries, the NRC, other federal
19	agencies, state agencies, national laboratories or academia.
20	The UNLP provides direct benefits to the NRC's workforce
21	development staffing needs. OCHCO recruited NRC grant recipients for the
22	Agency's NRAN program, the resident inspector development program and
23	other entry-level positions.
24	Of the 45 members of the inaugural 2020 NRAN cohort and

- the incoming 2022 cohort, 35 members were grant recipients.
- 2 Recently, under the resident inspector development
- program, two trainees were from the grant recipient pool and one resident
- 4 inspector position was filled by a grant recipient.
- 5 Several other grant recipients were referred NRC program
- offices and regions for immediate entry-level opportunities, and some are in
- 7 the process of receiving offers.
- The UNLP is an important tool to fill entry-level skill gaps
- 9 identified through the Agency's strategic workforce planning process. NRC
- grant-funded scholarship and fellowship recipients who meet eligibility
- requirements may be non-competitively selected for NRC positions.
- As an example, in 2020 RES utilized a grant program and
- onboarded two grant recipients, one in the Division of Systems Analysis,
- 14 supporting specialized research and analysis in fuel and cladding
- performance and design, and the other in the Division of Engineering to
- support the Agency's Regulatory Guide program.
- This concludes my presentation and I'll now turn it over to
- 18 Rob Tregoning.
- MR. TREGONING: Thank you, Nancy.
- Good morning, Chairman and Commissioners, I'm Rob
- 21 Tregoning, the senior level advisor for materials in the Division of Engineering
- in the Office of Research and today I'm providing an overview of the Agency's
- 23 future-focused research or FFR initiative.
- Next slide, please. The program was developed to position

Τ	the Agency for upcoming technical and regulatory challenges by initiating
2	research on topics that are expected to be important.
3	In essence, the program is attempting to identify what's next
4	for the Agency, and then using modest resources, implement some initial
5	research and planning so that the Agency can most effectively fulfil our
6	mission in the future.
7	The FFR program is fueled by the Agency's best resource,
8	our Staff. The program encourages Staff to momentarily step back from daily
9	near-term activities and identify potential regulatory topics within the next
10	three to five years that may require new knowledge, tools or skills.
11	The FFR program then provides an opportunity to develop
12	cognizance of the cutting-edge research underpinning these topics and
13	determine if enhancements to the Agency's framework could promote
14	effective regulation on that topic.
15	The program also promotes outreach to academic,
16	Government, and industry leaders to identify relevant partners and programs
17	that may be leveraged if the Agency pursues future development in these
18	underlying technologies.
19	In this manner, the FFR program intends to energize Staff
20	by directly investing them in the Agency's future success while simultaneously
21	building essential long-term capabilities.
22	Next slide, please. There are three components of
23	research and development, or R&D, that support regulatory decision-making.

The most fundamental piece is foundational knowledge,

- which consists of the expertise, experience, skills, and creativity needed to address a particular challenge.
- This knowledge is then applied to develop those general analysis tools and information that buttress the supporting technical basis.
- These tools are then exercised using the specific characteristics of the problem at hand to ultimately reach the intended decision.

- To provide a simple example, foundational knowledge of fluid flow, thermodynamics, computational modeling and programming were used to develop the NRC's TRACE thermohydraulic code, which has then been used to evaluate a plethora of design basis accident scenarios in reactor licensing applications to assess the likelihood of subsequent fuel damage.
- It is the strategic development of foundational knowledge that the FFR program intends to address. Such knowledge supports a more flexible, agile workforce as the underlying skills and capabilities can be applied to a broad array of challenges.
- Sometimes the return on the investment in foundational knowledge may not be readily apparent, especially in the near term. As an example, in the early 2000s, the Agency devoted significant resources in developing structural graphite expertise to support the next-generation nuclear project, or NGNP.
- In 2013, the NRC suspended all NGNP activities after DOE decided not to proceed with that effort. At that time, it certainly appeared the NRC's investment in developing structural graphite expertise was worthless.

1	However, about five years later, the expertise gained a
2	decade prior was leveraged to review proposed ASME code rules on structural
3	graphite for its potential use in several advanced non-light-water reactor
4	designs.
5	The actual application of this foundational knowledge was
6	most certainly not envisioned when the decision was made to develop that
7	capability.
8	Thus far, in the incipient stages of the FFR program, Staff
9	have submitted ideas that would enhance foundational knowledge associated
10	with all aspects of the NRC's mission, including nuclear material tracking and
11	inventory, licensing, rulemaking, inspection, waste storage and transportation,
12	and decommissioning.
13	These ideas could impact existing, new, and advanced
14	reactors.
15	It would establish new capabilities and expertise within well
16	established technology areas, such as materials, consequence analysis,
17	radiation protection and probabilistic risk assessment, as well as more
18	nascent technology areas such as automation, artificial intelligence, and
19	machine learning.
20	Next slide, please. The FFR program is not quite two years
21	old, however, there have already been enough early successes to be
22	optimistic about the role that this program can play in supporting the Agency's
23	readiness for tackling future challenges.

I want to discuss three FFR programs initiated in 2020 to

1	illustrate this	point; the	first is	a digital	twin pr	roject. A	digital tv	win is	а
2	connected di	gital repres	entation o	of a physi	ical asse	et.			

- The digital twin FFR project explored the technical issues and infrastructure needed to prepare the Agency for regulating digital twin applications. The project held two widely-attended workshops, published multiple technical reports, and identified likely nuclear digital twin applications, key technologies, and their associated technical challenges.
- Currently, the FFR project is transitioning to business line funding to support significant industry interest in broadly applying this technology.
- Another example is the FFR project to apply licensing modernization project, or LMP, to operating reactors.
 - This project combines the licensing modernization methodology developed to establish the licensing basis for advanced non-light water reactors with existing Level 3 risk insights for operating reactors to identify Part 50 requirements that could be risk-informed.
 - An initial pilot study evaluated the dose associated with internal events to demonstrate that the LMP methodology is feasible for lightwater reactors and that the safety profile was consistent with non-light water reactor risk targets.
 - Additionally, the pilot study gleaned insights on both nonlight water reactor licensing and light water reactor safety that had been shared with stakeholders.
- The next phase of the FFR effort is using external event

1	probabilistic risk assessment to identify aspects of the standard review plan
2	for transient and accident analyses that can be risk-informed.
3	The System-Theoretic Accident Model and Processes, or
4	STAMP, is a causality model developed using system theory that can be used
5	in lieu of or in conjunction with traditional PRA to identify risk-significant
6	scenarios.
7	This approach has already been successfully applied in
8	many industries. The FFR project confirmed that STAMP could potentially
9	improve the efficiency of NRC's digital systems reviews and identify potential
10	system errors that would not otherwise be considered.
11	Two Agency-wide workshops and other forums were held to
12	increase Staff awareness of STAMP and identify enhancements to facilitate
13	learning and applying STAMP.
14	The STAMP FFR provided Staff with timely knowledge to
15	support growing industry interest in STAMP-based methods such as its limited
16	use in NuScale design certification document application.
17	Currently, a business line activity is being developed to
18	explore future implementation of STAMP at the NRC, Next slide, please.
19	While the FFR program is off to a promising start, the next
20	challenge is to grow the program into an Agency-wide resource.
21	The intent is that FFR will become an incubator of those
22	research ideas culled from across the Agency that align with being a modern
23	risk-informed regulator.
24	We know that good ideas benefit from diversity and

Τ.	crowdsourcing. Inspectors, licensing assistants, technical reviewers, project
2	managers, administrative assistants and, yes, even managers all have unique
3	perspectives that can germinate into a powerful idea.
4	To reach its full potential, the program's visibility needs to
5	be increased and ultimately ingrained into the Agency's culture and collective
6	consciousness such that when someone has a good idea they immediately
7	think of sending it to the FFR for consideration.
8	The FFR uses a streamlined and simple submittal process
9	to facilitate Agency-wide involvement and the program can provide support to
10	nurture and execute good ideas if the submitters are not positioned to
11	implement their idea.
12	We also need the FFR program to be flexible and agile.
13	Resources will remain modest compared to the Agency's budget and the
14	program will need to effectively steward those resources.
15	To do this, we intend to create synergies with both internal
16	and external programs.
17	For example, research on promising FFR topics can be
18	solicited through the NRC's University Nuclear Leadership Position that Nancy
19	just discussed to promote contributions from the brightest academic minds.
20	Finally, it's recognized that other agencies such as DOE and
21	DoD extensively fund basic technology development and FFR activities
22	should explore leveraging these efforts to most efficiently tackle unique NRC
23	challenges.
24	So, while we're off to a good start, much remains to be done

1	to realize the full potential that the FFR program offers. Next slide, please.
2	In summary, you've heard today about several ways in
3	which the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research provides integral support to
4	ensure that the Agency effectively fulfils its mission.
5	This starts by identifying the right research.
6	We work closely with our partner offices to understand their
7	technical needs, schedules, and priorities so that we can tailor our research
8	activities to most effectively address these needs.
9	Our activities remain focused on safety as we seek to
10	understand and address those issues that most impact safety through
11	assessments guided by the Agency's risk-informed principles.
12	We're continuously striving to innovate our methods to
13	provide new risk insights and ways to most effectively communicate this
14	information to decision-makers.
15	However, pursuing these goals requires a continual
16	investment in the assets needed to perform this work, particularly our research
17	tools and the skills and capabilities of our Staff who are integral to our ultimate
18	success.
19	We also realize that we can't do this alone, and we rely on
20	a network of domestic and international partnerships with private and public
21	organizations to both grow and augment our capabilities.
22	Finally, we would be remiss if we didn't keep one eye on the
23	future so that the Agency can continue to best serve the public and nuclear
24	community.

1	The FFR program, as you heard today, is a small but
2	integral piece to ensure the NRC will be ready to meet our upcoming
3	challenges. Thank you for your time and now I'll turn it back to Dan for closing
4	remarks.
5	MR. DORMAN: Thank you, Rob. In conclusion, I want to
6	again thank our Staff who continue to demonstrate NRC's commitment to
7	supporting regulatory readiness through Agency research activities.
8	Their dedication, energy to innovate, and technical results
9	provide the Agency with essential tools to aid efforts to accomplish our safety
10	and security mission. We've now completed our presentation and we look
11	forward to answering your questions.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Dan and Ray and the
14	rest of the group. I'm starting off with questions this morning. I was really
15	pleased with the presentations. I think there's a lot to celebrate and a lot to be
16	proud of in the efforts of the Office of Research.
17	I want to just highlight one thing to start before I dive in on
18	another topic and that's just the UNLP program.
19	I want to applaud some of the thinking that's going on there
20	about how to engage with minority-serving institutions and I'm looking forward
21	to getting, and I know the rest of the Commission is too, the paper from the
22	Staff on the resurrection, if you will, of the minority-serving institution grant
23	program and I look forward to hearing about how that will be connected to and

potentially integrated with UNLP.

1	So, thanks to Nancy very much for your presentation. With
2	that, I want to start with the issue of FFRD, that is fuel fragmentation,
3	relocation, and dispersal.
4	I think it's a really important technical issue needing some
5	regulatory clarity, in my view, to support the effective licensing of accident-
6	tolerant fuel and high burnup fuel.
7	The Office of Research Staff recently issued a regulatory
8	information letter, or a RIL, on this topic and the ACRS recently wrote a letter
9	on it as well.
10	The ACRS stated that the current data set on FFRD has
11	been expanded but that there remains a significant degree of uncertainty in
12	large part because the problem is multivariate and the experiments from which
13	data are developed did not always represent actual light water reactor
14	conditions.
15	From this, both the NRC Staff and the ACRS Staff appear
16	to recognize there are some data gaps associated with the FFRD
17	phenomenon.
18	In the meantime, industry is really interested in pursuing
19	high burnup fuel and accident-tolerant fuel, and NRR, our Office of Nuclear
20	Reactor Regulation, is already reviewing topical reports from fuel vendors.
21	But it's not clear, to me at least, that there's the regulatory
22	line of sight that's needed to efficiently and effectively regulate these new fuel
23	designs.
24	Terri, you touched on this earlier but I was wondering if you

1	could expand on how the Agency is planning to address FFRD for high burnup
2	licensing applications and what's Research doing to help establish the
3	appropriate technical basis for our reviews.
4	MS. LALAIN: Thank you, Chairman.

So, to help build Staff expertise and address data gaps important to the NRC interest, Research is participating and leading the technical discussions in several OECD NEA experimental programs, like the Studsvik Cladding Integrity Project for SCIP, and the Framework for Irradition Experiments, or FIDES.

Research is also working on methodology to estimate for core-wide fuel dispersal during loss of coolant accident using our computer codes.

And Research is also assessing the potential impacts of FFRD on the regulatory and technical basis of containment source term in Regulatory Guide 1.183 on alternative source terms for design basis accidents at nuclear power plants.

This guide will be used by Staff in NRR to support the high burnup fuel reviews and licensing. With that, Ray, is there anything else you'd like to add?

MR. FURSTENAU: I think that's a pretty good summary there. I think what you said, Chairman, with regards to your comments and those of the ACRS, I think the recent RIL, as you mentioned, it provided some insights that the licensing folks can use based on experimental data that was performed using testing in the past several years with zirconium cladding and

	30
1	uranium dioxide fuel.
2	Which, of course, is a type of fuel being used now but there
3	are going to be variants of that in the accident-tolerant fuel.
4	And some of the experiments we're planning, like Terri
5	mentioned, with the OECD NEA and others will help fuel some of those data
6	gaps and help reduce the uncertainties.
7	Because the research information letter does note there's
8	large uncertainties with experimental data with the release of fission gas
9	products that can have a significant impact on if and when a fuel rod in an
10	accident condition would balloon and burst in a LOCA-type accident.
11	So, I think we do have more work to do on it, but it's kind of
12	setting the stage for helping to know when to analyze for FFRD and what the
13	missing data gaps may be that we need to address in the future.
14	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thanks. Ray and Terri, that's
15	super helpful.
16	As we think about how to set that research agenda which
17	you've spoken a little bit about, we've gotten some comments from outside the
18	Agency but we've also tried to tackle this issue of risk-informing our approach
19	to high burnup fuel and ATF.
20	And of course, this FFRD issue is at the center of that and

And of course, this FFRD issue is at the center of that and so, are we crafting our supporting research agenda on this issue to support risk-informed approaches to this.

21

22

And if so, how does a risk-informed mindset shape our research agenda there?

1	MR. FURSTENAU: I'll take a stab at that, Chairman. I
2	think the point you bring out about how this is risk-informed with the research
3	information letter that we had on this, of course, it's based on empirical data.
4	And then you have a lot of uncertainties and you have a lot
5	of separate effects testing, but I think it starts to build the framework to look at
6	what matters with regards to identifying the risk involved.
7	As you do these tests, you start to zero in on the phenomena
8	that contribute to a bad day in case of a LOCA dealing with an FFRD. So, I
9	think what we have to do in looking at this is trying to understand what matters.
10	To me, that's part of risk-informing, reducing those
11	uncertainties and looking at sensitivities of what matters in an experiment
12	program and zero in on those things that matter.
13	As we were talking about, there's a lot of factors that are
14	involved in FFRD and we've got to use the experiment program and our
15	research to help focus on the ones that matter from a regulatory standpoint.
16	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ray, you're doing a great job of
17	teeing up my next question on these things.
18	I want to take what you said with some of the stuff we heard
19	from Ken about the codes and about probabilistic fracture mechanics and I'll
20	call it the explorer code, XLPR code, and how what you previously said with
21	our code efforts might come to support, again, these risk-informed approaches
22	to this stuff.
23	MR. FURSTENAU: I'm fortunate on the panel here we
24	have one of our experts on XLPR codes. So, if it's okay with you I'd like to

1	turn the question to Ro	bb Tregoning who	can addr	ess tha	at. F	Rob?		
2	MR.	TREGONING:	Thanks,	Ray,	and	thanks	for	the

question, Chairman. I don't know about expert, but I'll do my best. As you're probably aware, Chairman, XLPR is one of the codes we developed jointly

In fact, it was about a 50-50 split and while the initial applications of FFR were to do risk-informed evaluations of leak before break

9 pressurized water reactors.

with industry.

And I might admit that it was very successful in addressing the initial intended purpose but from the beginning everyone recognized that XLPR would have broader applications and we're certainly starting to see interest in many of these applications, including we've heard from the industry that they're interested in using XLPR to address potential challenges associated with high burnup and accident-tolerant fuels.

and some issues related to stress corrosion cracking that we've had in our

And we also know that there has been a long-standing issue in using it as part of the design process for new reactors as well as, again, to help identify what the most risk-significant challenges are.

So, we continue to maintain and use and update XLPR with the notion that it will continue to have broader applications throughout not just the materials community but then also being able to inform some of these cross-cutting issues with very complex topics such as fuel fragmentation, relocation, and dispersal.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thanks very much, that's really

- helpful and interesting. I have to say in my enthusiasm about FFRD I've completely lost track of whether or not I'm in my 10 minutes or not.
- So, I'm just going to assume I'm way outside the bounds and thank everybody for a really interesting discussion this morning and I'll hand it over to Commissioner Baran.
- COMMISSIONER BARAN: Thank you all for your presentations and your work. I'm really excited about the work the Office of Research is doing and the progress you're making. I want to make a few quick points before I ask my questions.

- The SPAR models are so important for NRC's independent oversight role and you've taken their usefulness to a whole new level with SPAR-DASH. What a great way to leverage those models to gain risk insights for our licensing and oversight work.
- I also appreciate your full utilization of FTE. We have a large number of employees who are eligible for retirement and we're seeing attrition each year of about six to eight percent.
- That means we need to hire about 200 people from outside the Agency every year to sustain our workforce. It's about 300 this year. In the context of that increased external hiring, full utilization of budgeted FTE is critical.
- The future-focused research program also is developing as well. As an Agency, we need to be ready for innovative technologies and part of being ready is looking a bit over the horizon at what is coming in a few years and then building the expertise and doing the foundational research in that

- 1 area.
- We don't want to find ourselves behind when a new
- technology is submitted for review. So, this is an important facet of what the
- 4 Office of Research does. Now, let me turn to a few questions.
- 5 The high energy arc fault research has been an important
- ongoing activity. Ray, can you give us an update on the status of the HEAF
- 7 research and what the Staff is finding?
- 8 MR. FURSTENAU: Sure, I'd be happy to do that,
- 9 Commissioner Baran.
- The HEAF research is really intended to reduce the
- uncertainty and really provide a more accurate assessment of the fire hazard
- associated with the HEAF and bring more realism to it.
- Our current research shows the hazards that are posed by
- these events are highly dependent on a number of things, like the type and
- configuration of the electrical equipment, the energy involved in the HEAF
- event, some differences in copper versus aluminum.
- And what we're doing in research and should be finishing up
- by summer is develop an improved methodology for analyzing the risk, the
- overall risk posed by these events. And we'll document those and seek
- 20 public feedback.
- And the NRR is using some of this new methodology as they
- do their LIC-504 review of HEAF events.
- So, HEAF events are very plant-specific and the fire
- damage and plant impacts are specific. So, all of that need to be taken into

Τ	consideration, zones of influences are different, one size doesn't fit all.
2	And so those are those types of things we're looking at as
3	we conclude our research on the HEAF events. Does that help?
4	COMMISSIONER BARAN: I'm look forward to seeing
5	your results and the analysis and following the issue as we go forward and
6	you continue your work.
7	I also appreciate the work the Staff is doing on harvesting
8	passive components from decommissioning nuclear power plants for
9	research.
10	We can use the resulting data to strengthen aging
11	management programs for reactors operating long term. Ray or Terri, can
12	you talk more about Research's current and future plans for harvesting
13	materials?
14	You've touched on it a little bit in the presentation, I'm
15	interested in a little more detail about that. My sense is there are several
16	opportunities out there?
17	MR. FURSTENAU: Thanks for the question,
18	Commissioner Baran. Why don't I start and then, again, we're fortunate to
19	have Rob Tregoning who's been leading some of the harvesting efforts.
20	But like you said, there are good opportunities with plans
21	being in the decommissioning mode. We've got a good sense of the type of
22	maybe data gaps there may be on passive components and which plants and
23	the conditions they operated at and for how long.
24	We can start to zero in on where our data needs are at and

- we're working with our partners in DOE to help do that as well. Rob, can you
- 2 add some specifics on what we're looking at?
- I know we have harvesting being supported in the budget
- 4 and we're going to take advantage of that with what I think is a well thought
- 5 out program for harvesting. Rob?
- 6 MR. TREGONING: Thanks for that Ray, and
- 7 Commissioner Baran, thanks for that question.
- 8 As you both alluded to, we're in a very unique time with
- 9 respect to the nuclear community in the sense that there is a surplus of
- 10 decommissioning plants worldwide that really offer us with a unique
- opportunity to understand how certain key components and systems have
- operated over, in some cases, more than 40 or 50 years of service.
- So, I can tell you that we are pursuing, along with not just
- 14 DOE but also the nuclear industry, several opportunities domestically to
- harvest. And we've also most recently done some concrete harvesting from
- 16 SONGS as an illustration of that.
- And we're in the process of developing contracts to finalize
- and actually put into play our harvesting plans domestically at several of these
- 19 plants. But we just don't look domestically, we're looking internationally as
- 20 **well**.
- 21 Terri mentioned the SMILE program, the SMILE program is
- getting materials from Oskarshamn 1 and 2, which are Swedish BWRs as well
- as Ringhals 1 and 2. Ringhals 1 was a BWR but Ringhals 2 was actually a
- Westinghouse 3 loop PWR that is essentially a sister plant to both Surry and

1	North Anna.
2	And there are some very unique characteristics of especially
3	the Ringhals 2 plant that we think our broadly applicable to the remaining U.S.
4	fleet.
5	So, the SMILE program in particular, I think right now there's
6	12 organizations from 7 countries and it's actually being run by NEA.
7	And these group-sponsored programs are really an effective
8	way for us to leverage our resources because again, when you look at
9	harvesting, especially of irradiated components, it's a costly proposition.
10	So, I think I'm being a little bit coy because I don't want to
11	speak about the domestic plants because we haven't entered into agreements
12	with but I think as we do we'll be able to announce publicly what some of those
13	plans with the domestic plants.
14	And the Commission and the public will be hearing more
15	about this topic, I would expect, in the spring or summer timeframe.
16	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Great, well I look forward to it.
17	As we think about new technologies that may be coming to
18	the Agency for review in the coming years, fusion is a big one. Where are we
19	on building the necessary expertise for those potential reviews in either areas
20	of future-focused research related to fusion that we should be thinking about
21	as an Agency?
22	MR. FURSTENAU: If I may, I'll take that question,
23	Commissioner Baran.

In the area of fusion technology, the NRC Staff has formed

1	a working group with our technical experts from NRR, Research, and NMSS
2	to look at and build the necessary capabilities to help develop a framework for
3	regulating fusion technologies.
4	And to inform future activities, DANU in NRR is organizing
5	a series of public meetings this spring to improve our understanding of
6	proposed fusion technologies and to help develop a SECY paper in the fall on
7	options for fusion regulation.
8	So, these public meeting interactions will help to scope and
9	identify areas of technical need for us. With regards to FFR, future-focused
10	research, we do have a proposal that was accepted and is funded in Fiscal
11	Year '22 to review advanced manufacturing technologies for fusion reactor
12	materials.
13	And because with fusion, it's a lot about material
14	applications for fusion, high-temperature material applications is a big deal in
15	choosing materials to confine the plasma.
16	So, FFR will enable our Staff to broaden their knowledge of
17	state-of-the-art fusion materials and specialized advanced manufacturing
18	technologies in challenge to their deployment.
19	We also included in the mission-related R&D notice of
20	funding opportunity announcement that recently went out, we included fusion
21	as one of the topic areas we're interested in hearing proposals from the
22	universities on.
23	So, that's where we're at right now, Commissioner.
24	COMMISSIONER BARAN: Thank you all very much.

1	Great discussion. I really enjoy these meetings where we just hear a broad
2	spectrum of what Research is doing, it's so important.
3	So, thank you, and I'll turn it back over to the Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Commissioner Baran.
5	Now to Commissioner Wright?
6	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7	And again, we've all heard in the questioning, a lot of the questions are already
8	taken so I'm going to endeavor to try to get some good ones to you with what
9	comes to mind.
10	Great discussion and thank you for your presentation. I'm
11	a fan of Research and what you do in your area. It just continues to guide
12	the Agency as a whole and helps us make decisions.
13	You touch almost every aspect of what we do through your
14	findings and insights and expertise, so again, thank you for what you do. I'm
15	going to start with Terri, so good morning, and nice job on the presentation by
16	the way.
17	I'm interested in the innovation steps you're looking into, for
18	example I believe you talked about the SPAR-DASH thing and Commissioner
19	Baran referred to it as well.
20	And I agree, I think it's a great step in the right direction in
21	continuing our journey as a modern risk-informed regulator.
22	So, my understanding is that the survey results on the
23	Agency's transformation efforts indicate that maybe some external
24	stakeholders have some uncertainty about how the Agency uses risk

Τ.	information in making decisions.
2	Can you maybe speak a bit about how this effort could
3	influence that perspective?
4	MS. LALAIN: Yes, so for the licensees, they have access
5	to their own SPAR models and SPAR-DASH, so they have that information
6	and for the use of SPAR-DASH it can be described on the NRC's public
7	website along with the other risk tools that are being used.
8	And the Staff are engaged with external stakeholders on
9	how it applies SPAR-DASH in its oversight and licensing activities during
10	public meetings and other public forums.
11	So, the communication is underway to help with that.
12	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: How does that seem to be
13	going right now?
14	MS. LALAIN: From the licensee reviewers, the SPAR-
15	DASH team there has been receiving feedback from the users and the ability
16	to use the dashboard.
17	They're getting the information on the level of risk
18	significance for a proposed licensing amendment so there's good feedback
19	coming back in from the users of the SPAR-DASH tool.
20	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Thank you for that. Are
21	there other similar applications being developed to provide risk-informed tools
22	to inspectors, particularly our residents so that we continue to focus on the
23	most important safety-significant issues?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}.$ LALAIN: Yes, so for the inspectors the SPAR-DASH

- 1 is applicable for them to help prioritize inspection activities, select
- 2 risk-informed inspection samples, compare with licensee's PRA information.
- So, there's a wide range of tools for the inspectors as well
- 4 with that.
- 5 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Thank you. There's been a
- 6 lot of focus and talk on the whole artificial intelligence and with respect to the
- 7 artificial intelligence that's being planned, what type of artificial intelligence
- 8 tools and outcomes do you anticipate possibly being integrated to improve our
- 9 agency's processes?
- MS. LALAIN: All can be used to enhance our NRC
- processes to help us better allocate our resources to higher-value activities
- and emerging priorities.
- So, examples of some current business process
- 14 applications would include a natural language processing type tool for
- 15 resource prediction so we can compare historical actions to get better
- estimates for new actions.
- Use of things like RESbots to help the researchers and
- machine learning for operating experience of that classification.
- So, all of this, as we're drafting and working on the evidence-
- building plan that the NRC intends to finalize in March 2022, as required by
- 21 the Evidence Act, the Agency will identify what NRC decision-making
- 22 processes could then benefit from some of these tools that I've described and
- prioritize the data collections that would have the most significant impact on
- Agency decision-making, selection of the Al tools, and even future stakeholder

1 use.

2	So, all of that will come through that evidence-building act
3	to hopefully and potentially make decision-making easier, faster, and more
4	efficient for everyone.

COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I'm going to skip to harvesting and we may go back to Rob again but if you want to jump in, or Ray wants to jump in, that's fine too. I'm really a fan of the harvesting idea and what happens there.

Unfortunately, we have more harvesting opportunities than we would probably like because we don't like to see any plants close when we see things can operate.

So, Rob, you're being a little bit coy, you've admitted that about where you can go because there were some agreements in place with certain people. Are you working with EPRI on this too by chance or DOE? Who else are you working with on this?

MR. TREGONING: We have both international and domestic harvesting teams, so we meet about every six weeks with both DOE and EPRI, and it's a strategic meeting related to harvesting where we review the current status of each other's harvesting plans and look for ways to leverage and cooperate.

So, that's been an ongoing interaction that we've been doing for the last two or three years. And then we also have a periodic similarly, but with an international community because, again, we're aware not just of opportunities in Sweden but also Korea and some other countries that we think

- 1 would be beneficial for the U.S.
- 2 The international ones are a little bit harder to pull off, as you
- might imagine, but still, we have to pursue every possible lead and it's one of
- 4 these things where we have multiple contingency plans and we try to pursue
- all of them simultaneously because you recognize each individual one might
- 6 have a relatively low likelihood of success.
- 7 But I think as we look over the next year, I think we're going
- 8 to have some significant successes with respect to harvesting.
- 9 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: That answers my next
- question. Do you think some of these agreements domestically will be put in
- place within the next year?
- MR. TREGONING: I'm as confident as I can be given the
- current budget situation and the fact that we don't even have an approved
- budget. But I'm confident regardless of that particular fact.
- 15 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Thank you so much for that.
- 16 I look forward to following up on that, it's very interesting when I've traveled
- around and seen components that have been harvested and some of the work
- that's being done on them; the concrete and steel.
- So, thanks for that. I want to move over real quick to Nancy
- and ask you a question. I know the Chair brought up minority-serving
- 21 institutions topic and he referred to the reports and papers that were coming
- 22 up.
- I don't particularly want to get into where you're at in all of
- that but I have an issue about communication on that, if you could answer

- that? How are the institutions that are being made aware or will be made
- 2 aware of the funding opportunities take advantage of them? What's the
- 3 process for that?
- 4 MS. HEBRON-ISREAL: We do outreach to the institutions
- 5 and the communities, making them aware of the funding opportunity
- 6 announcements.
- 7 We communicate with the different organizations for nuclear
- 8 engineering department heads, the Health Physics Society, as well as the
- 9 MSI, HBCU, and HSI communities to make them aware of when our
- announcements are open and be assistant if they have any questions or
- anything regarding the announcement and what it entails.
- So, hopefully that covers your question. If not, I'd be glad to
- 13 clarify any areas.
- 14 COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: I just wanted to know what
- the process was for getting the word out. Is there a certain pipeline or do you
- go directly to the different institutions that would be able to apply for these
- things directly and to whoever that person is at that institution.
- MS. HEBRON-ISREAL: We do have contacts that we
- 19 communicate with for nuclear engineering department heads organization as
- 20 I mentioned. They reach out to all the nuclear engineering schools for us.
- 21 We communicate with Health Physics Society. We work
- 22 closely with the Office of Small Business and Civil Rights to ensure that we
- 23 make the minority-serving institutions community aware of our
- announcements when they are available as well.

Τ	So, we collaborate with all.
2	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Ray, maybe you can chip in
3	here. We've had some conversations about the university-level things and
4	that program. So, does it follow the same process there?
5	MR. FURSTENAU: Yes, I wanted to add, if I may, one
6	thing that we're trying to do in the current funding opportunity announcement
7	as well for the mission-related R&D that Nancy mentioned that is open right
8	now for applications.
9	We included in the evaluation criteria, points-weighted
10	evaluation criteria for universities that partner with MSIs or HBCUs, and we
11	think that'll help where schools that don't have large engineering programs
12	can team up with those that do to encourage some participation by MSIs.
13	So, that's a very recent thing we're doing as well.
14	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: This just came to mind, I'm
15	going to close with this, Mr. Chairman, then you can take it back. I was at a
16	meeting just last week at NARUC down in D.C. and I heard the outfit E4 that's
17	in North Carolina, South Carolina, we have a former Commissioner who was
18	involved there.
19	I just happened to hear that they're putting a program
20	together that's going to focus on MSIs as well, and it sounds like to me that
21	might be something that can work as a partnership.
22	So, you might want to reach out to E4 and see if there's an
23	opportunity to maybe do something even bigger. If that opportunity is out
24	there, why not?

1	MR. FURSTENAU: Thank you for that.
2	COMMISSIONER WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, thank you so
3	much.
4	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Commissioner Wright.
5	I want to wrap this up this morning and thank the Staff for their presentations.
6	I want to particularly thank my colleagues. I think once
7	again, I hope people see the benefits of a Commission approach where there's
8	a lot of I think shared support for the research program on the Commission
9	but also different areas of emphasis. I appreciated Commissioner Wright's
10	interest in artificial intelligence and some of these questions about the grant
11	programs.
12	Commissioner Baran did some deep dives on the harvesting
13	for decommissioning plants and there are a whole set of other things that we
14	probably didn't touch on but could have given more time.
15	So, I want to express appreciation for my colleagues and
16	appreciation for the Staff and thank you all again. With that, we are
17	adjourned.
18	(Whereupon the above-entitled matter went off the record at
19	11:22 a.m.)