

IN RESPONSE, PLEASE  
REFER TO: M111101A

November 1, 2011

MEMORANDUM FOR: R. W. Borchardt  
Executive Director for Operations

FROM: Andrew L. Bates, Acting Secretary **/RA/**

SUBJECT: STAFF REQUIREMENTS - AFFIRMATION SESSION, 8:55 A.M.,  
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 2011, COMMISSIONERS'  
CONFERENCE ROOM, ONE WHITE FLINT NORTH,  
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND (OPEN TO PUBLIC ATTENDANCE)

I. SECY-11-0106 – Final Rule: U.S. Advanced Boiling-Water Reactor Aircraft Impact Design Certification Amendment (RIN 3150-A184)

The Commission approved a final rule amending U.S. Advanced Boiling Water Reactor (U.S. ABWR) standard plant design to comply with Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 50.150, "Aircraft impact assessment," with the attached changes.

Following incorporation of these changes, the Federal Register notice should be reviewed by the Rulemaking, Directives, and Editing Branch in the Office of Administration and forwarded to the Office of the Secretary for signature and publication.

(EDO)

(SECY Suspense:

11/18/11)

Attachment: Changes to the Final Rule in SECY-11-0106

cc: Chairman Jaczko  
Commissioner Svinicki  
Commissioner Apostolakis  
Commissioner Magwood  
Commissioner Ostendorff  
OGC  
CFO  
OCAA  
OCA  
OIG  
OPA  
Office Directors, Regions, ACRS, ASLBP (via E-Mail)  
PDR

## Changes to the final rule in SECY-11-0106

1. The staff should expand the discussion section of the statements of consideration concerning the technical evaluation of the amendment to include a brief description of the key design features and functional capabilities that satisfied the requirements 10 CFR 50.150.
2. On page 6, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph, revise line 7 and 8 to read ‘ ... use of the ~~“options”~~ **“branches”** approach nor ....’
3. On page 7, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph, revise line 5 to read ‘ ... *complexity and does not* ....’
4. On page 11, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph, revise line 2 to read ‘ ... *license proceedings* ....’
5. On page 17, 1st full paragraph, revise line 1 to read ‘ ... Response: ~~Upon consideration of the matter, the~~ ....’ Revise line 3 to read ‘ ... requirement **was** is to ensure ....’
6. On page 33, footnote 8, line 8, replace the comma after the “S” with a period.
7. On page 34, 1st full paragraph, revise line 1 to read ‘ ... concerns. ~~By~~ **This alternative** ~~creating~~ a ....’ Revise line 2 to read ‘ ... and require**ing** the ....’ Revise line 4 to read ‘ ... supplier. **Therefore** there is ....’
8. On page 51, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph, revise line 5 to read ‘ ... Tier 2 of ~~“the”~~ DCD, ....’ Revise line 6 to read ‘ ... Tier 2 of **“a”** DCD, then Tier 1 controls. This change **of “the” to “a”** is necessary ....’
9. On page 52, delete the sentence in lines 4 through 6 from the top (Upon consideration ... final rule.) Revise line 7 to read ‘ ... not necessary **and has decided to delete the proposed paragraph III.E in the final rule.**’
10. On page 64, 1st full paragraph, revise line 2 to read ‘ ... Tier 2 of ~~“the”~~ DCD, ....’ Revise line 3 to read ‘ ... Tier 2 of **“a”** DCD, ....’ Revise line 4 to read ‘ ... controls. **This change of “the” to “a” was necessary** because the ....’
11. On page 67, 1st full paragraph, revise line 3 to read ‘ ... the “GE DCD.” **This was done** to distinguish ....’